



Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation







3139

# GRAMMAR

OF THE

# HEBREW LANGUAGE,

COMPRISED IN A

#### SERIES OF LECTURES;

COMPILED FROM THE BEST AUTHORITIES, AND DRAWN

PRINCIPALLY

From Oriental Sources,

DESIGNED

FOR THE USE OF STUDENTS IN THE UNIVERSITIES.

## BY THE REV. S. LEE, B.D.

D.D. OF THE UNIVERSITY OF HALLE, HONORARY MEMBER OF THE ASIATIC SOCIETY OF PARIS,
HONORARY ASSOCIATE AND F.R.S.L. AND M.R.A.S. &C., PREBENDARY OF BRISTOL,
VICAR OF BANWELL, AND REGIUS PROFESSOR OF HEBREW IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE.

SECOND EDITION, ENRICHED WITH MUCH ORIGINAL MATTER.

#### LONDON:

PRINTED FOR JAMES DUNCAN, 37, PATERNOSTER ROW;
J. & J. J. DEIGHTON, AND T. STEVENSON, CAMBRIDGE; J. PARKER, OXFORD;
BELL & BRADFUTE, EDINBURGH; AND M. OGLE, GLASGOW.

1832.



10196

#### DEDICATION.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

### BARON BROUGHAM AND VAUX,

LORD HIGH CHANCELLOR OF ENGLAND.

My LORD,

The very munificent and disinterested manner, in which your Lordship has been pleased to notice my labours, (devoted as they have been for many years to the advancement of sacred and oriental literature in this country,) has made it a duty to seize the earliest opportunity in my power, publicly to express my obligations for the favours so unexpectedly, and I will say so unusually, conferred. I will not affirm that our public institutions are generally not well endowed, but I may that to have performed the duties of both Hebrew and Arabic Professor in the University of Cambridge with some public credit, for nearly the last dozen years with a salary of forty pounds,\* was not very likely to impress any one so circumstanced with the idea, that his pluralities were such as to render him an object of public animadversion, or to buoy him up with the notion, that his services had been met by his country

<sup>•</sup> In addition to this, Lord Liverpool allowed me to draw annually upon the Treasury for the sum of 100l., upon producing a certificate of having delivered lectures.

with more than ordinary encouragement. How these particulars first found their way to your Lordship's notice, I have not the means of knowing: but I do know that the very handsome manner, in which you have been pleased to meet them, has left me no alternative but that of gratefully acknowledging the obligations which I owe and feel, and of assuring your Lordship, that I shall ever consider it my duty to endeavour to deserve the distinction.

I have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obliged,

Humble Servant.

THE AUTHOR.

# PREFACE.

THE first Edition of this Grammar having been entirely sold off, and having been requested by the Publisher to prepare another, I avail myself of this opportunity to state why the Work appears in its present form, and how the Learner may most profitably use it.

After all, then, that has been said about it, either publicly or privately, (and I here beg to offer my acknowledgments for all the favours thus done me,) my conviction is, that to present the Student with both the Synthetical and Analytical methods, at the same time, is by far the most likely to give him an interest, and to ground him, in the study of the Hebrew Language. To cultivate the memory, as well in this as in every other sort of study, I hold to be good; but then I must insist upon it, that to interest and inform the mind is infinitely better. A very learned and deservedly celebrated opponent of mine, on this question, has argued that to give naked rules in Grammar, is always the most likely to insure the progress, and to advance the truth: while, however, he has not hesitated to advance reasons, analytically, in support of his own rules, whenever he thought fit to do so. My opinion has been, and still is, that where we have Men, and not mere Children, to study any Language or Science, it is our duty to lay before them at once, both the rule and the reasons for it: and thus, at one and the same time, to present the grounds

<sup>\*</sup> This edition consisted of 1,500 copies; and I cannot help looking upon the circumstance as a proof that Hebrew Literature is on the increase among us.

of the matter proposed for instruction, with rules calculated to assist the memory in retaining it; and thus to contribute towards improving the mind by habits of close investigation and inquiry. By these means the Learner will have the advantage of seeing on what grounds his instructions rest, and consequently of determining for himself, whether they are such as are entitled to his cordial reception or not: while, on the other hand, the Grammarian who is accustomed only to consider the Language on which he is treating synthetically, is apt to form rules upon every example with which he meets, less in conformity with its real principles, than with those of that in which he has been accustomed to speak and write: which, it may be shewn, has abundantly been the case with all European Writers on the Arabic and Hebrew Grammar, and of which M. de Sacy (the Writer alluded to) is an illustrious and striking example.\*

With the view therefore of accomplishing this, I have, in the first place, considered the Vowels as either perfect or imperfect: not abstractedly, or with reference to the nature of things generally; but with reference to the formation of syllables as they are found to exist in this Language. I have, for example, termed ( ) Kāméts, ( ) Tsēré, ( ) Khīrîk gādól, ( ) Khōlém, and ( ) Shūrék, Perfect vowels; because, when combined with any one consonant, they will generally form full and perfect syllables. For a similar reason, I have termed another set of vowels, as ( - ) Páthakh, &c., Imperfect vowels; because, when employed in the formation of syllables, they require the addition either of a second consonant or of an accent to form such full and perfect syllables. My reasons are these: When we come to read the Hebrew text, it is important to know where every syllable commences and terminates, in order duly to pronounce the words. And, again, when a Hebrew word is changed from

<sup>\*</sup> Proofs of this will hereafter be given in a Tract which it is my intention to publish.

the singular to the plural form,—or when found in what is termed the state of construction,—or receives one or other of the pronouns,—the vowels composing it are usually found to vary; and this in exact accordance with this view of the syllabication, in conjunction with the character of their etymology or derivation. I have therefore, in the first place, stated and exemplified this system of perfect and imperfect vowels with regard to the formation of syllables. The exceptions introduced by the accents are next noticed: so that the Learner is, in a few hours, put in possession of one of the main principles which regulate the structure of this Language.

I have, in the next place, considered the forms and force of the several sorts of Nouns, beginning with those which are the most simple, and proceeding to those which are the most augmented. The forms and force of the unaugmented nouns, a we must necessarily take as postulates, grounded however on the usage of the Language. For the augmented ones I have endeavoured to account, by supposing them to present two or more of the simpler forms, joined together as compounds. By this means I have also endeavoured to ascertain their precise force; and, in some instances at least, I think I have succeeded.\* In all these cases too, or in as many as it seemed necessary, I have accounted for the changes of the vowels, in a way perhaps which can neither be burdensome to the memory, perplexing to the Learner, nor liable to be soon forgotten; and by which the numerous rules and exceptions of the school of Buxtorf are avoided, as is also the multifarious and indistinct doctrine of the German Grammarians, by which these nouns are distributed into not fewer than thirteen declensions!

<sup>\*</sup> See the derivations proposed for the Niphhál, Hiphhil, Hophhal, and Hith-pāhál, species of the conjugation, Gram., pp. 114-117. 118. 122. 123: and the doctrine respecting the use of the tenses of the Verbs, p. 327.

viii PREFACE.

The Particles I have treated, after Schræderus and others, as fragments of nouns, which enables us at once to ascertain their precise forms, force, and modes of construction.

The Verbs I consider, in the next place, as consisting of nouns either simple or augmented, conjugated with one or other of the pronouns in an abridged form. In this I am not singular; for the Hindoos, Arabs, and even some of our own European Grammarians, have done so before me. I adopt this view of the case, because it is both easy and natural, and because it will at once account both for the form and force of the verb in all its varieties of person. A point, however, of very great importance here is, the investigation of the principles by which primitive words are occasionally abridged, and by which the defective forms of both verbs and nouns can be easily and naturally accounted for. This investigation will be found in the third Lecture of this Work. Its use is, to shew in what particular cases certain letters and vowels are dropped or changed, and how all the verbs termed defective, are reducible to the Paradigm of the regular triliteral verb. This affords the advantage of saving much time and labour, and of cutting off the sources of almost infinite perplexity to the Student: the result being, that the conjugation is only one, and this the most simple and regular possible.

The next improvement which, I flatter myself, I first presented to the Public, is, an analytical investigation of the principles which regulate the use of the Tenses of the verb. It had been customary to suppose, that the two tenses were a past and a future; and, because it had often been urged, forsooth, that this was extremely philosophical, (there being no point of time which could properly be termed present,) it was thought, that this was one of the greatest beauties of the Hebrew Language! Unfortunately, however, it appears that this future is occasionally used as a present tense, and also as a past. No reason has been offered, as far as I know, for this its usage as a present tense; but, for its use as a past, the conjunction I and, so, &c. has, most unaccountably, been made

to account, and thence has taken the name of the conversive Vaw! A considerable number of instances however occurs, in which this tense is so used without any such conversive Vaw: and What has been done in these cases? Why, the instances have been said to present an enallage temporis; and here the matter very wisely ended!

The next shift adopted by the Learned was, to term both these tenses Aorists; and by this their uncertainty was regularly determined beyond all doubt. For now the Preterite could be construed as a past, present, or future, tense, as could also this Future, by rule the most indeterminate possible: so that the Translator or Commentator had nothing whatever to do, but to adopt whatever sense he pleased: his Grammar always supplying him with a law comprehensive enough to justify all his wanderings. Upon a little consideration, however, and with the assistance of a few native Commentators on the Arabic Grammar, I felt convinced that the whole of this was a mere tissue of trifling and error. I found (what indeed our Writers on the Arabic Grammar ought long ago to have told us) that these two tenses exhibited really a past, and a present, tense: and that they were universally used as such in one sense or other: it being customary with the Orientals of this family to contemplate past, present, or future, events, either as we do, or, as past, present, &c. with respect to some other time or circumstance introduced into the context; and also, to speak of events, which they believed should surely come to pass, as if they had already taken place. These usages too are not only natural, but they are also found to exist, more or less, in perhaps every language. With the Hebrews and Arabians however, owing probably to their natural warmth of temperament and expression, they are more frequent, and apparently abrupt, than they are found elsewhere. In this view of the case, then, all is natural, regular, and constant. The tenses are as certain and orderly in their use, as any framers of Language could make them: and the only instances in which failure is most likely to happen in their application, are those in which the Interpreter himself X PREFACE.

is either uninstructed or unpractised in the usages of these particular dialects.

To this doctrine, however, M. de Sacy has loudly, though not argumentatively, objected: not so much, I believe, because he thought it untrue, as because it appeared to be inconsistent with the doctrines laid down in his own Arabic Grammar; which treats one of them, at least as an Aorist, and gives no just account of the use of the other. But, Is it likely that M. de Sacy can here be right, and the whole nation of the Arabs, the Persians, the Syrians, and others, wrong? Is it probable that they are ignorant of the principles upon which they speak and write? Or, that they speak and write upon no principles whatever, but go on at random ( aoptiotos,) leaving the hearer or reader to make them out as well as he can? This is to my mind quite incredible: and, if I am at liberty to believe their best Writers on the subject, it is as untrue as it is incredible. In this view of the case too, there is a perfect end to the power of the conversive Vaw; which I should consider a great advantage gained, knowing as I do, that it is in very many cases worse than useless.\* To this again my learned opponent loudly objects, although he very well knows that no Arab Writer whatever, circumstanced nevertheless just as every Hebrew one is in this respect, has ever once thought of having recourse to such a conversive power in his conjunctive (,) Waw! The Arabs, as every one knows, can do very well without this unaccountable conversive letter, and so can the Syrians and the Ethiopians, employing nevertheless, at the same time, dialects most intimately connected with that of the Hebrew, and using the tenses of the verbs, just as I have exhibited them in this work. They, moreover, feel no want either of this

<sup>•</sup> Take one only out of the many which may be cited, viz. Is. ix. 5: בַּוֹּחָהָי, which, according to the doctrine about the conversive ז, ought to be translated, "And the government was, or hath been, upon his shoulder," than which nothing can be more incongruous or false.

xi

doctrine of Aorists, or of the still broader precepts which took in the enallage temporum. The principles they have adopted are clearly stated and well defined, so that their conversation is easy to be understood, and their written composition clear and precise. The same is in all respects the case with the Hebrew; and, if it has here been accused of irregularity or indefiniteness, it was not because the Language itself was irregular or indefinite, but because its Grammarians had made it so.

To the doctrine of the tenses, (which I now consider established,) I have in this Edition added that which determines the use of the Apocopated form and of the Paragogic letters, as found in the verbs. M. de Sacy has endeavoured to shew in his Arabic Grammar, that certain terminations of the Arabic verb are equivalent to the Indicative, Subjunctive, and Condi-\$ tional modes, of the European Grammars; and it is certain that cases occur in which these forms may be so translated. Upon a close and long continued examination of this question, however, I have been convinced, that no two things can be more distant/ from each other, than are the principles by which these modes of expression are regulated in the Oriental and European Grammars respectively. The detail will be too long to be inserted here, I reserve it therefore for publication in the Tract already alluded to. I will only remark now, that if any reliance can be placed on this doctrine, as laid down in this work for the first time, (and certain it is that it is most amply acknowledged by the Grammarians of Arabia,) a most important improvement has been made in this part of Hebrew Grammar; and the consequence is, the Language itself, so far from being poor or defective, as it has been fashionable to affirm, is just as full and precise in these respects as its neighbour the Greek can be shewn to be. In the first Edition of this work, I expressed my doubts indeed, whether the paragogic 7 had in itself any thing like an optative force, such as had been ascribed to it by Dr. Gesenius and others. Upon more mature consideration, however, I have not only been convinced that this is the case generally, but that it also possesses

xii PREFACE.

the power of expressing a correlative one in the subsequent members of antithetic sentences, as now stated in the Syntax. My reason for doubting then was, an apparent want of extent and uniformity in its use, which the results I have since arrived at have wholly satisfied.

Very considerable improvements have likewise been made in every page of the Grammar, which need not now be specified. I may perhaps say, that I believe the Student will find it, if not every thing he may wish, yet certainly much nearer being so than it was when it first appeared. The attention of some more years to this subject, with the remarks and admonitions of others, will perhaps enable me to render this work still more worthy of the patronage of the Public: and, should a kind Providence continue to afford me health and opportunities favourable for bringing about such a result, I trust I shall not be remiss in exerting my best efforts for its accomplishment.

I now proceed to offer a few remarks on Oriental Grammar in general, and particularly with reference to its effects on the interpretation of the Bible. It has been the misfortune of the Hebrew Seriptures, (and indeed of the New Testament in a great degree,) that they have generally been treated in Europe as if they had been mere European compositions. Hebrew Grammars have been constructed, not so much to shew the learner what was real Hebrew idiom, as to reduce the Hebrew Language itself to a comparison with one or other of the Languages of Europe. This might perhaps have been tolerable in the days of Buxtorf, when good Oriental Grammars and Commentaries on Grammar were extremely difficult of access. An opinion, too, has unfortunately prevailed, that many exceedingly valuable traditional interpretations were to be found in the hands of the Jews. And hence, the Buxtorfs and others were assiduous in giving currency to the notion. that no man could study the Holy Seriptures, with any prospect of sueecss, who had not drunk deeply into the streams which had thus providentially come down to us. Hence

PREFACE. XIII

originated the endless appeals to the Grammars, Lexicons, Commentaries, and Cabbala, of the Rabbins. The Talmud too was now ranked as a work, from which there was scarcely any appeal. And the consequence was, the obscurity of the Old Testament gradually became so great, and particularly when considered in connection with the New, that but few Divines among us would dare to attempt an explanation, (not to mention a reconciliation with the New,) of any considerable part of it. Out of this untractable obscurity seems to have arisen the art of applying a double, triple, &c., interpretation to Prophecy, as well as to much of the History of the Old Testament. The Writers of the New Testament, with some of the elder Fathers of the Church, took one consistent and steady view of these Scriptures:\* the Rabbins, with their followers, took another: and, rather than break with either of these, the timid and inexperienced preferred the alternative of taking both! Hence too arose what has been termed "the Grammatical interpretation," as opposed to the Theological one; when, alas! the first rudiments of the Grammar were scarcely known. And the consequence was, the Commentaries of the learned presented the inquirer with scarcely any thing more than mere statements of Jewish opinions; or, with interpretations of the Sacred Text, which stood on no better grounds than those of ingenious conjecture.

But this was not all. The Rabbins with Maimonides at their head, (a writer who obtained the flattering title of being the first Jew who ceased to play the fool,) drank pretty deeply into the metaphysics of Arabia, which, as might have been expected, afforded ample amends for the defects of Hebrew philology, which were then acknowledged and felt. I will not now enter into a consideration of the results actually arrived at: I will only affirm, that the work of desolation,

<sup>\*</sup> See my Sermons and Dissertations on the interpretation of the Holy Scriptures, London, 1830, particularly the Preface and the former part of the Second Dissertation.

as it regarded Scriptural knowledge, seems to have arrived almost at its summit. Learning of the most imposing and most trifling character now filled the writings of the Commentators: and bodies of divinity, grounded upon these, were published to the world in numbers sufficiently great, both to surprise and confound the few, who were found bold enough to venture upon them. It was of little consequence, in this case, whether the Divine was of the orthodox or fanatical party, (parties which were then best known from the cast of their political opinions,) each was equally removed from the simplicity of the Scriptures: while each (I am not willing to allow) was in actual possession of the saving truths of religion. Their misfortune was, to have been led aside into an obscure, a steep, and a thorny, path. They had unwarily injured their own visual powers by subtleties and refinements, and the consequence was, they groped as in darkness at noon-day.

Of late years these studies, (and it is much to be regretted these very methods of carrying them on,) have been revived, and greatly extended in Germany. And the result has been, (as it might have been expected it would,) that under Judaïsm and heathenism combined, a monstrous system, termed by its abettors "Rationalism," has actually been formed and most lavishly recommended. This, however, exhibits nothing more than a revival of the exploded scheme of the once celebrated Spinoza, adorned indeed with the splendid trappings of many an hour's, ay many a year's, laborious but crude and undigested lucubrations. The Grammars, Lexicons, and Commentaries of the Divines of this school, imitate as closely as possible those of the Jewish Rabbins. They incessantly appeal likewise to the Oriental dialects:\* but in no case do they evince an acquaintance with real Oriental Grammar, or beyond what is given in the Grammaire Arabe of the Baron de Sacy; which, as I shall shortly shew, exhibits little more than an endeavour to reduce the Grammar of the Arabs to the standard of that of

<sup>\*</sup> See my Sermons and Dissertations, Dissertation I. throughout.

PREFACE. XV

the Greeks and Latins. The system of conjecture, therefore, so extensively cultivated by the Rabbins, (not to insist on the false and foolish system of Theology also adopted by these Divines,) has at length so far succeeded in reducing the standard of the Holy Scriptures, that it is difficult to say, whether we should most admire or condemn the almost unexampled patience and industry, which has thus so nearly heathenized and unchurched this once venerable and pious school.

Their great defects appear to me to be these: I. The want of a thorough acquaintance with real Oriental Philology; which, I must be allowed to say, is not to be found in the Grammars of Oriental Languages composed by Europeans generally, nor yet in the Lexicons of Golius, Castell, or Giggeius, which they are in the daily habit of citing. Information of this sort is only to be found in the Grammars, Commentaries on Grammar, and Scholiasts, of the East: and these, if we except one or two Individuals, they have not yet read. In the next place, they are deficient in real Scriptural knowledge; I mean, in a knowledge of the Scriptures distinct and separate from the systems and commentaries of the Jewish Rabbins.\* Generally

<sup>\*</sup> See my Sermons and Dissertations, Cambridge, 1830. Diss. I. part ii. p. 124. Since writing the above, I have been favoured with a sight of the last number of the "Edinburgh Review" (No. 107), in which I am directly charged both with ignorance and misrepresentation in what I have said on the Rationalism of Germany, and on Dr. Gesenius in particular. My ignorance, it should seem, is apparent from the badness of my English, from my not knowing where to find a certain work of Bertholdt's, and from a false translation of a part of Dr. Gesenius's Commentary on Isaiah; and my disposition to misrepresent (coupled with this ignorance) from the manner in which I have stated and combated the opinions of Dr. Gesenius. On my bad English I will say nothing; because no faulty passage is pointed out by my censor. On my ignorance of the works of Bertholdt, which the Reviewer says I have avowed, I need only say, no such avowal is made any where in my work, nor any mention whatever of an interpretation of the fifty-second and fifty-third chapters of Isaiah by Bertholdt. I have only said, (p. 152.) that "Mr. Bertholdt refers us here to a work by J. D. Kruiger, entitled "Commentatio,

xvi PREFACE.

speaking, no Commentary on the Old Testament is to be found at all comparable to that given by inspiration in the New. In

&c." but, as I have not access to this work, I must take my materials from others, &c. The learned Reviewer might, therefore, have spared his charitable help, as he terms it, as well as his uncharitable misrepresentation. Come we now to the false translation. The words of Gesenius are these, "Die Rede des Propheten wechselt hier mit der Rede Jehova's so ab, dass. lii. 13-15. Jehova zu reden fortfahrt, wie in dem Vorgehenden: liii. 1 der Prophet redet, und zuar communicativ im Namen seines standes." My false translation is this: "The speaking of the Prophet is here so changed for that of Jehovah, that chap. lii. 13-15. (not chapter lii. 15, as the Reviewer has been pleased to give it,) Jehovah continues to speak as in the preceding context: in liii. 1. the prophet communicates in the name proper for his own station." The amended translation of the Reviewer is, "Jehovah and the Prophet speak here alternately. Thus, at the end of the fifty-second chapter, it is Jeliovah who continues to speak, as in the foregoing verses; but, in the beginning of the fifty-third chapter, it is the prophet who speaks,-communicatively indeed, (or in the manner of one who is holding communication with others, ) and in the name of his order." Now, I should like to know what the real difference between these two translations is, as far as the matter discussed by me is concerned. I can see none: and I know my adversary can find none. But, if it be asked, Which of these versions is the most literal? I think I know what the answer must be. The first three charges, therefore, of my Reviewer end in mere assumptions, just as creditable to himself as they are likely to be serviceable to the infidel cause, of which he is anxious to be thought a champion. Now for the case of misrepresentation and ignorance combined. "The conclusion," it is said, "he (Dr. Gesenius) comes to at last is, that, in those passages where the Prophet speaks of the servant of the Lord, he had in view not any one particular person, past, present, or future, but the body, or aggregate, of the prophets of the Lord collectively considered: in other words, the Prophetic order, which he thus personifies," &c .- "In the face of all this Professor Lee .... comes forward and attributes to him an interpretation of the passage totally different from that which he has thus plainly and distinctly enounced. The servant of the Lord here mentioned, says Mr. Lee, is, according to Gesenius's comment, the Prophet Isaiah."-" We should be inclined," it is added, "to consider this misrepresentation as merely a blunder of ignorance, had not Mr. Lee turned it to such triumphant account in taunting and exulting over his brother Doctor, &c."-" This....would be merely ridiculous, were there not strong reasons for suspecting that there is full as much of unfairness as of ignorance at the bottom of it." I think I may say, that my antagonist is not excessively ceremonious; he seems quite disposed too to give up the charge of ignorance, if he can thereby bring home that of malignity. But why all

PREFACE. Xvii

this we must look for the Theology of the ancient Hebrews, not in the writings of modern or even ancient unbelieving

this waste of strength and of good breeding? Surely there must be some object here besides that of a desire for the truth. But let this pass, and let us adopt the Reviewer's own statement of the case. Will the matter now be mended? "The servant of the Lord, he (Dr. G.) had in view," (is) "not any one, &c.,.... but the body or aggregate of the prophets of the Lord collectively considered; in other words, the Prophetic order, which he thus personifies." Well: let us now apply either or both of these things, which however are very far from being identical. The passage had in view is, Is. lii. 13.-liii. 9; and my general question was, How could this all possibly apply to Isaiah the Prophet? Let it now be asked, How could it, either to the body or aggregate of the Prophets, or to the Prophetic order personified? Suppose we allow that these persons, or this office, may deal prudently, be extolled, &c., the question now will be, At what period was it, that one or both of them suffered martyrdom for the sins of the Jewish nation? And then, as a reward for these sufferings, (liii. 11, 12.) enjoyed the blessings of a long life, with the happiness of contemplating a numerous offspring? (i. e. of Prophets and prophetic offices personified!) Again, How we are to make the notion of the whole body of the Prophets, alias the prophetic office, growing up before him, &c. to square with, "when we shall see HIM .... we hid as it were our faces from HIM .... HE was despised, and WE esteemed HIM not: surely HE hath borne our GRIEFS," &c.? Who, I ask, is the WE and the HIM, in these passages? If Isaiah is now speaking in the "name of his order," surely he must form a part of both these bodies; and, as I formerly remarked, must be "acting moonshine and wall too !" My Censor is very well aware, that even on his own view of the case, the matter proposed is quite indefensible; but he has forgotten to say, that in endeavouring to set this right, he has only placed it in an infinitely more ludicrous light than I had done. And, let it be remembered, this is all with which I am concerned: my only object being to shew, that the Theological views of this school are untrue and unsound. With Dr. Gesenius's literary or gentlemanly reputation, I have nothing to do, except to express my admiration of both, which I have repeatedly done in the work in question; but which I am sorry to be compelled to say, I cannot do for my Reviewer. I thank him, however, for having called my attention to the particular just noticed, as it has afforded me an opportunity to correct what I had said on the person of Isaiah being meant by Dr. Gesenius, and so to direct my arguments as eventually to shew, that the state of the case is really worse than I had represented it. My Censor now dismisses me, having, as he flatters himself, determined that I am quite incapable of considering questions of this nature. The question at issue, however, is not whether the persons concerned are ignorant or learned; hut whether the Orthodox or the Rationalist Divine be right xviii PREFACE.

Jews, nor yet in the Apocryphal books of Scripture. These may profitably be consulted; but then, the greatest care must be taken to give them no more than their real due;—to make a prudent use of their Philology and History;—but to treat their Theology as a system adopted by blind and misguided men.

I myself have been much surprised at the similarity of character discoverable between the Philology and Theology of the ancient Hebrews. Both appear to me to be of the most easy, simple, and definite, character. In neither have we any thing forced, unnatural, or metaphysical. They generally exhibit things as they are, or as they appear to be, naked, unincumbered, and detached from every species of refinement. The principal difficulty which an European has to contend with, accustomed as he has been to art and to abstract considerations, is, to divest himself of these, and to return to the simplicity of primitive times. The state of the mind too, unless I am very greatly mistaken, has quite as much to do as the powers or the head have in making the able Theologian, or the good Oriental Grammarian. There must always be a willingness to learn; -to divest self of long-rooted prejudices, and in simplicity and godly sincerity, (qualities by far too lowly rated in general,) to drink deeply into the sincere milk of the word of truth. Without these, the interpreter of Scripture may write very learned commentaries, but they will be very useless, if not dangerous, books. With this, however, and with the aids now accessible to every one, there is great probability that the light which the Scriptures have long afforded us, will shine more and more, perhaps to the perfect day: and will not only put to flight the instances of darkness still visible among ourselves, but will succeed in dispensing their healing beams to the most distant parts of the earth.

in his views. Personalities are certainly easier advanced than arguments; and where arguments are not to be had, which I have reason to suspect is the case here, the enlightened and liberal system attempted to be propped up must necessarily rest on personalities!

I have been anxious to say thus much on the subject of Oriental Grammar considered in connection with the question of scriptural interpretation; because I am of opinion that Theologians, of this and other European countries in general, evince a much greater lack of knowledge of this sort, than they do of natural ability, industry, or perseverance: while there are others, not indeed deficient in personal piety, but so little accustomed to extensive literary inquiry, and to modes of thinking and expression unlike their own, that they make no hesitation in coming at once to conclusions the most plausible, splendid, and flattering; but which have not the least possible foundation in truth; and which, instead of recommending religion, as they might have been intended to do, tend eventually to injure it. Religion, to deserve that name, must necessarily be founded in TRUTH; and this the most extended, the most scientific, and the most rigid inquiry, never can, and never will, injure.

I may now offer a few remarks on the use of this Grammar. It is one of the greatest misfortunes to this country in general, and to the interest of true religion in particular, that so little encouragement is given in our Universities to the study of sacred literature.\* The necessary consequence is, a few may be found hardy enough to encounter the many years' labour, which is absolutely requisite to form the truly learned and enlightened Theologian: but these can never be numerous; and times may come in which there may not be even one. In such a state of things, short, and if I may so speak, royal roads to this kind of eminence will daily be advanced and recommended by empirics, whom ignorance will not have it in its power to detect, and whom idleness and vanity will applaud as the prodigies of their times.† I need now say no more on this subject, than that these short and royal roads had very

<sup>\*</sup> The circumstance noticed in my Dedication will, I trust, have a different tendency.

<sup>†</sup> I need not say how remarkably this was exemplified a few years ago, in an attempt which was pretty extensively supported, to obtrude upon the British public a new translation of the Hebrew Scriptures.

XX PREFACE.

nearly succeeded in putting to flight every trace of real Hebrew learning in this country. The wire-drawn theories of Masclef, John Hutchinson, Parkhurst, and a host of others, certainly made every thing appear very easy to the learner, while, in fact, they effectually enveloped it in impenetrable darkness.

It has more than once been suggested to me, that my Grammar had better be made more popular, and if possible, shorter. My answer once for all is: To those who have made up their minds to take none but a short and popular path, this Grammar will be found to answer full as well as any other can; while it will have the advantage of enabling them to make a far greater progress, than such works themselves ever pretend to, should they eventually find courage enough to study it thoroughly. All that mere learners can first want must be, to see what terminations the nouns will take under their various inflexions, and how the verbs are generally conjugated. With these the historical books of the Hebrew Bible can generally be made out, with the help of an analysis or translation, or both. And to the beginner who has a teacher at hand, perhaps, this will generally be the best method that can be recommended. I very well know how much a progress even of this sort will encourage the learner, who is otherwise unencouraged and unassisted. I know too how slow the very best of us are to imbibe, and to lay up in the mind, notions, with which we were not previously acquainted. Besides, it is natural and prudent to restrict our first efforts to limits which they will conveniently comprehend, and effectually fill. Time and opportunity will enable us to extend them; and, if we proceed not more rapidly than sure, we shall at least have the satisfaction to know, that what we have done, we have hitherto done well; and, in these studies, this is every thing. The driveller in Theology is soon detected; and, should he be so fortunate as to live and dic without doing extensive mischief, he will not without having convinced the world that he was a mere pretender.

These first steps then being taken, the next will necessarily be, to examine with care the nature and character of the etymology of the Hebrew language, the laws by which the syntax is regulated, the customs, modes of thinking, antiquities, religious belief, and expectations, of the ancient Hebrew nation, which will include the use of Commentaries, Concordances, ancient and modern Translations, the Oriental Languages, Travellers, and in short every other help usually recommended to the student of Scripture. I mention these things, because some are apt to think that a knowledge of the Grammar, with the use of the Dictionary only, is quite enough to enable any one to be an able interpreter of Holy Writ; while the truth is, language, opinions, and customs, are so intimately connected together, that they never can entirely be separated. Where however this is attempted, (and it is often attempted,) conjecture, uncertainty, and doubt, must necessarily supply their place. The study of the Oriental Languages indeed, which is indispensable to the critic, opens a very extensive field of inquiry. Our daily intercourse however with the East, in addition to the numerous valuable elementary and other Oriental works, which are now, by the munificence of the British public, daily making their appearance, has rendered this study comparatively easy and sure. And the probability is, that at no distant period it will be in the power of the British Divine, to call in to his aid every assistance which the East can afford him.\* And my own opinion is, that if all these helps be duly appreciated and applied, we may indeed never have it in our power to boast of more erudite, laborious, acute, or pious, Divines than we now can, but we may of those who are more simple, more easy, more engaging, and more practical.

I need now only add, what it is important the student should know, that the Hebrew Bible from which the extracts in this work are taken, is that which was printed under the superintendence of Mr. Judah d'Allemand for the Publisher of this work.

<sup>\*</sup> I allude to the Oriental translation Committee which was established a few years ago, principally by the zeal and activity of the Earl of Munster, aided by the assistance of our present gracious and beloved Sovereign, His Royal Highness the Duke of Sussex, and other members of the Royal Family. See the Reports of this Institution.

# CONTENTS.

#### LECTURE I.

#### on the letters, &c.

On the Alphabet .....

On the Powers of the Consonants	59
On their Numerical Values	9-10
Division into Gutturals, Palatals, &c	10ib.
Quiescence of the אהני letters	ib.—11
Division into Radical and Servile	ib.
On the Vowels	12-13
On Sheva and its Substitutes	13
On the Formation of Syllables	ib.—15
On the Combination of the Vowels with the Consonants	15-16
On the Initial and Final Characters of Sheva and its Substitutes	17—18
On Pathakh when termed Furtive	19
Use of Sheva and its Substitutes	19-20
On the Points, Dagesh and Mappik	20-21
On the Mark Raphe	21
On the Vowel Kholem, with in and in	21
On Khīrik with or without \	22
Distinctions between Kamets and Kāmēts Khātúph	22-23
LECTURE II.	
LECTURE II.	
LECTURE II.  ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.	
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.	2426
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	24-26
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	26-28
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	26-28
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	26-28
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	26—28 28—32
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	26—28 28—32
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	26—28 28—32
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	26—28 28—32 7. 33—37 37—38
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	26—28 28—32 7. 33—37 37—38 39—41
ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS.  Tables of the Hebrew Accents	26—28 28—32 7. 33—37 37—38

#### LECTURE IV.

ON THE	GENERAL	USE	AND	SITUATION	OF	THE	ACCENTS.
--------	---------	-----	-----	-----------	----	-----	----------

ON THE GENERAL USE AND SITUATION OF THE ACCEN	TS.
Their offices, Tonic and Euphonic	48
On the Tonic Accent	48-50
On the anomalies found in its situation	5056
On the use and situation of the Euphonic Accent	5657
Rules for its insertion	57-59
On the use and position of Makkaph	59-60
Rules for its insertion	60-61
LECTURE V.	
ON THE TERMINATIONS OF GENDER, &c.	
On the Masculine Gender	62-64
On the formation of the Feminine from the Masculine	64-66
On the inflection of Nouns	66
On the formation of the Dual Number	66-67
On the formation of the Plural Number Masculine	67—70
On the formation of the Plural Number Feminine	70-72
On the changes of termination, &c. necessary for the state of con-	
struction	72-74
LECTURE VI.	
ON THE PARTS OF SPEECH, &c.	
On the primitive character of the Nouns	<b>71 22</b>
On the Personal Pronouns, Separable and Inseparable	. 74—76
On the Inseparable Pronouns when attached to Nouns	
Anomalies in Do.	80—83 83—84
On the use and signification of Words generally	84-89
On the measures applied to the primitive forms	89—91
The Primitive Segolate forms, and their Euphonic character	9193
On the first Species of Do. of the forms מַקָּרָ and מַקָּרָ and מַקָּרָ	94-96
On the Second Species of Do. of the forms 779 and 779	96
On the third, of the forms Tip and Tip	96—97
On the fourth, of the forms אָפָקוֹם and קּקוֹם	
On the fifth, of the forms and	97—98
On the abstract force of these Nove	98—100
On the abstract force of these Nouns On the second general class of Primitive Nouns not Segolate, and	100—101
which are concrete in sense	101
Their forms	101

Tables, exhibiting their several inflections, as to gender, number,	
conjunction with the pronouns, and state of construction	101-105
LECTURE VII.	
ON THE AUGMENTED HEBREW NOUNS.	
Classification of these	105-107
On the reduplicated class of words	107-108
The force of these when conjugated as Verbs	110 110
Tables exhibiting their inflections, &c	119-113
On the augmented Nouns termed הַאֶּמֶכְהָּ He-emanti	113-115
Their force when conjugated as Verbs active and passive, in the	
forms Hiphhil and Hophhil	115117
Do. when in the form Hithpāhèl	
Etymology of Nouns commencing with \( \sigma\) and \( \sigma\)	
On the force of those conjugated in the Niphhál species	
Tables of these forms generally	125-131
On those forms which have the Hē-emanti letters prefixed	
LECTURE VIII.	
ON THE AUGMENTED, REDUPLICATED, AND COMPOUND WO	ORDS.
On those forms which have the He-emanti letters postfixed	132-137
On the Reduplicated, and otherwise Compounded words	
On the forms and composition of Nouns, adopted as Proper Names	
LECTURE IX.	
ON THE ETYMOLOGY OF THE PARTICLES.	
On the forms and characters of the Separable Particles	148153
Do. of the Inseparable Particles	
On those termed Paragogic	157-164
On the Demonstrative Pronouns	
On the Relative Pronoun אָשֶׁרְּ	
On the Interrogative and Indefinite Pronouns, &c	
On the Definite Article 7	169-174
On the Numerals	174-178
I DOMININ V	
LECTURE X.	
ON THE HEBREW VERBS.	

Etymology and forms of the conjugation of the two Tenses of the		
first species Kal	187—	199
On the Infinitive and Imperative forms	199—	203
On the Participles (as they have been termed)	203	
		3
LECTURE XI.		
Etymology and forms of the conjugation in the second species, or	•	
Niphḥál	204-	208
	208	
Do. in the fourth species, or $P\bar{u}h\acute{a}l$	209—	
Do. in the fifth and sixth species, or Hiphhil and Hophhal	212-	217
	217—	219
On the remaining augmented, but less usual, species of the con-		
jugation of Hebrew Verbs	219—	221
LECTURE XII.		
ON THE DEFECTIVE VERBS.		
On the causes of the Defects found to exist in Hebrew Verbs gene-		
rally	222	
The conjugations of those commencing with 3, reconciled with		
those of the regular triliteral Verbs		
Do. of those commencing with N, and ', respectively	225-	-231
Do. of those having 1, or 1, for the middle radical letter respect-	201	200
ively		
Do. of those in which the third radical letter is subject to elision		
Do. of those Verbs which have 8 for their third radical letter	238-	-239
LECTURE XIII.		
On those Verbs which have their second and third radical letters the	000	0.40
same		
On those Verbs which are doubly defective		
On the mode of affixing these to the Defective Verbs	234-	-233
sort of Verb, whether Defective or not, with their Infinitive and		
Participial forms	256	260
t attemptat tottus	250-	-209
TROWING VIII		

#### LECTURE XIV.

#### ON THE SYNTAX.

On the construction of the several sorts of Propositions generally .. 270-272

On the Predicate of Propositions in Hebrew	272-274
On the concordance of the Subject with the Predicate	
On the concordance of the Nominative with its Verb	
On the Nominative Absolute	
	201 201
LECTURE XV.	
ON THE GENERAL DEPENDENCE OF WORDS ON ONE ANOT	HER.
This dependence is regulated by two principles, generally, i. e. that	
of Apposition, and that of the Definite State of Construction	285
On the Apposition of words, immediate and mediate	285-291
On that termed Hendiadys	
On that affecting the Nouns of Time and Place	
On Attributives when in Apposition	
On the Use of the Definite Article	
On the Adverbs, and other qualifying terms	
On the emphatic repetition of words and phrases	
On Nouns put in the Definite State of Construction, both imme-	001 001
diately and mediately	303-307
On that sort of Definite Construction which has been termed	000 00.
Distinctive	308-309
On the construction of the Numerals	
On their concordance with the thing numbered	
Other modes of construction	
The mode of commencial and the second of the	010-012
,	
LECTURE XVI:	
ON THE LOGICAL COMPLEMENTS OF WORDS GENERALLY, AND	OF VERBS.
IN PARTICULAR.	
The Principles by which these are regulated stated	312-314
Examples illustrative of these	
On apparently Elliptical Constructions	
On Impersonal Expressions	326
LECTURE XVII.	
ON THE MODES AND TENSES OF THE VERBS.	
On the Principles which regulate the use of the Tenses generally, in	
direct (or indicative) constructions	397325
Ditto in Subjunctive or Conditional constructions, whether regulated	
by the separable particles, or by the forms termed Apocope and	
	227 245
Paragoge	
on the reterite used as a ruture, or imperative, emphatically	341-30

#### CONTENTS.

Miscellaneous constructions
Opinions enounced as facts generally
Hypothetical sentences how enounced
Commands, requests, &c. enounced by the Imperative forms 356—357
Remarks on the Principles regulating these
LECTURE XVIII.
ON THE NATURE AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PARTICLES.
On the Adverbs generally
On the Adverbs generally
The construction of 1.5, 17, 525, 115, 12, 117
LECTURE XIX.
ON THE NATURE AND USE OF THE CONJUNCTIONS.
ON THE NATURE AND USE OF THE CONJUNCTIONS.
On their general usage
On the Relative Pronoun 725 (also p. 283.) 368
Of Adverbs, Prepositions, &c., simple and compound, בַּלְתִּי אָם
אָם ,פֶּן ,טֶרֶב ,עַד אָשֶׁר ,עַד אָם ,עֵקב אֲשֶׁר ,עֵקב בּי, and
on the forms used in oaths ib.—372
On the Copulative Conjunction I generally: when redundant, or
defective: or denoting distribution
On the Conditional, Causal, and Conclusive, Conjunctions.
לְמַעַן אֲשֶׁר ,לְמַעַן עַל־בֵּן ,בִּן ,בִּי אִם ,בִּי ,אָם ,אוּלֵי,
חַתַח, אָשֶׁר, הַתַח אָשֶׁר, לָבֵן בָּקֶב אֲשֶׁר, נַעַן בִּי ,נַעַן בָּי, פָּר אָשֶׁר, גָּהָם אַשֶּׁר, &c. 373—374
On the Interjections
LECTURE XX.
ON THE COMPOSITION OF SENTENCES AS POINTED OUT BY THE INFLUENCE
OF THE ACCENTS.
Out distinct and of the Asset
On the distinctive powers of the Accents
A Table, &c. pointing out their rank, order, and powers 378—381
Words in Apposition, or definite construction, the nominative with
its verb, &c. how combined
Nominative Absolute, how distinguished
Qualifying words and phrases, parentheses, &c
Parallelism, how pointed out
On the Accentuation of the Metrical Books, Job, Proverbs, and the
Psalms 385—388

## ERRATA.

Page	line	read
22	28	may, for will
ib.	29	may, for must
25	-26	Mūnákh
32	13	id.
55	4	יִבְּנַעְהִן
69	14	אַתיַה
83	11	פּילַגְשׁהּ
151	31	Kimkhi. ib. Mikhlol
171	36	والهبرو
ib.	37	وبين
209	21	מְפָּקּדִוֹת ,מְפָּקּדִים מְפָּקּדָה
ib.	22	or מפַקּדָת
279	25	which

### LECTURES

ON THE

# HEBREW LANGUAGE.

#### LECTURE I.

ON THE ORTHOGRAPHY, SYLLABICATION, &c., OF THE HEBREW LANGUAGE.

1. AS it is proposed to offer, in the present course of Lectures, a comprehensive and practical view of the structure of the Hebrew Language, all discussions relating to General Grammar, Philology, and Antiquities, will be avoided as much as possible; referring as we proceed to Authors who have treated on these subjects. It will also be taken for granted, that the Student is acquainted with Grammar as generally taught; and, that he is penman sufficiently good to write down such forms of letters, &c., as shall be laid before him.

2. But, although it is desirable that the Learner should know something of the principles of Grammar as generally taught; yet, he must not hence be tempted to infer, that a system almost entirely at variance with that to which he has been accustomed, is unphilosophical or wrong: or, because he cannot at first sight comprehend all its bearings, that it is therefore uncouth, embarrassed, or ambiguous. For it may be true, that the Language of the Patriarchs and Prophets is as consistent in its structure, as the subjects on which it treats

are interesting and momentous; and, that it is as explicit and regular as other languages, which have been cultivated with much greater ardour, while they had infinitely less to offer in return. He must, therefore, allow the Language on which we are now entering, to stand or fall on its own merits; and, our delineation of it to be judged by the rules of criticism peculiar to itself. We mention this in the outset, not to depreciate the labours of others who may have taken a different view of this subject; but to warn the student, that the idioms of the Hebrew and other Dialects connected with it, are neither to be judged of nor explained by those of European languages; and hence, to caution him against that trouble and confusion, into which some have had the misfortune to fall.

# On the Alphabet.

3. The system of Orthography found in our Hebrew Bibles, and that which has ever been taught with the greatest success,\* presents a succession of consonants written in a direction proceeding from the right hand of the page towards the left. Two or more of these are found in every word; while the words themselves are separated from each other by a moderate space. To this system of consonants another is added consisting of vowels; and these are placed above, in the middle, or below, the line of consonants, as their several natures may require. To this, again, is superadded another, consisting of Accents, which are also placed either above, in the middle, or below, the consonants, in the same line or rank with the vowels. Before the student can possibly read the Hebrew text, therefore, he must be made familiar with these several parts of Hebrew orthography.

4. We shall proceed, in the first place, to delineate and explain the characters of the consonants; the number, forms, names, powers, and numerical values, of which are

as follows.

We say, the greatest success; for after all that has been said by the advocates for the unpointed system, it will be extremely difficult to point out one writer of that school who has really advanced Hebrew learning.

	& Anne Iteland							
Number and Order.	FORMS.			NAMES.	POWERS.	Numerical Values.		
an	Biblical.	Samari- tan.	Rabbinical.					
× 1	מי בל ביו	15	þ	אלף Álef	H unaspirated, as in humble, hour, &c.	I		
2	or o	9	3	Béth	V or B respectively,	II. 1/2 419		
3	or 3	T	۵	לומל Gimel	as in van or ban. G hard, as in gird,	III.		
4	or .	2	7	Dáleth הלת	gain. D, as in do, dare.	IV.		
× 5	T	3	. 5	₩П Не.	H aspirated, as in	v.		
6	1	7	3	Naw, or Waw	hard. V, by some, as in cow, by others, W, as in work.	VI.		
° 7	200.	A	1	777 Záyin	Z, as in zeal, or S in	VII.		
8	П	A	ק	הית Khéth	those. Kh strongly aspirated, as ch in the German, nicht.	VIII.		
9	0	4	U	Téth	T, as in turn.	IX.		
10		u	,	Yód Yód	Y, as in yes, yonder.	X.		
11	or and as a final let-	#	and as a	70 Caph	C, as in carry, cash.	XX.		
	ter or :	- 4	final 7					
12	י ל	2,	5	Tab Lámed	L, as in love, &c.	XXX.		
13	and as a	**	n and as a	□⊅ Mém	M, as in man, &c.	XL.		
	final		final D					
14	and as a	2	) and as a	Nún Nún	N, as in no, &c.	L.		
3	final	4	final			201		
15	7.9	A	פ	720 Sámech	S, as in Sir; never as S in those.	LX.		
10	orneal in och.	Assh	hr o in	Ayin	The true sound of this letter being un- known, it is usn-	LXX.		
	ara a legen;	ALC:	n after a	funity.	ally passed over in silence, as H in humble: we shall designate it	41-41		
17 '	or and	2	D and as a	TĐ Pá	thus, H. PH, when without	LXXX.		
1,	as a final		final 7	1722 10	Philip; P, when pointed, as in	IIAAA		
18	and as	-m		Tsadé	pint.	***		
= 15	a final V	***	3 and as a	1 sauc	TS, as in mats, &c.	XC.		
19	י ק	P	final J	קוף Képh	K, as in look, &c.	C. = 6. '		
20	7.	9	ר	ריש Résh	R, as in roast, &c.	cc.		
21	[2]	311	_	אין Shin	SH, as in shine.	ccc.		
-1	25		5	אין Sin	S, as in son, never as S in those.			
22	ח∘רח	N	ת	Taw or Tav	TH or Trespectively, as in thin or tin.	cccc.		

. I houst

In this and all future examples where the Roman vowels will be put to represent the Hebrew ones,  $\acute{a}$ , or  $\bar{a}$ , will have the sound of the Italian a, or a in far; and a, as a in man;  $\acute{e}$ , or  $\bar{e}$ , as a in wane or ai in rain; e, the same sound shortened:  $\acute{i}$ , or  $\bar{i}$ , as ee in seen;  $\acute{i}$ , as i in in:  $\acute{o}$ , or  $\bar{o}$ , as o in rose; o, the same sound shortened:  $\acute{u}$ , or  $\bar{u}$ , as o in boot; u, as o in g ood. The first vowel in each case will represent the accented or emphatical syllable, e. g.  $\acute{a}$  in f  $\acute{a}$ ther, &c.; the second with the mark (-) as  $\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{e}$ , &c. will shew, that, as such vowel generally terminates a syllable, it will be pronounced openly, but not with an accent.

- 5. The Samaritan and Rabbinical forms of the Hebrew character have been given for the following reasons:—
- 1. The Samaritan Pentateuch being nothing more than a different edition of that in use among the Jews, the Student will want no other help for reading it, than the forms of the Samaritan character: and,
- 2. As the Rabbinical commentaries are composed, for the most part, in Biblical Hebrew, he will stand in need of little more than a copy of their alphabet to enable him to read them.\*
- are found in the text of the Hebrew Bible. The following are the passages:—Psalms xxxiv. cxix. cxlv.; Proverbs xxxi., from verse 10 to the end; Lamentations i. ii. iii. iv. In Psalm xxxiv. however, the verse beginning with \(\begin{align\*}{l} Vaw \) has either been lost, or both \(\begin{align\*}{l} He \) and \(\begin{align\*}{l} Vaw \) are found in the 6th verse; and in the cxlvth, that which should commence with \(\beta \) is also wanting. In the ii. iii. and ivth chapters of the Lamentations, \(\beta \) Ayin and \(\beta \) Pé are found transposed, which may perhaps be attributed to the copyists.

<sup>\*</sup> Should he wish to make a considerable progress in Rabbinical learning, he will find some of the best books pointed out in Sect. xi. of my Sylloge Librorum Orientalium. Cantab. 1821.

- 7. As to the forms of the consonants, it is highly probable that they were originally hieroglyphical:\* but, whether the Hebrew or Samaritan form is the most ancient, a difference of opinion seems to have prevailed as early as the times of Jerome; and which, as Schultens has justly remarked, has been contested in some instances with an acrimony, which neither the nature of the case, nor the love of truth, could justify: + some maintaining, that the Samaritan was the primitive form, and that Ezra adopted the other on the return from Babylon: others, that the Biblical character, as we now have it, is the same with that in which Moses composed his Autograph. For my own part, I am inclined to believe with Bavers and some others, that which of the characters soever we take as exhibiting the most ancient form, the other is no greater a variation from it, than may be traced in our own black letter as derived from the Roman; and, by no means so great as that discernible between our own hand-writing and the printed letter, from which

## On the Powers of the Consonants.

9. Alef, as already remarked, has the power of an unaspirated H: that is, it is used for the purpose of enouncing the vowel following it without any audible aspiration. It appears probable also, that this was its power in ancient times; not only, because the same letter has to this day the same power in the Syriac, Arabic, and

<sup>\*</sup> Champollion Précis. du Syst. Hier. pp. 312, 316. Plates A to K.—P. Lacour. Essai sur lés Hier. Bordeaux. 1821. pp. 45—48, 131, 166, &c. Though I can see no reason why we are to go to Egypt for the Hebrew Letters, unless we are to suppose that marks, representing certain substances and sounds, could not be formed elsewhere.—See Geschichte der Hebräische Sprache, &c., von Wil. Gesenius, § 40—45. See my Sermons and Dissertations on Prophecy, &c. p. 117, &c. Proleg. to Bagster's Polyglott Bible, Prol. I. § ii.

<sup>†</sup> Fundam. Ling. Heb., p. 18.

<sup>‡</sup> See the controversy between Capell and Buxtorf.

<sup>§</sup> Crit. Sac. Baveri, Tract i. § 12. p. 125 (mihi).

Ethiopic, which are nothing more than dialects of the Hebrew, but because we find it occasionally put for Hé, or Y Áyin, in the Hebrew Bible itself; and, in the cognate dialects, the Syriac and Chaldee, for Yód.\* Among the Greeks and Latins it was expressed by one or other of the vowels unaspirated, and mostly by Alpha or A.† In writing Hebrew words in Roman letters, we shall form the syllable in which this letter is found, by representing that vowel only which accompanies it.

10.  $\supset$  Béth is found either with or without a point inscribed, as  $\supset$  or  $\supset$ . In the first case it is pronounced as our B; in the second, as V; and hence, it is sometimes represented by  $\supset$  Vaw, as  $\supset$  occasionally written  $\supset$ . In the cognate dialects it is often changed for  $\supset$  Pé or  $\supset$  Mém, being a letter of the same organ with them. But of this more will be said hereafter.

11. I Gimél is also written with or without the point, thus I or I. In the first case, all are agreed that it should be pronounced like G in the words gird, give, and the like. How it should be pronounced in the second, grammarians are not agreed. Some think it should take the sound of G in ginger; others, that it should be pronounced as G in the German Gemacht, &c. The usual practice, however, is, to sound it like G in gird, in every case.‡

12. 7 Daleth is also found both with and without this

<sup>\*</sup> As will be shewn in my Hebrew Dictionary.

<sup>†</sup> See the Bibliotheca Sacra by Masch, partis secund. vol. ii. pref. p. 35, &c.: also, the Dissertatio R. P. Bernhardi de Montfaucon de veteri literarum et vocalium Hebraicarum pronuntiatione, tom. ii. of his Hexapla of Origen, or in the Bibliotheca Hebrae of Wolfius, tom. ii. p. 648; or the Appendix to Jahn's Gramm. Ling. Heb. &c.

<sup>†</sup> The manner in which the ancients represented this, and other letters of the Alphabet, may be seen in Masch or Montfaucon, as cited above.

point, as  $\neg$  and  $\neg$ . In the first case it is pronounced like D in dare, do, &c. In the second, some give it the sound of TH, in thine, this; others neglect this distinction, and pronounce it like D in every case. It is probable, that it was originally pronounced with the tip of the tongue placed against the roots of the fore-teeth, just as its corresponding letter is still pronounced by the Orientals; which will give it rather a softer sound than that of our D. It is also probable, that it had two sounds, as it is the case now with the Arabic, where we have a Dál and is Dhál; the former pronounced like D dental, the latter like th in thine. Hence we may account for Davár meaning a word, and דבר Déver, meaning a pestilence: the root of the former being in Dhábara, scripsit librum, &c., the other نبر Dábara, ulceratum fuit, &c. We also have ¿¿ Dhábr from the first root signifying Scriptura, and il Dhábir, bene discens, et edoctus, sapientiam, of similar import with דבר Dāvár, a word, relation, &c.; and from the second, so Dabr mors, of similar signification with דבר Déver, pestis, &c. This method of considering the powers of several other letters of the Alphabet, will generally explain the apparently conflicting significations sometimes found to prevail in the same Hebrew root, of which the modern Lexicographers have very properly availed themselves.\*

13. It will be unnecessary to make any additional remarks on the following letters till we come to ¬ Khéth; and, on this it is only necessary to say, that like ¬ Dáleth, it probably had two sounds originally, the one more, the other less, aspirated, as noticed in the Dictionaries.

<sup>\*</sup> Eichhorn in his Edition of the Lexicon of Simonis, Schulz in his edition of Cocceius, and Dr. Gesenius in the Hebrew Dictionary. See also Storr's Observationes ad Analogiam et Syntaxin Hebraicam, p. 48.

- 14. De Téth should be pronounced with the tip of the tongue against the roof of the mouth, just as our own T is, and hence it may be termed cerebral.
- The Italians and Germans represent this letter by J, which they pronounce like our Y: and, as the first Hebrew Grammars studied in this country were imported from the Continent, we adopted the word Jehovah, Jehu, &c. which they very properly pronounced Yehovah, Yehu, &c. When occurring at the beginning of a word with the vowel i, it loses its power as a consonant, and takes the sound of the vowel only, as Is-ra-el, not Yis-ra-el. This is also found to take place in the Arabic, as Iktob, not Yektob, for \_\_\_\_\_\_.—See De Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 4, note.
- 16. The sound of  $\supset$ , without a point, probably partook more of the sound of K than of  $\sqcap$  Khéth, which will account for its being represented by the Greek  $\chi$  and  $\kappa$ . With the point ( $\supset$ ) it is universally sounded like our C in carry, as already stated.
- 17. D Sámek, and w Sín, have for many centuries been pronounced alike, i. e. like S, in sin; and, although many Hebrew words are now found written with either promiscuously, it is doubtful whether this is not owing to the copyists; possibly it may be a departure from the pronunciation of w Shin which may have been peculiar to this form (w) even in ancient times. See Judg. xii. 6.
- 18.  $\forall$  Ayin probably had, like  $\neg$  Dáleth and  $\sqcap$  Khéth, two sounds originally; one approaching to that of g mixed with h or r; another to that of  $\bowtie$  Alef, just as it is the case with the Arabs, who have both  $\not\in$  Ghain, and  $\not\in$  Ain. The examples to be found in the modern Lexicons under this letter, as well as the various orthography of

proper names found in the Septuagint, may be considered as sufficient proof of this position.\* At present, this letter is usually passed over, like the Alef, in silence. The sound of ng in king, given to it generally by the Jews, may probably have prevailed even in ancient times. I have observed in the pronunciation of the Arabs of Barbary, that they give a nasal sound to their Ain, &; and, that the Archbishop of Jerusalem, who was some time ago in this country, pronounced the Syriac on this way, although the Maronites inform us, that it should be pronounced with a sort of compression of the throat.† We shall designate it in the Roman characters by an H, with a point under it.

- 19. The sound of  $\triangleright$  Kóph is rather harder than that of  $\triangleright$  Cáph: it is made by a sudden compression of the throat, and may be compared to the noise made by water when discharging itself from a bottle: it is, perhaps, analogous with the Roman Q. The explanations given in the table will be sufficient for the remaining letters.

<sup>\*</sup> See the Lexicons of Gesenius, (and Simonis, edd. Eichhorn and Winer,) under this letter. See also Storr as above, p. 48.

<sup>†</sup> Amira's Grammatica Syriaca, Rome, 1596, p. 6.

<sup>‡</sup> Joannis Buxtorsii de Abbreviaturis Hebraicis Liber, with the Supplement of Wolfius. Biblioth. Heb., tom. ii. p. 575. For the abbreviations found in the Masora, see the Tiberias, or Commentarius Masorethicus, of Buxtors.

- 21. The numbers from ten to twenty are made by adding such unit to the numeral for ten, as will make the number required. Thus 8' will represent eleven, 2' twelve, and so on. The number 15, however, is made by זב, i. e. 9+6, not ד, i. e. 10+5, because is one of the names of God. In like manner, 21 will be 83. 22 בב, 32 לב, 42 and so on. On this principle, the number given at the end of Genesis for the verses found in that book is, בארלד =1534.
- 22. Whether this method of expressing numbers formerly prevailed in the Hebrew manuscripts, has been a subject of some dispute, and one which it is now impossible to determine. That the numbers have been expressed in words written at length for some centuries, there seems to be no doubt, but whether this was the case in very ancient times or not, it is difficult to say.\*
- 23. As the Hebrew letters are sometimes changed for one another, both in primitive words, and in the process of derivation; and, as this change mostly takes place in letters of the same organ, the following classification of them, according to the different organs of speech, has usually been given :-
- 1 Gutturals, Alef, Thé, Khéth, V Ayin.
  2 Palatals, Gimél, Yód, Kóph, Kóph.

- 4 Sibilants, 7 Záyin, D Sámek, 2 Tsādé, W Shín, W Sín.
- 5 Labials, ב Béth, ז Vaw, ב Mém, ב Pé.
  - 24. The letters 5, 2, 3, 7, are termed liquids. ‡
- 25. Four of the letters of the Alphabet occasionally lose their powers as consonants, and are then said to be quiescent or silent. These letters are, & Alef, 7 Hé, Waw, and Yod, which form a sort of memoria technica

<sup>\*</sup> See Baver's Critica Saera, Tract i. § 23, page (mihi) 188.

<sup>†</sup> As בריא for בא and בף the back; מריא and בריא fat; and many others to be found in the dietionaries.

t Whether any of the letters בגדכפת be with or without the points, as given in the table of the Alphabet, or whether others assume their own final forms or not, makes no difference as to their classification, they will still belong to one or other of the above classes.

in the word אדתר Ehevi, Those letters too which occasionally have a point inscribed, have, for the same reason, been formed into the technical word בגרכבת Begadkepháth. The final letters which are sometimes lengthened are exhibited in the words אהל חמר Ohel Tāmár. Tamar's tent: and the finals which vary in form, as mentioned in the table, are comprehended in the words כמנבץ Cammenappéts, " Like a disperser."

26. The letters have been further divided into two classes; one containing such letters as are occasionally servile, that is, are employed in the process of grammatical accidence and derivation; the other, those only which are never so employed; the first have been termed servile, the second radical, letters. The servile letters are, however, occasionally radical, though the radical ones are never servile.

27. The servile letters are all comprehended in the following memoria technica, viz. משה איתן וכלב, Mōshé Ethán Věcalév, i. e. Moses, Ethan, and Caleb. The remaining letters are termed radical.\*

28. The best method the learner can adopt for making himself acquainted with the letters is, to write them over carefully and frequently, till he is quite familiar with all their forms. In doing this, he should strongly mark the difference between those letters, which are in some respects similar, such as \( \) Béth, and \( \) Caph, a Gimél, and Nún, and so on; in order to avoid the

<sup>\*</sup> In almost all the printed copies of the Hebrew Bible, we find some of the letters occasionally larger or smaller than others; others inverted, or suspended a little above the general line of printing; in one instance, we have a final letter in the middle of a word; in others, a medial letter is used as a final: out of all which the Jews, and indeed some Christian writers, have endeavoured to elicit certain mysteries. But as they are most likely all owing to some accident in the transcription of the MSS., we may be excused in dismissing them without further notice.

confusion which beginners often experience, and to make that pleasant which is to many extremely irksome. If he use a pen, the point should be cut a little oblique, in order to make the horizontal strokes strong and regular; the others, which should be perpendicular, should be made fine and equal. An oriental reed, however, makes by far the best pen for writing these letters, which should be cut nearly like a pen, with a slit, and the point oblique, as already mentioned.\*

#### On the Vowels.

29. It has already been remarked, (Art. 3.) that the Hebrew vowels present a system of marks or characters arranged sometimes above, at others in the middle, and at others beneath, the consonants: we now proceed to delineate and explain this.

## Table of the Hebrew Vowels.

	Figure.				Powe	r.			Exemplificati	ons.
19	1		קמץ	Kāméts m pione sy flo Tsēré Khīrík Gādól Khōlém	$7\bar{a}$	as	Ţ	ba,	$ \supseteq g\bar{a}, $	🧃 dā, &c.
			גבי	Tsēré Sunt	$\bar{e}$ -		Ë	$b\bar{e},$	$ \mathbf{g}\bar{e}, $	$\vec{c}$ $d\tilde{e}$ , &c.
Perfect Vowels.	۹-	ן בְּדוֹל	תיריק	Khīrík Gādól	ī	-	בֿי	$b\bar{\imath}$ ,	na gī,	ানু dī, &c.
	1+		חולם	Khölém	· ō ·	-	בו	bō,	ja gō,	jī dō, &c.
	17	i	שובק	Shūrėk			EF	$b\bar{u}$ ,	12 gū,	77 dū, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> These reeds may be purchased at Messrs. Parbury and Allen's, Booksellers in Leadenhall-street, London; and at Stevenson's, Cambridge. The exact method of cutting and holding them may be seen in the Developpemens des Principes de la Langue Arabe Moderne, par Auguste F. I. Herbin.

<sup>†</sup> In these cases, the consonant  $\gamma$  is considered merely as the fulcrum of the accompanying vowels, and in the first it is often omitted, the point then resting on the side of the preceding or following consonant. The `too is pretty much in the same predicament, and is also occasionally left out. In this case analogy must determine, whether it stand for a perfect or imperfect vowel.

```
Figure.
                                                                      Exemplifications
                     Name.
                                                                                      77 dad.
               The Pathakh
                                                       73 bad,
                                                                        73 gad,
                                                                                      77 ded.
                                                       73 bed,
                                                                        7. ged,
     קיריק קטון Khīrik Kātón
                                                                                      77 did.
                                                       73 bid,
                                                                        gid,
                                                                                       77 dod.
       קמץ הטוף Kāméts Khātuph o
                                                                        73 god,
                                                       73 bod,
                                                                                       77 dud.
                                                                        73 gud,
                                                       73 bud,
                       Sheva, and its Substitutes.*
       אַנְאָן Shevá ĕ — יְבְיּרוּ běrī, יְבְוּן gěrī, יְבְוּן děri. רְבִּרוּ Khātéf Páthakh ă — יְבָּרְ bǎrī, יְבָּרְ garī, יְבָן dǎrī. בְּרָא הַבְּרָ Khātéf Sēgól ĕ — בָּרָא běhē, אָבֶן gěhē, אָבֶן děhē.
         קמֵךְ אָמֵךְ Khātéf Kāmèts ŏ — בְּרָא bŏhā, אָחָבֶן gŏhā, אָחָדָ dŏhā.
```

- 30. It will be seen from the Exemplifications, which of the vowels-marks are placed above, which in the middle, and which below, the line. From the note appended to the table of consonants, will also be seen what sounds are given to the Roman vowels, as here made to represent the powers of the Hebrew ones; and, consequently, what powers the Hebrew vowels have.
- 31. The learner cannot now do better than make out a syllabarium for himself, extending throughout the whole of the alphabet, in the manner of the Exemplifications just given; bearing in mind, that whenever either of the letters contained in the memoria technica, בגרכבת Běgadhěpháth, begins a syllable, the point should be inscribed. The exceptions will be given hereafter. He should also bear in mind, that the consonant must

<sup>\*</sup> The sound of  $\check{e}$  in these examples is remarkably short, better expressed by our  $br\bar{\imath}$ ,  $gr\bar{\imath}$ ,  $dr\bar{\imath}$ , &c., without a vowel. So in the substitutes, which usually accompany the guttural letters. The final vowel  $\lnot$ , &c. has been added, in order to facilitate this rapidity of utterance in  $b\check{a}r\bar{\imath}$ ,  $g\check{a}r\bar{\imath}$ ,— $b\check{e}h\bar{e}$ ,  $b\check{b}h\bar{a}$ , &c., making one syllable only.

always precede the vowel, as marked in the table: a few exceptions to this rule will be noticed hereafter.\*

- 32. By perfect vowels, as given in the first class, is meant, vowels which, being preceded by a consonant, will constitute a complete syllable in Hebrew orthography, as  $\frac{1}{7}b\bar{a}$ , in which both the consonant and vowel are fully and properly enounced. The same is the case, when either of the letters contained in the memoria technica, which occasionally lose their powers as consonants, (Art. 25,) follows its homogeneous vowel, (of which more hereafter,) as,  $8\bar{a}$  bā.
- 33. By imperfect vowels is meant, those vowels which are not generally found to constitute syllables without either the addition of another consonant, or of an accent. Such syllable, therefore, must either be like 72 bad, or 2 bā, i. e. followed by a consonant, or accompanied by an accent. When, moreover, a consonant is found to follow a perfect vowel, with an accent, as DIP, &c., this vowel may be considered as an imperfect one: i. e. generally, an imperfect vowel, accompanied by an accent, will have the power of a perfect one; and, vice versâ, a perfect vowel so accompanied will exert the power of an imperfect one. Hence it will follow generally, that no syllable, excepting the last of any word, can have more than one quiescent

<sup>\*</sup> The usual classification of the vowels has been, 1. Long vowels, 2. Short vowels, and 3. Vowels still shorter than the preceding. We have adopted a different one, for the following reason. These distinctions cease to exist when the vowels are combined with the consonants; for then, the second class of vowels becomes long, either by position, that is, when a consonant follows, or, by being accompanied by an accent. Both these classes of the vowels are, therefore, long when reduced to practice; and it is useless to speak of them in any other point of view.

It can never be necessary, we believe, to trouble the learner with the *five* different lengths of time ascribed to these vowels by Albert Schultens and Schræder; although the latter has declared, that it is a law *amplissimum usum habens*, because we have been unable to discover in what the use consists.

letter after a vowel; as in אַרָּף, אָשְׁף. Such forms as אָרָה, הוּבָּד, are anomalous, and occur but rarely.

34. The last class of signs has been designated "Substitutes for the mark (:) Shevá," which, in truth, they are, as we shall see hereafter.\*

On the Combination of the Vowels with the Consonants.

- 35. Considering then, as we do, all the letters of the alphabet as consonants, no possible difficulty can arise from their combination with the vowels, when a consonant only precedes, as is the case in our exemplifications, (Art. 29.); but, when a consonant also follows, some questions may arise as to the conjoint effect produced. This we now proceed to explain.
- 36. The only difficulty that can present itself to a learner, will be in the concurrence of any of the letters Ehevi, with certain vowels preceding.

<sup>\*</sup> Our object in adopting this new nomenclature is, to avoid the inconsistency of detailing a system of long, short, and most short vowels; and then, of informing the learner, that after all, every short vowel becomes long in practice; and, that the class of the shortest vowels contains nothing more than substitutes for what, in fact, are no vowels at all.

coalesce, and consequently the power of the consonant will be merged in that of the vowel. When these letters have no vowel, they will necessarily be silent, as in אָלָיּא Khét; הַבְּיא Hēví, where the א is in each case said to be otiose.

- 38. A vowel, however, may precede, which is not homogeneous with such consonant; this will happen only in the cases of 'a and ', and then a diphthongal sound will be formed, as ai, in אַרֹנְי Adōnaí or Adōnaý; oi, in סּׁג בּלִי מֹּי Gā-lúi or Gālúy. Other diphthongs may be formed; but more do not occur in Hebrew.—It must be remembered, however, that when any of these letters happens also to have a vowel of its own, i. e. following it, it will not be quiescent.
- 39. There are, moreover, a few instances in which two such letters may be found following a vowel, which is homogeneous with neither of them, as עלִין, אָלִין, and the like; in which, according to analogy, יש should form the diphthong ai or ay, and the last letter be considered either as a consonant, or as combining with the foregoing in a kind of triphthong, as E-laiv, or E-laiv,  $H\bar{a}-laiv$ , or  $H\bar{a}-laiv$ , but, generally, the is in these cases considered as quiescent,\* and the following read as the consonant v, or v, as E-lav, or E-law, and so on. Indeed, in the case of the diphthong, it is of little consequence whether we consider the concluding letter as a vowel or a consonant, i. e. whether we sound as i, or i, as i, or i.

<sup>\*</sup> It seems to be a general rule, that when a final (:) Sheva, either expressed or understood, comes under ' or ', the diphthongal sound takes place: in other cases these consonants become quiescent, as in אָלָיִל, where it would be contrary to usage to supply a Sheva (:) under the ', as אָלִין and אַלְיִבּיל.

in fine

#### On SHEVA and its SUBSTITUTES.

40. Shevá (:) has two situations, one at the beginning of a syllable, the other at its ending. At the beginning of a syllable, (where it may be said to be initial,) it is, from the necessity of the case, pronounced like a very short e, as mentioned in the Table (Art. 29.). The only question, then, which can arise here will be, How is it to be known when this mark begins, or concludes, a syllable? The answer is: Whenever it is found at the beginning of a,... word, it must necessarily be considered as commencing the syllable; and, consequently, must be pronounced as a short vowel, otherwise the consonant, under which it is placed, cannot be uttered at all: as in the word בבית שניתו Bevá-ith. The same holds good, whenever it follows a perfect vowel, unaccompanied by a tone-accent; because, as that vowel must conclude the preceding syllable, the consonant, under which this mark is found, must necessarily commence the next. In this case, therefore, as before, it must be enounced as a very short vowel, as in the word אָם Hā-yĕthá; here too, it will be termed initial, because it commences the syllable.

41. When, however, Shevá (:) commences a word, (and must therefore be audible,) but is, by accident, preceded by some particle with an imperfect vowel, it will become final; e. g. לבול Gevúl, a boundary; prefixing ? for , we shall have לִבוֹל Lig-vúl, not Lige-vúl.

42. In the next place, whenever the mark (:) Shevá follows an imperfect vowel, having no tone-accent, either expressed or implied, the consonant under which it is found must be taken as the last of the syllable. In this case, therefore, Shevá (:) will have no sound, and may be said to be final or quiescent: e. g. DRO Kam-tém, not Kame-tém.

44. Shevá is rarely written at the end of a word. The reason probably is, that, as no vowel usually follows the last letter of Hebrew words, it would be superfluous to express Shevá in order to shew this: the mark is consequently omitted. In one case, however, in which the preceding consonant has also a final Shevá, it seems to have been added to shew, that no vowel has been omitted through negligence; as in the word hap kamt, &c., to distinguish it from hap, &c. It is also found in the letter, but this may be considered as a part of the letter.

<sup>\*</sup> The instances occurring of this kind are numerous: as אָלָהְיָה װְלְּבּלְּהָה Gen. i. 2; ib., ver. 7, בְּיִבְּהְ װְלְּבְּלְּהְ װְלְּבִּיה װְלְּבִיה װְלְּבִּיה װְלְּבִּיה װְלְּבִּיה װְלְּבִּיה װְלְּבִּיה װְלְּבִּיה װְלְּבִיה װְלְּבִּיה װְלְּבִיה װְלְּבִיה װְלְּבִיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹלְּבִיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹלְבִיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִבְיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִבְיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִב וְּלְבְּיה וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִב וְּבְּיה וֹיִב וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִב וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִב וְּבְּיה וֹיִב וְּבְּיה וֹיִיה וֹיִב וֹיִבְּיה וֹיִיה וֹיִיה וֹיִיה וֹיִיה וֹיִיה וֹיִיה וֹיִיה וֹיִיה וֹיִיה וֹיִב וְיִבְּיה וְיִב וּיִבְּיה וְּה וֹיִיה וְיִבְּיה וְּבְּיה וְּבְּיה וְּבְּיה וְיִבְּיה וְיִבְּיה וְּבְּיה וְּבְּיה וְּבְּיה וְּבְּיה וְיִּיה וְּבְּיה וְבְּיה וְיִבְּיה וְבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְיה וּבְיּיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה וּבְּיה בְּיבְיה בְּיה וּבְּיה בְּיִיה בְּיבְיה וּבְּיה בְּיִיה וְבְּיה וְבְיּיה בְיּיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיִיה בְּיה בְּיבְיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיבְיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיבְיה בְּיִיה בְּיה בְּיבְיה בְּיּבְיה בְּיה בְּיה בְּיבְּיה בְּיִיה בְּיִיה בְּיִיה בְּיִיה בְּיִיה בְּיִיה בְּיה בְּיִיה בְּיִיה בְּיבְיה בְּיּבְיה בְּיּיה בְּיִיה בְּיִיבְיה

45. A part of one of the substitutes of Shevá is occasionally found under the last letter of a word; but this happens only when such letter is one of the gutturals, I, y, or 7, with Mappik. The reason of this substitution seems to be, that, as these letters will not admit of the rapidity of utterance which the other consonants will, this vowel, or substitute, is added for the purpose of affording due time for their enunciation; but here the (:) is dropped, as before, and the mark (-) only remains. This mark, termed Furtive Páthakh, is, however, not to be pronounced after, but before, the letter under which it is placed, as שִשְׁיִם Mā-shiakh, not Mā-shi-kha, Messiah, or Anointed. The same is the case when such guttural letter is followed by any other consonant having a point, or Dagésh, within it, accompanied also by Shevá; as, רְּנֶעָתְ Pā-sháaht, הְנָעָתְ Hig-gáaht; not Pā-sháhat, &c.

46. Those marks, which have been termed "the Substitutes of Shevá," supply, as it has already been intimated, the place of Shevá, with one or other of the guttural letters &, I, I, or y, for the reason just given with fu with reference to the Furtive Pathakh. In many cases, therefore, in which analogy would require the insertion of an initial Shevá (:), upon the occurrence of one or other of the gutturals, some one of these substitutes will take its place. If a vowel immediately precede, the sub- a. if Ver stitute will be that which is homogeneous with it: i. e. if (-) precede, (-) will be the substitute; if (-), (-); if (+) o, then (+:) will be the substitute. When no such yowel precedes, the substitute most congenial with such be of guttural, or with the analogy of the word, will be preferred. In the first case, & will mostly take (-:), occasionally (::); \(\pi\) (::), rarely (-:); \(\pi\) or \(\mu\) (-:), rarely (::); ( :: ) is seldom used, unless ( · ) Khātúph, i. e. o, precedes, or unless it stands in the place of a i Kholém, which has

2.

been rejected. In these last cases, however, usage alone can be relied on.

#### On the Points DAGESH and MAPPIK.

- 47. Some notice has already been taken of Dagésh (Articles 10, 11, 12, 31.); we now have to shew what its offices are. 1st. It will double any letter, which is from its situation capable of being doubled; and, 2dly, it will deprive the letters הַנְדְכָּפַת of their aspiration. In the first case, whenever any consonant has this point inscribed, and is, at the same time, both preceded and followed by a vowel, or is preceded by a vowel, and has also a Shevá, such consonant is to be read as two, e. g. אוֹל Limméd, or אַנְאַדוּ Limmědú. But, if such consonant is not so preceded, &c., it cannot be doubled; and in that case, it is found only in the letters , inscribed merely for the purpose of taking away their aspiration, as already noticed. Now, this last mostly takes place when such letter commences a syllable, as 72 Caph, 12 Ben, &c.; in some instances when it ends it, as אַכְּאָ kamt. It must also be remembered, that whenever any one of these letters is in a situation to be doubled, the aspiration will also be taken away by the influence of this point, e.g. אבר Kippér, not kiphphér.
  - 48. In the first of these cases, this mark has been termed  $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$  forte, in the second  $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$  lene; terms, it must be confessed, ill chosen to designate the offices just described. I should prefer terming it  $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$  in every case, its situation being always sufficient to determine its powers, according to our rule.
  - 49. Mappik is a single point (like Dāgésh) inscribed in the letter  $\overline{n}$  only, thus  $\overline{n}$ . Its office is to shew, that this letter, when so marked, retains its power as a consonant, and is to be treated as such, both in the pronunciation

and etymology. The Jews consider it also as extending to the letter, as in יְהוֹדְיָה Yĕhū-diy-yá; but this is a useless distinction.

# On the Mark רָפֶר RA PHE.

50. Formerly when  $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$  was not found in any of the region letters, a mark called  $R\bar{a}-ph\acute{e}$ , was placed above it, in order to shew that the point had not been omitted by mistake. With the ancient Syrians this was nothing more than a point made with red ink. The Hebrews probably wrote it in the same way: but, as this point might be mistaken for the vowel  $Kh\bar{o}l\acute{e}m$ , when printed, or, for one of the accents, the form of it was altered for a short line thus (-), which is still found in the Hebrew manuscripts,\* though very rarely in the printed books.

51. Other points are sometimes found placed over certain words in the Hebrew Bible, the use of which is now unknown. The accounts given of these by the Rabbins may be seen in Buxtorf's Thesaurus Grammaticus, lib. i. cap. v. art. 6, which need not be detailed here.

52. It will be seen by recurring to the table of vowels (Art. 29.), that Khōlém is given with a Vaw for its fulcrum, thus i. The Vaw, however, is frequently left out. But, as the letters  $\dot{w}$  Sín and  $\dot{w}$  Shín are also written with a point on the left or right side, respectively, a question may arise, as to how the Khōlém is to be represented in the case of its following  $\dot{w}$ , or preceding  $\dot{w}$ . The answer is: Supposing any consonant to follow  $\dot{w}$  Sín, and this  $\dot{w}$  to have no other vowel-mark, then will its own diacritical point also stand for Khōlém; as  $\dot{v}$  Sō-né. In every other case,  $\dot{w}$  will be merely the consonant s, as given in the Table (Art. 4.). In the next place, with respect to  $\dot{w}$  Shín: Supposing any consonant to precede  $\dot{w}$  Shín, having neither Vowel-mark nor Shěva,

<sup>\*</sup> And in these it is sometimes put over a letter requiring Dagesh forte by analogy, also over S and  $\Pi$  when quiescent.

then will the diacritical point of w Shin stand also for Khōlém, thus, win Bosh. But, if the preceding letter have a Vowel or Shevá, w Shín will be used merely as directed in the table of consonants. This expedient has been resorted to, in order to avoid the inconvenience of marking these points twice over, which must otherwise have taken place.

53. It will also be seen in the table of the vowels, that the letter 'Yod has been placed above the point (.) then called Khīrîk Gādól, in order to shew that it is a nerfect vowel. This Yod, however, is frequently omitted; and, when that is the case, an accent, as it will be seen hereafter, is mostly added to make the distinction. But, as this accent is also sometimes omitted, analogy will then be the only criterion by which the distinction can be known.

54. The learner will also perceive, that Kāméts, and Kāméts Khātúph, have the same form, viz. (+). How then, it may be asked, are they to be distinguished? I answer; Whenever any consonant follows the mark (7) having also a vowel of its own, it will be seen, that (+) must make a complete syllable; and must therefore be the vowel Kāméts, as in the word Rā-phé. For here, as 5 begins the following syllable, the 7 with (+) must necessarily constitute the preceding one: (+) therefore will here be the perfect vowel Kāméts. In the next place, (ד) found under any one of the letters וכלב, (Art. 27.) at the beginning of a word, will be Kāméts; because, in this case, a contraction must have taken place; as, בְּהָלֵי Bā-khölí, for בְּהָהָל, the (-) here being compensative of (-). So in בּאָהֶלף Bā-ō-hol-ká, for דָרָאָּהָלִף. These cases will be explained hereafter. בּילָהֵי 55. The following are the exceptions: 1. Should (7:)

Khātéph Kāméts followMAD, or a (+) which has arisen T: Allower, ir + access out of +: , them follow + , then 1th T = 0 ' commit who follows + has no vorsel, (or has:) & egil. has so awent.

4 L. Constnet. to ousel

Ervile

aht

ART. 56.]

out of (דֵּבֶּלֶ by the operation of a rule hereafter to be given, then will (דֹי) be Kāméts Khātúph, and must be read as o, although an accent accompany it; as, אַבְּיָבְּיִּ Pō-ḥŏl-ká, in which case the (דְּ ) Khātúph being sustained by an accent, becomes equal to the perfect vowel (זֹי), And, 2 dly, when any consonant following (דֹּ ) has no vowel, and the syllable is also without an accent, (דֹ ) will then be Kāméts Khātúph; as, אַבְּרָּ וֹלִי אָבָּרָ וֹלָ Ron-nú, אַבְּרָ וֹלֵי וֹלֵי אַנִי וֹלֵי וֹלֵי וֹלָי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלְיי וֹלְי וֹלְי וֹלְי וֹלְיי וֹלְיי וֹלְי וֹלְייִי וֹלְי וֹלְיי וֹלְיי וֹלְיי וֹלְיי

56. As to the names of the vowels, &c., they are generally Chaldee or Syriac, whence some have argued that their invention is modern. But, as this will involve questions of no importance to the learner mething need he said on the subject here.

the learner, nothing need be said on the subject here.

57. Whether the pronunciation here ascribed to the different consonants and vowels was that in use in ancient times, it is impossible now to say: nor is it of much importance either to the learner or the critic. That the approximation is near, there cannot be much doubt; and, that the system is generally the same, is, perhaps, sufficiently certain. But, as the Jews differ in their pronunciation in different countries, we have here taken that of the Portuguese Jews, as nearly as it could be obtained, which is generally allowed to be the best.

<sup>\*</sup> The heads of the arguments urged on this question may be seen in the Institutiones Ling. Heb. by Schultens, p. 53, &c., or in the Arcanum punctuationis revelatum, by Capell, &c. Various hypotheses have been offered on the names of the letters: one of the latest, and perhaps most improbable, is, that, as they occasionally present forms not in use in the Hebrew, they must therefore have been taken from some more ancient form of the language!

### LECTURE II.

on the hebrew accents, &c. / 45. +378

- 58. Having given tables of the Hebrew consonants and vowels, with some explanations and rules as to their powers and use, we now come to consider the accents, and to give a table exhibiting their forms, situations, and names, to be followed, as before, with some observations on their nature and application. The following table given by Alting and adopted by Schultens and Gesenius, has been chosen as sufficiently extensive and explicit for our present purpose. The word here taken for the purpose of exhibiting the situation, &c. of accents, is cited from Isaiah lvii. 18, and signifies, with its affixes, "And I will heal him." The distinctive powers which these accents are believed to possess, will be considered hereafter.
- 59. The Hebrew accents are found placed below, above, or on a level with, the line of the consonants. Those which are found below, are twelve in number: their situations, forms, and names, are as follows:—

45. fin		No.	Sit. and Form.	Name.	
belo	1	1.	וְאָרְפָּאָהוּ	סלוק or סילוק	Sillúk.
bet.	Δ	2.	ואָרפָאָהוּ	אַתְנָח	Athnákh.
	4	3.	וארפאהו	מפַּרָזא	Tiphkhá.
7.		. 4.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	Do. anterior.*	
	27	5.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	הָבִיר	Tevir.
		< 6.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	יָתִיב יַיִּתִיב	Yĕthîv.
	7	7.		מונָת	Mūnakh.
	«	8.	וארפאהו	מהפד	Mahpák. sole
					1.

<sup>\*</sup> Used in the poetical books only.

percel h.	No.	Sit. and Form.	Name.	
4		וָאֵרְפָּאֵהֶוּ	וֶרַת	Yerakh.
3	10.	ואָרְכָּאֵהוּ	מֶרבָא	Mercá. fund
		ואָרכָּאָהוּ	בּפוּלָר. Do. בְּפוּלֶר	Id. doubled
		וָאֶרְכָּאֶרוּ	אַּרְנָּא	

Those which are placed above the line of consonants, are eighteen in number. Their names, forms, and situations, are as follows:—

tions, are as follows:

No. Sit. and Form.

Name.

Revisith.

Revisith.

Revisith.

Geresh in the poetical books.

6. אַרְפָּאָהוּ אין אָרְפָּאָהוּ Pashtá. זי אַרְפָּאָהוּ אַרְפָּאָהוּ אַרְפָּאָהוּ אַרָּפָּאָהוּ Shalshéleth.

8. וְאֶרְפְּאֵהוֹ צֹמרְנָא Zarká.

9. וְרָקָא Zarká anterior.

וֹאָרְכָּאֵׁרוּ 10. וְאָרְכָּאֵרוּ Pāzer.

וָאֶרְפְּאֵׁדוּ 11. נֶרֶשׁ 🦪 Géresh. 🤇

וּצֶּרְכָּאֵׁהוּ <sup>12.</sup> וְּצֶּרְכָּאֵׁהוּ <sup>12.</sup> וּצְרְלַשֵּׁיִם

13. קרְנֵי בָּרָה בְּקרָנִי בָּרָה Kurné Phārá.

וֹאַרְבְּאֵהוּ Tělīshà Gědōlá.

15. אָרָפָּאָה דְּלִישָּׁא קְטַבָּה נְאֶרְפָּאָה Tělīshá Kětanná.

16. קרְמָא אַ וְאֶּרְכְּאָרוּ Kadmá.

17. מונַת Mānách superior.

18. מְהְפָּד Mahpák superior.

Zarká anterior, and the two last, are found thus in the poetical books only.

The accents, written both above and below the line at

18 al

4 600

the line

2 ivistory

- wak

& division

the same time are four: their names, forms, and situations, are as follows:—

Aur & and	No.	Sit. and Form.	Name.
) 4 }		וָאֶרְפָּאֵחוּ	Mahpák with Mercá.
2 , 6? ?	2.	וָאֶרְבְּאֵתוּ	Zarká with Mercá.
~ W ?	3.	וָאֶרְפָּאָהוּ	Zarká with Mahpák.
¿ ku?	4.	וָאֶרְכָּאֻׁדְּוּ	Mūnákh with Mahpák

One only is found in the same line with the consonants.

It should be observed that, as several of these accents have the same form, they can be distinguished by their positions only. The best way to get acquainted with them is, to write them over a few times, first with, and then without, the tables.\*

- 60. These marks are divided, with respect to their offices, into *Tonic*, and *Euphonic*, accents.
- 61. The tonic accent, however situated, can affect only the ultimate or penultimate syllable of any word, which

<sup>\*</sup> Various offices have been ascribed to these accents. By some they are thought to have been attached to the sacred text, in order to regulate the tone of voice, either in reading or chanting. Others have supposed them to have been affixed for the purpose of dividing it into its several members, as verses, the larger and smaller members of sentences, &c., and to point out the different dependence which these parts exhibit on one another. Others, again, have assigned to them emphasis, parenthesis, parallelism, &c., while others have given them all these offices together. Some, again, have supposed them to be of divine, others of human, origin. In the present work we shall consider their application to the text, as the best grammarians usually have done, viz., as intended to divide the context into its different members, and to determine (for the most part) the tone-accent of the words in which they are found. As to their authority, we cannot allow it to be divine, until better proofs than any hitherto offered shall have been given on that point. As coming from men, who had perhaps made the Hebrew Bible the study of their lives, they are valuable. They may, nevertheless, be rejected, whenever a more convenient division can be discovered.

cetter of those two

it does by directing the accentuation to be placed on that syllables. When this accent is found on any other syllable, the tone-accentuation of such word can be known only from its analogy. In other cases, the accent will point it out.

- 62. When a word happens to have more than one & salet tonic accent, and both are the same, the first will govern the accentuation; as, in Thó-hū: but, when they are not the same, the last will have it; e.g. וּלְמִוֹעֵדִים Ū-lemo-adim.
- 63. Generally, all words either being, or terminating in the form of, Segolates (to be considered hereafter), will have the accentuation on the penultimate syllable: all others on the ultimate.
- 64. The Euphonic accent supplies a sort of secondary Euphon accentuation to the syllable on which it is found, which herer on an can never be the ultimate of any word; nor even the nor partition penultimate of those having the Segolate form.
- 65. Of this accent, modern grammarians count two sorts, Métheg מְתָּב, and Makkaph אָבָה. The former of hetheg these has the same form with Sillúk (י); but, as it can never hold the same situation, the distinction is easily made. The latter takes the form of our hyphen; as in hakkap את־כל Eth-kól, and generally deprives the preceding word of its tone-accent. In neither case is their insertion always solitary; for, several Méthegs are sometimes found in the same word, and several words are occasionally connected by the operation of Makkaph. Particular rules for their insertion will be given hereafter.
  - 66. One mark more it may suffice to notice here, and that is, a small circle sometimes found over a word in the Hebrew context; thus, 8317. The word over which this mark is found is said to be בּתִיב Kěthív, or written; and the mark itself is intended to direct the attention of the reader to some note to be found either in the

margin, or at the foot of the page. For the most part, it directs the reader to a various reading, on the right side of which we find the word 'T'? Kërî, meaning either reading or read.\* The readings thus pointed out in the Hebrew Bible amount to about 1000, and are generally preferred to those found in the text. The far greater part of them has been found by Kennicott and De Rossi in the MSS. which they collated.

67. Having thus far explained the powers of the several consonants, vowels, accents, &c., and given some rules on the subject of their combination, we now proceed to examine a portion or two of the Hebrew text, in exemplification of what has been said. The passage we shall first take is, Zephaniah iii. 8, in which we have all the letters of the Alphabet with most of the vowels and other marks. In reading this, we would advise the learner to mark each syllable distinctly with a slight pause, and to continue this practice until he is perfectly familiar with all the consonants, vowels, &c.

	8.	7		6	.5	4	3	2	, 1	
•	לעד	קומי		לְיָנִינ	ם־יִהוַה	CN	35	חַכּוּ־י	לבו	3
	lĕḥád	Kū-mi	lè	éyőm 1	Yĕhō-v <b>á</b> ‡—n	eum	Ĭi—	khak-kū	Lā-ken.	
	15	14		13	= 0" 12	11	1	_ 10	9	
	לִשְׂפֹּדְ	מַמְלַכוֹת	)	לקבצי	בוים	न्ठे	82	ಗಿತೆನ್ನೇ	בר כזי	3
	lish-pók	mam-lā-kótl	i	lĕkov-tsí	gō-ím	lē-ĕs		Mish-p	ā-ti ki	1
	23	- 22	21	20	19	18		17	16	
	לַנְאָתִי	בּאֵשׁ	ادّر	אַכָּי	תַרון	בָּל		זַעִבָּיר	גַליהֶם	2 3
	kin-ā-thí	bĕėsh	kí	ap-pí	khărón	kól	:	zaḥ-mí	hălē-hen	2
							26	, 25	. 24	
						: 7	אָרֶי	בָּל־דָ	זַאָבֻל	) =
						hā	-á-re	ts—kol	tē-ā-kē	
								•		

<sup>\*</sup> For an explanation of these Masoretic marks, the Tiberias of Buxtorfius should be consulted.

<sup>†</sup> Kennicott's Dissertatio Generalis, § 39. De Rossi Proleg. § xxxix.

The learner will recollect that he is to read, beginning at the right, and proceeding towards the left, hand (Art. 3.); and that the consonants must precede the vowels (Art. 31.). The numerals are added to shew the order of the words. Let us now proceed to analyse the syllables, &c. which we have the proceed to analyse the

In No. 1, we have Lamed with Kāmets, making the open syllable Lā. After this we have Caph with Tsēré, followed by Nún, making the syllable kén. Here, according to our system of syllabication, we should have had some imperfect vowel under the Caph (Art. 33.), which would have been sufficient to complete this syllable; but the accent <u>Mahpak</u> being added, the anomaly is corrected (ib.). (: aunt each buy soul short, ev.v.)

No. 2. Khéth with Pathakh, followed by Caph with the point Dagésh inscribed: and, as a vowel follows this letter, it must be doubled, the point is, therefore, Dagésh forte (Art. 47.). The first syllable, consequently, is khak, the second is kú, and this is composed of the second Caph, and the vowel Shūrék. We next have the mark called Makkaph, which is used to connect numbers 2 and 3 together, like our hyphen (Art. 65.) [ W. Carris award fult. syll.)

No. 3. Lámed with Khīrík followed by Yód, making the open syllable  $l\bar{i}$ ; to this is added the tonic accent Pashtá, which may be represented by lí.

No. 4. we have Nún with Shevá. In this case Shevá is very slightly pronounced (Art. 40.), but it is not reckoned as a syllable. In the next place we have Alef with Kibbúts followed by Mem. This syllable, therefore, must be pronounced úm, in which u has the sound of oo in good. The whole word will then be neum, in which the  $\ddot{e}$  will be passed over as rapidly as possible. We then have the mark Makkaph, as before.

No. 5. is the word Jehovah, pronounced Yeho-va.

hukk.

2 doub 4 Dag .

Here Shevá is passed over rapidly as before. The point over the left limb of Hé is the vowel Khōlém (Art. 52.); and, as the Vaw following has a vowel of its own, it is not quiescent in the preceding vowel Khōlém, which it otherwise would be (Art. 37.). The first syllable, therefore, including the Shevá, will be Yĕhō, the next Vá, in which the terminating is will be quiescent in the preceding Kāméts. Over the Vaw commencing this last syllable, we have the accent Zāképh Kātón. In no respect, therefore, can the mark (\*) under this Vaw be a Kāméts Khātúph. (Art. 54.).

Nothing now occurs worth remark till we come to No. 11. In the word not Lē-esóph, then, the first syllable consists of Lāméd with Sēgól, accompanied by an Euphonic accent (Art. 65.) called Métheg, making a complete syllable (Art. 33.). This syllable, therefore, is open Lē. The Alef following, with Khātéph Sēgól which is a substitute of Shēvá (Art. 46.), does not constitute a syllable; and, therefore, it is passed over as rapidly as convenient, in connection with the following syllable Sóph, which has the tonic accent Pashtá (Art. 59.).

No. 12. The first syllable consists of Gīmél with (i) Khōlém (Art. 29.). The next syllable is commenced by Yód, with Khīrîk following, and which, by analogy, should be followed by another Yód, as Yím, or ím (Art. 15.): but the second Yód is frequently omitted, as will be seen hereafter. Khīrîk thus situated is nevertheless a perfect vowel: and, as the syllable also ends with a consonant, the accent Géresh is added to rectify the syllabication (Art. 33.).

No. 13. יְלְקְבְּאֲצִי. Here the first letter has an *Initial Shevá*, which will therefore be passed over rapidly. In the next place we have א *Koph*, followed by (¬), i.e. either

infut.

1. fr

yets

Kāméts or Kāméts Khātuph. It cannot be Kāméts, T = because it precedes a consonant which has no vowel of its own, and is accompanied by no accent, (Art. 54.) The first syllable, therefore, will be Lekov, and the last, tsi, which need not be further explained.

On No. 14. it will be necessary only to remark, that the point placed over the middle of the letter > is the accent Reviah, not the vowel Kholém, which however follows that letter. A recurrence to the tables will always be sufficient to shew, that this accent is placed over the middle of the consonant, Khōlém always on the one side.

No. 19. forms one syllable only: the Khāteph Pathakh (-:) found under the T Khéth, being one of the substitutes of Shevá, is not counted in the syllabication. (\* ) in the syllabication.

68. The names of the different accents will be found by turning to the table (Art. 59.), with which the Learner will do well to make himself familiar: otherwise, he will occasionally confound them with the vowels, and, in many instances, be unable to determine whether Shevá begins, or ends a syllable, or whether the mark (+) be Kāméts, or Kāméts Khātuph. We shall subjoin a passage, for the sake of practice, in which the greater part of the accents is found, with the syllables in Roman letters, as in the last, in order to facilitate the reading.

asked refer to lecents. on pais 2 Kings I. 6. יַניאקרו אַלִיו אָישׁ עָרָה לִקְרָאתֵׁנוּ וַיַּאִקֶר אָלִיוּ אָישׁ אַלִּיוּ לְּעָרָה לִקְרָאתִׁנוּ יַנִּאֹקְר אָלִינּ פּֿ-lē-nú vai-yō-mer lik-rā-thé-nū ʿhā-lā ísh ē-lāw Vai-yō měrú יְלְכָּוּ שׁוּבוּ אֶל־הַמֶּלֶדְ אֲשֶׁר־שָׁלֶח אֶתְכֶבֹּם וְדְבַּרְתָּם vědib-bar-tem eth-kém shā-lákh— asher ham-mê-lek—el shū-vú lěkú

אַלְיוּ כָּה אָמֵר וְהוָה הַמְבְּלֵי אִין־אֱלֹהִים בְּיִשְׂרָאֵל אַתְּה מּלִּיוּ בָּה אָמֵר וְהוָה הַמְבְּלֵי אִין־אֱלֹהִים בִּישְׂרָאֵל אַתְּה ot-ta bĕis-rā-tl ĕlō-him---ēn hämib-bĕlī Yĕhō-vá ā-már kó ē-law

Revias

שׁלֵתַ לְּדְרֹּשׁ לֵבֵּי עֶקְרְוֹן עֶקְרְוֹן עֶקְרְוֹן עֶקְרְוֹן לְבֵּן בּבְעַל זְבָּוֹב אֲלְהֵי עֶקְרְוֹן עֶקְרְוֹן לָבֵן בּוֹבּ-עִּל זְבָּוֹב אָלִהְי עָקְרְוֹן לִבּּ-תַבְּר מְפֶּיְבְּּה בִּיקוֹת בִּיקוֹת בִּיקוֹת בִּיקוֹת בְּיִבְּיִה מְפִּיְבְּה בִּיקוֹת מָלְבָּיִה מִנְּיִבְּיִּה מְּשִּׁר שִׁיִּר עְלִיתְ שִׁיִּר עְלִיתְ שִׁיִּב לֹא־תֵרְד מְפִיּבְּה מִּנְיִיּ מְּשִׁרְּ מִּנְיִיּ מְּשִׁרְּ מִּנְיִיּ מְּשִׁרְּ מִּנְיִיּ מְּשִׁרְ מִּנְיִיִּ מְשִׁרְ מִּנְיִיִּ מְּשִׁרְ מִּנְיִיִּ מְּשִׁרְ מִּנְיִיִּ מְּשִּרְ מִּנְיִיִּ מְּשִׁרְ מִּנְיִיִּ מְשִׁרְ מִּנְיִיִּ מְשִׁרְ מִּבְּיִי מְּנִייִ מְּיִּוֹתְ מִיּיִ מְּנִייִ מְּיִּבְיִּתְ מִּיִּיִים מְּשְׁרְיִּבְ מְּשְׁרְיִּם מְּשְׁרְיִבְּיוֹ מְיִנְיִיִּ מְשְׁרְיוֹן בְּיִבְּעְל לִּיִּיְם בְּשִׁל זְּיִיִּם מְשְׁיִּיְם מְשְׁבְּיִּם מְּשְׁרְיוֹיִם בְּשְׁרְיוֹיִם בְּשְׁרְיוֹיִם בְּשְׁרְיִים בְּשְׁרְיוֹיִם בְּיִּבְּעְל בְּיִים בְּיִּבְּעוֹיִם בְּבְּעְל בְּיִים בְּיִּבְּעְל בְּיִים בְּיִּים מְּבְּיִּים מְּבְּיִים מְּבְּיִים מְּבְּיוֹים מְּבְּיוֹים מְּבְּיִים מְּבְּיִּבְּיוֹם מְּבְּיִים מְבְּיִּיְם בְּיִּבְּיִם מְּבְּיִּבְּיִים מְּבְּיִּבְּיוֹם מְּבְּיִים מְּבְּיוֹנְם בְּיִּבְּיוֹם מְּבְּיִים בְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּבְּיִים בְּבִּים מְּבְּיוֹם בְּבִּים בּיוֹבְּיוֹם בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיוֹם בּּבְּים מְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיוֹים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיוֹם בְּיִים בְּיוֹם בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיוֹם בְּיוֹים בְּיִים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיוֹם בְּיוֹים בְּיִים בְּיוֹיוֹם בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹיוֹם בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּעְיּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיּים בְּיים בְּיבְּים בְּיוֹים בְּיבְיוֹם בְּיבְּיים בְּיבְּיים בְּיוּבְיוּים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹבְייוֹם בְּיוֹיוּיוּים בְּיוֹיוֹיוּ בְּייִים בְּיוֹם בְּיים בְּיבְּיוֹיוְ בְּיוֹיוּים בְּייבְּיוּים בְּיים בְּיוֹם בְּיבְיים בְּיוֹים בְּיים בְּיבְּיים בְּיבְּיים בְּיבְּיים בְּיבְּיוֹים בְּיוֹבְייוֹים בְּיבְּיים בְּיבְּיוֹם בְּיבְיוֹים בְּיבְּיבְּים בְּיבְּיוֹם בְּיבְּיוֹים בְּיבְּבְּים בְ

the different vowels and accents found in this extract, as the Learner will easily find them by recurring to the tables. It may be necessary however to remark, that the accent found between the third and fourth words is termed Legarmé, not Pesík. The distinction consists in this: that when the accent Mūnách precedes the mark (1) it is then termed Legarmé. When any other accent precedes, it is termed Pesík. In the word is the following one is but this accent, viz., Telīshá gedōlá, is always found on the first letter, see the Table, while the accentuation is regulated by the analogy while the accentuation is regulated by the analogy (Art. 61.) As the word is regulated by the preceding word is so connected with it, as to enable the reader to pronounce any and it as two, as in shshám (Art. 47.).

70. The Learner cannot now do better than to take a Hebrew Bible, and transcribe a chapter or more at a time, in Roman letters, divided into syllables as given above; and this he should continue to do until he is quite familiar with the letters, vowels, accents, and syllables. After this he may read, which he had better do, aloud, until he finds no difficulty in enouncing every word fully and correctly. A little perseverance will accomplish this, which is perhaps the greatest difficulty he will have to encounter: and, when he has succeeded, he will find his progress both easy and delightful.

25

#### LECTURE III.

ON THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES WHICH PREVAIL IN REGULATING THE ETYMOLOGY OF THE HEBREW LANGUAGE.

71. It is well known that changes take place in the consonants and vowels of all languages, which can be accounted for on no other principles than those of Euphon euphony. Certain vowels and consonants would, in some Change situations, introduce sounds so difficult of utterance and so grating to the ear, that conversation would become painful both to the speaker and hearer. In order to avoid these, certain changes are found to take place in the constitution of words, which at first sight appear to be anomalous, and which give great trouble to the learner until the laws by which they are regulated are understood. In no language is this more apparent than in the Hebrew and its dialects, particularly the Arabic. In the Sanscrit it prevails in a much greater degree, and is found in its descendant the Greek to give more trouble to the learner than any thing else brought under his observation. It is our intention to commence our investigation of the principles of the Hebrew language, by detailing the rules by which these apparent anomalies are regulated, for the purpose of enabling the learner to account for the different forms of words as they occur. Because, until he has some knowledge of this subject, he will meet with scarcely any thing but difficulty; and may, after a study of some years, be induced, as many have been, to confess that there is nothing certain in this language.

72. It has been remarked (Art. 36, &c.), that the letters contained in the technical word will occasionally lose their power as consonants, or become quiescent in the sound of the preceding

18

milked

nesested

Diny Kall Gall

73. Hence, when Shevá (:), or one of its substitutes, happens to be initial, and to precede one of the letters בּוֹלֵי, a contraction generally takes place, by which both (:) and the אַבָּוֹל iter is rejected, and the following vowel drawn back to the place of the rejected Shevá; e. g. אַבֶּיִר (יִדְּבָּיִדְרֹ, יִדְבָּיִר הָלִי for בְּבִּיִר (יִדְּבָּיִר לְּבָּיִר לִּבְּיִר לִּבְיִר לִּבְּיִר לִבְּיִר לִּבְּיִר לִּבְּיִר לִּבְּיִר לִּבְּיִּבְיִר לִּבְּיִר לִייִבְּיִם for יִבְּיִבְים for יִבְּיִבְּיִם (pl. of day), יִבְיִּבְים (cities, pl. of יבּיבְּיִר לִבְּיִב לִּבְּיִב לִּבְּיִב לִבְּיִב לִּבְּיִב לִּבְּיִב לִּבְּיִב לִבְּיִב לִּבְּיִב לִּבְּיִב לִבְּיִב לִּבְּיִב לִּבְּיב לִּבְּיב לִּבְּיִב לִּבְּבְּיִב לִּבְּיב לִּבְּיִבְּים (pl. of way), בְּיִבְּים לִּבְּיב לְבִּבְּיב לִבְּבְּיב לִבְּיב לְבִּב בְּבְּיב לִב לִּב לִּבְים לִּב לְּבְּיב לְבִּב בְּבְּיב לִּב לְבִּים לִּבְּים לִּבְּים לִּבְּים לְבְּיבְּים לִּבְּים לְבְּיבְּים לִּבְּים לִּבְּים לִּבְיב לִּבְים לִּבְּים לִּבְּיִּבְּים בּיבּבּים לִּבְּים לִּבְּים לִּבְּים לִּבְּים בּיבּבְּים לִּבְּים בּיבּב בְּיִיל לִּבְּים בִּבְּים בְּבְּיִים לִּבְּים לִּבְּיִי לְבִיים בְּבְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיבְּיִבְּים בְּיבְּיִים לִּבְּיִים בְּבְּיִים בְּבְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיבְּיבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים לְּיִים לְּבְּיִים לְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיבְּיבְּיים בְּיבְּיים בְּיים בּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּים בּיבְּיבְּיים בְּיבְּיים בְּיבְּיים בְּיבְּים

74. When any onc of the letters אָהָהְיִּגְּ terminates a word, and has no vowel either preceding or following, it is often rejected: as, ישָׁיִּבְיּה for אַבְּיִה a captive, בְּיֵל for אַבְּיה a valley, אַבָּיה a line, אַבַּיּה a precept, עַבִּיך for יַבְיּבְיּה (passing) yet, ever, eternal.

1. When these letters remain, they are said to be otiose (Art. 37.), and this may happen either in the middle or at the end of a word:

as, אָבּיא thou broughtest, איב a valley.

2. Hence it is, that ה standing as the third letter of a root, and losing its vowel, is rejected: as, יְבֶּלְה for יְבֶּלְה for יְבֶּלְה for יְבֶּלְה as, יִבֶּלְה for יְבֶּלְה for יִבְּלְה as. This case is termed Apocope. The reason of it, as will be seen hereafter, is the removal of the accent.

75. Any one of the letters ה, ', or ', when preceded and followed by a vowel, will occasionally be dropped: as, בַּקְרָהִי for בְּקַרְהִי I visited them; בְּקַרִּהְי for בְּקַרְהִי for שִּיִה for שִּׂיִה he stood; בְּקַרִּהְי for בְּיִרְהִי for שִּׂיִה for שִּׂיִה for שִׁיִּה for שִׁיִּיה for שִּייִּה for שִּייִּה for שִּייִּיה for שִׁיִּיה for שִׁיִּיה for שִׁיִּיה for שִׁיִּיה for שִּייִּיה for שִּייִּיה for שִּייה for שִייה for שִּייה for שִייה for שִּייה for שִּייה

76. Either of the letters or when initial, + (and generally

<sup>\*</sup> Hence it is that the letters > > \ (for \ rarely occurs in such situation,) have been termed " Matres lectionis," and supposed to have been used as vowels at some former period.

<sup>†</sup> Mr. Stuart has, after Gesenius, given בַּלְּחָה Jud. xix. 11; תְּקָה for בָּלַתְהָּה 2 Sam. xxii. 41, &c.; בֹוֹשׁ for לָּלֵב Jer. xlii. 10, as instances in which

when terminating a syllable (not the last) and having a Shevá (:), will be dropt: as, חבול for הוא bearing a child; חבול for מבול for מבו

1. The reason of these elisions seems to be, that as the 'would be enounced with some difficulty with an initial Shĕvá, and the in each case on account of its being a nasal, both have been neglected in writing.

77. When the last two letters of any root, and occasionally of derivatives, happen to be the same, one of them is usually dropt: as, In for Indiana, and occasionally of the same, one of them is usually dropt: as, In for Indiana, and occasionally dropt: as, In for Indiana, Ind

78. Letters of the same organ are, on account of a similarity of sound prevailing among them, sometimes changed the one for the other: e. g.

1. Labials : בְּלָט , סְּלָט , or אָבָ the back ; איקָט or בְּלָי fat ; סָׁלָט or

be escaped.

2. Palatals: סְבֶּל or בְבֶּל he shut up; א בָבֶל he travelled; מוֹבֵע or בְבָל he travelled;

3. Linguals: সূপুর or সমুর he robbed.

4. Sibilants: '주무 or 으로 or 우구 he exulted; 구말 or 구부 he cried out; 구구 or 구구 he laughed.

5. Gutturals: בְּקְּהַ or הְשְׁבְּ he was weak; בְּאָּ or בְּאָ he was mournful.

or 3 having a vowel, is dropt by the aphæresis. For my part, I doubt whether these are not rather infinitives than preterites; and if they are so, the elision comes under the above rule.

dutim

79. In a few instances, letters of different organs are changed one for another: as,

1. Sibilants for Linguals: אָבֶן or אָבֶן he quenched; אבָן or אָבָן or אַבָּן or אַבָּן or אַבָּן or אַבָּן he watched; שֹׁרִישׁ a fir tree; שַׁרְדָּחָ or אַבְּן he engraved.

- 2. Liquids for one another: לְבִילְ or לְבִילְ he oppressed; הַּצְּהִיל or הַצְּהִיל he caused to shine; בְּיבִּיל he opposed; בְּיבִילְ or הַצְּהִיל or הַצְּהָיל אוֹם or הַצְּהָיל or הַצְּהָן אוֹם or הַצְּהָן a proper name. So הַצְּהָן Něvū-kadnētstsár, or בְּיבִּילְבִילְּרְ Něvū-kadnētstsár.
- 80. The בולי letters, considered either as consonants or quiescents, will occasionally be changed for one another: particularly, when the pronunciation of the word is not materially affected by the change: e. g. בְּלוֹמְים or בְּלוֹמְים rags; אבּיל or בּלוֹמְים Toég, a proper name; קבֹי or בְּלוֹמְים or בְּלוֹמְים or בִּלוֹמְים or בִּלוֹמְים or בִּלוֹמְים or בִּלוֹמְים or בְּלוֹמְים or בִּלוֹמְים or בִּלוֹמָים or בִּלוֹמָים or בִּלוֹמָים or בִּלוֹמָים or בִּלוֹמָים or בִּלוֹמָים or בִּלְים or בִּלוֹמָים or בִּלוֹמָים or בִּלוֹמָים or בִּלוֹמָים or בּלוֹמָים or בִּלְים or בּלוֹמָים or בּלִבְּים or בּלוֹמָים or בִּלְים or בּלוֹמָים or בִּלְים or בִּלְים or בּלוֹמָים or בּלִים or בּלוֹמָים or בּלְּמִים or בּלִים or mane בְּלִים or בּלִים or בּלִים or בּלִים or בּלִים or mane בּלִים מוֹמֹים בּלִים מוֹמִים בּלִים מוֹמִים בּלִים בּלִים וֹתְים בּלִים בּלִּים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִּים בּלִים בּלְיבְּים בּלִים בּלְים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִים בּלִ
- 81. In like manner, ז is occasionally found in the place of one or other of the אַבָּקְי letters: as, בְּצָבְ for בְּצָבְ he set up; אָבְּ for בְּיִבְּי he was beautiful. So in the Syriac, הואל הוא he kills.
- 82. The letters of a syllable are sometimes transposed; as, בְּלֵיל for בְּלֵיל he was foolish; בְּלֶיל for בְּלֶיל a lamb; אָבָי for בְּלֶיל for בַּלְיל and, in some cases, a letter is transposed to another syllable; as, בְּלִיל for בְּלֶיל wickedness; בּלְיל for בְּלֶיל a garment.
- 1. These changes and transpositions (i. e. from Art. 78. to this place) do not otherwise affect the grammar of the language, than point out to the student what roots, &c., are said to be cognate; that is, are related to one another in their radical letters, and have the same, or very nearly the same, signification. The preceding, as well as the following, will affect the grammatical forms of words.
- 83. When the חַ of the Hithpāhel species of conjugation will, by analogy, precede any of the Sibilant letters (Art. 23.) a transposition, and occasionally a change, of that letter will take place: e. g. In the first case, בְּשִׁרְּחָה for הַּבְּשַׁרְּחָה for הַּבְּשַׁרְּחָה for הַּבְּשַׁרְּחָה he caused to keep. In the second: for particle in this case only, is the n changed to to be considered. In this case only, is the n changed to to be considered.
- 1. But, when a letter of the same organ with  $\Pi$  (see Art. 23.) follows, both will coalesce by  $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$  placed in the radical

letter: e. g. קבּל for הְבִּלְם, root הְבָּל he spoke; הְבָּלִם for הְבָּלִם, root הְבָּל he was clean; הְבָּל for הְבָּל הַלְּל הַלְּל הַלְּל he was complete.

2. This coalescence, which has been termed Assimilation, is found very generally to prevail; but not without some exceptions which

will be noticed hereafter.

3. In a few instances, this principle of assimilation is found to extend itself to other letters: e.g. אָבְיֹלָה for הַנְּלֶּבְּׁ for הַבְּבְּׁלְּה root הַבְּבָּׁ for הַבְּבְּׁל for הַבְּבְּלְּה root הַבְּבְּל for בּבְּל for בּבְּל for בּבְּל for בּבְּל for בּבְל for בּבְּל for בּבְל for בּבְּל for בּבְל for בּבְּל for בּבְל for בּבְּל for בּבְּל for בּבְּל for בּבְּל for בּבְל for בּבְּל for בּבְל הבּבְל for בּבְל for בּבְל for בּבְל for בּבְל הבּבְל for בּבּל for בּבְל הבּבְל for בּבְל for בּבְּל for בּבְל הבּבְל הבּבְל for בּבְל הבּבְּל הבּבְל הבּבְּל הבּבְל הבּבְּל הבּבְל הבּבּל הבּבּל הבּבְל הבּבּל הבּבל הבּבּל הבּבל הבבּבל הבבּבל הבבּבל הבבּבל הבבּבל הבבבל הבבב

- 84. Letters are sometimes added either for the purpose of facilitating the pronunciation, or, for modifying the signification of words: e.g. in the first case: אַרְלְּוֹלְ for אַרְלְּוֹלְ for יַבְּילִי yesterday; יַבְּילִי for אַרְלְוֹלִי the arm.\* In the second: אַכְּילִי most cruel, from יַבְּילִי the arm.\* In the paragogic letters, as well as those used in forming the different species of nouns, conjugations, &c., of which an account will be given hereafter. The first of these cases is termed Prosthesis; the second Epenthesis, Paragoge, Heemanthi, &c., according to their different offices and uses.
- 85. Letters are occasionally dropt, (Art. 72. 76.), so also בּ, (and ז in the Syriac, Chaldaic, and Arabic,) terminating words in the plural number, when those words happen to be in the state of definite construction, of which more hereafter. ז likewise, in a very few instances at the end of proper names: as, בְּלְּדֹוֹן for בְּלֵּדִוֹן, for the reason given in Art. 76. 1.

### On the Contractions which take place in the Vowels.

- 86. As it may occasionally happen, in combining the vowels with the consonants, that certain sounds may arise either disagreeable to the ear, difficult of utterance, or, from some reason or other generally avoided by the people speaking a given language; it is of importance to know, in what cases these difficulties are found to arise in the language before us, and how they are obviated.
- 87. Whenever any vowel not homogeneous with one of the letters or (Art. 38.) happens to precede such letter, a vowel will be formed from the combination, partaking of the sound of both.

<sup>\*</sup> Of this sort are the words stablish and establish, special and especial, in English. So in the Greek στάχος ἄσταχος, νῆστις ἄνηστις, &c.

efm 1 = 1

Germa 7

- 1. (-) Páthakh preceding j will become j Khōlém: e.g. מְלֵבְ for יְבִּוֹלֶבְ Art. 73.) he begets; יוֹשֶׁב death; יוֹשֶׁב ib.) he causes to reside.\*
- 2, Vaw preceded by (ד) Khātúph, (ב) Kibbúts, (ב) Sēgól, or (ב) Tsēré, will become י e.g. קיים for קיים (from קיים מיים הוא היים הוא ליים הוא היים הוא היים הוא היים הוא מיים הוא מיים
- 4. When the vowel (י) or (י) precedes ;, the contraction will take place in י– perfect: e. g. קיבן for קֹבֶי (formed perhaps from בְּבָי cutting, and בָּבְי or בָּבְי from the root בְּבָי he was) a covenant; אָשׁ for הָּבְּי for בָּבְי for אָשׁי for בַּבְי for בְּבִי rebellion; בְּבִי for יבִי rebellion; בְּבִי הַ captivity;
- 6. Either (-) or (ד) preceding a guttural letter which has (ד) Kāméts, is frequently changed into (י) for the sake of euphony: as, אַקָּהָיִם for הַהָּיָם the mountains.

<sup>\*</sup> So in the Sanscrit regularly, a and u become o; a and i, e. See the Grammars. From this and similar cases which will occur, it appears extremely probable, that , when a consonant, was originally pronounced like our w, as Malkewth, which is regularly written מַלְכָּוֹלְ Malkewth: and so of others.

<sup>†</sup> There is a manifest anomaly in the first vowel of these words, which may be corrected thus: אַרָה or אַרָה, אַרָה, or אַרָה, or אַרָה, or אַרָה, or אַרָה,. This last would approach the orthography of the Syrians; the other, that of the Arabs.

### On the General Changes of the Vowels.

88. Could we suppose Hebrew words to have remained unaltered 1. as to their original forms, or unvaried in pronunciation with refe- 2. rence to the syllable on which the accent is placed, we might also suppose that no variation would ever have taken place in the vowels. But the fact is, the forms of words vary, in order to express the different shades of meaning of which the root is capable; and from this, as well as other causes, the accented syllable will not much in always remain the same: and, consequently, as the pronunciation of the word thus varied must alter, the vowels accompanying it must vary likewise.

89. Hence it will appear, that two circumstances are to be attended to with reference to the change of the Hebrew vowels. One is, the etymology or form of the word; the other, the situation of the accent.

90. The form of the word can only be understood from rules hereafter to be detailed. The principles, however, upon which the etymology rests, may here be considered; and that will etymology be sufficient at the present.

91. Any augment whatever prefixed to a word will not influence our present considerations, as the changes of the vowels do not depend upon this. We have now to do only with augments post- affactal fixed to words; because it is upon these alone that such changes depend.

92. These augments, then, may be considered as of two sorts: one commencing with a vowel, and which may be termed Asyllabic; the other with a consonant, which may be termed Syllabic.\*

93. We can readily perceive, that, upon any Asyllabic augment being postfixed to a word, the terminating consonant of such word must be taken in order to enounce it, otherwise it will remain silent; it being contrary to the laws of syllabication for any vowel to begin a syllable (Art. 31.). If, then, we attach the asyllabic augment D;, which is the mark of the plural number masculine, to any word, such as Dy a people, or De pure, we must necessarily take the last letter of either of these words to enounce it: and, if

\* The reason of these terms is obvious: a vowel cannot commence a syllable in Hebrew; hence augments thus commencing are termed Asyllabic: those commencing with a consonant are, for a similar reason, termed Syllabic.

4 2 hin 244 Mar

- the word have by analogy but one such terminating letter, then must the preceding syllable necessarily be perfect; but, if not, imperfect; e. g. בְּיֵלְ pure, (root בְּיִלְּם, the וֹ being rejected by Art. 72.) adding בּיִר, we have בְּיִלְם Bā-rím, pure ones, &c. But, taking בּיִל a people, which is derived from the root בְּיַלְים, (one of the radicals being rejected by Art. 77, we have בַּיִל and adding בּיִר, we shall have בַּיִל Ham-mím, peoples. It will entirely depend, therefore, upon the analogy of the word, whether the preceding vowel is to be perfect or not, due regard being had to the laws of syllabication.
  - 94. In the next place, if our augment is Syllabic, as בְּיִב, (which is one of the pronominal affixes signifying your, and carrying the accent with it,) as Hebrew words generally end in a consonant, the preceding vowel, in such case, must necessarily be imperfect; because, both the vowel will be without an accent, and it will be followed by a consonant: e. g. בְּיִבְב Bar-kém, your pure one; or, בַּיִב Ham-měkém, your people.
  - 95. Hence it will appear, that a perfect vowel will occasionally become imperfect; and, vice versá, an imperfect one, perfect: i. c. in other words, vowels will be changed for their homogeneous perfect or imperfect ones, as the rules of syllabication shall require.
  - 96. This correspondence however in the vowels is of two sorts, Direct, and Oblique.
- 1. The direct correspondence is that of the perfect with the im-\*\*Residual perfect vowels, respectively, as (\(\tau\)) with (\(\tau\)), (\(\cdot\)) with (\(\tau\)), &c. as given in the Table (Art 29.).
  - 2. The oblique correspondence is that of vowels, in some respects, dissimilar; as,  $(\tau)$  or (-) with (-) or (-); (-) or (-) with (-) or (-); and (-) with (-).
  - 97. Generally, therefore, when any change of the vowels must take place in order to comply with the laws of etymology and syllabication, those vowels which are either directly or obliquely homogeneous with their primitives, will be taken: the directly homogeneous ones for the most part; the other cases may be considered as exceptions to be learned by usage.
  - 98. This change of the vowels, moreover, will occasionally affect the penultimate syllable of a word, as well as the ultimate. Let us now consider by what laws the change will be regulated in this case.
  - 99. Generally, when the penultimate syllable ends in a perfect mutable vowel, that vowel will be rejected and its place be supplied

f with mes

legne

either by Shěvá or one of its substitutes, according to rules hereafter to be given; but, if that vowel be immutable,\* it will remain unaltered: e. g. affixing בין to לבן a word, we shall have דָּבֶרִים Děvā-rím, words: but, attaching it to יוֹקר visiting, where the penultimate vowel is immutable by analogy, we have בּוֹקְדֵים persons visiting.

100. In like manner, if the penultimate syllable end in a consonant, and have no accent, it will necessarily remain immutable, whatever be the affix: as, מַקְדִּישִׁים a sanctifier, בַּקְדִּישִׁים or

מַקְּקְּרְשָׁכֶם.

101. In all cases, in which the penultimate is immutable either by analogy or position, the ultimate vowel, if mutable by analogy, 2, will be rejected: but, when both are immutable, no change will take place in the vowels, whatever be the affix. Examples of the ו. first case, קרוד a person visited, בקרוד , פקרוד , of the second, מַבּוּעַכֶם, מַבּוּעִים.

102. The changes to which the vowels are liable from the acci-ull + fee dence of the Grammar, can affect no vowel beyond the penul- hely uf timate: because, first, the prefixing of any augment whatever to a word does not affect its vowels (Art. 91); and, secondly, as no syllable beyond the penultimate can be affected by the tone- have accent, neither can it by any augment affixed to such word: the syllables thus situated will therefore remain undisturbed by grammatical accidence.

103. The reason for abridging words, either in the ultimate, or penultimate, syllable (for both seldom occur together) when any augment is suffixed, seems to be this: Were words thus to be augmented in addition to their own primitive vowels, they would become inconveniently long. And, on the other hand, as those vowels, which have been termed immutable, constitute the distinctive character of the words in which they are found, perspicuity forbids that any change should take place in them.

## On the Use of Shevá and its Substitutes.

104. Having laid down the general laws relating to the changes of the vowels, we now proceed to notice a few affecting the use of Shevá and its Substitutes. To enter into all the minutiæ

<sup>\*</sup> It will be shewn hereafter, in what cases this takes place.

usually brought forward about these points, would neither be necessary nor agreeable to the student: the length and subtilty of the enquiry would not only be tedious and embarrassing, but when brought to a close, would probably leave him in possession of less practical knowledge on the subject, than the use of tables and his lexicon would supply. We shall therefore be brief, contenting ourselves with generals, and noticing a few anomalies as we proceed.

105. Shevá (:) may be either initial or final (Art. 40.). It will be initial at the commencement of any syllable, whether that be at the beginning or in the middle of a word; final when at the end of any syllable.

changed

Il von me

- Lung nictial 106. By grammatical accidence, however, two Shevás, or Shevá with a Substitute of Shevá, may concur as initials: but, as no one of them can stand for a vowel and so constitute a syllable when in conjunction with any consonant, some change must necessarily take place. In this case, the first Shevá, or Substitute for Shevá, will be changed into some imperfect vowel.
  - 1. Shevá so situated, which always happens at the beginning of a word, mostly becomes Khīrík (·), sometimes Páthakh (-) or Segól (יי): as, 1. בְּכְקוֹי for יְבְיקוֹי he visits, בְּכְקוֹי for יִבְּיקוֹי in visiting: 2, פָּנְפֵי for פָנְפֵי wings, and אָפָקדׁ for קוֹפֵי I visit. The use of Páthakh (-), however, is rare, and can be known only -from usage: Segól will occur only with 8\* or 77.
  - 2. One (or other) of the Substitutes of Sheva may concur with Shevá or with another Substitute of Shevá, either in the beginning or in the middle of a word; the change will then be into the imperfect vowel homogeneous with the substitute; e. g. will for הושר men, קהפה for התפוד he is turned over. So, in the middle, לבְּלָף for קּעָלָף thy work, יַעַמְרָר for יַעַמְרָר they stand. There are, however, many exceptions: as, וֹנְעֵשֵׁה it is made, or done, masc., but fem. בְּעִשִׂרָה, which can be known only by usage.
  - 3. But as the Substitutes of Shevá are various, it may be necessary here to state in what cases either of them is generally preferred; because, upon this the operation of the foregoing rule will depend.

<sup>\*</sup> This is regularly the case in the first person singular of the species Kal, Niphal, and Pihel, when no guttural letter follows.

mit . a

4. Generally, when one of the guttural letters commences a syllable, whether in the beginning or in the middle of a word,) and ought by analogy to take (:) Shevá; Khātéph Páthakh (-:) is mostly taken in its place: e. g. 1. At the beginning of words:

Their father;

make thou. 2. In the middle: The his redeemer;

make thou. 2. In the middle: The his redeemer;

get his redeemer;

fear ye;

The she proceeded.

5. There is, however, a considerable number of exceptions to

MARIA

/, this rule: \* and, 1st, Several monosyllables with their compounds commencing with & will take ( ...) Khātéph Sēgól in the place of ... Shevá; as אַלִּימֵלֵּהְ Élīmélek, a proper name. 2dly, Infinitives and Imperatives of the conjugation Kal, when commencing with א: as, אבור eating, or eat thou; אבור saying, or say thou. 3. 3dly, The characteristic 7 of the Hiphhil species, in verbs 17 having the middle radical letter quiescent, and receiving some augment: as, הַבִּירָם he restored him or it; בִּירָם he put them to death. (The first person singular mostly follows the general rule, 4. and takes (-:).) 4thly, In a few words (::) is found with y at the beginning of a word: as, שָׁלָיִי strength; ענוּרת a pestil; ענוּרת a pestil; affliction; אַרוּצְ arrayed; בירוּצְ respond ye. 6thly, Khātéph Sēgól (\*:) is also found in the middle of a word: as, אַהֶּלָה towards the tent; זווה they take hold of thee; מחור and they become inflamed; אורבין she conceived me. 7thly. In the following we have (ד:) similarly situated: ווא I rest; ידור thou (fem.) didst bribe. And, 8thly, Generally, whenever a mutable has been rejected, its place may be supplied by (7:) when commencing 7: a word, and frequently when in the middle, whatever be the accompanying consonant: as, Digit months, from min a month;

attributed to the mistakes of the copyists.

107. Any guttural letter, originally commencing a word, and having a substitute of Shevá in its own right, by Art. 106. 4. upon being preceded by some particle with Shevá, but which by Art.

106. 1. must become an imperfect vowel, will require that such

אָהָלְיִי from אָהָלְּהָשׁי from אָהָלְּהָשׁי plural of אַהְלְּהָשׁי holiness, &c. And, 9thly, Some cases occur in which one or other of these Substitutes is found with a non-guttural letter, and when analogy does not seem to require it: e. g. אַרָּלִייִם gold, Gen. ii. 12; אַרְהָּיִּהְיִּה she mas taken, ib. ver. 23; and a few others, which may perhaps be

torne I hauge

imperfect vowel be homogeneous with that in the Substitute: e. g. אָבָי making, &c. prefixing אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, &c. which are the personal preformatives of the present he makes; אָבָי אָרָ אָרָ אָרָ אָרָ אַרָּ we make, &c. So likewise with other particles: as, אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, אַרָּ זֹרְ מַעְרָּדִּ in making; בְּעַרְדֹּ, בְּעַרְדֹּ, &c.

There are, however, some exceptions, as in the first persons singular of some of the species of conjugation, &c.; as, make, &c., which seems to be derived from make, as before; all of which, however, will be found in the dictionaries.

108. There are also other substitutions made for the mark Shěvá, which may be termed Euphonic. These take place, for the most part, at the end of words where two Shěvás concurring, by analogy, would introduce some difficulty into the pronunciation.

# gutural Ligarit? Particular Rules for the insertion of DAGESH.

109. The guttural letters, viz.  $\aleph$ ,  $\sqcap$ ,  $\sqcap$ , and  $\aleph$ , to which  $\urcorner$  may be added, are, on account of the difficulty with which they are enounced, incapable of being doubled; the consequence is, they cannot receive  $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$  forte: and, as they do not come under the rule relating to the letters  $\square \square \square \square \square$  (Art. 31.), they are also impervious to the operation of  $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$  when termed lene.  $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$ , therefore, cannot be regularly inscribed in any one of them.  $\blacksquare$  But, when analogy requires

<sup>\*</sup> In a few instances, however, it is found in  $\neg$  and  $\aleph$ ; as in 1 Sam. x. 24; xvii. 25; Gen. xliii. 26; Ezek. xvi. 4; Job xxxiii. 21, &c.

its insertion, either the preceding vowel is made perfect, by way of compensation, as אַבְּיבָּא Bā-rék, instead of בּיבָּא Bar-rék, in which case it is said to be expressed; or, it is only considered as being so, as, אַבְּיבָא měrā-khé-pheth, instead of אַבְיבָא for אַבְּיבָא (Art. 43. note); here Dāgésh is said to be implied.

110. Generally, Dāgésh is to be inscribed in any one of the letters אַבְּיִבְּיבִּיּבְ, whenever such letter begins a syllable; provided the preceding syllable do not end in a perfect vowel or in one of the letters מוֹבְיּבִיבְּיִי in a quiescent state, or in one of the Substitutes of Shēvá; for then it will not be inscribed in any of these letters.

The exceptions are as follows:—

- The exceptions are as follows:

  1. Should the preceding vowel be perfect, or the syllable end in one of the quiescent letters 'III'S, still, if two of the III'S letters concur in the commencement of the following word, the first will receive Dāgésh; e. g. 'II'S Emū-ná-thěkā běphí, Psalm lxxxix. 2. See also Isa. x. 9, Gen. xxxix. 12, &c. Dāgésh will also be inscribed, should such perfect vowel, or quiescent letter preceding, have a distinctive accent; or, should the preceding word have any accent on the penultimate. A few exceptions are found when the preceding accent is conjunctive.
- - 111. In the next place, when any one of the בַּבְּרָבֶּבְּ letters occurs, not being at the beginning of a word, but following a final

<sup>\*</sup> Some, however, read this passage without Dagésh.

<sup>†</sup> Exod. xv. 11, 16. Isa. liv. 12. Jer. xx. 9. Dan. iii. 3; v. 11. Ps. xxxv. 10. On the conjunctive and distinctive character of the accents, see the last lecture in this work.

Leu: nulst

Un motion

cont.

Sheva (:), it will regularly receive the point Dāgésh; as, 주기가 Pa-kád-tā, 주기가 Pa-kádt, except in the following cases.

- 1. When this (:) stands in the place originally occupied by either (י) or (י), Dāgésh will not be inscribed in the בַּבְּבָּבְּ letter following: e. g. קבְּבִּי kid-vā-ré-kā, not בִּבְּבָּ kid-bā-ré-kā: the primitive form of which is בְּבָּבְ dā-vár. So בִּבְבָ הַ or קבִּ הַ So also בִּבְבַ Bil-váv, from בִּבְ Lē-váv.
- 2. After an initial Shěvá (:) which would be pronounced, but which becomes quiescent upon some particle being prefixed, (Art. 41.) Dāgésh will not be inscribed : as, בְּבִּרְלּ, Gěvúl, and, prefixing בִּבִּרְלֹּ, Lig-vúl, not Lig-búl. So with בִּבִּרְלֹת veeping, בְּבִּרְלֹת a he-goat, &c. as singulars, and דַּבְּרְלֹת veeping, יִבְּרָלוּת sepulchres, &c. as plurals. Infinitives and Imperatives of the form בִּבְּרָלְתָּ, with any augment, will also be excepted; as, בַּבְּרְלַתְּ, Rod-phó, his persecuting, אוֹנָבְּרָלְ Shik-vá, lie thou down, &c. where the last radical letter is one of the letters.
- 3. After a final Shěvá (:), following an imperfect vowel, which has arisen out of some one of the substitutes of Shěvá (Art. 106. 2. &c.); e. g. אַבְּיִבְּיִיבְ Nā-ḥam-dá, not אַבְּיִבְּיִבָּי, Isa. l. 8.

But if such Shevá itself have arisen out of one of these substitutes, Dāgésh will be inscribed; as, Ying Yakh-póts, Deut. xxv. 7.

- 4. The affixed pronouns אָרָ, הְשָׁרָ, never receive Dāgésh lene: עַבְּרָהָת the letters עַבְּרָהָּת preceding אָרָ, as, בְּלָרָהּת Mal-kúth, עַבְּרָהּת Yal-dúth. So also בַּלֶּרְהָּת , when receiving any asyllabic augment, the latter in בָּלֶרָה Neg-dú only, Ps. cxvi. 14;\* בְּלֵּרִה Big-dó, 2 Kings ix. 13, &c., to which a few others might be added.
  - 112. Dāgésh, in its capacity of reduplicating certain letters, is sometimes found at the beginning of words; as, בְּלֵּבְיּבְּי pronounced Maz-zé, מְשֵׁה מֵּשְׁה Mō-shel Lē-mór, &c., in which case it is purely euphonic. So also in שֵׁבְּרָבְ Mik-kĕdásh, for מַּבְּרָב Mik-dásh, Exod. xv. 17; אָבְּרֶבְ Vá-ek-kĕré-hā, for אָבָּרֶב, &c.
  - 113. And, on the contrary, there are a few instances, (particularly in verbs having one of the liquids either for the second, or the two last radicals,) in which every letter is written at length; as, צַּלָּלוֹ Tsil-ló, Job xl. 21; קָּבָּבְיִ Khon-né-nī, Ps. ix. 14; not יִבְּלֵּבִי or

<sup>\*</sup> Which, however, Ib. ver. 18, has Dāgesh, קָנָרָה.

יְבָּכִי and others in which it is omitted, contrary to analogy; as, הַלְּכֵּם : and others in which it is omitted, contrary to analogy; as, הַלְּכֵם for הַלְּלֶוּ she sent; הַלְּכֵם praise ye; הַלְּכֵם for הַלְּלֶוּ praise ye; הַלְּכֵם for הַלְּלֶוּ

from אַבָּ (rather from אָבִיּבִי ; Arab. עוֹבִיי), Gen. xxix. 6;
אַבּ thorns, from אַבִּיבִי ; אַבּ Sam. xxiv. 22. In the same manner, אַבּ a wine-press; אַבָּ consternation; אַבָּ measure; אַבָּ circuitous; אַבָּ tribute; אַבָּ door-post; אַבָּ morsel; and אַבְּ the side, change
אַבּ (-) into (·) upon receiving any asyllabic augment. The same takes place with the verb אַבְּ לְּעָבִי עִּבְּ שִׁנְ אַבְּ אָבִי לִּבְּ שִׁנְ אַבְּ אָבִי לִּבְּ שִׁנְ אַבְּ אָבִי לִּבְּ אָבִי לִּבְּ אָבִי לִּבְּ אָבִי לִּבְּ אָבִּ אַבְּ אָבָּ אַבְּ אַבְּ אַבְּ אַבָּ אַבְּ אָבָּ אַבְּ אַבְּ אַבְּ אַבְּ אָבָּ אַבָּ אַבְּ אַבָּ אַבְּ אַבָּ אָבָּ אַבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אַבָּ אַבָּ אָבָּ אַבָּ אַבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּי אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּי אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּי אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּ אָבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּ אָבָּי אָבָּ אָבָּי אָבְיּי אָבָּי אָבָ אָבָּי אַבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּעָ אָבָּי אַבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּי אָבָּי אַבָּי אָבָּ

196.

#### LECTURE IV.

ON THE GENERAL USE AND SITUATION OF THE ACCENTS.

115. OF the origin and use of these accents very little can now be said with any degree of certainty. Much labour and learning, indeed, have been bestowed on their investigation; but, after all, the conclusions arrived at are beset with so much uncertainty, that some of the best Grammarians both Jewish and Christian have confessed that they knew but little on the subject.\* We must, therefore, be content with the common rules respecting them, and here, with those only which are generally found to interfere with the vowels of the text.

116. These accents (Art. 60.) are said to have two offices; the one *Tonic*, that is, having the power to moderate the tone of voice in which any portion of the Scripture is to be read; the other *Euphonic*, that is, when added either for the purpose of giving a kind of secondary accent to words, or of regulating the syllables. It seems most likely, however, that they are added generally, for the purpose of filling up the system, as to perfect or imperfect vowels, and of obviating a great number of anomalies, which would otherwise occur.

#### On the Tonic Accent.

117. The Tonic accent may be any one of those found in the table (Art. 59.): and it will always be found either expressed, or implied,† on the penultimate or ultimate syllable of every word. When on the penultimate, the word is said to be אַלְבֶּע Milhél; when on the ultimate, Milráh. The following are the general rules for its insertion.

All words, the penultimate vowel of which is imperfect and has not a consonant immediately following it, will have the Tonic

<sup>\*</sup> See my reply to Dr. Laurence, entitled, "A Vindication of certain Strictures," &c. Cambridge, 1822, p. 17, &c.

<sup>+</sup> That is, if the accent be one of those not placed on the regularly accentuated syllable, it must be considered as giving emphasis either to the penultimate or ultimate, according to the analogy of the word.

accent on that syllable. Of this kind are all duals, and segolate nouns; nouns having the feminine affix אוֹ (thy), attached to dual or plural forms; and all nouns having terminations peculiar to the segolate forms: as, בּיֵבְי both hands, Gen. xxvii. 22; אוֹני hunting; both hands, Gen. xxvii. 22; אוֹני thy eyes (fem.), Cant. i. 15; אוֹני thy appearances, Ib. ii. 14; אוֹני בְּיִבְּי a rose; אוֹני perfumed with incense, Ib. iii. 6, &c.

The reason is obvious; the penultimate syllable is in all these cases imperfect, the addition of the accent is, therefore, necessary for the purposes of syllabication (Art. 33. 43.).

Segolate nouns having the penultimate vowel perfect, will, on account of their peculiar character, retain the accent on that syllable: as מָרָה concealment; מָרָה (for מְלֵיה) death; אַרְה (for מַרָּה) emptiness (See Art. 43. note). Also proper names ending in אַרָּה בּאַר Zedekiah.

- 3. Verbs terminating (i. e. in the process of conjugation) in the pronominal syllables אָר, יְהַ, אָב, and הֹבְּיְבָּרְיּלּ ; as אֲבְּבְּרְיּ\* thou art willing, Ps. xl. 7; יְהַיִּבְּיִר I have announced (good tidings), Ib. v. 10; we have dealt falsely, Ps. xliv. 18; הַבְּיִרְבָּי they (fem.) shall come, Ps. xlv. 16.
  - 4. Asyllabic afformatives are subject to the same rule, in the

<sup>\*</sup> These cases ought, regularly, to be pointed,  $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \bullet\\ \bullet\\ \bullet\end{array} \end{array}$  i. e. with  $(\tau)$  with the second syllable. The Jews however seem to have pointed verbs with (-), for the purpose of distinguishing them from nouns; as,  $\begin{array}{c} \bullet\\ \bullet\\ \bullet\end{array}$  instead of  $\begin{array}{c} \bullet\\ \bullet\\ \bullet\end{array}$ , and by this means an anomaly has been introduced among the syllables.

conjugation Hiphhil: as, הושינה hope thou (fem.), Ps. xliii. 5; הושינה it (fem.) brought salvation, Ps. xliv. 4. Also in the surd and concave verbs: as, יבול surround ye, Ps. xlviii. 13; אליבור move, Ps. xlvi. 7; likewise when these affixes are joined to the third person singular and plural of the preterite of verbs: as, אליבורי he visited me; אליבורי it (fem.) hath overwhelmed me, Ps. lxix. 3; אליבורי she hath loved thee, Ruth iv. 15; יבורי they surrounded me, Ps. xviii. 6.\*

בליקיע 118. The tonic accent will have its place on the last syllable of words, in the remaining cases, which are then termed

Milrah, and are as follows:-

1. All words ending with a consonant preceded by a perfect vowel by analogy: as, בְּלֵית great; לומים luminary; בּלְית sons; מוֹבְיל daughters. The same is the case when any of the בּלְילוֹת daughters are thus situated, being then considered as consonants: as, a year; מ מַבֵּבְּה a pillar: and even ד preceded by (יי), as, ווֹנִיי he is, &c.

2. All words ending in one of the grave affixes: as, קַבֶּבֶּע your blood; בְּיַבֶּע their father; בִּינֶתְם ye shall be, Gen. iii. 5.

3. Verbs having no affixed pronoun: as, אוֹלְיִי he is called.

4. Verbs taking the asyllabic afformatives אָרָ, אֹ, and ': as, יוֹ שִׁיְקְבְּיוֹ it is quiet; יוֹבְיּ they visited; בּקְרָיִי hide thyself (fem.); ה. and finally, all words not comprehended in any of the preceding rules (i. e. Art. 117.).

On certain Anomalies as to the situation of the Accent, and on the changes effected by it on the vowels and consonants of words.

119. These generally take place, when the illative particle is prefixed to verbs, in which case, 1st, the Tonic accent which is

<sup>\*</sup> These particulars will hereafter be illustrated by tables.

<sup>+</sup> Usually termed Vaw conversivum, but which corresponds to the Arabic

or , therefore, &c.

proper for the penultimate vowel in the past tense (Art. 117. 3.), will be removed to the ultimate: (and, 2dly, vice versa, the accent proper for the ultimate in the present (Art. 118. 1.) will be removed to the penultimate: as, 1st, אַרְשִּׁרִין so I will consecrate (for אַרְשִּׁרִין), Exod. xxix. 44; אַרְשִּׁרִין so thou shalt be gathered (for אָרִבְּיִבְּיִן), Numb. xxvii. 13; אַרְבִּיִּרִין so it shall divide; אַרְבּיִּרִין thus thou shalt bring, Exod. xxvi. 33; אַרְבָּיִן so she shall increase, Isa. vi. 12; אָרִבּיִן therefore thou shalt place, Lev. xxiv. 6; אַרְבָּיִן so she shall return, Lev. xxii. 13; אַרְבִּין thus they shall place, Numb. vi. 27; אַרְבּיִּן so thou shalt enter, Gen. vi. 18.

- 2. This rule, however, is often disregarded: as, יְלְקְּחָרֵּנוּ so we took, Gen. xxxiv. 17; מחל and we will depart: particularly in verbs having a quiescent letter for the third radical; as, מוֹלְיִרְאָרִי and thou shalt fear, Lev. xix. 32; מחל ווֹלְיִרְאָרִי and I will reveal, 1 Sam. xx. 12; יְרִילְייִ and thou shalt go into captivity, Ezek. xii. 3. With some having the medial radical letter quiescent: as, and thou shalt flee, 2 Kings ix. 3.

Corollary. Hence it will follow, that the accent being removed from the last syllable, the ultimate vowel must necessarily become imperfect (Art. 33.): as, אַבָּרָיּ and he was struck (for אָבֶּרָיּ ); (for אָבֶּרְיִּ ) and he said; אַבְּיִר and he died (for אַבְּיִר); and so of others.

4. In the conjugation termed <u>Hiphhil</u>, as it will be seen hereafter, the terminating vowel is either ('.) or (-). Whenever, therefore, the accent is drawn-back, by this or any other rule, the imperfect vowel will be (-) not (-): as, בְּיֵבֶּי so he raised (from בְּיֵבֶּי or בִּיבֶּי). So בַּיֵבֶי so he lodged (for בַּיֵבֶי or בַּיִבֶּי), Gen. xxviii. 11, Josh. viii. 9; בּיִבְּי so he rested (for בַּיבָּי or בַּיִבֶּי or בַּיבָּי or בַּיִבְי or בַּיבָּי or בַּיבִּי or בַּיבָּי or בַּיבָּי or בַּיבָּי or בַּיבָּי or בַּיבְּי סִיּ בְּיבּי סִיּ הַיּבְי or בַּיבְּי סִיּ בְּיבִּי or בַּיבְּי סִיּ בְּיבִי or בַּיבְּי בּים בּיבּי or בַּיבְּי בּים בּיבּי סִיּ בּיבְּי בּיבְּי בּים בּיבּי בּיבְּי בּיבְּי בּיבְּי בּיבְּי בּיבְּי בּיבְיּי בּיבְי בּיבְי בְּיבִּי בְּיבִי בּיבְּי בּיבְּי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבִּי בְּיבִי בְּיבִי בּיבְּי בְּיבִּי בּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבִי בְּיבְי בְיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְיבְי בְיבְיי בְּיבְי בְיבְיּבְי בְּיבְיּבְי בַי בַּיבְי בְיבְיבְי בְּיבְיי בְיבְי בַּיבְיי בְּיבְיּיבְי בְיבְיב

In one instance, however, we have אָרָהָוֹ (for אָרֶה, root אָרֶה) and she broke, Judg. ix. 53.

1 16 4 2

But

- 5. When, however, the last letter of the root happens to be one of the letters in a state of quiescence, the perfect vowel will occasionally remain: as, sand he brings, Gen. iv. 3.
  - 6. In many cases, also, this removal of the accent is altogether neglected: as, > so I sit, 1 Kings viii. 20, &c. 15 Dog 1 helong t 1 m &
  - 7. In consequence of this removal of the accent, the terminating vowel of the present tense of verbs is changed (No. 3. above), and entirely rejected with the consonant following, when that is quiescent: e. g. יְבְיִ for יְבְיִ (Art. 74.); and, by 108, the former will be 32, which is termed Apocope.
  - 8. Again, the accent is occasionally removed from the ultimate to the penultimate syllable both in the present tense and in the imperatives of verbs, for the purpose of expressing prohibition, forbearance, exhortation, wishing or the like, with the greater emphasis; as, אַל־קּאָשׁ turn not away, 1 Kings ii. 20 (for בַּיִּהָאָן); מל־תוֹכָח chastise not, Prov. ix. 8 (for תוֹבֶת); מל־תוֹכְת add not, Prov. xxx. 6 (for apin, where the vowel of the medial radical is also rejected though not followed by a quiescent 기); 기반 I will water thee (for בינון, the root being ריו, usually, in which case the final radical letter generally returns. In this case the 'is doubled because the verb is in the conjugation Pihél). So קשׁמֵר observe (for הְשָׁמֵר ; הְשָׁמֵר give, &c. Gen. xi. 3, 4 (for הַהָּדְּה Gen. xxix. 21).
  - 9. So in verbs having the third radical letter a quiescent 1: as, ובד let him rule (for יבוד let him be blotted out (for יבוד !), Ps. cix. 13; אָפָן may he dilate (for מְּפָּרָ), Gen. ix. 27; 'וֹכְּרָּ let it be (for לְיהָעֶּה,), Gen.i. 3; אֵל־הָשָׁתָּה drink not (for הַשָּׁתָּה), Lev. x. 9; let it not be seen (for יִרָאָה), Exod. xxxiv. 3; אַל־הָּרֶרָף: relax not (for הַּבְּהַבּ, where the verb takes the form of a segolate noun, see Art. 108); לתהי be not (for תוהיה); and so of others. But it may here be remarked, as before, that the common form of the verb and usual position of the accent are often adopted: as, lest he should see, Gen. xxi. 16; בל־אָראָה lest he should see, Job iii. 9.
  - 10. Examples of imperatives subject to Apocope: 32 reveal thou (for בְּלֵה in Pīhél); קבר smite thou (for בַּלָּה), Amos ix. 1; בַּרָבּ multiply thou (for in Hiphhil. Here the form assumed is that of a segolate noun, the first vowel becoming ( ; ), in order to accommodate itself to the sound of the second, Art. 108.), Ps. li. 4.

- So בְּעֵלֵים cause thou to ascend (for הַעְלֵהַם), Exod. xxxiii. 12; הַתְּחָל feign thyself (to be) sick (for הָתְחַלּם), 2 Sam. xiii. 5.
- 11. It frequently happens in verbs ending with a radical הלורי (for ') and receiving some asyllabic augment, that not only is the accent drawn back, as in the cases above mentioned (No. 8.), but the original radical letter also appears: as, און ווי ווי ווי (fem.) hath trusted (for און בילי מבכילות מבכילות מבכילות מבילות מ
- 120. Again, the accent will be withdrawn from the ultimate to the penultimate syllable, in order to avoid the concurrence of two Tonic accents, which would happen when the following word is a monosyllable with an accent or a dissyllable with an accent on the penultima. But here, the penultimate vowel of the former of such two words, will remain perfect: as, אַן הַאָּלְים he opened the rock (for אַרְהַים has this come to pass? (for אַרְהַיִּהְים) Joel i. 2; אַרְהַיִּהְים has this come to pass? (for אַרְהַיְהַיּם) Joel i. 2; אַרְהַיְהַיּם has this come to pass? (for אַרְהַיְהַיּם) Joel i. 2; אַרְהַיִּהְיִּם has this come to pass? he will give thee, Deut. xix. 8; אַרְהַיִּהְיִּם he will exult exceedingly, Ps. xxi. 2.
- 2. Verbs, receiving any <u>asyllabic</u> augment, and on that account the rejecting any of their primitive vowels, will, upon the removal of the accent by the above-mentioned rule, restore such rejected

<sup>\*</sup> These Imperatives are probably nothing more than primitive abstract nouns, termed Segolates, enounced with some energy.

<sup>+</sup> The principles which regulate this retraction of the accent, and the consequent apocope, will be considered in the Syntax.

3. Should, however, this drawing back of the accent with the consequent change of the ultimate vowel tend to <u>destroy or obscure</u> the original form and signification of the word, no such change will take place: as, בְּלֵלְתֹּלְ *the hidden things of the heart* (not יבֹּלְ with Kāméts Khātúph); בוּלְלְתֹלְ passing over the sea (not בִּלְץ).

4. The accent will frequently fall on the penultimate instead of the ultimate syllable in words which conclude a sentence, or a member of a sentence. These accents are, for the most part, Sillúk, Athnákh; and, in the poetical books, Mercá with Mahpák (Art. 59.).

5. In many of these cases, the removal of the accent will occasion no change whatever in the vowels: as, הַשְּׁבֶּוֹ (for הַשְׁיִבָּוֹ ) inhabited, Jer. vi. 8; קלר (for קָרָר אַדְ ) make bare, Ps. cxxxvii. 7; בְּלֹר (for בְּלַר ) they are consumed, Ps. xxxvii. 20, &c.

- 6. But, in those persons of the verb which are formed by some asyllabic augment, or have the paragogic ה, and in which the second vowel of the root has been rejected, the accent, taking that syllable, will restore such vowel: as, הוֹהְיִרְיּ (for הַּבְּיִרְ from the root בְּבִירְ ) she hath approached, Zeph. iii. 2; יְלֵלוֹ (for הַבְּיִר root הַבְּיִר (for הַבְּיִר root) they were able, 2 Kings iii. 26; הייל (for הַבְּיר root, i. e. form for the Pres., הוֹשִׁי ye shall keep, Exod. xxxi. 13. So הוֹבְּיר (from הַבְּיר (from בַּבְּיר (from בַּבְיר (from בַּבְּיר (from בַבְּיר (from בַּבְּיר (from בַבְּיר (from בַבְיר (from בַבְּיר (from בַבְּיר (from בַבְּיר (from בַבְיר (from בַבְיר (from בַבְיר (from בַבְיר (from בַבְיר (from בַבְיר (from בַבְי
- 7. When the original final vowel is (-) it will generally become (ד) when thus accompanying the accent: as, אוֹלְבֶּי she departed (from the root אַבְּיִי ), Is. xlvi. 2. So אַבְּיִי (from דְּבִּיִי ) it was taken, 1 Sam. iv. 17; אַבְּיִי (from אִיבִּין (from אַבְּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי ), Is. xxii. 20 (בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִּי (from בַּי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִי (from בַּיִי (from בַי (from בַּיִי (from בַּייִי (from בַּיי (from בַּיי (from בַּיי (from בַּייִי (from בַּיי (from בַּי (from בַּיי (from בַּיי (from בַּיי (from בַּיי (from בַּי (from בַּיי (from בַּיי))) בּיבְּיי (from בַּיי (from בַּיי (from בַּייר (from בַּייר))) בּיבְיּיר (from בַּייר (from בַּייר (from בַּייר (from בַּייר
  - 8. But, when a paragogic I follows the asyllabic augments ? or

<sup>\*</sup> The Dagesh found here in the has, perhaps, been introduced for the sake of euphony only, (Art. 112.)

י., the accent usually retains its proper situation, while the second אַל ייי the accent usually retains its proper situation, while the second אַל ייי עסשפו of the root is restored, as in the last article: as, אַלְיִיי (from בְּהַיִּייִ they will collect; יְבִּייִבְייִ (from בְּהַיִּייִ they will be confounded; יִבְּיִנְיִי (from יִבְּיִנְיִיִּיִי they will expire, Ps. civ. 28, 29.\*

- 122. Apocopated <u>present</u> tenses of verbs, having <u>in the place</u> of the third radical letter in, take (י) for the vowel of the personal <u>preformative</u>, when so circumstanced as to receive the accent proper for the pause on that syllable: as, בּוֹהֵי (for בּוֹהֵי from psalm xxxiii. 9; 'בּוֹהֵי and she was, Lam. iii. 37. So יֵהֵי, he shall live (for יֵהֵי), Is. xxxviii. 21.
- 123. When any word, with the affixed pronoun happens to be the last word of a sentence, &c., so that the accent proper for the pause will fall upon its penultimate vowel, two methods have been invented for the purpose of avoiding any disagreeable concurrence which may happen in the vowels.
- 1. Instead of (:) (which is proper for affixing this pronoun, as will be seen hereafter,) (יי) is introduced as a vowel of union: as אַבֶּילְיִי (for אַבְיִין (for אַבְיִין) thy word, Gen. xlvii. 30; אַבָּילִין (for אַבְיִין) thy inheritance, Ps. ii. 8; אַבָּילִין (for אַבְיִין) thy salvation, Ps. li. 14; (for אַבְייִן) thy name, Ps. cxxxviii. 2, &c.
- 2. The vowel proper for this pronoun is occasionally transposed: as, নান্ত্রা (for নাম্না ) thy destruction, Deut. xxviii. 24; নাম্না (for নাম্না ) he hath adorned thee, Is. lv. 5; নাম (for নাম) he hath commanded thee, I Sam. xiii. 13.
- - 4. The pronoun 7 is subject to this rule even when not in

<sup>\*</sup> The influence and use of this adjunct will be considered in the Syntax.

the situation for receiving a pause-accent: as, 기가 he answered thee, Jer. xxiii. 37; for 키가.

- 5. When a pause-accent falls on an ultimate or penultimate (-) Páthakh, or on a penultimate (-) Segol, in segolate forms, that vowel is, for the most part, changed into (-) Kāméts: as, אַבָּי for אַבָּי he hath stood; אַבָּי for אַבּי אַבּי for אַבָּי for אַבּי אַבּי אַבּי for אַבּי אַבּי אַבּי for אַבּי אָבּי אַבּי אַבּי אַבּי אַבּי אָבּי אַבּי אַבּי אָבּי אָבי אָבּי אָבי אָבי אָבי אָבּי אָבי אָבּי אָבי אָבּי אָבי אָבּי אָבי אָבי אָבּי אָבּי אָבי אָבי
- 6. There are however certain exceptions: as, 기구함 \* he laughed, Gen. xvii. 17; 기가 prey, Ib. xlix. 27; 가라 they shall be set on fire, Is. xxxiii. 12; 구구 thou hast spoken, Ib. xxxix. 8; 기다 security, Prov. i. 33; 기다 a nurse, Ruth iv. 16. But these may be errors of the copyists.

7. The pause-accent will sometimes change a terminating (") into (-): as, אַבָּי for אַבֵּי he shall go, Job xxvii. 21; בְּיִבְין return, restore, for בְּיִבְין, Is. xlii. 22; בְּיִבְין (for בְּיִבְין) tarry not all night, Jud. xix. 20.

124. <u>Makkáph</u> following a terminating perfect and mutable vowel, which precedes a consonant, will change the vowel into its corresponding imperfect one: as, אָרָדְיָלֶים (for אָרָדְיָלֶים) remember now; בְּלִילֶיִם (for אָרָלְיִלִים) all kings; אַרִּדְּעָלִים (for אַרָּלְיִלִים) the people, &c.

2. But if such final vowel be immutable, no change can take place: as, אַכּישׁי אַכּי to, or for, another man, Jer. iii. 1; אַבְּיִרְיּחׁוֹא the sign of the covenant, Gen. ix. 12. The reason of this is; the addition of Makkaph deprives the word to which it is attached of its tonic accent, and this makes it necessary that the preceding vowel be imperfect, when that is possible (Art. 33.).

## On the Use and Situation of the Euphonic Accent.

125. It has already been remarked, that the Euphonic accent, Métheg, may be considered as supplying a secondary accentuation (Art. 64. 5.), with reference to some tonic accent, preceding it. Monosyllables, therefore, can never have an Euphonic accent, unless indeed they happen to precede Makkáph; but, in that case, they are considered as making an integral part of a compound word, and may receive the Euphonic accent according to rules presently to be laid down. Dissyllables may receive an Euphonic

<sup>\*</sup> In some editions সুনুমু

accent; but these syllables must have a final (:) Shevá intervening: as, the shall be, &c.

## Rules for the Insertion of the Euphonic Accent.

126. The third syllable (not ending with a consonant) of any word, reckoning inclusively from the tonic accent, will have the Euphonic accent Métheg; as, אַרָהָ the one, Gen. ii. 11; אַרָהְיִהְ which proceedeth, Ib. v. 14; אַרְהָּיִהְ I shall possess it, Ib. xv. 8; אַרָּהְיִהְ from our father, Ib. xix. 32; אַרְהָּיִהְ according to their tongues, Ib. x. 20; אַרְהְּיִרְרָּוְהַאָּן and from thy kindred, Ib. xii. 1.

127. But if this third syllable end in a consonant, the Euphonic accent will be with the fourth: as, אַרָּבָּיל and of their fat, Gen. iv. 4; אַרְּבָּיל and I remain, 1 Kings xix. 10 (where ), being

doubled by Dāgésh, concludes the syllable; as, מוֹלְצְוֹנְתְּלָּ, as, וֹלְצְוֹנְתְּלָּ,

2. Perfect vowels, preceding Shěvá, and having no tonic accent, will, if occupying the third place from any tonic accent, (reckoning the Shěvá), receive an euphonic one: as, אַרָּיִה it was, Gen. i. 2; thou (fem.) shalt bring forth, Ib. iii. 16; אַרָּיִר Nineveh, Ib. x. 11; אַרְיִּרְיִּר generations, Ib. ii. 4; אַרְיִּר he shall bruise thee, Ib. iii. 15.

3. In many instances the Euphonic accent is omitted; nor is it necessary it should ever be added, if we except one case, viz. when the figure of (\tau) Kāméts precedes Shěvá, for then this vowel will be either ā or just as the accent is added or not; as, Third wisdom (Art. 35.). In every other case, (:\tau=0) no difficulty can arise, whether the accent is added or not.

- 4. In some cases, however, the Euphonic accent seems to mark the <u>substitution</u> of an *imperfect*, for a *perfect* vowel: as, אַבְּיִלְּהְּ (for אַבְּיִלְּהְּ thy border, Exod. xxiii. 31; אַבְּיִלְּהְ for אַבְּיִלְּהְּ or זְּבְּיִלְּהְּ and they shall fear, Mic. vii. 17; where it is necessary for the completion of the syllable (Art. 33.). It is, nevertheless, frequently omitted, and must be supplied by the reader, particularly before an *implied Dāgésh* (Art. 109), &c.
- 5. The letter אולה with Shūrék prefixed to a word, and situated as above, with respect to the tonic accent, will sometimes be found with the Euphonic accent, and followed by one of the substitutes of Shěvá where (:) would be regular: as, אול מול and lead thou captive, Jud. v. 12; אול מול and be thou sought, Ezek. xxvi. 21.

Gry Wath

- 128. Words consisting of more than two syllables, the first of which is terminated by Dāgésh, will receive the Euphonic accent on that syllable: as, בְּלֵבְיֹלָת and they heard, Gen. iii. 8; מְלֵבְיֹלָת on the morrow, Ib. xix. 34; הוא הַבְּבֵּלֵת the knife, Ib. xxii. 6.\*
- 2. This will also hold good when the Dāgésh is omitted: as, אַרְבָּלְּבָּׁת and he felt him, Ib. xxvii. 22; אוֹרָבְּלָּבְּׁת which covereth, Exod. xxix. 13, &c. In all these cases Shèvá is initial; and, consequently, any one of the letters אוֹרָבְּלָּבְּּלָּבְּ, which may happen to follow, will retain its aspiration: as, בַּבְּלַבְּלָּבְּ the abhorrers, Mic. iii. 9. Hence וֹרְבָּלְּבְּלְּבִּ is to be pronounced Hālělú, not Hallú. Something of the same kind is observable in the words בַּבְּלְּבָּלְּבָּ and בִּבְּלְּבָּלְּבָּוֹ יִנְיִבְּאַנִּ ijust cited (Art. 127. 4.)
- 129. When any one of the <u>substitutes of Shevá</u> happens to be preceded by a vowel, that vowel will receive the <u>Euphonic</u> accent: as, אַנְאָלִי let us make, Gen. i. 26; אַנְאָלִי faithful, Numb. xii. 7; אַנְאָלִי his tent, Gen. xiii. 3; אַנְאָלִי the ground, Ib. i. 25; crying out (pl.), Ib. iv. 10; אַנְאָלִי and I would have dismissed thee, Ib. xxxi. 27.
- 2. When the substitute of Shěvá, moreover, is resolved into its homogeneous imperfect vowel (Art. 106. 2.), the Euphonic accent will still remain: as, לַנְיֵלְיִלָּי thy sandal, Isa. xx. 2; מוֹלְיִלִי and they shall fear, Hos. xi. 10.
- 130. When any imperfect vowel, at the beginning of a word, precedes a (:) Sheva, not accompanied by Dāgesh forte, it will, for the most part, have the Euphonic accent: as, וֹבְּיבֶּים its coupling, Exod. xxxix. 20; יוֹבְיבִּים they bowed themselves down, Jer. viii. 2; יוֹבְיבִים they broke off, Exod. xxxii. 3; יוֹבְיבִים they broke off, Exod. xxxii. 3; יוֹבְיבִים, לְּבִיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, לְבִיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, ווֹבִים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, ווֹבְיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבּים, אַבְּיבִּים, אַבְּיבִּים, אַבְּיבּים, אַבְּיבּים, אַבְּיבִּים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִּים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִּים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבִּים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבִּים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבִים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְיבְים, אַבְיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְיבּים, אַבְּיבּים, אַבְּיבּים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אַבְּיבְים, אבּיבּים, אבּיבּים, אבּיבּ

<sup>\*</sup> The Student must not be surprised, if he finds the different editions of the Bible vary on these points.

of low

Malchiel, Numb. xxvi. 45. In these cases we have Mūnákh or Kadmá in the place of Métheg, followed by Zāképh Kātón. In 2. so they proceed, Numb. xxii. 7, Kadmá is followed by Géresh; and, Ib. xxxii. 39, we have it again, coupled with Métheg, in some editions: as, יוֹלְכֵלוּ, which, with many similar examples, is perhaps to be ascribed to the carelessness, hurry, or whims, of the copyists.

2. In the following examples we have Mercá; in the place 3. of Methég: as, בְּלָכְבֵּן like the stars,\* Exod. xxxii. 13; וְיִּטְמְבֵּהָהּ so he hides him, Exod. ii. 12.+ In these cases Mercá is found

with Tiphkhá for its tonic accent.

3. In a few instances Yérakh - or Yěthív - is found in the place 4.5 of Métheg; as, מְשִׂשְׁבֶּוֹתִיהֶם from their counsels, Ps. v. 11; ישר whom it (fem.) loves, Cant. 1. 7. In the last case, however, w stands for my, and may therefore take any tonic accent. In numerous cases, as the student will find, many of these rules mult are never applied; and, in many others, as already remarked, the printed copies of the Hebrew Bible differ, as do also the MSS. elmbe In many too, neither the syllabication nor the sense of the passage, is affected by these accents, whence it should seem probable, that they have been added merely for the purpose of regu-legalat lating the tone of voice, in reading or chanting the text.

#### On the Use and Position of MAKKAPH.

132. Learned men are not agreed whether this mark is, or is not, to be ranked among the accents. Some have argued that it ought, because it is always found to supply the place of an accent. Others, again, that it ought not; because it is universally found to deprive the word to which it is attached of its toneaccent. That it is equivalent in effect to an accent, I think, both parties allow: and, if I mistake not, its depriving the word to which it has been attached of its tone-accent, seems to make for the hypothesis, that it ought to be considered as performing the functions of such accent. It seems to me, therefore, but a loss of time to argue against its being termed an accent.

\* In some editions פְּלְנָבֵי regularly.

<sup>+</sup> Which is also with Métheg in some editions.

### Rules for its Use and Insertion.

- 133. Words immediately connected with each other, either in signification or by grammatical-construction, are frequently connected by Makkapk, the former being then deprived of its tone-accent: as, אַבּרִיבְּיבִי Jehovah's word, Amos ii. 16; אַבּרִיבְיבִי pure of heart, Prov. xxii. 11; אַבּרִיבִי and he pitched (his tent) there; אַבּרִיבִּי a little son, 2 Sam. ix. 12; אַבּרִיבִּי חוֹ חוֹ בּרִיבִי אַבְּרִיבְיִי אַבְּרִי בְּרִיבִּי אַבְּרִי בְּרִיבִּי אַבְּרִי בְּרִיבִּי אַבְּרִי בְּרִיבִּי אַבְּרִי בְּרִי בְּר בְּרִי בְּרִי בְּרִי בְּרִי בְּרִי בְּרִי בְּרְי בְּרִי בְּרִי בְּרְי בְּרִי בְּ
  - 2. Since then the tone-accent is in all these cases taken away, p. words so connected, ending in a perfect mutable vowel, and followed by a consonant, will generally take the correspondent imperfect one in that syllable: as, בַּלִבְּלִבְּי every high thing, instead of בַּלִבְּלַבְּי come hither, for בַּלִבְּלַבְּי בָּלִבְּלַבְּי remember, pray, Isa. xxxviii. 3, for בְּלֵבְי נְבָּלִבְּי preserve integrity, Psalm xxxvii. 37, for בּלִבּלָבָּוֹלָבְי (Art. 124.).

    - 4. We have, however, Prov. xxii. בְּלֶבְרְבְּיֵלֵ in the heart of a child. We also have, Gen. xvi. 13, שֵׁבִּירָהָשׁ, and, Ib. 15, בְּלֵּרִ בְּעָרַ, which, with similar instances, may perhaps be referred to the carelessness of the copyists.\*

<sup>•</sup> The most complete list of these exceptions is given in the second volume of the Heb. Gram. by Guarin, pp. 320-1, 2.

- 134. Makkaph is inserted in the following cases:-
- ל. 1. Particles, which from their nature can never have a distinctive\* accent, are mostly connected with other words by the mark Makkaph: as, בַּרְם־לָבְּרָ even to her husband; בַּרְם־לָבְּרָי in the integrity of my heart, Gen. xx. 5, &c.

  - 3. In any of the above cases, the Euphonic accent may be appended to the former of such words, according to the rules already laid down (Art. 126, &c.).

<sup>\*</sup> See the last Lecture in this work.

#### LECTURE V.

ON THE CHANGES WHICH TAKE PLACE IN THE TERMINATIONS OF WORDS, IN CONSEQUENCE OF THE FORMATION OF THE FEMININE FROM THE MASCULINE GENDER; OF THE DUAL OR PLURAL FROM THE SINGULAR NUMBER; AND OF THE STATE OF DEFINITE CONSTRUCTION.

Of the Formation of the Feminine from the Masculine Gender.

- 135. It should be premised that in the Hebrew Language there are but two genders, viz. the Masculine, and the Feminine.
- 2. The Masculine is generally restricted to nouns signifying the proper names or offices of men: as, אָבָן David; אַבָּן Uzza; אֹבָּן or אַבָּן a governor; a preacher, &c., whatever be their termination.
- 3. Also to words signifying People, Rivers, Mountains, or Months: as, יְשִׂרְאֵל Israel; יַרְהָן Jordan; סִינֵי Sinai; אָיסָן Nīsán, &c., without reference to their termination.
- 4. Words ending in any radical letter (not included in No. 5.), also those ending in ק preceded by (י), and others terminating in י, ס, or ן, servile, will be of the masculine gender: as, קבְּר a word; עבְרִי dominion; מַרְאָר a plain, or field; שִׁרְהֵן vision; עַבְרִי a n offering.\*

<sup>\*</sup> The exceptions are: אָבֶּעְ a stone; אָבֶּעָה a bowl; מינים a viper; אַבְּאָר or The exceptions are: אָבָּע a stone; אַבָּעָה a bowl; אַבָּעָה a viper; אַבְּעָּה or אַבְּעָה a stake, post; אַבְּעָה a vessel so called: אַבָּעָה a full cup; אַבָּע a talent; אַבְעַה a frying-pan; בְּעַר אַבָּעָה a sandal; אַבָּעָה flour; אַבָּעָה a cloud; אַבָּעָה a star so called; אַבָּעָה a bed; אַבָּעָה a morsel; אָבָּעָה the north; אָבָעָה a quail; אַבָּעָה the world; איבָר an ass; בּעָה bread; אַבָּעָה a shield; אַבָּעָה the evening, which are all feminine.

- 5. Feminine nouns are the proper names of women, as well as words designating their offices; the names of regions or cities, and nouns signifying the parts and double members of the body, whatever be their terminating letters; e. g. אָרָלְיִלְּלֵּלֵלְ Rākhél; אַרְלִלְּלֵלְ Mīkál; אַרָּלְלֵלֵל a consort; אַרְלִלְלֵלֵל Mōáb; אַרְלִלְלֵל Edóm; אַרְלְלִלְלֵל Khebrón; אַרְלִלְלֵל Yĕrūshāláim; אַרְלִלְלֵל the belly; אָלְלִל the ear, &c. This distinction, therefore, arises from the signification not from the form of the word: cities and regions being considered perhaps as mothers, and so of others.\*
- 6. Nouns ending in היים or ת servile are also of the feminine gender: as, פּוֹקְבֶּת or בּוֹקְבֶּת a female visitor; מַבְּלֶבֶת a beast; מַבְּלֶבֶת or מַבְּלְבָּת a kingdom. So שׁנָא sleep, &c.
- 7. Many nouns are found in both genders, which are therefore termed common. These generally are, 1, The names of animals, flocks, birds: 2, Segolate nouns not restricted to the names or offices of men: and, 3, Others designating parts of the human body: 4, Participial nouns of the form אָבָּיִי and, 5, The decimal numerals from twenty to one hundred, inclusive: e. g. 1, אַבְיִי a camel; בּיִּי a bear; אַבְּי and אַבְּי a flock of sheep; מּבְּי מִי a bird: 2, אַבְי a road; אַבְּי breath: 3, אַבְּי a hand; אַבּי an eye; אַבְין an arm; שִׁבְּי the soul: 4, אַבָּי an enclosure: 5, אַבְּיִ twenty; שׁלִישׁ thirty, &c. To these several others of different forms may be added: as,

12 lpups he usent

muten

1.

2.

m. Vond

to TIT

וֹקאָנוֹ a chest, or ark; אַ a garden; וְיֹלוֹן a window; יובל a couch; יובל a jubilee, &c., which are noted in the dictionaries.

- 136. We now come to shew how the noun of the feminine gender is formed from that of the masculine:
- 1. It will readily be perceived, that the names of certain offices, &c., may apply to either men or women; and that cases might occur, in which it may be necessary to designate the sex of the person, to which such word is applied. The masculine forms have already been pointed out; it will be necessary here only to shew how those peculiar to the feminine are formed from them.
- 2. Feminine nouns will be formed from the masculine Fom homes generally by adding the termination ar or and, and changing the preceding vowels of the ultimate or penultimate syllable, according as the analogy of the word (hereafter to be considered) and the rules detailed (Artt. 93, 101.) may require; e. g. שְׁנְ מ good man, &c.; מְלָף a good woman, &c.; מֶלְף (original form מֶלֶף) a king, מְלְכָּה a queen; פֿוֹקָדָה masc. מֹלְכָּה or בּוֹקָדָה fem. a visitor, or visiting.
  - 3. Let us now see in what instances these different terminations are taken. It will be extremely difficult to lay down rules comprehending every possible case; we shall content ourselves therefore with the following, given by Albert Schultens.
  - 4. Nouns ending in an immutable\* vowel will generally take the termination T: as, Tipe visited, masc.; לוקה fem. ; שובה good, masc. ; מובה fem. ; קולה great, masc.; צְרִיקְה fem.; צְרִיק just, masc.; צַרִּיק fem.
    - 5. Patronymics, and nouns originally ending in, also

<sup>\*</sup> What vowels are to be considered as mutable or immutable, will be shewn in the next Lecture.

Zxible !

inthe Th ma si

m 1) ..

fral st

tuch I

with 3g

ordinal numbers ending in and falling under this rule, 3 minutes will double the (') by Dāgésh, upon receiving this feminine termination: as, מוֹאַבְיָה a Moabite; מוֹאַבִיה (or מוֹאָבִית (מוֹאָבִית a Moabitess. So, אַרְמִי, a Syrian; fem. אַרְמִיָּה (or אַרַמְּית; אַרַמְּית; bearing fruit; אַרַמְּית weeping; שׁלִישִׁי the third, masc.; שׁלִישִׁיה or שִׁלִישִׁי, fem.

6. Participles of the Hiphhil conjugation are excepted: each. to as, מַבְּקֵיד, fem. מְבַּקְדָת, with some other nouns: as, or נביבה ; a master נביר , fem. נביר a master שׁלְטֵת or מברת a mistress.

7. Nouns receiving a final Shēvá (:) in the penultimate syllable will take 7- for their feminine termination: as, סוֹן א red, masc.; אַרְמָה (for אַרְמָקה) fem.; וְטָרָ little, masc.; מַנַבר, fem.; בַּנַב surrounded, masc.; נְנַלָה, fem. So, נְנְלָהָה revealed, for נְנְלָה, from נְנְלֵה, masc. by contraction (Art. 73.).

8. Hence all segolate nouns will form their feminines in ה־; as, מֵלְבָּה for מֵלְדָּ a king, fem. מֵלְבָּה a queen ; לכל for אבל food, fem. אבל for ענל for אבל wickedness, fem. עולה; or, by contraction (Art. 87. 1.), צור; עולה, for יניד contracted by (Art. 87. 3.) אין hunting, fem. אין הייצ hunting, fem. צידה.

1. 9. Some nouns are found to take both forms of the feminine : done tak as, מַמְלֶּכֶת or מִשְׁפָּחָת a kingdom; מִשְׁפָּחָת or מִמְלֶּכֶת a family, (Pāthákhs are here taken instead of Sēgóls on account of the guttural ח משמוקה or אשמיקה a watch or guard. So some participles and infinitives: as, פֿוֹקְרָת or ינֹקָרָת visiting, fem.; כַּדָר or bringing forth. To which may be added the patronymics, &c.

3. above noticed, as well as many other nouns which will be learned 4. best from practice.

137. Nouns not subject to these restrictions will, for the ne the most part, have their feminine forms ending in non or in some equivalent termination : as, אַטֶּעָ a crown; אָנֶטֶרֶת, fem. (which also has עטרה).

2. The terminations equivalent to n are: 1, nj -: 2, nj -: nj , nj 3, 1] -: 4, 18 :: 5, 18 :: 6, 1 or 1 :: 7, 1 or 1 :: as, 18 18

all sego

Lith for

mati ...

with eggs

ש.ני ייי ע

100

nul.

. 0.

tracked

সা 1, אורא sister, for אורא; but on account of the guttural ח, אורא, which, by Art. 87. 1, will become חוֹחאַ or חוֹחָא: 2, פָּלְוֹת for בָּלְוֹת for בָּלְוֹת. and by Art. 87. 2. בלות exile: 3, חבבנית a pattern, for חבבנית, カラ and by Art. 87. 4. הַבְּנִית and by Art. 87. 4. הַבְנִית for הוצאה finding, by - ነገ :-Art. 87. 5: 5, האשח for האשח sin (by the same Article); 6, חה 7181 for קבה giving, (primitive form הַנָּה, the being rejected by JINIT Art. 76.). So, אָמֶנת for אָמֶנת or אָמֶנת פּר for לֶּת (לָת הַיּ בּּמֶנת הַי הַ בּמֶנת הַי הַ בּמָנת הַי הַ בּמָנת הַי הַ 6.7 1,.1,. bringing forth a child, (primitive form 1772, and, rejecting the Jimedial 7 for the sake of euphony, we have 77). For the same reason, we have אַרָּה for חַדְהָא one, fem.; אַב a daughter, for or בְּנְתְּ but מְלֵהֶוֹ a song, has the regular Chaldaïc or Syriac termination.

## On the Inflection of Nouns.

138. Three numbers are recognised by Hebrew Grammarians in the inflection of Nouns: viz. the Singular, the Dual, and the Plural.

## On the Formation of the Dual Number.

1. The dual number is formed from the singular by adding the termination בּיִלְבָּים : as, בּיִל מּמָנִים : aday; בּילְבָּים : adays; מַלְבָּים (for בְּילְבִים : a two kings; מַלְבָּים two kings; מַלְבָּים two queens. Instead of מַלְבָּים two, we have, by contraction (Art. 87. 3.), שַׁבִּים, and fem. for שִׁבִּים, שִׁבִּים (for שִׁבְּיִם Art. 76.), by the same rule.

2. All feminine nouns ending in ה, change ה to ה upon receiving any increment whatsoever; as also when put in construction with any other noun, of which more will be said hereafter: hence we have מַלְכָתְיִב as above.

3. The dual number is, for the most part, restricted that it is to things which are double by nature or art, as the parts or double members of the body, &c. It is never found in the conjugation verbs.

is added to a noun already in the plural number: as,

two tables, המתים two tables, Ezek, xxvii. 5.

The changes of the preceding vowels will be regulated as before (Art. 93, &c.): these will be particularly considered in the next Lecture.

On the Formation of the Plural Number Masculine.

139. Nouns of the masculine gender are made plural by attaching the asyllabic augment or to the singular: as, מֶלֶדְ or מֶלֶדְ for מֶלֶדְ plur.; מֶלֶדְ of or מָלֶדְ or מָלֶדְ (for מָלֶדְ a king, plur. גוֹיָם; מֶלְכִים a nation, plur. גוֹיָם (for בּוֹיִם (for by omission, Art. 75.) nations; נכרי a stranger, plur. נכרים (for נכרים by contraction and omission, Artt. 75. and 72.). So יהודים Jews (for יהודים).\*

Esth. iv. 7, בתונים; Esth. iv. 7, viii. 7; בְּעִרְבִּיִם Chaldeans, 2 Chron. xxxvi. 17, &c.; where the marginal reading is generally of the contracted form.

In one instance 8 is inserted in the place of Dagésh: as, לַרְבִּיִּים for עַרְבִּיִים, or contr. עַרְבִּים Arabs, 2 Chron. xvii. 11.

3. We sometimes have the Chaldaïc termination זְיָבִי as, וְיִבָּיוֹ : as, מְלָכִיוֹ kings, Prov. xxxi. 3; 1. (for 11.) islands, continents, Ezek. xxvi. 18, &c.

\* So שָׁנִים pl. of הָפִשִּׁים scarlet, Isa. i. 18; הַפִּשִׁים of הַפָּשִׁים free, Isa. lviii. 6; שַנִים of פָּנִימִי inner, 1 Chron. xxviii. 11, for שָׁנִים, &c.

The added to Hebrew masculine plurals, as well as the of Syriac and Chaldaïc ones, seems to me to have been added for the mere purpose of filling up the hiatus which would otherwise have happened. Such is the Tanween (5, - 2) of the Arabs; which, according to them, is always cut off when the word is placed in the state of definite construction, as is also its vicegerent the final of the dual and plural. التنوير، &c. Hidayat-oon-Nahwe, وما يقوم مقامة وهو نون التثنية والجمع p. ov Calcutta, 1803. The Moolla Jámi considers this as the index of a complete word, which, when lost in the state of construction, is supplied by the following word. Comment. on the Kafia, p. 199.

Phund.

add D'

D and? Why adde no rome

also. 1.

4. The terminating letters ס, or (Chaldiac) , are always omitted, when the noun is in the state of definite construction with any following word (Art. 143.), or when any affixed pronoun is attached to it: as, אַכֶּי אָבֶי kings of the land; בּיְבֶי אָבֶי their kings, of which more will be said hereafter. The first of these forms is termed absolute, the second is said to be that of construction.

5. In some cases also, when such plural words are not in the state of construction, these letters are omitted: as, אוֹם הוֹמֵי לְּי

לים בוֹ those who trust in him, Ps. ii. 12, &c.

6. We occasionally find the termination '= used instead of סיר: as, יבוֹ locusts, Amos vii. 1, Nah. iii. 17: יבוֹ windows, Jer. xxii. 14; יבוֹ princes, Judg. v. 15; יבוֹ mountains, Zech. xiv. 5; יבוֹ net works, Isa. xix. 9; יבוֹ (men, &c.) uncovered, Isa. xx. 4; and frequently, יבוֹ the Almighty; 'בוֹ Lord, &c. But, as we find that this diphthong (:=) is only another form for '= (Art. 87. 3.), we may perhaps hence conclude, that this termination is nothing more than a contraction for '=, and therefore, perfectly equivalent to it in signification: but, not containing any thing superlative as some have thought. This termination has also been supposed to designate collective nouns; but, as most plural nouns may be considered as collectives, there does not seem to be any necessity for this distinction.

7. There are moreover several passages, in which '- has been thought to be a plural termination: as, ישָׁלִישׁ וּשׁלִי head of the captains, 2 Sam. xxiii. 8, which in the parallel passage, 1 Chron. xi. 11, is הַּבְּיִי וְּהַרְצִים So ראֹשׁ הַשְּׁלִישִׁי the captains and the runners, 2 Kings xi. 4, 19. Of this kind some suppose הַבְּבִּיבִי Sam. xii. 6, xiii. 7; בְּבִּיִי וְּבְּבִייִ יִּבְּיִר וּבְּבִּייִ יִּבְּבִייִ יִּבְּבִייִ יִּבְּבִייִ יִּבְּבִייִי יִּבְּבִּיִי יִּבְּבִייִ יִּבְּבִייִ יִּבְּבִייִי יִּבְּבִּיִי יִּבְּבִייִי יִּבְּבִּיִי יִּבְּבְּבִייִ יִּבְּבִייִי יִּבְּבְּבִייִי יִּבְּבְּבִיי יִּבְּבְבִייִי יִּבְּבְּבִיי יִּבְּבְּבִייִי יִּבְּבְיבִיי יִּבְּבְּבִיי יִּבְּבְּבִיי יִּבְּבְּבִיי יִּבְּבִּבְייִי יִּבְּבְּבִיי יִּבְּבִיבְייִי יִּבְּבְיבִיי יִּבְּבְּבִיי יִּבְּבְיבִיי יִּבְּבִיבִיי בּיִּבְּבִיי בּבּבּיי בּבּבּבּבּבִיי 2 Sam. viii. 18, xx. 7, 23, &c. to be, while others believe the terminating (') to indicate nothing more than a patronymic or gentile noun.\*

<sup>\*</sup> I am very much disposed to believe, that the plural termination attached to Hebrew nouns and verbs, is nothing more than a fragment of some word originally used to designate plurality. In the Malay, Sanscrit, and some other languages, the plural number is still formed by adding some word or words signifying much, many, or the like; or, by repeating the same word: as, in the Malay, Orang bāniak, or Orang brang, many man; or man man. So in Sanscrit. See Yates's Gram. p. 59.

might be added: as, יְלֵילֵי Job xxxi. 28, compared with Ib. v. 11; עַפִּי אָ 1 Sam. xx. 38; דֹרִי Gen. xl. 16; עַפִּי 2 Sam. xxii. 44, Ps. cxliv. 2, Lam. iii. 14, &c.

In such cases as these, '- may, according to our hypothesis, be

In the Coptic and New Zealand also, the syllabic ni or na, which is prefixed for the purpose of designating the plural number, seems manifestly to be derived from the word naa or na, which in both these languages means great, much, or the like. In the Hebrew we find words derived from the root or הָיה being, signifying substance, &c.: as, ווֹח wealth; חוֹח a great

misfortune, calamity; or חוָה a great deep, a bath. In the Arabic בים is, inordinate affection; בונה lust, a great deep, abyss: and hence, perhaps, הוֹח

Jehovah, the great being, emphatically styled o w, 3, or 877, as well as Exod. iii. 14. So in the Sanscrit bhuvan, literally and etymologically, being, applied to God or any great man; from the root bhū, be. Now, if we can conceive a noun of the primitive form 777, i. e. 777 being, substance, much, or the like, thus to be used, we shall have the forms by Euphony, (Art. 108), and Till by contraction, (Art. 87. 3.). Writing then Till in the form proper for construction but in one word. and striking out the first T by Art. 73, and the last by Art. 74, we shall have 27 for the contracted plural form, to which the Euphonic ס or 7 may be added or not: and without the (·) Khīrik, דְבָרַי, which is a form noticed above. Again, taking Ti, which is the segolate form proper for construction, and striking out the two T He's as before, we shall have 77.7, which is the termination proper for the state of construction likewise. The termination 1, or by contraction 1, (Art. 87. 2.), may, for the sake of distinction, have been taken for the verbs from the cognate root min having the same signification: for, taking the segolate or primitive form חָוֹה or חָוֹה, we shall have הוה by contraction; and, writing פַּקְרוּ for הַּהָדָה, as before, we shall have the plural terminations for some parts of the verb, as also for some nouns above noticed, which I believe are real ancient forms of nouns in the plural number, and which therefore stood in no need of correction by the Masorets.

The plural termination of the feminine nouns may have arisen from this same root: for if we take הוות of the form קב, and change the final הוות same root: into ח, which occasionally takes place, we shall have by contraction אות by Art: 87. 1, and, for הוֹת פּוֹקָד הוֹת as before.

1 hus. in azo be it hew

Delisted

× 17 ...

a plural termination, the Euphonic being cut off: but, as it will be hereafter seen, that (`.) is also the termination of patronymic or gentile nouns, the context alone must be our guide in ascertaining the sense in such passages.

## On the Formation of the Plural Number Feminine.

- 140. The termination used to designate the feminine plural is הוֹרְ,\* which like the preceding שֹר, &c. is asyllabic: as, אוֹד a generation, הוֹרְוֹת (or Art. 72. קוֹרְוֹת) generations.
- 2. When the feminine singular ends in הַ, הַ, חַה, or הַ, &c., these terminations are rejected in forming the plural: as, הַלְּחָבָּוֹם (or מַנְּקְבָּה (fem.) יוֹבָּיָם plural. The same may be said of the Chaldaïc termination הַ ; as, הַלְּחַת praise, הַלְּחַת praises, Ps. ix. 15, &c.
- 3. Feminine nouns ending in nin and nin take the same termination, with this difference, viz. that i, in the

<sup>\*</sup> See the note to the preceding article.

<sup>†</sup> In other words, the feminine plural is formed from the masculine singular, not from the feminine singular.

2 -1

first case, is doubled by Dāgésh: as, עַבְרִית a Hebrew עַבְרִית woman, plural עַבְרִיוֹת (the singular being עַבְרִיוֹת): and in the second by prefixing ', and preserving the homogeneous imperfect vowel corresponding to ז: as, בַּלְבִוּת a kingdom, בַּלְבִוּת kingdoms, as if the בּלְבִוּת singular were a contraction of בַּלְבוּיָה.

- 4. Nouns ending in קיף are sometimes found with their plurals formed by the addition of the plural terminations בְּנִיתִים a spear, pl. חַנִיתִים and זְנִוּתִים fornication, pl. וְנוּתִים, &c.
- 5. So also others ending in n; as, בּלֶת a door, pl. אָבֶּת bows; שְׁבֶּּת bows; שְׁבֶּת bows; שְׁבָּת (for שְׂבָּת a bip, pl. שְׂבָּת bips.
  - 141. The plural belonging to a considerable number of masculine nouns is found with the feminine termination אָרָה, while, on the other hand, many feminine nouns are also found with the masculine termination ביב in the plural: as, 1st, אַבְּלְים a father, pl. אַבְּלִים a treasure, pl. אַבְּלִים a stone, fem. pl. אַבְּלִים a fir-tree, בּיִבְּאַ fir-trees; all of which the Lexicons will supply as they occur.
  - 2. Nouns of the common gender are sometimes found with two plural forms: as, אוֹנְיִם a year, pl. בְּחָיִם and אוֹנְיִם years: so בְּחָים and מַלְּיִם days, for יְּחָשׁ or יִוְשִׁים, pl. of בוֹן for בְּחִים a day; and, a few others have the masculine added to the feminine of the plural: as, אוֹנְיִם a high place, pl. וֹנְמִים and בַּמִוֹתְים. Others again are found only in the plural number: as, בְּמִים life; יְיִם nomen. Others are used in the dual only: as, בּמִוֹת a mill; שׁנִים balances, &c.
  - 142. Generic nouns signifying any whole species, may, in the singular number, be construed as plurals when the context requires it: as, his foul, or fouls; his child, or children; his flock, or flocks.
  - 2. Hence, nouns signifying Metals, Liquids, Virtues, Vices, to which may be added Proper names, are generally found in the singular number only: as, אָבֶּי silver, בְּקֵין gold, וְיֵי wine, אַבְּי oil, אַבְּיִין wisdom, אַבְּיִין foolishness, אַבְּי hatred, אַבָּ Moses, אַבְּי בּיִין Israel, &c.

Murul

ms 177

- 3. We have, nevertheless, יות understandings, יות understandings, בְּלְבֶּיִם loves, מְבַּלְּכְּוֹת graces, הְבְלְבִּים angers, הְלַכְּוֹת wisdoms, &c.; but, in these cases, the signification is intensitive.
- 4. Nouns implying age are mostly found in the plural number: as, בְּלֵבְּיִם childhood, יַבְּרָבִים old age, &c. We have, nevertheless, יַבְּרָבִּים for childhood, and עַלְבִּרָם for youth, to which some others might be added.
- 5. Words ending in אין, and אין, generally denote the state in which any person or thing is said to be. Hence יוֹלְייִ will signify the state of childhood; these words therefore need not be put in the plural number. In the other case, the word ישׁבְים for בְּעוּרְיִם is probably understood; we shall therefore have בְּעוּרְיִם for ישׁבְיִים childish years; and so of others, which will account for the apparent anomaly.

The nouns generally found in the dual number have already been pointed out (Art. 138. 3.).

On the Changes found to take place in Nouns when put in the Definite State of Construction.

- 143. By the definite state of Construction is meant, the juxta position of two or more nouns, not meaning the same thing, when the latter is added for the purpose of defining, or otherwise qualifying, that which immediately precedes it: as, אַבְרָהֵי Jehovah's hand; שֵׁבֶּע יִי יָשְׁבִירְהֵיֵע a rod of iron; יְבֵּע יִשְׁבִירְהֵיֵע the days of the years of the life of Abraham.
- 2. Now, as such words are added for the sole purpose of presenting some one definite idea, the whole combination seems to have been considered as presenting one compound word only; and, hence, the governing toneaccent has been supposed to rest on the last so construed; and then the vowels of the preceding word have been contracted or rejected, as far as the analogy would allow.
  - 3. Hence, nouns thaving perfect and mutable\* vowels

<sup>\*</sup> These will be shewn when we come to treat of the forms of nouns.

in their ultimate and penultimate syllables, will generally change that in the ultimate to its homogeneous imperfect one, and reject that in the penultimate: as, דְבַר יְהוֹה Jehovah's word (from הורת יהוה; Jehovah's law (from הוֹרָה).

4. All feminine nouns however fending in 7- will change the I to I, probably for the purpose of rendering the character of such words more susceptible to the ear, than they would be with the in remaining (Art. 138. 2.).

5. Exceptions:—All masculine nouns singular ending in 7 will take 7 when preceding others in the state of construction: as, מַקנה־אַבְרָם Abram's stock. Segolate nouns are subject to no variation in the singular number: as, אַשָּוֹר the king of Assyria.

6. Segolate nouns having for their middle radical letter, will undergo a contraction when preceding other nouns in the state of construction: as, in the midst of the garden, (from אוֹד of קוֹד) by Art. 87. 1; בית יעקב the house of Jacob, די בער (for דיה) sufficiency of burning, Isa. xl. 16. (Art. 87. 3.).

7. All masculine, dual and plural, nouns, ending in Justo and or respectively, will take the termination and reject, (or otherwise contract,) the preceding vowel, whenever it is perfect and mutable; e. g. דָבְרֵי יִהוָה Jehovah's words (sing. עֵינֵי יְהוָה ; הָנָה, (sing. עֵינֵי, (sing. עֵינֵי עין, dual עינים) Jehovah's eyes. In these cases, the terminating of the dual and plural may be considered as

muse

1 mulh

2 mpi

Legelul notena

m 7...

1 ~ " " cuchi

2.

<sup>\*</sup> This terminating vowel seems to have been taken in order to avoid the confounding of these nouns with feminines ending in 7

<sup>+</sup> Hence, perhaps, the termination : in plural masculine nouns, as is for בְּרָהֵיה, see Art. 139. 7. note.

1 out

euphonic, as the also is in the Chaldaïc, Syriac, and Arabic (Art. 139. 4.).

On the termination , occasionally found in this situation, see Art. 139. 6.

8. From the examples already given, it will be seen that this construction may generally be translated by the genitive case in other languages; but, as one or other of the particles is occasionally introduced for this purpose, as well as to form combinations equivalent to the different cases of the Greek and Latin grammars, the Student is referred to the Syntax for further information on this subject.

#### LECTURE VI.

ON THE PARTS OF SPEECH IN GENERAL, AND ON THE NOUN IN PARTICULAR.

144. HAVING laid down and exemplified the general principles of syllabication, &c. as found to prevail in this Language, we may now consider its different parts of speech, and shew how they stood in their primitive forms, and how they have been derived from one another.

1. The Hebrew language is, like all others, found to consist of nouns, verbs, and particles,\* so arranged in sentences as to convey to the mind such ideas or notions as are intended to be inculcated by any Speaker or Writer. Of these, the third person, singular, masculine of the verb has generally been taken as the root or theme, from which the others have been derived. For

<sup>\*</sup> The Arabian and Jewish grammarians comprehend in these all the other parts of speech generally given in the grammars of Europe.

my own part, I believe the noun ought rather to be . When considered as the root; not only because the learner may by this means be enabled more clearly to see how the conjugations of the verbs are carried on, but also because he may ascertain, with a much greater degree of precision, the force of all those nouns which have hitherto been considered as branches of the verb. Again, there are classes of the verb which do not exhibit the root fully in the third person singular masculine of the preterite; and these comprehend all those which have or for the middle radical letter; which are found complete in the noun, but defective in the verb. In some others, indeed, the noun appears in a defective form; but, in these cases, it is not found complete in the verb. Again, the variation found to prevail in the last vowel of the preterite, is more naturally accounted for in the noun than in the verb; and it is a fact, that a noun having the same vowel is almost universally found to exist. Besides, the participial and other nouns, which have no tenses in themselves, are much better understood when considered as derived from the primitive nouns, than when derived from words conjugated as verbs. Add to this the circumstance, that a verb in the state of conjugation either is, or must be considered as, compounded with a pronoun; and, therefore, in a state unfit to be taken for a primitive word. It is when without these pronouns, as well as every other adjunct, and when a word is in its simplest form, that we consider it as the root;\* and, this we contend, is

deJano

<sup>\*</sup> The school of Basra hold the same opinion, with reference to the Arabic. See Ebn Farhat on this subject in M. de Sacy's Gram. Arabe, vol. i. p. 229, note. M. De Sacy himself thinks it will come to much the same thing, whether we consider the infinitive form as the root, or whether we take the third person singular of the masculine preterite, because the one may be termed the logical root, the other the etymological one, Ib. p. 197. But why, it may be asked, are

the more natural way to proceed. Induced by these considerations to give the noun the first place in the etymology, we shall now proceed to lay down the forms of the personal pronouns, as used either in connection, or not, with other nouns; not, because these words have any prior claim to our consideration; but because, we shall thereby enable ourselves to shew, when we come to detail the forms of the nouns, how these pronouns are connected with them.

· Classes of

#### Of the Pronouns.

145. The pronouns are, in the Hebrew, as in other languages, 1. Personal, 2. Demonstrative, 3. Relative, and, 4. Interrogative, with which, 5. the Reflective, pronouns and the Definite Article, are sometimes classed. We shall at present consider the Personal Pronouns only.

Pusonal.

## The Personal Pronouns.

1. These are termed Separable, and Inseparable. When Separable, they may be considered as representing the person to which they belong in the nominative case:

we to have two forms of the root for the same word? And why may not that, which is termed the logical root, be also considered as the etymological one? If the one presents a form more simple than the other, which is the fact, Why, I want to know, may not the less simple be considered as derived from the other? I must confess, whatever the school of Koufa may think of it, that of Basra appears to me to have reason on their side in this question; and to their opinion I am therefore compelled to subscribe, which M. De Sacy has also done at p. 128, note a of his second vol. See also the Mikhlol of Kimkhi, fol. No. 7 verso. The passage will be cited hereafter. I use the small edition of 1545. See also Le Court de Gebelin, Monde Primitif. vol. iii. pp. 55, 56, 80, &c.; Mr. Forster's Essay on Sanscrit Gram., p. 540; Caroli Aurivillii Dissertationes Goet. 1790, p. 376, &c. It is a curious fact, that in the Burman, verbs are nothing more than participial nouns conjugated with the pronouns. See Carey's Grammar of the Burman, p. 79, &c. See also Humboldt on the Chinese, Journal Asiatique, vol. iv. p. 115.

when Inseparable, they exhibit only a part of the &. Separable pronoun combined with some other word. When attached to verbs, they may be said to represent either the objective or some other oblique case; but, when attached to nouns, they stand for the correspondent possessive pronoun: there being no other way of expressing the possessive pronominal sense in Hebrew.

2. The Separable personal pronouns are as follows:

3. In a few instances At thou, is used in the masculine gender: Condus viz. Num. xi. 15, Deut. v. 24, and Ezek. xxviii. 14. DAN is used mixed as a feminine in Ezek. xiii. 20 | 7127 is also used as a feminine, 4 Cant. vi. 8, Ruth i. 22, Zech. v. 10: and and as a masculine, 2 Sam. iv. 6, Jer. l. 5. 17 also occurs as a masculine, Ruth i. 13. 6. We also have איח for אחח, 1 Kings xvii. 15; and אות for איח throughout the Pentateuch, if we except eleven instances. This is

. fold

1 Puson.

usually ascribed to an archaism, grounded on the supposition that in ancient times the pronouns were all considered as being of the

of the pronouns above given; they are invariably found attached to some preceding word, whether that be a noun, verb, or particle.† The following is a table of their forms when attached to nouns; we shall give those for the verbs hereafter.

\*\*Nocharable\*\*

	the verbs hereafter. Inschar	rable.
Schurble 1	SING. COM. GEN. FOR NOUNS SING.	FOR NOUNS PLUR.
T-n the	Sing. Com. Gen. For Nouns Sing.	- my, or mine. 1. fre
1 Pers.	Drup	1-
lom min.	TLUR.	
i	ַבוֹ יס הָנוּ ייי בַּהְנוּ or בְּרָבוּי יס הְאַנַהְונוּ - ייי	. Jan. Our, or ours.
1	SING. MASC.	
	יסר, סר היסר, סר קיסר, אתה), סרק, סרק, סרק,	thy, or thine.
muse	Drup	1 10
FILLE.	SING. MASC. 「「「」」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「	DE ALOUM DE ALOUMS
2 Pers.	الر ما الله من الله من الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل	Day - your, or yours.
	SING. FEM.	
	יייין, or ק, or ק, or ק, or	, or 131- thy, or thine.
bem.	PLUB. 193.25.	111- 11-
	אָתְּי, or אָתֵּן , or אָתָּן , or אָתָּן , or אָתָּן ,	171- your or yours.
	(1位表)。(4位)	·   = your, c. your.
-	SING. MASC.  SIT. We have 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, or 17  PLUR.	7.50
	הר אין הו יו יון און אר אר אר אוי הרא יון אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אי	יין ארן, poet. אין ארן, ארן, ארן, ארן, ארן, ארן, ארן, ארן
musc.	PLUR. Din, Din, poet. ji	
7-7-7-5	Da. Da Da poet in-	Data noet in Stheir,
3 Pers.	ir pour ju	theirs.
O T CIS.	SING. FEM.	
	י בֶדָ, יבָד, הַרָּא יי הָרָא or הָרָא, הַבָּי, הָבָי, הָבָי, הַבָּי, הָבָי, הַבָּי, הַבָּי, הַבָּי, הַבָּי, הַ	hers, her.
fem.	Dryn	1
	PLUK.	their theire
· ·	וואס, סר אָהָי, סר אָהָי, הָרָ, הָרָּ, הָרָּ, הָרָּ, הַרָּ, הַרְּ, הַרְּיּ, הַרְּיּרְּ, הַרְּיּרְּ, הַרְּיִּיּרְּ, הַרְּיִּיּרְ, הַרְּיּיּרְּ, הַרְּיִּיּרְּ, הַרְּיּיּרְּ, הַרְּיִּיּרְּ, הַרְיּרְּיִיּרְּיִרְּיִּרְּיִיּרְּיִבְּיּרְּיִּרְּיִּרְּיִבְּיּרְּיִיּרְּיִבְּיּרְּיִבְּיּרְּיִבְּיּרְּיִבְּיּרְּיִבְּיּרְּיִבְּיּרְיּיְרָּיְּיְרְּיִבְּיּרְּיִבְּיּרְּיִבְּיּרְיּיְרְיּיְרְיּיְרְיּיְרְיּיְרְיּיְרְיּיְרְיּיְרְיּיְרְיּיְרְיִבְּיְרְיּיְיּי	· (1) -, their, theirs.

\* Lehregebäude, page 200, note.

<sup>+</sup> This may be considered as an illustration of the general principle of abridging and compounding words in Hebrew, and as confirming, in a great degree, the remarks offered in the note, Art. 139. 7. above.

my nation, for "13.

1.

6. It is to be observed, that in affixing these abbreviated pronouns to singular nouns, it will be necessary when such word does not end in a vowel, to take that form of the pronoun which is preceded by one, and thence called the Vowel of union. In this case, an accent will accompany it, as given in the table. But, 2. when the preceding word ends in a vowel, no such union-vowel can be introduced: in that case, the abbreviated pronoun is taken

which has no such preceding vowel of union. 7. Nouns ending in will drop that letter, upon receiving the affixed pronoun of the first person singular: as, 12 a nation; 112

8. The words  $\supseteq a$  father,  $\sqcap a$  brother,  $\sqcap a$  father-in-law, and The mouth, will take ' when construed with a noun following, or when receiving any one of the above pronominal affixes: as, ובשל, the father of Canaan; אביל, thy father. But, as two Yods (١٠) would, in these cases, concur in the first person, as المجابة, one of them will be dropped by the rule (No. 8.): as, '? (the root being '28 or 728) my father; and so on of the rest. Some other words ending in ' for 7, may take the affixed pronouns in the same way: as, בְּרִיהֶם fruit; פַּרִיהָם their fruit; or, they may take it with a vowel of union : as, בְּרֵים or וְיִבְיּם their (masc. and fem.) fruit.

9. Here, however, the masculine form of the pronominal affix has he is sometimes taken, when the sense seems to require the feminine, and vice versá, see Gen. xxxi. 9, Ruth i. 8, 9, 11. 13, Ezek. xiii. 19, 20, 21, Jer. ix. 19. So also זה for הָ for בְּמוֹהָ for בְּמוֹהָ Exod. xi. 6, /. twice. In the same manner we have পুলু for লঞ্চু Jud. xi. 34; ם for 1, as, מַבְּלֶם for בְּיָבֶשׁ Cant. iv. 2, vi. 6. So Exod. ii. 17, 2 2 Sam. xx. 3, twice, Ps. cxix. 152. So and for in Exod. i. 21, 3. Num. xxxvi. 6, twice, Job. xix. 15, Ezek. xxiii. 45, 47, Ezra x. 3, 44, Zech. v. 9, xi. 5: in as a feminine in in Lam. iv. 10. 4. These apparent discrepancies, however, will be considered in the Syntax.

10. On the contrary, I occurs for ב: as, לְאַרְבַּעָהָן for לְאַרְבַּעָהָם לּ to them four, Ezek. i. 10, twice; and again, verr. 16, 18. Also in Ib. verr. 9, 12, 17, in their going; זהן also occurs as a b. masculine, רְבְצִיהֵן their four (sides), Ib. ver. 17; and again, in verr. 18, 24, 25. Also with a paragogic היהודה as, בולהיהוד their 7. bodies, Ib. ver. 11.

11. In affixing these pronouns to nouns, it must be remembered uque to the that they are not made to agree, either in number, gender, or person,

observe. if wow an

ir rot.

chrpp

· twhen; luphory

no to "

· fn IT

Lr. va

in with

Mubie

sy Mabie

יבר

U. Pom

in relative

with the nouns to which they are attached, but with those to which they relate, and which generally precede them in the context.

12. Such of these inseparable pronouns as commence with a consonant, and make a syllable independently of any part of the preceding word, are termed Syllabic; these are, that of the first person plural, 3; those of the second, 3, 3, 3, 3, and 3; of the third, 3, 3, 3, and 3. Such as do not constitute a syllable in themselves, but require the addition of a letter from the preceding word, have been termed Asyllabic; see Art. 92, &c. Of these are the remaining pronouns, viz. 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4.

אל (הוג בו אות). 13. Of these inseparable pronouns הַם, הָבֶּן, and הָבֶּן, are termed grave (Art. 117. 2.), because they always have the accent. The others are, by way of contra-

distinction, termed light.

It is of importance to bear these distinctions in mind; because the changes of the vowels of the preceding word, will, in a great measure, depend upon them: e.g. if to דָּבֶר a word. I affix '- my, mine, the a of again must be taken in order to enounce this vowel: as, דּבָּרִי, But, by our laws of syllabication, the preceding I must have a perfect vowel; (+) will, therefore, remain unchanged. And, as the first (+) is not immutable, and as the accent is with the affix, this vowel will become (:), and we shall have יָּבֶרָי devā-ri; where the asyllabic affix exerts a considerable influence on the ultimate form of the word. But, if I take a syllabic affix, let it be טי your, we shall then have דַבַרְבֶם your word. In this case, the הבר closes its last syllable; and as the accent is removed, the (+) preceding this letter originally, must, by our laws of syllabication, become (-); and we accordingly have דָּבֶרְבֶּם. But, if we take א which is also syllabic, we shall not have דְּבַרָּדְ, but דְּבֶּרָך; because, although the affix ק is syllabic; still, as the accent accompanies the preceding syllable, it must remain perfect (Art. 33.) and we have זְּבֶּרֶךְ. The same holds good in all other cases. (Artt. 93. 94.).

15. Any word, preceding one or other of these affixes, any word may be considered as in construction with it, and there- will Gr fore subject to all those changes in the vowels, to which " in fact words so situated are (Art. 143. 3.). The only difference is, that instead of the latter noun being written at length, it has been abbreviated.

16. The following examples will shew the application of the Inseparable pronouns to nouns singular and plural, masculine and feminine. No example of the dual is given, because it will always take the affixes proper for the plural : as, עונים two eyes, עוני my eyes, &c.

Examples of a noun masculine in both numbers, with the pronominal affixes.

	Sing. Masc.	DID a horse.
sing.	1 pers. sing. com.	TOTO my horse. = must butte.
long.	ζ 2 masc. (	לְכְוֹקְבָה (or קוֹקְבָה) thy horse.
7	{ 2 fem. {	TOTO thy horse.
	( 3 masc. (	וֹסוֹס (or חֹסוֹס) his horse.
and.	3 fem.	חסוס, (rarely חסוס) her horse.
Fhrul	1 pers. plur. com.	11010 our horse.
	( 2 masc. (	Dapid your horse.
	2 fem.	12010 your horse.
	( 3 masc. (	DOID, (poetice india) their horse.
	{ 3 fem. {	וסוס (or קוֹלְסוֹן) their horse.
n H.	Plur. Masc.	DIDID horses.
and.	1 pers. sing. com.	1010 my horses. = mas. pl. constr.
	2 masc.	לוקיך thy horses.
	2 fem. {	TOO thy horses.
100	( 3 masc. )	סוקין or סוקין his horses.
	2 3 fem. [	חוקיה her horses.
	1-pers. plur. com	13010 our horses.

1	82	mase LECTURE VI. [ART. 145. 16.
hom	Fler.	1 com. 11'010 our horses
Present	Plur.	your horses. מוֹמֵימֶם masc. ן your horses.
y vere.	0	2 fem. ל שויכן your horses.
		(3 masc. (DIT)DID, or poetice DIDID their horses.
		לותן fem. { סומיהן their horses.
	$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}$	cample of a noun feminine in both numbers, with
	the p	ronominal affixes.
Zam	dne.	Singular. Fem. Tin a law.
ai	5	1 pers. sing. com, Injin* my law. In = fem. coustre
Frm .	Iny.	ע 2 pers. sing. masc. אוֹרָתָדְ thy law.
	0	ל 2 fem. לוְרָתֶּךְ thy law.
		( ז masc. ( יחוֹרָתוֹה or הוֹרָתוֹה his, its law.
an	ລ	13 fem. ל הורתה, rarely הורתה her, its law.
From	. Phur.	1 pers. plur. com. קוֹרָתְנּךְ our law.
		( 2 masc. אוֹרַתְכֶם your law.
		ל 2 fem. אוֹרַתְבֶּן your law.
		(3 masc. ) Dijin, poetice in in their law.
		לובתנה fem. לובתנה rarely הובתנה their law.
4	Hurul	Plumi FAM I minim I
Mm	u)	Plural. For 170. nirin laws.  1 pers. sing. com.   pers. sing. com.   pers. sing. com.   pers.   pers.
Fim	Anig.	In .
		ל ביי masc. אורותיף thy laws.
		ביבי fem. רבי הורותיך - thy laws.
		3 masc. אורותו סר הורותון his, its laws.
a	. plunk	3 fem. ל הורותיה her, its laws.
From	. plumb	1 pers. plur. com. הנורותונו our laws.
		ע מורותיבֶם masc. ∫ your laws.
		ייי איז your laws. בייי השפת איז
		masc. (בותיהם, or poetice ביים their laws.
		ל הנורותיהן their laws.

17. Nouns ending in 7 take the affix 77 instead of 7 or

<sup>\*</sup> The final 7 becomes 1 by Art. 143. 4.

Leaves

for Fil

in the third person singular masculine, rejecting first their final letter ה: as, עָלֶה a leaf; אָלֶה his leaf; מַּבָּה a field; שִּׁבָהר מַנָּה his leaf; מַבָּהר מַנָּה מַנָּה מַנָּה מַנָּה מַנָּה מַנָּה מַנְיה מַנְּה מַנְיה מַנְּה מַנְיה מִינְיה מַנְיה מִינְיה מַנְיה מִינְיה מַנְיה מִינְיה מַנְיה מִינְיה מַנְיה מִינְיה מַנְיה מִינְיה מַנְיה מִינְיה מַנְיה מִינְיה מִי his field. In like manner they reject their final letter when they receive the feminine affix of the same person, which is either הן or הן: as, שנה a field; הין her field; מָרָהָן an accident; חקרה her accident, Ruth ii. 3; מקרה an appearance; יוֹל its appearance.

# Anomalies. with home snigular.

18. אָיֵי his flock (of sheep), Deut. xxii. 1, from שֵׁיָב. The affix is occasionally found attached to other nouns: as, in הוה his concubine, Judg. xix. 24; חמחה his yoke, Nah. i. 13; אֹנְרָהּרּ his light, Job xxv. 3. And also with plurals: as, בּבוֹרֵיהּרּ his heroes, Nah. ii. 4.

19. The following examples are also anomalous. 2 Pers. masc. Ps. x. 14. 2 Pers. fem. מַלְנִית thy third part, with inserted, Ezek. v. 12; joing thee, Ib. xxiii. 28; 500 for The whole of it.

Of the first person plural מֹדְעָהְנֵה our acquaintance, Ruth iii. 2; ימרה our substance, Job xxii. 20. Of the second fem. זמרוכנה your baseness, Ezek. xxiii. 48.

Of the third masc. Day all of them, 2 Sam. xxiii. 6. Of the third fem. לורבנה the whole of them. So Gen. xli. 21; קרבנה for זְבְרַבְּן the midst of them.

### With Nouns Plural.

20. 1 Pers. sing. ערותי for עדותי my testimonies, Ps. cxxxii. 12. The union vowels of the affix of the 3 fem. sing. are sometimes contracted: as, אַחִיוֹתְיִנּ for מְחִיוֹתְיִנּ (Art. 87. 3.) thy sisters, Ezek. xvi. 52, &c., in which case the ' Yod is dropped. This sometimes takes place with the masc. pronoun: as, אַבְנוּתְיּ thy strokes, for בְּבּוֹתְיּ Deut. xxviii. 59. So בְּבּוֹתְיּ thy messengers, Nah. ii. 14. It. 2d fem. your pillows. Of the 2d. masc. הנמרלוהי his benefits, Ps. cxvi. 12. Of the Chaldaic form: אַנִיהְמָּה their posts. 3d fem. אַהִיקִיהְא its galleries; בויתיהנה their bodies, Ezek. i. 11, &c.

21. The affix Do of the 2d pers. plur. masc. is, in one instance,

preceded by (.);\* as, מְפוֹלְיוֹתִיכֶּם your dispersions, Jer. xxv. 34. These may all perhaps be errors of transcription.

22. The ', which precedes the affixed pronouns in the plural numbers of nouns, is frequently dropped: as, אבּרָבוּן for דּרָבוּן thy ways, &c. But, many of these anomalies will come under the rules detailed in Art. 139. 7, and will, therefore, be rather apparent than real; the rest perhaps are the mere mistakes of the copyists.

N. B. The demonstrative and other separable pronouns will be

given when we come to treat on the particles.

On the Use, Signification, and Forms of Words generally.

146. Words are nothing more than sounds, simple or compounded, which have been adopted in order to represent the ideas conceived in the mind of one person to that of another. Whether any of these were first imparted to man by the Creator, or whether he had only the powers given sufficient for appropriating such sounds for the purposes of life, it is impossible now to say: nor is it very important; for, in either case, their adoption will be traced to the appointment of the Deity, either mediately, or immediately. From what is revealed in the Scriptures, however, I am inclined to believe, that it was an immediate appointment, as far at least as the necessities of society at first went; leaving to his ingenuity the further extension and cultivation of this power, as the increasing wants or refinements of life might suggest. If then the boon was immediate, nothing can be more probable, than that the use of words would be regulated by some analogy; which, it is likely, would also have been the case, had unassisted reason been left to shift for itself. In any case, therefore, we might

<sup>\*</sup> In some editions regularly ....

expect to find that some analogy had been resorted to in the structure of language, whether we originally possessed the skeleton of it as given by inspiration, or, as made by man for his own use. Things must have had names; and these must have been such, as would generally be allowed and understood, whether we can now see their suitableness or not. Actions and events must like- 2. wise have had names; and, whether we can now see the reason why certain words or sorts of words have been employed for these purposes, or not, it must be next to certain, that there once was an immediate cause both for their adoption and forms.

2. If then this be the true state of the case, it may be worth while to consider, in the next place, how the primitive significations of words would, in process of time, hupuf be varied, in order to meet the necessities which would daily arise. Let us first take the word Jo walking, going, proceeding. This, we can suppose, was the name given to that sort of action, by which a person removes himself from one place to another. If then we add 2. 4. another word, or words, the whole may now mean, proceeding towards, either as a friend or an enemy :- from, with, &c. with the additional notions of co-operation, resistance, haste, delay, or the like. This action might, in the next place, be applied to the mind, and then signify its progress, improvement, general conduct, conversation, &c. and, in such acceptations is this word used. Hence Enoch is said to have walked with God, whence יתהלך הנוך את-האלהים, Gen. v. 22. Again, it may be applied to any thing in the sense of progress, and this may be understood to intimate either increase or diminution : as, יילך הלוך ונהל, Gen. xxvi. 13. So, he proceeded, proceeding and becoming great, i. e. gradually. And, Ib. viii. 3, &c. וַיָּשָׁבוּ הַמַּיִם הָלוֹךְ וָשׁוֹב ייחסרו ..... and the waters returned ..... proceeding

3. hom

4. maria

3

and returning, so they decrease, &c. And, accordingly, this word is often used in the sense of proceeding gradually.\* Again, let us take the word in the rising, mounting up, &c. This with certain adjuncts may signify, to become superior to, or to get the upper hand of, another; to conquer him, to humble him; also to excel in state, dignity, power, &c.: the being conversant upon, or about, any thing: being near a place or thing, before it, or, as we say in English, over against it: also, over and above, implying excess; being incumbent upon, as a duty, &c. all of which might arise out of the primitive word, by considering it either in its proximate or remote bearings: and such are the acceptations, in which, in one form or other, it is actually found.

3. If then we can conceive how words would thus be made to vary from their primitive significations, in consequence of their different bearings, as just noticed, we shall find no difficulty in seeing, how the cause, beginning, continuation, completion, consequence, &c. of any action may be likewise intimated by such words; as also the ability, duty, right, will, endeavour, custom, occasion, permission, or notification, &c. which may also be intimated or implied by their various forms; and this in their more remote significations, according to the Grammarians and Commentators, has actually taken place in many instances in the Hebrew; as, indeed, it has, in a greater or less degree, in all languages; but which is nothing more than what the necessity of the case absolutely requires.

4. The law or necessity by which this variety has

<sup>\*</sup> So also the Persian ونته ونته going going, for gradually. So Virgil, Vires acquirit eundo.

<sup>†</sup> See Glass. Philolog. Sacr. Ed. Dathe. p. 178-249. &c. Storr. Observ. p. 1, &c.

been brought about, has, for the sake of convenience, been termed Tropology, and this, for the same reason, has been divided into Metonymy, Irony, Metaphor, and Synecdoche. METONYMY respects cause and effect; subject and adjunct: IRONY, contrariety: METAPHOR, comparison: Synecdoche, distribution, as to the whole with reference to its parts, the genus to its species, the material to the thing composed out of it, &c. For a full account of which the reader is referred to the second volume of the Philologia Sacra of Glassius, or the work of Storr, books which every student of the Hebrew Language ought to have.

5. Let it be remembered, however, we are not to recur to these figures for the purpose of reconciling any passage of Scripture with our own preconceived notions. It must appear clearly from the context, considered in conjunction with the character of the writer we may be consulting, whether such figure has really been used or not; otherwise we shall make the sacred writers occasionally to talk like madmen: and shall, perhaps, extract from the same writer, nay the very same passage, the most incongruous and discordant notions.

6. When, therefore, we have to ascertain the meaning Inot L of any given word, we must carefully consider, whether the primitive or some derived signification is to be taken. When the literal acceptation of such word will answer of literal our purpose, the work is done, and we need proceed no further: but, when this is not the case, we must try in what way our principles will help us: e. g. We find in Job ii. 9, the following passage, which has given considerable trouble to the Translators and Commentators: אָלהִים נְמָת, which in our authorised version is, "Curse God and die." The word, about which the principal difficulty has here arisen, is 772. The best

L. Figur of Spece

glasse

There 2000 Ap- falie

explanation I can give is this: ברך used as a verb seems first to have been applied to camels kneeling down to receive their burdens.\* Hence, perhaps, arose the idea abmilion of submission in kneeling, when receiving something from a superior: and hence also that of receiving a blessing; and, actively, giving or bestowing one: also, to ask or receive a blessing on departing, &c. To this קללה lightness, is opposed as implying a curse, Gen. xxvii. 12, &c. In the next place, as the imposing of a burden seems to be connected with the primitive meaning of this word, this signification may also be taken in the sense of oppression or affliction; and, actively, treating or considering another as the author of it; which, I believe, is the force of the word in this place. Storr (p. 37-8.) has taken it in the sense of bidding farewell, and hence of forsaking and giving up. Parkhurst, with some of his school, has taken it as an irony here, which is hardly necessary.— What has now been said is intended to refer to unaugmented words generally. On the augmented ones we shall have something to offer hereafter.

7. With reference to the forms of Hebrew words, the student will readily perceive, that if the root in its simplest form, (which is constant,) will always represent a certain class of words; then, upon any augmentation

by Pococke, cap. ii.

<sup>\*</sup> See the Siháh of Jauhari and the Kāmoos under this word, which give النَّهَ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ وَالنَّمَاءُ increase, and السَّعَادُةُ وَالنَّمَاءُ happiness, &c, as its meaning, &c. See also Gen. xxvii. 36, Jos. xv. 19, &c.

<sup>†</sup> The Author of the Kāmoos has والتحمالةُ أو رجالها الذين يسعون &c., which are all to this purpose. So ويتحملونها staken to signify baseness in a bad sense and humility in a good one. See the Moallakah of Antara by Menil and Wilmet, p. 135. The same is the case with many other Arabic words. See also the Notæ Miscellaneæ appended to the Porta Mosis

being made, either in its vowels or consonants, or both, other forms will be produced, which may severally represent words of other classes, each having meanings, or shades of meaning, peculiar to themselves: and, such is actually the case.

8. If then this be the fact, we can adopt certain words representing the various forms found to prevail; and thest we can use, like the formula in Algebra, to designate whole classes of others, having the same forms. Thus, 7PD, may be put for any word, having the vowel Páthakh only, between its first and second radical letters. In like manner 7PD, 7PD, may represent any others having a Khōlém, Tzēré, or Khīrík, in the same place, respectively; and these are the forms of primitive nouns, generally having an abstract signification.\* In the next

in lighter

Irimi abshar

active signification. For example, ضرب زید will mean Zaid's striking, i. e. either the striking which he receives, or gives, passively or actively, objectively or subjectively, as the context may require. In such instances, the abstract noun

This is also the case with the Arabic words of these forms: as, comprehension, scape knowledge, beauty; and where the adscititious tunwin may be considered as equivalent to our Hebrew euphonic Segol. We must carefully bear in mind, however, that, although words of this form will generally be abstract in sense, they will not necessarily always have an

striking, may be considered as equivalent to a passive or active participle, respectively; and then the abstract may be said to occupy the place of a concrete noun: as, in Gen. xxxi. 42, we have אַבּרָלָי, זְּחַבָּ the fear of Isaac, i. e. the object of Isaac's fear, his God, which in the parallel passage is, אַבְּלָּי, my Father's God. So also again in ver. 53, Ib., where it is similarly explained. In Rom. ii. 26, iii. 30, the Gentiles are, in like manner, termed ἀκροβυστία, and the Jews περιτομή, i. e. uncircumcised, and circumcised, respectively. These considerations will occasionally affect the verbs, so that the active form will have a passive sense and vice verså, of which examples will be given hereafter. See Viger on the Greek Idioms, Cap. v. § i. Ed. 1813. Hence the various acceptations of δικαιοσύνη in the New Testament. See also Storr, p. 201, &c.

place, פַקר פַקד פָקר, פוֹקד, פּקוד פָקר פָקד פָקר place, סר, with one or other of the letters מפקד, as, האמנתי, as, מפקד, &c., may represent other classes of words, and may each be severally put down as a formula for such class of words. And, as the roots of words in Hebrew always consist of three letters, (the servile letters or vowels being constant,) these formulæ

can always be applied.

9. In the Rabbinic grammars, dictionaries, and commentaries, the word פעל, with its variations, is taken as the common measure of other words; but, as great inconvenience arises from the use of this word, on account of the medial y, we have, with Schrederus and others, taken אסק, not that it is entirely unexceptionable, there being one of the בגדכפת letters, both as its initial and final letter, but because it is sufficiently easy of application for our purpose.

147. It will appear from what has been said (Artt. 75. 76. 77. &c.) that primitive nouns originally consisting of three radical letters, may frequently be found with two only; and there are cases to be noticed hereafter, in which we have but one. Making these allowances, therefore, the forms of all nouns will be either

Simple, Augmented, or Compounded.

2. The simple forms, as already noticed (Art. 146. 8.) will consist of the radical letters (supposing none of them to have been dropped as just mentioned) accompanied by one or two vowels.

3. The augmented forms of nouns will exhibit one or other of the simple forms, augmented either by the . reduplication of its middle radical letter by Dagésh, or

2. by the addition of one or more of the letters, found in the

3. word הָאֶמְנְתִּי, or by both taken together.

Compounded words are those which are formed by the combination of one or more words, simple or augmented, written together as one, contracted however or abridged as the rules may require.

5. The simple forms may be divided into two classes, the first of which may, from their peculiarities, be termed

Segolate: the second, Primitive nouns, only.

6 By Segolate nouns is meant, nouns which, in addition to their primitive vowel, generally introduce an additional (;) for the sake of Euphony (Art. 108.). In the other class of primitive nouns this does not take place.

7. We shall first consider the different sorts of Segolate nouns, and then proceed to the others, whether simple, augmented, or compounded; giving, at the same time, the forms which they severally assume in forming the plural number—when in the state of construction, whether singular or plural,-and when having any of the pronouns attached to them.

148. The forms of the primitive Segolate nouns are the following: viz. I. T.P.P., (the alternate form of which is, בְּקְר יוֹ III. בְּקְר מוֹל: בְּקְר מוֹל: III. בְּקְר מוֹל: IV. בְּקְר מוֹל: V. בְּקְר מוֹל: which are generally abstract in signification; and, in the leading form, have the accent on the penultimate (Art. 117. 1.).

2. Now, as the pronunciation of the leading forms of these words would, in many cases, be exceedingly difficult, an additional vowel, (v) Segól, for the most part, is introduced for the purpose of obviating that difficulty hulph (Art. 108.): e. g. instead of saying 7PD, (where it would scarcely be possible to enounce the 7) by introducing

\* As the vowels (") and ("), ((") and (-), may here be considered, respectively, as identical, the number of the forms will be reduced to four: viz.

n 2 class a. Legola 6. primi

scyolatie lake (: al. from Tont.

5. June fo of Egola 4. 5.

: hisn

aleshuo

I. פָּקְד, alt. פָּקָד, II. פָּקָד, וווּ פָּקָד, וווּ פָּקָד, ווּי פָּקָד, ווּי פָּקָד, ווּי פָּקָד. See also Hoffmann's Syr. Gram., p. 239, &c., Halle, 1827.

hit T.

3. In all cases, in which this class of words will, by the accidence of Grammar, receive any asyllabic augment, no necessity will exist for this Euphonic vowel; and then, either the primitive or the alternate form of the word will be used: as, אַלְבָּׁי his king; מִלְבִּׁי (from the alternate form אַלְבָּׁי (in construction); and, מִלְבִּׁים (from the alternate form אַבְּׁי (אַרָּבְּׁים) kings, when not in the state of construction. So also in the feminine form, אַבְּׁי מִ מְשִׁבּׁים (מִלְבִּׁים) his shoulder, which in the plural number will take the alternate form אַבְּיבְּׁים, from אַבְּיבְּׁים, as before. The (-) becomes (+) Kāméts, by Artt. 97. 136. So also אַבְּיבְּׁים his shoulder, from אַבְּיבְּׁים his holiness, from אַבְּיבָּׁים his holiness, from אַבְּיבָּׁים his holiness, from אַבְּיבָּים his holiness, from בּּיבִּׁים his holiness the first vowel to become imperfect (Art. 33, &c.)

- 4. As we have already laid down the rules relating to the formation of the feminine gender of nouns (Art. 135.), of the dual and plural number (Artt. 138, 139, &c.), to the state of construction (Art. 143.), and to the inseparable pronouns as affecting the forms of the nouns (Art. 145. 5.), it is now our intention to shew in each form, as far as it may be necessary, in what way the vowels are affected by these circumstances. We have chosen this method of detailing what has usually been termed the mutationes punctorum, because it appears to be the only

one likely to be of any service to the Student. In the grammars of Buxtorf and others of his school, it was cus- Buytorf tomary to give a considerable number of rules on this subject with examples; and then to leave the Student to make his way as well as he could. But, as the analogy of the syllabication and of the forms of words are the only sure guides, little use could be made of those rules, until the Learner had become familiar with these; and, when this was done, the rules themselves were almost useless. In the more elaborate grammars of modern Germany, the analogy is first taught, and then the nouns are divided into a certain number of declensions. This, however, seems to be labour thrown away; for, when the Student is once made acquainted with the general laws of syllabication, and the forms of words, a further classification of these forms must rather tend to confuse than to instruct him. But, supposing this not to be the case, still the labour is multiplied; and, as far as I can see, for no useful purpose.

I have been agreeably surprised to find, upon turning over the Rabbinic grammars of D. Kimkhi and some of Knickh those who succeeded him, that under the forms of the nouns, the changes of the vowel-points are in all cases given; which, indeed, had appeared to me the most rational way of proceeding. In conformity with this principle then, it is my intention to proceed to the classification of the nouns, beginning with those termed Segolate, giving at once all that appears to be necessary for the information of the Student, and adding such notes on the different forms as the circumstances of each case may seem to require. Having already given a classification of the forms of the Segolate nouns, we shall now proceed to exemplify them.

On the First Species of Segolate Nouns of the forms 779,

Forms. Examples. State of Construction. Primitive. Usual Do. Primitive.

5. The Absolute masculine plurals (Art. 139. 4.) of all Segolate nouns, (except those only which have (i) ר Khōlém for one of their vowels) take the form of בַּקְרָים; feminines take that of חוֹקָם. In the first case, therefore, we shall have מְלָבִים, and in the feminine מְלָבִים, from the alternate forms (Art. 148. 1.). And, so of all the others, whether of the masculine or feminine gender, with the above exception. The plural form for construction with other nouns, or with any of the inseparable pronouns, will follow the primitive form of these words (Ib. 3.). In the examples given above, we shall have מְלְבֵי, and בֶּלְכְוֹת, for the plural forms of construction. But, כָּתְבֵי has כָּתְבֵי, and בְּבֶר does not occur in that situation. It should be observed, however, that the Chaldaïc and Syriac forms 772 or 772 are to be referred sometimes to one class and sometimes to another, of the Segolate nouns: thus, בַּחָב is manifestly of the class לפקד, the plural in construction being בּקר; but פָּקר; but belongs to 722, the plural of construction, no less than the forms found with the pronouns being אָבֶּסְבּ: as, וֹבַסְבּי his silver; בַּקְבֵּיהֶם their pieces of silver.

6. The regular forms for the affixed pronouns singular and plural of the first form, are, מַלְכִּי, מַלְכִּי, מַלְכִּי, מַלְכֵּי, and, by analogy, though not occurring in the text, מְלָכֵי, הָקְכִיך, &c. So

that the plural of construction, that used in connection with the pronouns of the second and third persons masculine and feminine plural, will follow the primitive forms of these nouns; in all the other cases, they will follow the alternate form, 722, or 722, due regard being had to the laws of syllabication.

7. It should be remembered, that in every case in which a guttural letter is the second or third of such word, the accompanying or preceding vowel may be (-); as, 37 an arm (Art. 108.). For a list of nouns of this form, see the "Arcanum Formarum" of Simonis, p. 307, &c.

8. It should also be observed, that some Segolate nouns are, in some segol their original forms, of the feminine gender (Art. 135. 5.): and that, generally, words need not receive the termination , as distinctive of that gender, except such as relate to sex.

9. A considerable number of defective words may be referred to the Segolates, of which the following belong to this class in particular: חוף death (prim. חוף, Art. 108.), which, in construction, or with the pronouns, will take the form nin (Art. 143. 6.): as, מות הילד the death of the child, Gen. xxi. 16. So מות הילד 2. מוֹהָם, &c., and in the plural number מוֹהָם, &c. מוֹהָם iniquity, בולה id. and contr. הור , עולה an age, generation (prim.

717 Arab. , Art. 87. 1.).

10. Examples with a medial : ٦٠٤ (prim. ٢٠٤, Arab. ميد) hunting, fem. コアン id. (Art. 87. 3.); コラー (prim. アラ, Arab.

ישים), in constr. בִּיתִי , בִּיתִי , בִּיתִי , אַנתִי , &c. (Art. 143. 6.). The plural now in use, viz. Din, is evidently derived from some other primitive.

11. Of Nouns doubling the last radical letter: 12 a garden, prim. 122, by (Art. 77.) fem. 122 id. (Ib.). And, with the pronouns, בְּבָּוֹת, &c. plur. abs. בַּבְּיִם for בְּבָבִים, and fem. בַּבְּוֹת for in order perhaps to avoid the too frequent recurrence of the same sound. The form of construction taken by the feminine is ne, instead of ne, probably for the sake of variety. So ne pure

(prim. בְּרָבְּ Arab. יִתְּ (Art. 109.) purity. the plur of constr. masc. ?? for ??.

aumifi nj V. maybe an fem.

when find

ensur T Defection Lydal

words of ste

מות

7.17

rud fin.

12. With a medial and final א:—אוְשׁ, prim. form, and, by apocope, שִׁ (Art. 74.) vanity; fem. אוֹשׁ destruction. So with אוֹשְׁ prim. form, a valley. In constr. אישׁ, and, by apocope, בֹּאָ and in construction, בֹּאַ ; pl. אוֹשְׁיִלּ, and with the light affixes, אוֹשִׁילּ thy vallies.

13. With a final יפר (prim. עַרְרֵיּן) eternity; so אָרוּף for

אחה (Art. 87. 2.) a bulrush; אחה swimming.

For further examples of this sort, the Student is referred to the "Arcanum Formarum" of Simonis, sectio v. throughout.

## 149. Of the Second Species of Segolate Nouns.

FORMS	. I	XAMPLES.		In Const	RUCTION.
	ual Do.		em. Form.	Masc.	Fem.
		מולֶד an age.	society.	מֶלֶד וּ	מֶבְרַת
Alternate for		ם shoulder.		שְׁבֶם יְי	_

Nouns of this species occur but rarely; and it is doubtful whether the last of these does not more properly belong to the primitive form אָבָרָה, as we have it with the pronouns אָבְרָה, &c. But, as a proper name (as some think) with the local אַבְרָה, we have אַבְרָה towards Shechem, Hos. vi. 9.

In the first example, we have הֶלְהָי, &c., with the pronouns.

# 150. Of the Third Species.

Forms.	EXAMPLES.		In Cons	TRUCTION.
Primitive. Usual Do.	)	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
(פַּקָד 🎖 פַּקָד) פַּקָד	nard.		גרד	
o Homali	מוֶלֶדְ a portion.	ילְקְה id.	תלק	הַלְקַת
בְּקִר בְּקִר	מבל a vanity.	גיאָרָה kin.	הַבֶּל	שַׁאָרַת

The absolute form of the plural is here, as before,

S . of Gettl. 17

masc. and בְּלְרִים fem.: as, הַלָּלְים, but הַלָּלְים does not occur. So הַבְּלִים pains; הַבְּלִים vanity, הַבְּלִים vanities, and so of others. The pronouns are affixed thus: הַלְּלְים my portion; הַלְּלִים their portion. So fem. הַלְּלְתִם, הֶלְלָתְם, הֶלְלָתְם, הָוֹלְלָתְם, הָוֹלְלָתִם, הָבָלִי, הַבָּל pl. in constr. is הַבְלִי, הַבּלי, הֶבַלי, הֶבַלי, הַבּלי, הֶבַלי, הַבְלִי, הַבְּלִי, הַבְּלִיי, הַּבְּלִיי, הַבְּלִיי, הַבְּלִיי, הַבְּלִיי, הַבְּלִיי, הַבְּלִיי, הַבְּלִיי, הַבְּלִיי, הַבְּלִיי, הַבְּלִיים הּיִּיים הּיִּייִים הּיִיים הַיּיִים הַּיּים הְיּבְּלִיים הְּיּים הַּיּים הְיּבְּלִיים הַיּים הַּיּבְיים הּבּלייים הּייִבּיים הַּיּים הַּיְים הַּבְּלִיים הַיּיִים הַּיְּלִים הְּיבְּיִים הְּיִּלִים הְּיִּלִים הַּיּבְיּים הַּיּבְיּלִים הְּיִּים הַּיּבְּיִים הִּיּבְיִים הְיבִּים הַּיּים הַּבְּיִים הַּיּים הַּיּים הַּיּים הַּיּיִים הַּיּים הַּיּים הַּיּים הַּיּיִים הַּיּיִים הְיִּים הַּיּיִים הְּיִים הְּיִייּים הְּיִים הְּיִים הְּיִים הְּיִּים הְיּיִים הְּ

אַטְאָ, is with the pronouns אָטָאָם, &c. In the plur. abs. הַטְּאָים, constr. בְּטָאָים: with the pronouns,

עמינו , הַטְאֵינו , הַטְאֵינו , בּרָטָאֵי , &c., and so on.

2. The nouns of this species are numerous. The following are a few examples: אָבֶּיְתְ will, delight; אַבְּיִתְ pleasure, also the proper name of a place; שְׁבָּית a girdle; שְׁבָּית fat. But שִׁבְּיִת a rod; אַבָּית the forehead; אַבְּית understanding, acuteness, which also occurs of the form בְּיִבְית his understanding. From בְּיִבְּית which also occurs of the form בְּיִבְית where בּיִבְּית thy vestiges, Jer. xiii. 22; and, in construction in the feminine gender בּיִבְּית : but these may, perhaps, be derived from different primitives.

3. The following are a few of the defective nouns, which seem to belong to this species: אַ a fleece; (prim. אַבְּ Art. 77.), fem. אַבְּ for אַבְּ , constr. אַבָּ , masc., in constr. אַבָּ cuttings; אַבָּ the cud (prim. אַבָּ ); אַבְּ וּ the palate (prim. אַבָּ , Art. 76.), with the pronouns אָבָּ , אַבָּ , אַבָּ , אַבָּ , אַבָּ , אַבָּ , אַבָּ , אַבּ . For further examples, see the "Arcanum

Formarum," p. 326, &c.

4. Chaldaic and Syriac words often occur of the form 기구후: as 기구후, Heb. form 기구후 silver; 기구후 counsel. So, in Hebrew, 기본후 instead of 기본후 elevation. See the "Arcanum Formarum," pp. 309, 310.

# Of the Fourth Species of Segolate Nouns.

151. This, according to our arrangement, will comprehend all primitive nouns of the forms 779, and 779, but of the latter no examples occur.

, For	Ms.	. E:	KAMPLES.		In Con	STRUCTION.
Primitive.	Usual.			Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
פַקד.	פֶּקֶר	בֶבֶשׁ	a lamb.	כִּבְשָׂה	בֶּבֶשׂ	כִּבְשַׁת
-	1 18	וֶתֶר וּ	excellence.	יִתְרֶה	ֶּ מֶתֶּר	יִׁתְרֶת

4 1/2 form

### Of the Fifth Species.

152. These have the primitive forms 775, and 775, and constitute a considerable class of Nouns in the Hebrew Language.

Forms.	EXAMPLES. Jong.	eportate .	In Construction	N.
Primitive. Usual Do.		, Fem.		em.
פָּמֶד פְּמְד	holiness.	meat.	- קֹדֶישׁ	_
Alternate form.	****			
פְּלְד פְּלְד	קשט truth.	wink.	- בָּאשׁ	-

2. Of this last form, perhaps, no other word occurs, unless the Infinitives of the form TPD are to be added: these, however, upon receiving one or other of the pronouns, assume the same form with the first, but are never found in the plural number.

3. The masculine plural of the first of these forms is regularly of the form בְּקְדִשׁים: as, בְּקְדִשׁים; the substitute for Shevá preserving the original vowel of the word. In the plural of construction we have בְּקִדְשִׁיך; and with the pronouns singular and plural, קְּדִשִׁיך, אָרָשִׁיך, אָרָשִׁיך, אָרָשִׁין, אַרָשִּׁין, אַרָשִּׁין, אַרָשִּׁין, אַרָשִּׁין, אַרָשִּׁין, אָרָשִׁין, אַרָשִּׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרָשִּׁין, אַרָשִּׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרַשִּׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָשִׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרַשְׁיִּין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרָּשִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אָרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אָרְישִׁין, אָרְישִׁין, אָרִישְׁיִּין, אָרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אָרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִׁין, אָרִישְׁיִּין, אַרְישִׁין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּיּין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּיּין, אַרְישִּיּין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּיּין, אַרְישִּין, אַרְישִּיּיִין, אַרְישִּיּיִין, אַרְישִּיּין, אַרְישִ

formed. In the word רְאִשִׁים (for רְאִשִׁים), we have in the plural רָאִשִׁים and רָאִשִׁים, for בְאָשִׁים and רָאִשִׁים, by Art. 87. 5, & being preserved to avoid ambiguity;) and with the pronouns ראישי (for יָרְאִישִׁי), on account of the quiescence of א in the preceding vowel (Art. id.), באשׁבֶּכ, אשך, &c. The same form prevails in the plural with the pronouns: as, רָאשִׁיכֶם, בָּאשִׁיכ, &c. In some instances, שַׂרָשׁ is thought to take the same plural form: as, קדשים, אכ., for קדשים, אכ. But in these cases, the primitive may have been of a different form: if not, this word is occasionally anomalous or erroneously written. So, שֹׁרֵשֵׁי a root, שֹׁרָשֵׁי, אָרָשֵׁי, &c.; but in construction נֵרָן: שֵׁרִשֵּׁי a threshing floor, fem. makes its abs. pl. נְרָנִוֹת, for נָרָנוֹת, by a similar anomaly: and, in the pl. of construction we have also בָּרֵנוֹת. From בֹּרֵנוֹת we have it his greatness; from YDP, ixDP his handful, by adopting the obliquely corresponding vowel.

4. When the middle letter happens to be a guttural, the euphonic (\*) becomes (-) (Art. 108.): as, カララ form, アブラ a pustule, &c., while in some instances the (\*) remains: as, ラット a tent; アット the thumb.

5. In some cases Khōlém remains in the abs. pl. as, בּיִבְּיִא ; as also in those which have not the grave pronominal affixes: as, אַרָּיִלְיּא ; but, in construction and with those affixes, the first vowel is Kāméts Khātúph: as, יְבִּייִר הַּלֹּיִל הַלְּיִל הַּלְּיִל הַּבְּיִי בְּיִיר הַּלְּיִל הַ סִּלְּיִל הַבְּיִי בְּיִיר בְּיִּר בְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִר בְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִר בְּיִבְייִ בְּיִי בְּיִבְייִ בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְּיי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיי בְּיי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיי בְּייִי בְּיי בְּיִייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִייִי בְּייִי בְּייִייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִייּייִי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייִייִי בְּייִייְייִי בְּייִיי בְּיייי בְּיייִייּיי בְּייִייִי בְּייִייְייִייִיי בְּייִייִיי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּייייִייי בְּיייִיי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּייייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּייייי בְּיייי בְּייייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּייייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּייייי בְייייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּייייי בְ

6. אַבְּהֹלְית, the thumb, fem. forms the plural בּהֹלְית, but does not occur with any of the pronouns. In the same manner are formed

adde

m W77
(++)

40076 (T:)

(\*\*\*)

<sup>\*</sup> Michlol, fol. רֹז, verso, which, according to him, is always the case when a guttural letter occupies the place of the second radical: as in אַרָּבִי אָרָהּ אַרְּהָּלְּיִלְּיִ אַרְּבָּרְּהַּ וּבְּרִיבְּרִי אָרִי אַרְּבָּרְיּבְּרִי אַרְּבָּרְיִּבְּרִי אַרְּבְּרִי אַרְיי אַרְּבְּרִי אַרְייִי אָרְיִי אַרְּבְּרִי אַרְייִי אָרְיִי אַרְּבְּרִי אַרְייִי אָרִי אַרְּבְּרִי אַרְּבְּיִי אַרְּבְּרִי אַרְייִי אָרְיִי אַרְּבְּרִי אַרְייִי אָבְּרִייְרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָרְיִי אָּבְּרִיי אַרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרִיי אָרִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָּרְיִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָרְיי אָרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָרְייי אָּרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָּיי אָרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָרְייי אָרְייִי אָּרְייִי אָּרְייִיי אָּרְייִי אָּייי אָּרְייִי אָּרְייי אָּרְייי אָרְייי אָרְייי אָּרְייִיי אָּרְייי אָרְייי אָּרְייי אָרְיייי אָּרְייִי אָרְייי אָּרְייִי אָּרְייי אָּרְייִיי אָּרְייִיי אָרְייי אָרְייִיי אָּרְייִיי אָּרְייי אָּרְייִי אָּבְּייִיי אָּבְייי אָּרְייי אָּבְיייי אָרְייִיי אָּרְייי אָרְייי אָּרְייי אָבְייי אָּבְּייי אָרְייי אָּרְייי אָרְייי אָּייי אָּרְייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּרְייי אָּיי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּיייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּיייי אָּייי אָּיייי אָּיייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָּייי אָייייי אָּיייי אָייי אָּייי אָרְיייי אָיייי אָּייייי

the plurals of יבה splendour, and יבה front, presence : as, בנהות בנהות אונים ו and כֹּחְוֹת; but these, according to D. Kimkhi, belong to some other form of the singular (perhaps נכֹחָה, פָּהוֹן, בּהוֹן, &c.); as, כֹחָה, גנוֹהָה Amos iii. 10.

END

(:+)

7. A few peculiarities prevail in the word ws ill savour, which deserve notice. Its original form seems to be win; but, with the pronouns it is written iving, Dung, &c. in which the o is drawn back (Art. 87. 5.). In the time of Kimkhi, however, it was irregularly written iwing, with a quiescent in, which inclined him to think that the singular form must have been wind.

toll (Ti)

. with from .

7 ..

8. In those cases, in which the last letter is a guttural, the sound of o is lost: as, רְמָחִים a spear, pl. בְּהָחִים: but, with a pronoun it returns: as, בְּחִיהָם their spears; חבא a way, makes אַרָחוֹת pl.

abs., but in construction חוֹחָהא. 9. Of the defective nouns, we have nin for mentiness (Art. אס בְּהַר (sor בְּהַר for בְּהָר for בְּהָר fem. bitterness; to

efectives.

which many others may be added. 10. These nouns are regularly abstracts in signification (Art. 146. 8.): and, in many instances, where they appear not to be so, some translated sense takes its place: as, 770 a king, which originally meant possession, dominion, or the like, but which, in process of time, seems to have lost its primitive force. Perhaps it still retains that sense in Proverbs xiv. 28.

# בָּרָב־עַם הַדְרַת־מֵלֵךְ וֹבְאֵפֶס לְאֹם מִחְתַּת רַזְוֹן:

"In the multitude of people is the glory of rule; But in the diminution of a nation is the stroke of poverty."

In this case אָלֵי is opposed to יָוֹן, which seems to me to prove, that rule or dominion is the sense in which it must be taken. Some indeed have proposed to read prince, at the end of the second hemistich, but this will be unnecessary when we restore מֶלֶך to its primitive signification. In like manner the word ... Sultan, which means power, rule, or the like, has been applied to the Emperor of Constantinople; and in our own language we use Justice, Magistrate, Lordship, and many similar expressions, which were originally abstract nouns. See also Hosea x. 15.

PRIMITI

On the Forms of the Second Class of Primitive Nouns, which are not Segolate.

153. Having laid down the different forms and inflections of the primitive nouns which are termed Segolate, we now come to others which are also primitive, but which have not the peculiarity of punctuation found to prevail in them; and which, moreover, have the toneaccent on the last syllable: and as it will be unnecessary, to give the forms of the plurals in all cases-of the noun when in the state of construction, or when in connection with the several pronouns; we shall only point out the instances in which any difficulty may arise, either from a vowel being immutable, or any other cause, which will be all the Learner can want. The following are the forms peculiar to this species of nouns: viz. in 11 from ו. פַּקָיד. V. פָּקָיד. VI. פָּקָד. II. פָּקָד. IV. פָּקָד. VI. : פַקוֹד .X : פּוֹקָד : VIII : פָקוֹד : IX. פַקּוֹד : בּקוֹד : בַּקוֹד XI. אַכְּקוֹד.\* The feminine forms will be given in their places.

For	RMS.			EXAMP	LES.	
Masc.	Fem. ,		Masc.	em, at	orl. Fem.	
ו. פַקד	פַקּדָה (	as	יקר	value.	יַקרֶה	
וו. בְּקָד	פַקדָה בְּקַדָּה		דָבֶר	a word.	צָדָקָה	righteousness.
_or,	פַּקֶדֶת				עָרֶת	abundance.

<sup>\*</sup> If, however, we consider the forms, פַקר and פַקר, and פַקר and פַקר and פַקר and פַקר אָפָקר and פָּקוֹד and פָּקוֹד, and פָּקוֹד and פָקוֹד, respectively, identical, the number of the forms will be reduced to sir.

how not

- 2. As the last example exhibits the feminine form generally used in construction, nothing further need be said about it. In the first two, we have no vowel which can be said to be immutable. The plurals therefore and the pronouns will take those forms, which a regard to the vowels and accents would suggest as proper: and the rule seems to be, that in every case, the vowel farthest removed from the accent will be rejected; as, אָרָבֶרְיִם, (Art. 143. 3.) with the pronouns אַרָבְרִים, (for בְּבִרִים, (for בְּבִרִים, אַרָּבְרִים, אַרָּבְרִים, אָרָבְרִים, אָרָבְרִים, אָרַבְּרִים, אָרָבְרִים, אָרָבְרִים, אָרָבְרִים, אָרָבְרִים, אָרָבְּרִים, אָרָבְרִים, אָרָבּרִים, אָרָבְרִים, אָרָבּרִים, אָרָבּרָים, אָרָבּרָים, אָרָבּרִים, אָרָבּרָים, אָרָבּרָים, אָרָבּרָים, אָרָבּרָים, אָרָבּרִים, אָרָבּרִים, אָרָבּרִים, אָרָבּרָים, אָרָבּרָים, אָרְבּרָים, אָרְבְּרָים, אָרְבּרָים, אָרָבּרְים, אָרְבּרָים, אָרְבּרָים, אָרְבְּבְים, אָרְבְּבִים, אָרְבּיבְים, אָרְבּבְיים, אָרְבּבְיים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְבִים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְבּיִים, אָרְי
  - 3. In some instances the first (ז) is immutable, but in these it is believed to occupy the place of א: as מֹלְשִׁים a horseman; Arab. פֿלָשִׁים; pl. בְּיָשִׁים.
  - 4. Nouns of these forms are generally Concrete, and will therefore designate substances: as, אַבְּא a man; a man; אַבָּא hail; אַבָּא flesh: epithets; as, אַבְּא a wise man; אַבָּא a wicked man.\* In some cases they seem to be abstract: as, אֵבְּא weeping; אָבָא crying out, &c.; but this may take place by the operation of a metonymy, just as the contrary effect sometimes takes place in the Segolates (Art. 152. 10.).

<sup>\*</sup> Whether the Hebrews ever considered words of this kind as adjectives, as we do, I very much doubt. But, whatever may be said on this subject, it is much more convenient, in considering the structure of this language, to treat them as epithets, including a substantive with their attributive properties, as in the English word fool, &c. See the Syntax.

	For	MS.	EXAMP	LES.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
III.	בַּקִד	פָּקֶדֶת יי פְּקֵדֶּת		יוֹ נְּדֶרֶת or בְּדֶרֶת id.
			stolen.	
IV.	פַקד	פַקרָת יס פַקרָה	howling !!	לבל durkness.
			}	idleness.
		פַקדות once	}	heaviness.

5. In these forms, which are very nearly related to each other, the second vowel is generally immutable, the first not so : as, וְבְרֵין his fence ; לוֹרָין thy (fem.) fences ; her fences; pl. fem. נדריה fences. This being known, the vowels proper for construction, &c., are also known. In a few instances we have a inserted: as, ועיר littleness; שָׁלֵיו tranquillity; פַּלִיטָה escape, which seems to account for the attendant vowel's being im- blugtten mutable; and also to suggest, that some affinity exists between this and the next form.

6. The signification peculiar to these forms, is that of hubt, habit, custom, passion, whence they have by some been termed participles. Those of the third are found in words signifying feeling, perception, or the like, and are often used as roots of verbs having this sense : as, אָנָקי willing; The rejoicing, &c.

	Fo	RMS.	Examples	s
	Mase.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
V.	פָּקִיד	בְּקידָה (בְּקידָה	a prophet.	ם kiss. 6.
VI.	פָּקיד	id.	י a libation.	מלילה an action.
VII.	פָּקוּד	פַקוּדֶה	ול learned.	ים יול id למוּדָה id.
VIII.	פְקוּד	id.	boasting.	יול prediction. 3.

7. In all these cases the ' and ' inserted are immutable, the other vowel is not: the plurals, &c. are, therefore, formed accordingly. In some instances the or will be

helmy

metrus n' bost.

omitted, and their places supplied by (-) or (-) respectively; in all which these vowels will remain immutable as before, for the purpose of preserving the original form of the word: as, שַּׁיִּחָ masc. אַמָּיִּחָ fem. darkness. So אַצְּעָ fem. for אַצְעָיִ advice (Art. 76.); and אַנְאָרָּבָּיִ a visitation, being visited, &c.

8. Forms V. and VI. are very nearly allied to the foregoing both in form and signification; and from these, the forms VII. and VIII. scarcely present a shade of difference.\* Simonis indeed makes a difference, but it is perhaps only an imaginary one. To the two last he ascribes the sense of past time, as if the action, of which this form is usually said to present the object, took place at some distant period; but, innumerable instances may be pointed out, in which it has a present or a future signification according to the context. The truth seems to be, that each of them implies habit, custom, &c., of such action, &c., as the root may signify; but, that in some roots, the one form is found to prevail, in others, the other.

Jem	
17:13	
~.,,,,j }	
17 :	
11.	

1 habit

For	MS.	EXAMPLES.	
Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
פּוֹקָד <u>זו</u>	פוקדה	וֹבֶךְ א descending.	יוֹרְדֶּה id.
	פּוֹקֶדֶת	<b>(</b>	יוֹנֶדֶת id.
בָּקוֹד <u>.x</u>	פקודה	יול . great.	id. בְּדוֹלֶה
	פַקרָה יים	ו עַנוֹנ luxurious.	יַעַנְבָּה id.
בַּקוֹד .וֹצ	פַקוֹדֶה	ורוע the arm.	good news.
or	פְּקוֹדְית יכּים	1	a sort of dish.

<sup>\*</sup> So יְלְנְּד ; and יְלְנִּד ; bound, a captive ; and בְּחְיִר and יְלְנִיד and יְלְנִיד oborn, a son; יְלִיִּד and בְּחְיִר anointed Messiah: and in a great number of cases, in which the textual reading has the one form, the marginal reading will have the other.

9. In these forms the i Khōlém only is immutable. I much fem., which will יהול masc. בולות fem., which will פוקדות also be the forms proper for the state of construction, and for the pronominal affixes.

10. Of these forms a few nouns will be found abstract for about in signification. 7p.id, with its feminine, is generally used as an agent. Sometimes the feminine occurs as, פוֹרָיָה

fructifera: (Art. 136. 5.).

11. It may be taken as a general rule, that whenever a for , or one of their equivalents ( ) or ( - ), happens to be found in one of this class of primitive words, that minutal vowel will remain unchanged under all circumstances. The reason is: these vowels principally constitute these forms.

#### LECTURE VII.

OF THE AUGMENTED HEBREW NOUNS.

154. Having considered the Primitive nouns of both kinds, we now proceed to the Augmented ones, which augmented may be classed under four heads. I. Those found 1. 4 Red to double any letter or syllable, which hence may be termed reduplicated. II. Those which are augmented by one or more of the letters, contained in the technical 2. 4 Lin word האמנהי I have believed, reduplicating, or not, at the same time, any of their letters or syllables. III. 3. Comp Nouns compounded of two or more others, each of which may be otherwise augmented, or not: and, IV. Foreign 4. Foreign words.

mak 110

- 2. It is evident that whenever any addition is made to a word, some modification will be made in its sense. The great difficulty consists, however, in determining the law by which this process of augmentation is carried on, and thence ascertaining, what is the precise force of every form thus modified: and, it must be confessed, that although a considerable number of instances occur in most languages, in which we can clearly perceive a peculiar force attendant on certain specific forms; yet, there are others, in which no such power is discoverable; others again, in which one form is used for another, and even different forms linked together in the same phrase, or corresponding to one another in the parallel parts of the same context. To this may be added the figures of speech, which exercise a very considerable influence on the significations of words, and conspire very much to disconcert both the Learner and the Learned in enquiries of this nature. Still we must not throw away all the helps we have, merely because they will not conduct us to absolute knowledge in every case: besides, further enquiry may throw much new, and even sufficient, light on this subject. We shall, therefore, follow the course just marked out, in detailing the forms of augmented nouns.
- 3. Writers on this subject seem generally to agree in the following principles, viz. That, by augmentation will be expressed either intensity, frequency, duration, defect, or the like, of that action, passion, habit, &c. which is contained in the signification afforded by the primitive word:—that, in some instances, a word which is intransitive, will, by adding a letter, become transitive: as in the English words, rise and raise, which in Hebrew will be given in D? (for אוֹף), and אוֹף or קוֹמֵן. A similar effect is produced in Hebrew by prefixing a letter: as, שְׁהָלִים which will also signify raise, the root being hise. In many cases, however, as already remarked, the real force of the augment is not perceptible; and in these, we must be content to abide by usage. As we proceed, however, it is my intention to offer some conjectures on the origin of the different augments; and, should I not succeed in producing conviction, I shall certainly gain my point in another respect, namely, in impressing upon the Learner's mind, the different forms with which he will meet. Besides, by attempting to ascertain what these additional syllables really are, and what they mean, some light may be thrown on the manner in which language in

epreped

general has been constructed.—It is my belief, that language has grown up, in a great measure, out of necessity; and, that words which now exceed their primitive length, must have been made so by the addition of others qualifying or otherwise modifying their significations, as circumstances should require. In process of time, many of these additional words may have become attached to others, and so abbreviated as greatly to obscure their original forms and significations: e. g. The English word attempting, seems to be compounded of at, (ad, whatever that word was originally) tempt, and ing. The last component part is probably the same with the Latin Ens, or Greek &v, so that each part of the word might originally have stood singly, but is now so compounded as to stand for one. In such words as incomprehensibility, transubstantiation, &c., I suppose we have not fewer than five or six primitive words confounded together in one.

4. The Greek and Latin prepositions, with which so many words are compounded, were perhaps at first significant words: and, it strikes me as most probable, that their terminations of case and gender, no less than the variations found to prevail in the conjugations of their verbs, were all, at some time, significant words, which have been so attached to the root, as to supply the sense which these conjugations, &c. now bear. It may, indeed, be impossible now to ascertain exactly what they were; still the attempt to do so, cannot but be attended with beneficial results.—

To proceed, the following tables will exhibit the forms with some examples of the first class of these nouns.

# Of Reduplicated Words, Intensitive, or otherwise modified in their Signification.

FORMS.

Masc.

Fem.

Masc.

Fem.

F

5. To this form may be referred all those nouns, which, on account of having a or for their middle radical letter, and therefore not convenient for reduplication (Art. 75.), double the last:

Tre posite

v. Donal

4 :

3º 120.

אם מוללן מורק as, שוֹלְלן שוֹרְק desiring, fem.; שוֹלְלן מורק id., root שוֹלְלן מורק asucking child, root עול שוֹלְלן. The same form is also found in words derived from roots having the second and third radical letters the same: as, שוֹבֶּי desolate, desolation, root שוֹבִי The same: as, שוֹבֶּי לוֹנוֹלָל הַשְּׁי desolate, desolation, root שוֹבִי לוֹנוֹל הַי שִּׁבְּי לוֹנוֹל הַלְּלְ הַשְּׁי שִׁנְי לְּבִּי לְּבִּי לִּבְּי לִי מִּבְּי לִי מִּבְּי לִי מִּבְּי לִי מִּבְּי לִי מִּבְּי לִי מִּבְּי לִי מִּבְּיִ לִּבְּי לִי מִּבְּיִל מִּבְּיִ לִּבְּי לִי מִּבְּיִל מִּבְּיִ לוֹנִי מִבְּי מִבְּי לוֹנִי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּיִ מִּבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִ מִּבְּיִ מִּבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִים מִּבְיִים מִבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִּים מִּיִּים מִּיִים מִּיִּים מִּיִּים מִּיִים מִּים מִּיִּים מִּיִים מִּיִּים מִּיִּים מִּיִים מִּיִּים מִּיִּים מִּיּים מִּיּים מִּיִּים מִּיִּים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיִים מִּיּים מִּיּים מִּים מִּיִּים מִּיִים מִּיִּים מִּים מִּיִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּיּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּיִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מְּים מִּים מְ

6. Some <u>one vowe</u>l will, in all these cases, necessarily be immutable; the other occasionally so: e. g. מַבְּיִּלְיִי &c., pl. abs. עַבְּיִּלְיִי, constr. עַבְּיִּלְיִי. The pronouns, therefore, will be affixed accordingly. Under this head may be placed all those verbal nouns, which are generally arranged as the infinitives, &c., of the Pihél conjugation.

7. As this form is taken for the root of one of the species of the Conjugation, some account should be here given of its force.

It has been laid down as a rule, that verbs which are intransitive in the first species, are generally transitive in this: as, לְבֶּוֹ he learned; he taught. The same property prevails in the Arabic: he knew; he taught. This they term the transitive property; he to the dialects also recognise this property.

8. Another property is, the becoming either in reality, or in estimation only, that which the primitive word signifies: as, אַבְּיל he became, or was adopted as, the first-born, from the primitive first-born: but this word, when used of trees as producing fruit, will mean prematurity.

Under this head may be placed all those cases, in which is intimated a Declaration, Announcement, Accusation, &c., of what the primitive word signifies: as, App pronouncing, or accusing another of being, unclean; Ipp pronouncing another clean. So perhaps, App pronouncing, confessing, &c. sin. And hence by a metonymy, expiating it.\* These properties are termed by the

wel winnin

ich eln of Pihel.

in Arubic

2. Let Kul Kul

3. intertion lanes cruis the bot perfected estified + just L. 109.]

<sup>\*</sup> This property is termed by the Arabic Grammarians deprivation:

as, خلاته I skinned him, or deprived him of his skin. Lumsden's Arab. Gram., p. 178. See also his Pers. Gram., vol. i. p. 230.

Arabian Grammarians, التسمية changing, and التحول naming: as, 911 00 141 the man قوس الرجل; the place became a garden روض المكان, became BOWED, or bent like a Bow. Where the English word bowed 10 9 CTis similarly formed from bow : 2, فسقت إيدا I vilified Zaid, i. e. pronounced him to be so; كفر زيدا he called Zaid an infidel; calling one a coward, &c. Of this kind are אָשׁׁרָרִנִי they shall bless me, Gen. xxx. 13; i. e. they shall declare that I am blessed; לא-נקה he shall not make innocent, i. e. declare to be so, Exod. xx. 7. The same may be said of all those passages given in this form, in which God is said to have hardened Pharaoh's heart. See Exod. iv. 21, ix. 12, x. 20. 27, &c., compared with Exod. vii. 13, 1 Sam. vi. 6, &c.\*

\* Hence from the noun שָׁלֵיל just, we have the verb in this form אַבָּה he pronounced, esteemed some one just, i. e. he justified him, e. g. Jer. iii. 11, she justified herself. See also Job xxxiii. 32, &c. See also Buxtorf's Chaldaïc and Talmudic Lexicon under 75. Greek verbs' in άζω, ίζω, εύω, ύνω, άνω, έω, άω, όω, &c. have frequently this force: so = αζω, ίζω Δικαιόω or Δικαιῶ in the New Testament; so also 1 Cor. i. 20, ἐμώρανεν ὁ Θεός την σοφίαν τοῦ κόσμου τούτου, He hath made foolish, i. e. pronounced to be so, the wisdom of this world. So also with the verb ποιέω, which will give an equivalent sense, John xix. 7, ξαυτον διον τοῦ Θεοῦ έποίησεν, He made himself the Son of God, i. e. He declared himself to be so. In a similar manner the verbs ] he gave, appointed, and by he placed, laid down, or the like, will intimate the mere declaration of something done or to be done, e.g. Gen. xxvii. 37. בָּרִיר שַׂבְתִּיוֹ I have laid him down, declared him, great. וְאָת־כָּל־אָחָיו נַתְתִּי לוֹ, and all his brothers have I given to him, i. e. have declared shall be his. See Gen. xxxv. 12. Comp. Exod. xii. 25. So 1 Kings xxii. 23. בְתַן יִהוְה רוּחַ שֶׁקֵר Jehovah hath given a spirit of lying, i. e. has declared that it is so. See Prov. ix. 9, where In give, in the first number, is explained by הוֹדֵע make known, in the second. See also Ezekiel xx. 24-26. Other verbs too, not of this form but expressing some action, are occasionally used to signify a declaration of that action only; as in Jer. i. 10: to root out, to pull down, &c. Hosea vi. 5, I have hewed them by the prophets, &c. i. e. I have declared that they are, or shall be, hewn. See Glass. Phil. Sacr. Lib. III. Tract. III. Canon XV., &c. Storr. p. 26, &c.

Tihel fo

9. Another property of this form is, to intimate Intensity, Abundance, or Frequency, of the action or passion signified by the primitive word: אַבָּי he broke; אַנּי shivered or broke to pieces; אַנּי shot up, grew; אַנּי it shot up quickly and abundantly. Equivalent to this, is the property termed אַנּי of the Grammarians of Arabia: as, אֹבּ he praised him very much; he exercised his horse very much; אֹבּ לֹני the truth became very apparent, &c.

10. In a few instances also, this form is thought to have a causative signification: as, 되었다 he walked; 되었다 he caused another to

walk, &c.

vels immut.

3.

FORMS. EXAMPLES.

Masc. Fem. Masc. Fem.

II. אָשׁוּר פַּקוּדָה פּקּוּדָה embracing. קּבּוּדָה or אָשִׁוּר פַקּוּדָה marching. בּנּוּדָה of a wound.

שׁבּוּלָה bereaved. שׁבּוּלָה id.

The vowels will in every case here be immutable; the plurals, &c., will therefore be regularly formed, פְּקּרָּדְים, &c.

To this form are referred some words having \_ between the לבי ל בי ל הוא first and second radical letters, which is thought to be a mere compensation for the omission of Dāgésh: as, פּרְוֹן for וְבִּין a spear; יִוֹין invasion; בִּילְוֹין a fetter; and, according to some, שִׁילְוֹין Shiloh.

11. Here, as before, the vowels are immutable. No feminine form occurs.

Masc. Fem. Masc. Fem. EXAMPLES.

IV. אַפָּקָרָת or בַּקְרָת בַּקְרָת a thief. אַבֶּרָת פַקּרָת a ruler.

or אַבֶּר בַּקְרָת or בַּקְרָת a sinner. אַבָּר בַּקְרָת id.
אַבְּר בַּקְרָת a sinner. בַּצְרָת הַּתְּטִּאָר בַּצְרָת מּגַּר בַּאָרָת וֹשָּׁאָב בּּרָתוֹנוֹע.

12. These nouns imply constant habit or the like, and are used to designate trades, professions, &c.\*

13. Both vowels are here necessarily immutable, the first on account of the syllabication, the second because it seems to supply the place of S, by analogy: as, שֹלְהָה an artificer (Art. 153. 3.), pl. בּישֵׁיִה, and in construction שֵׁיְהָה artificers; הוֹבְּישׁ a mariner, pl. בּישְׁיִה, with pron. בְּיִהְיִה their mariners. A few instances occur in which (י) occupies the place of (-) or (·): as, הוֹבְּיִבְּי (Art. 87.6.) and הוֹלְיִבְּי perturbation. To the feminine forms Simonis adds הוֹלְיִבָּי, of which two examples only occur, יוֹב הוֹלְיִבְּי coagulated milk, according to him, Job vi. 6; and הוֹלִיבְי terror, Ib. xxi. 6, &c. But these are probably compound forms.

	Forms.		Examples.		
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.	
V.	TRE	פַקנת	לים	ined, בּקֶבֶּת	spelt (herb).
		פַקוֹדֶת		בַּתֹנֶת	a coat.
		ra פַקדֵה	rely.	לַקּתָה	taken.

14. Simonis places Jan Judg. xiii. 8, under this form; but nothing can be more probable than that this is the third person singular of the verb, construed with in the sense of who should be born. Perhaps the word does not occur construed as a simple noun in any case.

15. Under this head may be classed all those nouns which are generally arranged as infinitives of the  $P\bar{u}h\hat{a}l$  conjugation, and which may therefore be considered as passives corresponding with the active forms, 772, &c.

16. In all these cases, the first vowel is necessarily immutable; // hmm the last not so universally: but, as examples do not occur sufficiently numerous to decide this question, we can say but little about it.

The same forms have the same force in Arabic: as, عطار a perfumer, and خباز a baker; from عطر perfume, and خباز bread. So in Syr. and Chaldaïc اعنا Chald. اعنا a singer, &c.

who the buty.

= lever

) 7 = - m

Suhal.

17. These forms are thought to be intensitive of those given under the preceding class (Art. 153.): but whether such force is always to be found when they occur is doubtful.

## Of those Nouns which are said to be reduplicated by implication (Art. 109.)

an intensitive signification, without presenting any reduplication, either in the vowels or consonants: but, as they generally have a perfect vowel, occasionally immutable, in the penultimate, it has been supposed that this presents a compensation for the Dagesh which has been omitted. The following are their forms:

		RMS.	EXAMPL	ES.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
I.	יפַקָּד,	יפֿלַבָר,	תקר unknown.	מלְחָה a dish.
	or פֿיקד		ם temple.	_

2. For further examples see the Arcan. Form. p. 202, &c.

	FORMS.			Examples.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
· II. ;	פוקד	פוּקָדֶה	עונֶב	a musical instrument so called.
1	, 'IT	פוּלֶבֶת ייס	סוגר	a fetter. a stable, stall, &c.
				vacillating.

pl. אוֹטְבוֹנו frontlets.

3. With respect to the inflection of these words, it may be remarked, that the perfect vowel (") in the first form, is sometimes immutable, at others not so. No rule, therefore, can be given,



upon which reliance, as to the plurals, &c., can be placed. With respect to the other forms, whenever the or remains, its vowel also remains unchangeable; but, when it drops, the defect may be compensated by inserting the point Dagésh in the following consonant, as it is the case in the feminine 7722, in which the perfect vowel is supplied by its corresponding imperfect one; e. g. for אָפָרָעָדָה, we have אוֹנְיָבָיּד, So also, vice versa, letters doubled by the insertion of Dagésh, will frequently lose this point, and then the preceding imperfect vowel will change into its correspondent perfect one. Hence it is, that these forms are supposed to contain an implicit reduplication, (Art. 109.), or, in other words, to be forms equivalent to those which have the middle radical letter doubled by a Dāgésh forte. But, as the nouns of this class are few, it is scarcely possible to glean from the usage of the language, what vowels are mutable and what are not so. For the same reason, it is impossible even to say, whether we are right in all cases in our classification of them.

### On the Augmented Nouns, termed האמנתי Hē-emanti.

156. In nouns of this description one or more of the letters contained in the technical word האמנהי (Art. 147. 3.) will be found attached to one or other of the preceding primitive or augmented forms, for the purpose apparently of varying the signification. That these are fragments of words I have no doubt: but, what they fragments originally were, it may now be exceedingly difficult to say. I may, perhaps, be excused if I offer a few conjectures on this subject, hoping, that although I may not succeed to the extent that may be wished, I shall, nevertheless, afford something likely to fix these forms more permanently in the mind of the Learner; and this is my principal object.

2. Of these letters (viz. האמנתי) one or other will be found at the beginning of words; while 7, 7, 0, 1, or n, will be found at their ends. In some instances, words are augmented by one or more of these letters,

8. d 7

א היילית both at the beginning and the end at the same time, אייל having also the middle radical letter doubled by the אייל operation of Dāgésh forte, as in the Participial forms of Hithpāhél, מְתְבַּקְרָים, &c.

Of the Letters termed אָמְנְתִּי, when prefixed to words.

157. Simonis\* considers nouns having some affinity in signification to those persons of the verbs which have these letters as preformatives; and the n as presenting a force nearly allied to that of the Hithpāhél conjugation. This may perhaps be true; but, as it leaves these conjugations, &c., unexplained, I have thought it would be better, to consider the force of these adjuncts in the nouns: because, if we can succeed in this, we shall have no difficulty whatever when we come to the verbs.

I suppose, then, that the letters \$\pi\$, \, \, \n\$, or \$\n \pi\$, are nothing more than abbreviated forms of some primitive words, which might have originally been written in full, and placed before others, for the purpose of modifying their sense. These, in process of time, might have lost some of their letters, and then have been compounded with others, presenting the forms which we now have. If, therefore, we can now find words which may be thus abbreviated when joined with others, and giving the significations which such augmented forms seem to have, we shall perhaps make this subject more intelligible and interesting than it has usually been found to be.

2. With respect to the first, א, with which we may join א, we perhaps have a fragment of the root אָבָּי (Arabic בּבָּי בְּיַבְּי (Arabic בּבָּי בְּיַבְּי (Arabic בּבָּי בְּיִבְּי (Arabic בְּבִּי בִּיבְּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (בְּבָּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (Arabic בְבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (Arabic בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי (בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְיבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבְיבְיבּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָי בְּבָּי בְבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְּבָּי בְבָּי בְּבָּי בְבָּי בְּבָּי בְבָּי בְבָּי בְּבָּי בְבָּי בְּבָּי בְבָּי בְבָּי ב

<sup>\*</sup> Arcanum Formarum, p. 522.

<sup>†</sup> It is remarkable, that the causative and other words in the Coptic are formed in precisely the same manner. "Verba composita," says Scholz. Gram.

3. We may now consider the force of words thus augmented: and, as some of them are taken for the leading words of a certain species of the conjugation of verbs, it will be as well now to determine their properties.

4. If then the primitive word have an active sense, as, אַבְשִׁ he heard, it will in this form have a causative equation one; as, אַבְשִׁ causing to hear: but, if the primitive be neuter, this form will be active in signification: as, אַבְשִׁ he stood; אָבְשִׁ he set up; אַבְשׁ he was glad; אַבְשׁ he rejoiced another.\*

5. If, in the next place, the primitive be a noun substantive or adjective, it will, in this form, generally signify the being, making, becoming, doing, suffering, permitting, &c. the sense of the root: הַבְּרֵיא he made fat; noun, בְּרֵיא fat (Form V. Art. 153.); he used

hermit

<sup>•</sup> These properties are also common to the Arabic: as, 1. احفر زید نامراً ( Zaid dug a canal احفرته نامراً ( I caused him to dig a canal ; 2. عصر زید اعظام المعاملة المعاملة

the right hand, or proceeded towards it; noun, יְמִין the right hand. So הַשְּׁבְּיל he used, or went towards, the left hand; noun, אַמְיל the left hand; noun, הַמְמִין the left hand; noun, הַלְבִּין rain; he became white, root יְּבִין white; יְבִין he permitted (to purchase) provision, root יִשְׁבִּיך provision; הִשְּבִּין he permitted (to) remain, root שַּבְּיר remnant.\*

6. Another property is, the exhibiting, declaring, or esteeming, the person or thing designated by such word, as possessed of the quality intimated by the primitive: as, אַרִּייִ he declared, or esteemed another, just, from אַרִּייִ in he declared, or esteemed another wicked, from שִׁיִּ wicked. So, in the Arabic, אַרִּייִ in the declared him of sin.† To this property may be referred all those passages, in which this form is used, and in which God is said to have hardened the heart, blinded the eyes, made the heart gross, deceived the people, &c.—where nothing more than declaring this to be the case can be meant.‡

7. Another property of this form is, excess, or intensity, of the action, passion, &c. afforded by the primitive

holamy

log.

twiit

<sup>\*</sup> See Deut. ii. 28, 1 Sam. xxv. 22, Ps. cxix. 31, Is. lxiii. 17. The properties are all peculiar to the analogous forms in Arabic: see Lumsden's Arabic Gram., p. 173, &c.

<sup>†</sup> Lumsden's Arab. Gram., p. 175.

<sup>‡</sup> Exod. vii. 3, x. 1, Deut. ii. 30, Is. vi. 10, comp. with Matth. xiii. 15. This property Storr refers to the influence of a metonymy. Observ. pp. 24, 28, &c., which he thinks amounts to nothing more than permission. See also pp. 194—5—6. This, however, leaves the matter nearly as unsatisfactory as it found it. Very nearly parallel to these Hebrew forms and usages, are those of Greek verbs terminating in άζω, ίζω, αίνω, ύνω, εύω, όω, and έω, many of which are manifestly derived from nouns: as, ἐορτάζω from ἐορτή, νομίζω from νόμος, σεμαίνω from σῆμος, εὐδύνω from εὐδός, παιδεύω from παῖς, δουλόω from δοῦλος, τιμάω from τιμή, φιλέω from φίλος, &c. See Glassii Phil. Sacr., Lib. iii., Tract iii., Canon xv. Note to Art. 152. 8.

word: as, אַדִּים he was very red. So, in the Arabic, the limit the morning was very bright; المر النيل the palm tree bore much fruit.

8. Many verbs, not used in the first species of the conjugation (Lect. X.), have occasionally either a neuter neuter or transitive signification in this form: as, הַשָּׁבֶּים he rose early; הָשֶׁלֵיךְ he threw. Sometimes they will have both significations: as, it is he watched, or he roused up another; דְרָנֵין he sang, or he stimulated another to sing.\*

9. Several of the properties belonging to this form are also common to that of 722, see Art. 154. 7.

10. When the is prefixed with ( ) Khātúph, ( ), it will + or (ז), as in קבקד, הבקד, or הוכם, the sense will be passive of one or other of the above-mentioned properties.+

11. With respect to (') prefixed to certain forms, it may perhaps be a fragment of the root No. Syr. In or It, Arab. Ul decent, fra Ni becoming, benign, beautiful, excellent, inviting one to rest, &c., which, when compounded with any other word, may afford the sense of durability, strength, excellency, or the like, which Grammarians are generally agreed is the force of words so com-

\* And so often in the unaugmented forms, the force will at one time be transitive and at another neuter in the very same word.

he was strong; کرم he was bountiful; من he was beautiful; وفع he was beautiful; exalted. So in nouns, איני patient, habitually, סינינו patient, habitually, &c.

<sup>+</sup> It will perhaps be difficult to assign a good reason for the adoption of this vowel to give a passive signification to words in the Shemitic dialects. Nothing can be more certain, however, than that this is the fact. And, hence, we not only have it in the passive conjugations of verbs both in the Hebrew and Arabic, but it will also impart a sort of passive, or rather habitual, signification to some verbs conjugated in the active form: as,

bined; examples will be given in Art. 159. But, as no conjugation is grounded on this form, it will not be necessary to dwell very particularly on it here.

12. We now come to the forms having \$\int\tau\$, or \$\int\$, pre-If wom 1.130 fixed. These then we take to be derived from some word, 131. which, when combined with any other, will give the modification of sense usually attributed to these forms. If we take NAS Chald. or Heb. The coming, arriving at, as the primitive word, and suppose AN, AA, or A, to be a fragment of it, we shall have a particle, which when prefixed to any word will give something like a passive or reciprocal sense, which all are agreed is the import of this form: e. g. אָרָפָקָד or יוּרָפָּקָד; of the Chaldee form, or אולקד, will mean, coming, or as we say in English, becoming, visited; and will be either passive or reflective, &c., as the sense of the context shall require. So in Latin, amatum iri, in which the word iri is manifestly derived from the verb Ire, to go. The passives of the Persian, Hindustani, and probably of the Sanscrit, are formed in a similar manner.

Properties of the Conjugations grounded on this Form.

13. First to be or become, that which the primitive word signifies (which in Arabic is termed مطابعة submission); as, הַתְּבָאֵל he became polluted; הַתְּבָאֵל he became strong; הַתְאַהֶּם he became red; or, if the context require it, he made himself so, reflectively, or, was made so, passively. So in Arabic, ادبته فتادب I corrected him, and he became corrected, &c.\* In the eighth

מתה

cultur deflectur

<sup>\*</sup> Lumsden's Arabic Grammar, p. 182-" Submission." Or, as the حصول اثر الشي عن تعلَّق الفعل المتعدي ,Arabic Grammarians define it, حصول اثر الشيء نحو كسرت الزجاج فانكسر ذلك الزجاج فان انكسار الزجاج اثر قد i. e. The impression حصل عن تعلق الكسر الذي هو اثر الفعل المتعدي made upon any thing by the action of a transitive verb: as, I broke the glass, and the glass became broken. Now, the glass's becoming broken,

Arabic species, to which this is nearly allied, we have the same property: as, غَمْمَتُهُ فَاغْتُمُ I grieved him, and he

expresses the impression made by the active verb breaking.—In the المطاوعة هي حصول الاثر عن تعلق الفعل: we have: المطاوعة هي حصول الاثر عن تعلق الفعل المتعدي بمفعولة نحو كسرت الاناء فتكسر فيكون تكسر مطاوعاً اي موافقاً لفعل المتعدي وهو كسرت اكنة يقال لفعل يدل موافقاً لفاعل الفعل المتعدي وهو كسرت اكنة يقال لفعل يدل i. e. Almotáwaat, is the receiving of an impression from the operation of an active verb upon its object: as, "I broke the vessel, and it became broken." So that (تكسر) it became broken is the Motāwaat (or Motāwia), i. e. it is the word corresponding to that of the agent of the transitive verb, which is here, I broke (كسرت); but the verb to which reference is made is termed Motāwaa (وطاوع) with the vowel a after the w: naming the thing in question by the name proper for that affected; i. e. the verb which has here the passive form is named by a word (عطاوع) which has an active signification, and vice versa.

I have been the more particular in pointing out this distinction, because we shall have occasion to refer to it again, and because Mr. de Sacy has entirely misunderstood its force. In his Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 104, we have the following note. "La verbe dont la signification est passive ou neutre, se

nomme encore odles comme le dit Giggeius: et en effet, Beïdawi, sur le

v. 4. de la surate 42 ou on lit يَنْفَطُون يَّتَفَطُّون peu s'en faut que les وأَوْ مِنْ مَا مَا السَّمُواتُ يَتَفَطُّون peu s'en faut que les وألو بكر بالنون وأبو بكر بالنون وأبو بكر بالنون وألو بكر بالنون والأول اللغ للنه مُطَاوع فَطَر وهذا مطاوع فطر وهذا مطاوع فطر Basra et Abou-Becr lisent ينفطون mais la première leçon est plus ener-

gique, parce que يَفْطَرُ est le passif de فَطَرُ et إِنْفُطَرُ le passif de فَطَرُ

was therefore grieved; لومة فالتاء he reproached him vehemently, and he became reproached.

14. Secondly, To feign, pretend, exhibit, &c. that which the primitive word signifies: as, Josh. ix. 4, יצְטַיְרוּ they feigned themselves to be messengers; 2 Sam. xiii. 5, 6, הַחְהַן he pretended to be sick; Prov. xiii. 7, מַתְרוֹשָׁשׁ one boasting (himself) to be rich. So, מַתְרוֹשִׁשׁ one boasting (himself) to be rich. So, בֹתְרוֹשִׁי one feigning (himself) to be poor; אַתְּחַהָּת thou shewest (thyself) gracious; בּתְרוֹשִׁי thou shewest (thyself) perfect, Ps. xviii. 26. And, in Arabic, בּתֹרְנִילִי he pretended

Nothing, I think, can be less accurate than to class the term مُطَاوع with those of عَدِر مِتعَد , and الزم as Mr. de Sacy has here done.

All that Beidawi means, seems to be, that يَتَفَطَّرن is more elegant than مُطَارع, because it is the مُطَارع, osee the definitions above) of مُطَارع, as the other reading is.

The real difference between neuter verbs, termed by the Arabs غير منعد, , &c. is, that they imply intransitive action, habit, or inseparable properties: as, beauty, deformity, colour, &c.; whereas مطاوع or في signify the accidental influence of any transitive verbs exerted upon their objects; which is the real difference between the Hebrew forms אַרָּבְּקְּקָדְּבָּיִר, as will be seen hereafter.

The real force, then, of this form will be best expressed by made, became, or the like: as in אַבְּיִבְּיִם made to go, שְּבְּיבִּים became visited, &c.; which will lay the groundwork for the reciprocal sense, &c., the context always determining who is the agent.

\* The fifth species which has often a passive sense; and constantly in the Ethiopic.—It was shewn, Art. 146. 8, that certain forms had occasionally an objective or subjective signification, according to the view in which they were taken; the same appears to be the case in these forms; and, hence it is, that these verbs have sometimes a passive, at others a reflective, and at others an active signification. The same is the case in the Niphhal form; and apparently for the same reasons.

satiety; ترجَّلت المراة the woman assumed the manners of a man.

- 15. Thirdly, Frequency, or intensity, of the action or passion meant by the primitive word: as, התהלך he walked about much, or continually ; he solicited a favour with great earnestness. In Arabic he persevered in shewing bravery; he persevered in acquiring mildness. And in the eighth Arabic species, he persevered in acquiring; and, in the sense of earnest request, اینج he demanded his hire.
- 16. Fourthly, In many instances the sense is the same as it would be, if the verb had been used in the primitive sure of form termed Kal: as, השׁתְּמֵּר he kept the laws, statutes, This also, with many other properties unknown to the Hebrew, is found in the Arabic.\*

For the transpositions and other changes which take place in certain words, when augmented by the particles חח, or את, see Art. 83.

17. Nouns which receive no at the beginning will generally designate the Instrument, Agent, Action, Passion, State, Place, or the like, by or in which the influence of any verb is exerted, suffered,

\* It is a curious fact that in the Arabic, the eighth species of the conjugation

of the form יישבל, equivalent in force to our ההופה, has occasionally the sense of the first ( فعل ); in that case the seventh, انفعل mostly used. Now, this seventh Arabic species corresponds exactly with our נפעל (see No. 19.); and, the consequence will be, if these dialects mutually illustrate one another, that the Hebrew התבקד and יפעל will have the same, or very nearly the same, force : see Ps. ii. 2, where both occur in the same passage : and also that both will not be found under the same roots unless the have the force of the Kul: and, generally, these are found to be the facts of the case.

&c. respectively: as, מַבְּקֵּר an ax (root בְּוֹרָ cutting); יִבְּקָּר one visiting; מוֹבְיּקָר a place (root קוֹב standing).\*

With respect to the reason for this variation of signification, Grammarians are generally silent. Simonis has supposed it to be equivalent to that which the preposition \(\sigma\) similarly situated would supply. † I may perhaps be excused if I offer a different solution. If we suppose, then, that the word originally prefixed was either or ים מו or מו or יש or יש which, or what, as the sense may require, we shall have significations corresponding to those of the words of these forms, e. g. אי פֿקּד he who visits, or is visiting; and, striking out the '-, for the purpose of abridging the word, we shall have as above. The same will be the case, if we take 779 19, for then the I will be lost by Art. 76. These are the forms which designate agents when the verb is transitive: and such are all the participial forms commencing with D. In the next place, if we take To or To that which, &c. and prefix it, contracted as before, we shall have מְבוֹרָה an ax, for לה that which (is a) cutting, &c. So, אַמְעַשְׁהָ a work, for אָנה that which (is a) doing; and so of others.

18. We now come to give some account of the prefix 3, and of the modification of sense, which primitive words undergo in consequence of its influence. And here, as before, we may perhaps be allowed to offer a conjecture, as to its origin. If, then, we take it as the defective form of some primitive word, appearing sometimes as 377, at other times as 3 only, we may suppose it to be derived from

the root , which, had it been preserved in the Hebrew, might have been written אַבָּאָר, סָרְבָּאָר, or אֵבָאָר. The senses attributed to it by Castell are, among others:—"Ad extremum perfectionis terminum pervenit .... assecutus fuit, seu percepit. IV. Retinuit, detinuit, coercuit .... V. Lenitate, modestiá et patientiá usus fuit, &c." Supposing, then, this word, or some defective form of it, to be construed with any other, the sense of both taken together will, in general, give the force of the forms thus compounded. And, as this form of compound is often used as the leading word of one of the species of the conjugation, it becomes the more important to ascertain its properties.

מה״

7./ 3 Norman. 129

<sup>\*</sup> Hoffman's Gram. Syr., p. 244.

<sup>†</sup> Arcanum Formarum, p. 447.

19. First Property: Primitive words receiving this particle will have a sort of passive sense, or will exhibit haping subjection to the action, implied by the primitive accidentally, but not habitually: and in this respect they differ from words of the form בָּרָכוּ בָּךָ as, נְבָרְכוּ they (shall) become blessed in thee, Gen. xii. 2, i. e. in thy seed. So in the Hithpāḥél, Gen. xxii. 18, וְהִתְּבָּרְכוּ בְזַרְעָךְ, and they (shall) become blessed in thy seed; their state shall be changed to that of blessedness: but in the phrase, ברוך יהוה blessed (is) Jehovah, we have no such intimation of accident or change: if, however, יהוה be added, as in Gen. xxvii. 33, the two forms will have the same force. So, will mean an hireling; but, בְּשְׂבָּר a person hired on some particular occasion. So I understand Neh. vi. 12, 13, סָנְבַּלֵט שָׁבֶרוֹ : לְמֵעֵן שֵׁכוּר הוֹא Sanballat had hired him, because he was an hireling; and 1 Sam. ii. 5, לים נשברו those who have been (habitually) full, are (occasionally) hired for bread.

This property is common to the Arabic, and is termed by the Grammarians, as before, مطاوعة : as, عثم he sent him, and he was sent ; اغلقته فاتغلق I shut it, and it was shut. (See No. 13, above.)

This property of the Hebrew form (as a verb) was pointed out many years ago by Elias Levita in a work entitled און; but, as he supposed it also to involve a preterite tense, Glassius and others very properly objected; and, the consequence has been, two distinct forms, viz. אָבָּקָרָד, and אָבָּקָרָד, have been confounded together; and, what is still worse, a tense has been ascribed to each, which is more than can be proved of either of them.

20. Again: Words, receiving this augment, subjecting them to the action implied by some primitive word, may, when the context requires it, be construed as having a reciprocal sense, or implying possibility, curpose capability, exhibition, propriety, affectation, or the like,

cutin

of the action, &c. meant by the primitive : as, אנשׁבָּוֹר he guarded himself, 2 Sam. xx. 10, Mal. ii. 15. To this property may be referred many passages, in which this form seems to have a neuter signification : as, בקרב he brings himself near, i. e. draws near, Exod. xxii. 7, Josh. vii. 14;—and, in a few instances, an active one: as, ולחם he fought; אינשבע he leaned upon; אבשבי he swore; נאבי he contended, disputed; אָבֶי it is, or may be, eaten, Gen. vi. 21. So, לא־יַעשה כן it is not, may not, cannot, or ought not, to be done thus; he shewed himself honourable; אבר he shewed himself glorious; האנה he sighed. Such significations, according to European idiom, these passages seem to require, while in truth, became, or the like, will always satisfy the terms of the original. The subjective or objective force found respectively to prevail in these forms, was probably at first regulated by the view taken, in these respects, of the primitive noun. See Note on Artt. 146. 8. and 157. 14.

21. These properties are intimated in the Arabic by the terms اللزوم والعلاج, necessity and remedy; which, Mr. Lumsden says, indicate "that verbs of this class must be INTENSITIVE by their own nature, and significant of actions performed by the members of the body:" but, the examples he adduces are not confined to this rule: من he broke, is as much an active verb as any other can be; which, when thus augmented becomes passive, not neuter: nor has and من المنافعة الم

<sup>\*</sup> Arab. Gram., p. 187.

158. EXAMPLES OF THE FORMS ABOVE-MENTIONED, ARRANGED UNDER THEIR SEVERAL FORMS.

### First Class of Heemanti Nouns, having an & prefixed.

אורה I. אפקד and אבקד , fem. הפקדה home-born, indigenous, (changing (+) to (+) on account of the following 7, Art. 139. 7, note), מֹבֶּע a name of God, Exod. iii. 14, מְצְעָלָן a bracelet, אָרָתָן (for אַרָּחָאָ Art. 87. 5.) strength, אַרְאָל reward, wages, אַרָאָאָ a finger.

II. אָבְּקוֹד, the fist, הַוֹּל the young of any bird, אֶבְּקוֹד sometime ago, yesterday, אֶשְּבְּוֹל grapes.

III. אַכְּקָד, fem. אַכְּקָד, as, בּקָדָן an amethyst, אַכְּקָד very cruel, TIDS very false, TITES recollection, DDS (for DDS Art. 73.) a granary, in (for 1778 or 1778 Art. 87. 1. and 76.) an animal so called.

IV. אפקר as, בפקר a girdle, הבקה the locust.

V. אַכְּקְוֹד, fem. אַכְּקְוֹד, as, הּשִּׁשְּׁה a dunghill, אַכְּקְוֹד, guard, custody.

VI. אַפְּקוּרָה fem. אַפְּקוּרָה; as, אַפְקוּרָה (for אַפְקוּרָה Art. 73.) a -cruet, ਜ਼ਰੂਪੜੇ guard, custody.

VII. אַבַּקָּד (for אָנָבֶּל a (never-failing) river.

VIII. Some nouns which lose one of their radical letters by contraction assume the form of the Segolates: as, 키고왕 (for 키크그왕) the palm of the hand.

2. Nouns of this class are few; and of the last form, perhaps, a second does not occur. It is curious enough to remark, how easily nouns, deprived of any of their vowels, slide into the Segolate forms. We shall see, hereafter, that the same thing often takes place in the conjugations of the verbs, in similar cases.—It will be seen that I have not entirely followed the classification of Simonis. My reason for doing so was this: he seems to me to have given some forms without examples to bear him out: and, in one case, he has given a form אַבַּקְייד for which he has only one example, and that example he has also given in another class. (See אַבְּטִּיחִים, pp. 547 and 639. Arcan. Form.)

. Sense p. 117.

### 159. Second Class of Heemanti Nouns having prefixed.

I. Form בְּקָרָן: as, הַבְּיִי oil, הְתָּיִי Isaac.

II. יפקד the ostrich.

ווו. יְבָּקר דֹר (for יְבִייִל (for יְבִייִל ) one who expects, יְבִּיִל an herald (for יְבִייִל ), יְבָּר (for יְבִייִל the thigh, יְבָר (for בַּבְּיֹם)) a disputant, יְבִיּך (for מַבְּיִל) a germ, stem, &c.

IV. יִפְקוֹד as, יִחַלְוֹם an adamant, קוֹנְשְיֹם an owl.

V. יַלְקוּם (as, יַחְקוּר a species of goat, יַלְקוּם a purse, פֿוֹרָם being

(for בַּקְרָהַב Art. 73.).

VI. יוֹבְל as, יוֹבְל (for יִבְּלֵּךְ Art. 87. 2.) a constant river. And, according to some, the Segolate יוֹבָל thigh (for יוֹבְל ), the last radical being rejected, and the (י) added, as in other Segolates, for

the sake of Euphony.

2. In this class also is placed, as a special anomaly, the word יה[ה] Jehovah,† with a caution, however, that these vowels do not exhibit the ancient pronunciation of the word. The Jews, as it is known to every one, never pronounce this word, but substitute either אַלוֹנְיִם or אַלוֹנְיִם for it; and hence it is, that we sometimes find it pointed חוֹד, i. e. with the vowels proper for the word אלהים, which is invariably the case when אלהים and יהוָד occur together. The reason is, the word would in this case be pronounced twice, which is not desirable; the word אַלוֹזָים is, therefore, pronounced instead of it (see page 28, note). That Jehovah is not the ancient pronunciation most writers are agreed: but what that was it may be difficult to determine. Some have proposed יהוה Yāhavó, of the form יהוה as Capellus, Walton, Clericus, &c. Others have proposed יהוד, סרובוד, or יהוד, as Mercer, Montanus, Amama, Scaliger, &c., taking the Samaritan pronunciation of it, as preserved by Theodoret, Ἰαβέ.‡ Others again take the Latin Jove; but which of these is nearest to the original pronunciation, no one can say.

andof

Sabe Sove.

<sup>\*</sup> Simonis places in under this form: but as there is some difficulty in ascertaining the root from which it is derived, and as the word appears to be of Egyptian origin, it may as well be omitted.

<sup>†</sup> See Art. 139. 7, note.

<sup>‡</sup> Simonis Arcanum Formarum, p. 545.

### 160. Third Class of Heemanti Nouns beginning with 7.

These forms, according to Simonis and Reimarus, as quoted by him, were not much used before the time of the Captivity. He takes the liberty, however, of excluding all the Infinitives of the Hiphhil conjugation, which I think he ought not to do; because, I believe these infinitives are nothing more than such nouns, upon which that species of the conjugation is constructed; and, if this be the case, there will be no reason why they should not be considered as forming a part of this class.

#### Examples.

I. Form: הַּשְּׁבֵּל or הַבְּקְּדִין; as, הַבְּקְּדִּל, rule, dominion, הַשְּׁבֵּל intelligence, הַשְּבִּץ proclaiming, causing to hear, הַבְּקִּדְי for הַבְּּלְיוֹת dispersing. אָשָׁה (for בְּבָּבְּל for בְּבָּלְיוֹת (for בְּבָּבְּל for בּבְּלִיץ) seduction. Roots ending in silent הוואס סלבו הוואס מון as their terminating syllable: as, הַבְּבָּוֹת multitude, הַבְּבְּלוֹת elevation, &c.\*

II. הַּכְּכָּהְ ; as, הַבְּכָּהְ (for הַנְנְחָה tranquillity, הַבְּכָּהְ (for

מוֹנְפֶּה (for הַנְצָּלֶה) agitation, הַנְּפָה (for הַנְצָּלֶה) liberation.

.III. הַפְּקוּרָה; as, הַכְּבָה (for הַבְּרוֹבָה) termination, cessation.

וע. הַפְּקְדְוּת; as, הַשְּׁמְעוּת relation,† &c.

ע. הַפְּקְוּד ; as, הִתְּרָה pouring out (pr. הִבְּקְוּד Art. 76.).

VI. הַּלְּחֵר (for הַנְּלָחֵם); as, הַלְּחֵם (for הַנְּלָחֵם) making war; and so all the Infinitives of the Niphhâl species.

VII. קּבְּקְר or הַבְּקְר; as, דּבְּקְר visited, appointed, הּבְּקְר for קּבְּקְר Art. 87. 2.). These forms have a passive signification (Art. 157. 10.).

2. It will immediately be seen, that the forms to which the particle  $\overline{n}$  is here prefixed, are by no means peculiar to the *Hiphhil* 

<sup>\*</sup> These, however, are probably compound nouns, formed of the original root with n, a contracted form of n heing, for nin. See Art. 139. 7. note.

<sup>†</sup> Words having this termination are also compounds formed of the Hēemanti noun, and the word חוֹת contracted to אוֹם by Art. 87. 2, &c.

The signification of which words, ending in אוֹם and אוֹיִם is, that of the state of action or passion denoted by the primitive word; as, מַלְּכְּוּת a kingdom, or state of reigning; אוֹלָבְּוּת first state, or beginning (Art. 87. 2. 4.).

signef. p. 121.

the principles of analogy, derive them from that conjugation. The truth appears to me to be, that the Hiphhil conjugation is nothing more than the adjunction of one or other of the pronouns to words of the form Tipp, &c. to which also the particle T, (or S for the Chaldee form) is prefixed, for the purpose of varying the sense, as already noticed.

### 161. Fourth Class of Heementi Nouns commencing with ".

I. מְּפְּקְר, fem. מְּפְּקָר, הְ מְפְּקָר, or מִפְּקָר, masc. מִּבְּקָר a tower; הַפְּקָר, ישמּג, מִבְּקָר, custody.

II. מְּבְּקְּר; for which Simonis gives only one example, and that has suffered contraction: as, בְּבְיּהָ for בְּבְּיהָי.

ווו. מִפְקְר; as, מִכְּבֶּן poor; with final ח, מִבְּקְר a fan, &c.

וע. מְּלְּלֶוֹת, fem. מְּפְּקוֹנֶת or מָפְּקוֹנֶת; as, מִּפְּקוֹנֶת a psalm, מִפְּקוֹנֶת a plummet.

ע. בְּקְּקְר ; as, מְלֶּמֶד a goad, מוֹרְגר for מְוֹלְּאָר gain (Art. 87. 1.), פֿוֹרְאָר for מְּלָבֶל ישׁר soft, &c. (Art. 76.).

VI. מְכַּקָּר ; as, מְכַּקָּר (Art. 106. 2.) strangling.

עוו. קבּקְים or יְּבִיקְים, fem. מּבְּקְים; as, מַבְּקְים a slaughter-house, מַבְּקִים for מְבִּבְּיִל (Art. 87. 1.) a wonder, מַבְּקִים a vision, מַוְרִיעַ מְיִוּקְהַם sterquilinium, מְבָּבְיִל (for producing seed, מְבָּבְיִל dividing, מַבְּבָּיל sterquilinium, יְבִּבְּיל (for consternation, מַבְּבָּיל) contusion.

עווו. מַּחְקוֹר, fem. מַבְּקוֹרֶת or מַבְּקוֹרָת; as, מַבְּקוֹר want, מַבְּקוֹר hidden (treasure), מַבְּקוֹרָת trading, מַבָּלָת food, and

for מְבְוֹרֶה (Art. 73.) a candlestick.

IX. מַבְּרָוּל, fem. מַבְּרָוּל ; as, מַבְּרָוּל a stall, מַבְּרָוּל for מַבְּרָּל מַבְּרָּל (Art. 76.) a deluge, fem. מְבִּרְלּח trampling, (for מְבָּרִּלְם Art. 73.).

X. אָבֶּקֶּהָ; as, שְׁבֶּהֶ for שְׁבְּיָהָ (Art. 76.) expectation, מְהֵהָה vision (Art. 106. 2.)

XI. קְּלָּקְּהָ or קְּבָּקְּד; as, קְּנֶּקְּדְ station, with all the participles usually ascribed to the Hophhal conjugation.

XII. בְּקַלִּיך, מְשַבְּקִּיד, and בְּבַּקִּדְי, as, יְבְּבַּקִּד, one who takes account, with all the participial nouns usually attached to the Piḥél species. The remaining two are only variations of the same form, and occur but rarely.

XIII. בְּלְבֶּקְׁד ; as, בְּלְבֶּיְר learned, בְּלְבָּלְר discovered. To which may be added all the participles usually ascribed to the species of

Pūḥál.

XIV. מְחַפַּהָּד; as, מְחָכֵּלִה an invader, &c. with all the participles of the Hithpahel species.

XV. Some defective nouns, which for ease of pronunciation assume a Segolate form, are derived from roots having a medial. or , or doubling the second radical, or having I for the third. The forms will vary with those of the Segolates, and for the same reasons. If, therefore, we reject the last radical, &c. and prefix מפק מפק מפק מפק pointed, מפקד מפקד מפק מפקד, מפק ש we shall liave, מפקד מפקק, מפק, מפק, &c. as the nature of the word shall require; or rather, as the jus et norma loquendi has established : as, Dan, number, sum; fem. מְכַקה (root כמס ); מְעַל or מְעַל elevation (root עלה); חמבד מבדים, pl. ממד measures (root ממדים); ממד or מבדים a lurking place (root צוד or מְהוֹם; מַהְוֹם soundness (root המם), &c.

2d, Simonis thinks (p. 450, Arcan. Form.), that the participles should not be considered as nouns of the אמנה class. I believe they ought to be so considered; because I hold, that, properly speaking, there are no participles in the Hebrew language. It is true, the Grammarians have placed a number of these nouns in the same page with the paradigma of the verbs; but it will not hence follow, that they are possessed of any of the properties of real Participles. If, indeed, it could be shewn, that they involve the tenses of the verb as the Greek participles do, the opinion of Simonis, &c. would have some weight; but this has not been done; and, I think, cannot be done satisfactorily. I have therefore thought it most conducive to the progress of the Student, as well as more conformable with the analogy of the Language, to class them all as nouns: it being from the context alone, that any thing like tense is to be discovered.

### 162. Fifth Class of Heemanti Nouns beginning with 3 or 17.

ו. הְנְפָקְד , נְפְּקְד , נְפְּקְד , נְפְּקְד , נִפְּקְד , וֹיִבְּקָד or בְּנְבְּצְב or בּנְצָב fixed, set up; בּוֹשְׁב (Art. 87. 1.) inhabited; 202 (for 2202) turned back, &c. So all the participles and preterites of the Niphhál conjugation, with this difference, that the participle ends in (+), the preterite in (-); also, turned about; בַּחְקוֹם sealed; הַלְּחָם making war (for הנלחם, Art. 76.); הנלחם eatable (for הנאבל , Art. 76. 109.).

II. בְּלֵקֶד ; as, בְּבֶיְ (for בַבֶּב), as בְּבֶי above. This is mostly found in words doubling their last radical letter; a form not found used in the Niphhál conjugation.

2 cgmf- /- 118.

### 163. Sixth Class of Heemanti Nouns having n prefixed.

The forms commencing with n, as given by Simonis, are the following:

I. הְּפְּקְדְה ; fem. הִּפְּקְדְה ; as, הִּפְּקְדָה hope ; הִּפְּקְדָה conflict ; הִיְּכְיָה (for הִּיְלְהָה, Art. 87. 5.) self-exaltation.

II. הִּפְּקוֹר, fem. הְבְּקוֹנְת and הִפְּקוֹנֶה; as, שִּׁיִרוֹשׁ (for הַנִּירוֹשׁ ) new wine; הְבְּשָׁה (for הַפִּוֹצֶה) dispersion; הַבְּשָׁה clothing.

ווו. הַפְּקְדָה, fem. הַפְּקְדָה, or הַפְּקְדָה; as, דֹבְיּה (for הַּרְלֶּדְה) and הוֹלֶלְדָה (for הַּרְלֶּדְה hope, expectation; הַבְּקְדָה an ostrich.

ע. הַפְּקִיד, fem. הַפְּקִיד, as, תַּבְּיִיד a disciple; מּבְּיִרה a robe; בּבְּיִר self torment (for הַּבְּיִרָה, Yód in many instances standing for ה, and, being doubled in this place, makes compensation for

the i which is rejected).

VI. קפְּקוּד, fem. קפְּקוּד, and בְּפְּקוּדְ, i, as, הְפְּקוּד ; self-purgation; self-embittering; and יתִּפְּקוּד (for בְּמְרָרָה a proper name, Jer. xl. 8, &c. So הַשְּׁרָבָּה (for הַשְׁיוּבָּה, Art. 73.) conversion; הַשְּׂרָבָּה (for בְּשִׁרָּבָּה ) and הַשְּׂרָבָּה reciprocal striking of hands in making a bargain, &c.

VII. תְּפְּקְר, fem. תִּפְּקְר, as, אַחְרָא or אַחָרָא a breast-plate, תִּפְלָּדְה (for תִּבְּלָּדְה, self-exaltation.

עווו. אָבְּקְהָ, fem. מְּרְכְּהָה; as, הְבְּקְהָ (for הְּבְּקְהָ) deceit, הְבָּהְה (for הְּבְּבְּהְ Artt. 73. 86. 2.) grief, הְצָּבָּה (for הְצָּבָּה, contr. for הְצָּבָּה or הְצָּבָּה or הַבְּצְּהָ occasion, opportunity.

ווא. הָּפְּקְיד, fem. פּתּיְבְין, הָתּפְּקִיד, from the root יפון (for הָּלְיְשִׁיהָה, from the root ופון or הָּלְיְשִׁיהָה (for הָּלְיְשִׁיהָה) solidity, substance, wealth.

X. Here, as in the former class, the rejection of the last or

<sup>\*</sup> See Art. 87. 2., and the note.

second radical will reduce the nouns to one or other of the segolate forms: as, בְּלֵּלֵי confusion, (for בְּלֵלְי perhaps): the latter being rejected in order to avoid the repetition of similar sounds, we have בְּלֵלִי, which, for reasons already assigned, (Art. 148. 2.) will become בְּלֵלִי, In the same manner we have בְּלֵלִי (for בַּלֵּלִי (for בַּלֵּלִי (for בַּלֵּלִי)) melting, dissolution, &c. to which many others may be added.

XI. בְּלֵלְילֹי (in בַּלְילִי suffusion (of the eye). To which may

XI. אָפַקּוּר ; as אַבּקּוּל suffusion (of the eye). To which may be added a few others, reduplicating some of the radical letters; as,

בחוֹמֶם self-elevation, &c.

# 164. Seventh Class of Heemanti Nouns, receiving And as a prefix.

7. 577°

I. בּתְּבָּתְה; as, שׁתְּבְּתְה; (Art. 109.) genealogical computation. So all the infinitives of the Hithpāhél conjugation, due regard being paid to the radical letters composing such words. אין מיי שְּלֵּבְּעָרִי my prostrating (2 Kings v. 18), with the pronoun ', seems to be an anomalous word, deformed perhaps by the carelessness of the copyists.

II. ਜ੍ਰਾਜ਼ caused to be visited; but perhaps this form never occurs as a noun.

III. הַתְּפַקּוֹרָת; as, הַתְּחַבּּןרוּת confederation, &c.\*

In a few instances we have had, in the above examples, terminations in  $\overrightarrow{n}$ ,  $\overrightarrow{n}$ , and  $\overrightarrow{n}$ , which may be said to be  $H\overline{e}emantic$ . In these cases, therefore, we have anticipated our next chapter, which is to treat on the  $H\overline{e}emantic$  postfixed syllables: but, as we could not adduce our examples without bringing in these forms, we shall perhaps be excused.

<sup>\*</sup> But this is perhaps a compound form as before, Art. 87. 2. and note.

LECTURE VIII. pulped p-114.

ON THE HEEMANTI LETTERS WHICH ARE POSTFIXED TO WORDS.

165. It has been stated, (Art. 156. 2.) that, the postfied Heemanti letters T, T, D, I, and D, are severally found attached to the ends either of primitive or derived words. The cases in which ה, ח, ות, are found, have already been noticed (Artt. 135. 6, 137. 2, 164.). It will be unnecessary, therefore, to notice them further. We shall now proceed to consider those which end in , D, and I, respectively.

( ! in oustmition & ellepse? ) /.

Eighth Class of Heemanti Nouns ending in ...

166. These nouns ending in - are used to express either persons or things, bearing some relation to the primitives from which they have been derived; and hence they have been termed Relative Nouns by the Arabian Grammarians.\* Of this kind are the Patronymic, or Patronymic Gentile, nouns of the Grammars of Europe; all of which, must from their nature necessarily be attributive, and, therefore, subject to the variation of the gender (Art. 135.).

> 2. In endeavouring to account for the form and signification of this class of words, I suppose, as before, that the additional letter (') is the fragment of some word, which, if used at length, would impart the same signification which (') now does to words thus augmented. In the Ethiopic we have the triliteral adjunct ", used for the purpose of forming nouns of this class†; and, occasionally,

. Ithwhie

<sup>9000901</sup> الاسم المنسوب \*

<sup>†</sup> See Ludolf's Ethiop. Gram., fol. edit., pp. 95. 97.

in the Arabic '', وي,\* which is also further abbreviated into ', d and '; † and this is the augment universally found to prevail in the Hebrew.

3. That the meaning attached to the root in Arabic, is suitable to the signification of these words, the following definition of it given by Golius will be sufficient to shew:—" Se recepit commorandi vel quiescendi ergo, diversatus fuit interdiu vel noctu. Applying this, then, to any proper name, we shall have both the form and signification proper for these Patronymics; e. g. Ethiopic form Israelawi: Hebrew and Arabic by contraction,

וְשׁלְיֵאלֵי, וִישִּׂרְאֵלִי, a person related to the patriarch Israel, by connexion, residence, birth, &c. So יְהוּדְיָהִי (for יִהוּדְיָהִי, Art. 75.) a descendant of Judah; and so of others.

4. It may also be considered confirmatory of this conjecture, that these Hebrew nouns, when receiving any asyllabic augment, are generally found with the 'doubled by Dāgésh: as, לְוָלֵייִם below, &c., which are their true forms. See Art. 136. 5.†

5. The following are a few examples, with their primitives: בְּלֵיךְ a Canaanite, from בְּלֵעְיִ מוֹ princely, from בְּלֵעְיִ a prince; בְּלִיךְ pastoral (for לְּיִהִי by contraction), from מּלִינְי a shepherd; בְּלִינְ belonging to the north, from בְּלִינְי the north.

6. When, however, the primitive word ends in ', the Patronymic is formed by prefixing the definite article only; as, אוֹשׁ שׁלְּבִי ', שׁׁהַבּי ', שׁׁהַבּי ', שׁׁהַבּי ', שׁׁהַבּי ', the Patronymic is formed by prefixing the definite article only; as, שׁ שׁהַבּי ', which name of one of the sons of Gad; מַבּבְּבִי ', one of his descendants. So בַּבְּבִי ', Gen. xlvi. 24. In one instance הוֹ is prefixed instead of הוֹ, which may have been done for the purpose of avoiding the concurrence of two aspirates: as, הַבִּבִּיבִי ', Takhkemoní, 2 Sam. xxiii. 8, from הַבְּבִּבִיבֹיבִי ', a Mede, Dan. xi. 11. We have, however, הַבִּיבִי ', a Mede, Dan. xi. 1, from ', Media.

S Shown - dlar

runvig a

m short. new

<sup>\*</sup> See Mr. de Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 239, &c.

<sup>†</sup> The teshdid which is also found to accompany this \_\_\_ in Arabic, was, perhaps, added originally for the purpose of supplying the defect occasioned by the rejection of one or two letters from its primitive form.

<sup>‡</sup> Of this property of the definite article some account will be given hereafter.

um brids soupelar

7. In forming Patronymics from compounds, two methods have been adopted; one, by adding 'as before, which is regular; the other, by also prefixing the article to the last word in the compound. Examples: 1. בִּית הַלַּחְבִי a Benjamite; 2. בִּית הַלַּחְבִי the Bethlehemite, 1 Sam. xvii. 58; לית השׁמשׁי the native of Beth Shemesh, from שֶׁמֶשׁ ; בֵּית הָאֵלֵי the native of Bethel; the Benjamite, 1 Kings ii. 8.

8. Nouns ending in i never form the Patronymic by the addition of '-, but by some periphrasis: as, בַּעַלִי־יִרָּוֹן the citizens of Jericho, Josh xxiv. 11. So אַלְשֵׁי יְבִּדְוֹל men of Jericho, Neh. iii. 2; לבי יבחול children of Jericho, Ib. vii. 36; בנות שילה daughters of added . 2. Shiloh, Judg. xxi. 21. In a few instances, יְבָּילֹבְיָ is added : as, דַּבִּילֹבָי Haggīlonî, from File Gīló, 2 Sam. xv. 12, Josh. xv. 51.\*

9. The same frequently takes place in compound words: as, the children of Kiryath Harim, Ezra ii. 25; בָּנִי קָרְיַת עָרָיִם the children of Hazmáveth, Ib. ver. 24.

10. Proper names ending in are sometimes subject to the same rule: as, בישי פולם men of Sodom.

11. In Patronymics derived from compounds, the last only of the compound is sometimes taken: as, אָרֶץ יִמִיבֶּי, for בּרָן for בּרָן יִמִיבֶּי יביני the land of the Benjamite, 1 Sam. ix. 4.+

12. Some primitives ending in 7 drop that letter in forming the patronymic : as, הַנְעָמִי Hannāhamí, Num. xxvi. 40, from בַעָּמָן Nāhămán.

13. In forming Patronymics from dual or plural words, one or other of the following methods is adopted: 1, The word, from which such patronymic is to be derived, is reduced to its primitive form, and then `- is added as before; as, בּצִבָּיב Egypt, primitive מְבֶּרָ, and with בי, מְבֶּרָ, an Egyptian. 2. Either יוֹשֶב inhabitant, na daughter, or in out of, may precede such proper name: as, יושב ירושלים inhabitant of Jerusalem; Isa. v. 3, בַּת יִרוּשֶׁלַיִם daughter of Jerusalem; t or יכליה מודירושלים. Jecoliah of Jerusalem, 2 Chron. xxvi. 3.

<sup>\*</sup> So in the Arabic oil in روحاني spiritual, مسماني belonging to the body, &c.

<sup>+</sup> These abbreviations are found to take place in most languages. See M. de Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 247. Lud. Gram. Eth., fol. edit., p. 22. Wilkins's Sanscrit Gram., Art. 1174., &c.

<sup>‡</sup> But here we have a personification; in the other two cases a periphrasis.

14. In a few instances the primitive is put for the patronymic; which may be considered, either as presenting a metonymy by which the progenitor, &c. is put for the descendant, or by supposing an ellipsis to be made of one of the words בְּיִנִי, הַבָּר, וֹם, &c. as given above; as, דְּמֶשֶׁן Damascus, for Damascene, Gen. xv. 2; בית לָחֶם for בית הַלַּחָם 2 Sam. xxiii. 15. So יַעַלְב for בָּנִי יַעַלְב , בְּנִי יִשְׂרָאֵל , and so on, passim.

15. Irregularities are occasionally met with in the forms of hegula Hagar, as if the primitive had been a Segolate noun of the form תַּבְּנִין; הַבְּנִי Timnite, Judg. xv. 6, for הִבְּנָתְי, primitive הַבְּנָי בּ. הַ הַּבֹּר So, הַשִּׁילנִי אושb. xxvi. 20, (primitive מֵלֵהָה and הַשִּׁלנִי, ז. ז. הַשִּׁילנִי ו Chron. ix. 5; דְעָפוֹנְי the Ammonite, the primitive being אַבּרִעָפִי . אַ. ז בּרִעָפִי See 1 Sam. xi. 1, and Gen. xix. 38, from which, however, the collective form I'my Ammon has been formed, Ib., and thence probably the Patronymic.

16. For the feminine forms of these nouns, see Art. 136. 5, fem v. 13 and for the plurals, Art. 139. The forms taken in construction, Al. v. or when any of the pronouns are affixed, will be regulated accord-

ing to the analogy of the word. Art. 143.

17. Another class of nouns ending in ', preceded by (-) or (-) has been thought to denote excess. These, however, are probably nothing more than nouns in the plural number (Art. = noung) 139. 6.). The following are examples: '212 a swarm of locusts; שַּרָי ; extremely greedy שָּבִי ; many windows בִּילֵי ; extremely greedy חַלּוֹבֵי

the Almighty, root an powerful; supreme Lord, from 1178. These will be considered in the Syntax.

We now proceed to the IXth class of augmented nouns, which take the Heemanti letter at the end.

167. In this class the vowel immediately preceding the final D D, T w Di is either (7) or (1), which induced Hiller to suppose, that the adjunct was DJ, or Dis, respectively. It is believed that these nouns are intensitive in signification. If then we suppose Do to be a particle derived from Ton, which means tumult, as of a multitude, or noise, as of the waves of the sea, we can easily conceive how the addition of such a particle to any primitive word would make it intensitive in signification.\* This will account,

9th class

intensitie

<sup>\*</sup> Nothing, surely, can be more ridiculous than the opinion of Simonis and others, expressed in his "Arcanum Formarum," (p. 584. 5.), viz.: that the

ום תוכם

oh class

10

perhaps, for the termination  $\Box_{i\tau}$ . In the next place, the root does not occur in the Hebrew Bible, but is to be found in the

Arabic Arabic signifying, he became fat, or corpulent. If, then, we can suppose an abbreviated form of this word to be attached to any other, we shall have the termination in, which will also give an intensitive signification to the compound. The following are a few examples: the student may arrange them under their respective measures, if he shall think it necessary to do so.

lice; אַירְם the whole day; מֵירְם most truly; quite silent; אַירְם, or מֵירְם quite naked; מִירְם and זְּיִרְם full redemption; בַּבְּי the entire whole; a body of men, Judg. xx. 48.

Tenth Class of Heemanti Nouns, receiving a final 1.

168. Grammarians are generally agreed, that nouns receiving this letter as a final are *intensitive* in signification.

This letter is affixed to words simple or augmented, with the vowels (7) or 1; thus 1, or 11; whence we may suppose, that 118, or 117, signifying strength, power, riches, wealth (the primitive form of which will be 118, or 117, Art. 87.) is the root. And hence, also, we may have the form 118, or 117, contracted by Art. 75. to 18 or 17, the words from which these terminations may have been taken; and which, added to any other word, would naturally give it an intensitive meaning. Some have also supposed the meaning to be occasionally diminutive,\* which I am inclined to believe is the case in some instances.

#### Examples.

אַלְמְן (for בְּיָרֶן, fem. בִּירָנְית, a widow; בְּירָנְית, a large gift; בִּירָנְית, אָירָנְית, אַירָנְית, בּירָנְית, מוּלְמָן a great palace.

figures of and being extended, may account for the intensitive meaning of these forms; and that b, being inclosed, will give the idea of comprehensiveness, representing as it were a pregnant mother inclosing her offspring within the womb! For it is very probable these words were in use before the letters were invented.

<sup>\*</sup> See the Arcanum Formarum, p. 564.

אַלְבְּוֹן אירונ. 73. 96.) frequent entry; אָרְבוֹן or מִבְּלְוֹן The Most High. So also with augmented nouns: as, אַרְבוֹן great confidence; בַּבְּיוֹן great contempt; וֹלְבְּיִלְן great drought.

In שְׁהַרֹנִים lunulæ, Is. iii. 18, and אִישׁוֹן homunculus, i. e. the reflected image of a man in the eye, Deut. xxxii. 10 (from שָׁהַר the moon and אִישׁ a man) we have, perhaps, examples of a dimi-

nutive.\*

2d. This intensitive letter is frequently attached to verbs, and has been termed the Nún Paragogic, or Epenthetic. It is found in the Arabic in the same situation, and is termed by

the Arabian grammarians, النون التاكيدي The confirmatory Nún; its office is to impart certainty or intensity to the verb, to which it is attached. The same powers have been ascribed to the Hebrew I similarly situated, though it must be confessed, such powers are not always apparent from the context.

N. B. All words thus augmented, whether the augment is prefixed or postfixed, may be considered as compound words.

#### On the Reduplicated and otherwise Compounded Words.

may be qualified or otherwise restricted in its signification by the addition of another, a similar result will be obtained when any word is repeated: and, that the effect will be the same, whether both such words are written out at length, or whether they are combined in one. Both these methods are adopted in the Hebrew. In some cases entire words are repeated; in others they are contracted into one word, by rules presently to be considered. In the

\* We have in the Syriac a diminutive of this form; see Hoffmann's Gram.

Syr., p. 251.

) interese

first case, we have לְּמוֹק עְמוֹק לְמוֹק לִמוֹק לִמוֹק ני. e. most deep, Eccl. vii. 24; סוֹבֵב סוֹבֵב טוֹב turning turning, i. e. continually turning, or changing, Ib. i. 6: בֹּלְלֵב וֹב heart and heart, i. e. double hearted, Ps. xii. 3; heart and heart, i. e. many wells, Gen. xiv. 10; בְּמֵּרוֹת בַּמֵּרוֹת מִינְיִים rod rod, i. e. rod by rod, Num. xvii. 17. In what signification such reduplicated expressions are to be taken, the context will always be sufficient to determine. This too may be said with respect to compound words: in some instances the force will be augmented, as in superlatives; in others it will be modified; and in some, perhaps, diminished as in the diminutive

3 - nouns of other languages.

2. Let us now come, in the second place, to the rules which have been given for the formation of these reduplicated words.\* It has been shewn (Art. 73.) that any one of the ווא letters, will in certain cases disappear. This will happen in the first class of these reduplicated words, where we have TROND for TRO, which when compounded would become TROMED, and striking out T by Art. 72, we shall have TSOND, which, again, by drawing back the (-) and rejecting the (:) by Art. 73, we have TRONO: and, if we place ( · ) Dāgésh in the second Sāmék, either for the sake of euphony, or to compensate for the loss of I, we shall have TRONG for the reduplicated word, meaning, measure by measure, as both the composition of the word and the context require. In some cases, as will presently be seen, the final n of such words is also rejected. It must be borne in mind by the student, that considerable difference of opinion is found to prevail among grammarians, as to the original roots from which these words have been derived; and, consequently, that great difference of opinion is found to prevail as to their precise meaning.

3. The following are a few examples of the first class of this kind of nouns, which are generally thought to be formed by a reduplication of some word having היים for its final radical letter: e. g. עַרִּיִּעְר quite naked, or deserted, from the root איך naked: by some also supposed to mean the juniper, by others the tamarisk, tree; שִׁשִּׁשִיים the scales of a fish, from הְשִׁיִקְ peeling;

Mureuri modifa Dimina

1th class.

n lost.

compensated by Docerh.

fruit 7

equaple. 1.

<sup>•</sup> We use the word reduplicated to signify words which have been formed by the reduplication of some primitive word;—compound, to signify those which have been formed by the coalescence of two different words. In this sense Simonis uses the words Geminata and Composita.

exquisite delights, from בַּלְתַל | looking on (with delight); הַלְתַל | copiously flowing (hair), from הָלָה hanging, many and

great errors, from The erring.

4. The second class of reduplicated nouns consists of those combinations derived from primitive words, which have their second and third radical letters the same: as, אוֹר שׁבְּיבֶּב most choice (beasts or birds), from אַבְּיבֶּב selecting; בְּיבֶב a wheel, sphere, or any thing subject to continual turning about, as chaff, &c. from בִּיבְּב rolling. And hence אַבְּיבְּל the skull; בִּיבְּ gargarism, gargle; also a grain, or berry, from בִּיבְ drawing, &c. to which many more may be added. It has been shewn (Art. 77.), that when the last two letters of any primitive word are the same, one of them may be dropped for the sake of euphony. This rule holds good when such words are combined, and for the same reasons.

5. To this class may be added those reduplicated words, which, in their primitive forms, usually reject a medial 'or ': for here, as in the foregoing examples, we may consider the middle radical letter as rejected for the sake of euphony (Art. 75). Of this sort are the following examples: קַּלְּבֶּלְהַ great pain, from אָרָה grieving; עוֹלְי grievous ejection, or captivity, from מוֹל grieving out; פּנְפַלְבָּלָה yeyelids, from איז moving quickly, flying; flowing copiously, from אָל flowing, Ezek. xvii. 5.

6. The third class of reduplicated nouns includes those which are formed by a repetition of the last syllable, or, of the two last letters of the primitive noun: as, בְּבְּבֶּבְ great gifts, from בּבְּרָב giving, the being rejected; בּבְּבְּבָּן fem. רְבָּבְּרַ reddish, red here and there, having red spots, from בּבְּבְּרַ being red; בּבְּרַ מּבּוֹל policy mixed collection, or multitude, from בּבְּרָ בִּבְּרָ most perverse, from בּבְּרָ turning over, &c. בּבְּרָב most smooth, i. e. deceiving words, or things, from בּבְּרָב polishing, smoothing, &c.

7. The fourth class of reduplicated nouns are those which are found to repeat the last radical letter of the primitives, inserting, at the same time, some vowel between the letters so doubled: as, at the same time, some vowel between the letters so doubled: as, anxious; מַבְּלֶבְיִב most languid, from מַבְּלֶבְיִב anxious; מַבְּלֶבְיִב emi
nences, great heaps, hills, from מֵּבְלֶבְיִב gibbous; קּבְּלְבִּילִ great or frequent adultery, from מַבְּלְבִּילִל committing adultery; a nell and matered pasture, from בְּבִלְבִּילִל leading to the water, &c., to which many more might be added.

8. In forming the plurals of these and similar compounds,

pm wn

hith 243 alike

neinpp

or s

3. class upent last expl 2 cost lette & Rost

Ath class

mall cury

areuleke

when 2 + 3 +md.)

syll. augmit is

a dacked, then H. bow " in kufect

or rejutal.

former planely regard is to be had principally to the analogy of the last component analogy part of the word. In no case can the addition of any increment, whether syllabic or asyllabic, affect a syllable beyond the penultimate of any word. In words, therefore, consisting of a large number of syllables, no change will take place in the vowels beyond the penultimate, and, in many instances, not beyond the ultimate. The only question, then, which can arise must be, as to the change of the ultimate or penultimate yowels of such words. In primitive words, indeed, a greater number of vowels does not exist: and, there, as we have seen, analogy alone can be relied upon. The same is true here. For, first: In all cases, except those in which the second and third radical is the same, upon any asyllabic augment being attached to the word, the preceding vowel must either be perfect or rejected; otherwise the syllable will be incomplete: as, הַבְּהָבִים great gifts, בּוֹבְבֵּי stars of —. Here, as the asyllabic or must necessarily take the last consonant of the word in order to be utterable, the preceding vowel must become perfect, or else be rejected. But, if the root had its second and third radical letters the same, and one had been thrown away for the sake of euphony, then, upon any augment being applied, this rejected letter would return, expressed by Dagésh forte placed in the terminating letter (Art. 77.): as, בְּלַזְלֵילִ worthless supernumerary shoots, from the root of which is vile, Isaiah xviii. 5.

one with augm 4 Dagesh.

> 9. The only question which can now arise is, How will these vowels be affected, when the noun is in the state of construction? Generally speaking, unless there be some reason for retaining a perfect vowel, i. e. when some letter has been retrenched for which compensation ought to be made, and hence a perfect vowel retained,) either Sheva, or one of its substitutes, will appear in the penultimate: as, בּוֹכְבֵי the stars of —, &c., not בּוֹכְבֵי . But this can be determined only from a knowledge of the analogy of the word.

anple of each sort 10. We shall now give a few examples of each sort of compound words, omitting the classification of Simonis as unnecessary : עַרָכֵּל thick darkness, from יובן it became dark, and אָבֶל (Arab. ובֹן) the sun set; מִיקְלְוֹן filthy vomiting, from איף vomiting, and קלוֹן base; | widely expanding, from שַׁרָשִׁ expand, and יוֹם separating; שׁבְשׁבְ invigorating, refreshing, from בְּשֶׁבְ moisture, and שֹבְ increasing, spreading; 기가 교육 a melon, from 법교육 Arab. he cast down,

and מבן nearly the same thing; מְבַּרְמֶל a bason, from אָבָר מוּל collecting, and שִׁל blood, Arabic, or as others think שׁל dem, water; chief satraps, according to some, from the Persic, שחרף של, price, pre-eminence, and שהרף מתרף מותרף של, של a satrap; according to others, the chief door keepers, from מבים, as before, and בַּרְבָּאוֹ ניִנוֹ door keeper; treasurer, from בָּוֹבְיאוֹ נינוֹ נינוֹ בַּיִּבְאוֹ בִּינִים בּוֹ عِدِينة , agent (بار or وار Pers.) حِدٍ or إِنَّ and كنو (Pers. كنو agent كنو agent) of the above); كنجك or كنبك of the above); something, any thing, from הם וא הם quid aut quid; קבריה flame of the Lord, according to some, from ש, a Chaldaic particle, בחלה, or אבר flame, and הי the Lord; according to others, from הוא inflaming, Syriac, and הוא a flame, and מור as before. Others, again, take the " as derived from the Arabic שראב, flame, &c. as before. In the list of various readings given by Ben Naphtali, this word is read as two שַלהַבֶּת הַ which is probably the ancient way in which it was written. Some of these, as it will be seen, are foreign words: but, as the number of such is few in the Hebrew Bible, and as the Dictionary must be consulted for their signification and etymology, we have thought it unnecessary to investigate their forms and composition any further.

### On the Forms and Composition of Nouns adopted as Proper Names.

170. By Proper Names, we understand those words or phrases which have been adopted for the purpose of conveying the ideas of certain specific persons or things. By names of persons are to be understood, names of God, Deities, Angels, Men, &c.; of things, those of kingdoms, cities, towns, villages, mountains, rivers, &c.: as, first, אָנְיִי Jehovah; בְּיִי אַל יִּבְּיִב Báal Zĕvúv; בְּיִי יִּי Jehovah; בְּיִי שִׁלְּיִי Jehōshúāh, &c. Second; אַנְיִי Jehōshúāh, &c. Second; אַנְיִי Jerusalem, &c. And, in short, any word which is used to designate any specific object, (although the same may, in other instances, be used as an Appellative,) is termed a Proper Name, and is to be construed as such. Lion, for example, is an appellative; and yet it is as often used among us as a proper name, and so of others.

2. Whence it will be seen that occasions may occur, in which it

will be extremely difficult to determine whether such word was originally intended to be taken as an appellative, or as a proper name.\*

- 3. In the first place then, Proper names which are purely Hebrew, (for some appear to be of foreign extraction,) are, for the most part, found to follow the analogy of the Appellatives; at others, to present forms unknown to them. Those which are of the same forms with the Appellatives, we need not now notice, as those forms have already been discussed.
- 4. The simple forms of proper-names, which are found to differ from those of the appellatives, are, 1st, those which take some form peculiar to the persons of the verbs; and, 2d, those which add to the end: as, 1st, רְבֵּי וֹיִי Ishbák, he excelled; אַלְּיִב Yāshúv, he returns, or shall return; אַרְבְּי Itskhár, he shines, &c.; and, 2d, as, אַרְבּי Ōnô; אַרְי Yerēkhô, Jericho. A few foreign names are found of the form אַרְבָּי ; as, אַרְבִי Basmath.
- 6. Having stated what the general rules relating to the formation and composition of Proper Names are, we may now notice a few irregularities which are occasionally found to take place. These may be classed under the heads of, I. Omissions, II. Additions,

Single

Crespond

housens

<sup>\*</sup> Glass. Phil. Sac., pp. 696, 705, 780, &c.

<sup>+</sup> Onomast. Vet. Test., p. 345.

III. The Transpositions of certain letters or syllables; and, IV. The Changes of certain letters of the same organs.

7. Omissions: and in the first case, of the initial letter of the 1. buils primitive word; e. g. D. 1 Chron. ii. 9, for D. (Art. 84.) Matt. 1. 1 8 10 i. 3. Aραμ, in the name of a person, Job xxxii. 2; and of a place, 2 Chron. xxii. 5, פון בים במים In a compound פון בים 1 Chron. xi. 13, ב . for 마한 로 마음 1 Sam. xvii. 1. In this last case, 이 함 is taken by some as an Appellative : ਜੜ੍ਹੀ is thought by some to be the same with DIN Edúm, i. e. Edom. Others have taken it to be a mystical name, from the signification of the word Dir, to come to silence.

8. In the following instances, a letter has been dropped from the little mid in middle of the word: as, אָבִיבֶּיל 2 Sam. xvii. 25, for אָבִיבֵיל 1 Chron. ii. 16, (Art. 75.) אַרוֹבָה 2 Sam. xxiv. 16, and אַרוֹבָּה 2 Sam. מַרְיִּבֶּה 1 Chron. xxi. 25. בְּרְמֵשֶׂל, but 2 Chron. xxviii. 5, וְבֹּרְמֵשֶׂל, Gen. xlvi. 13, for which we have מְשָׁרְב 1 Chron. vii. 1; שְׁמָּרְב 1 Sam. xvii. 13, is written אַמְעָה 1 Chron. iii. 5, and שַׁמּרּעַ 2 Sam. v. 14. In the following words a letter has been omitted at the end; The Josh. iii. 16, which is The Chap. xix. 36; ו אָפְּקֶת ; קִיר־חֲרֶשֵׁת Is. xvi. 11, and Ib. v. 7, הִיר־חֲרֶשׁ וּ Chron. ii. 19 : אפרתה Ib. v. 24.

9. Under the head omissions may be noticed those defects which are found to take place in patronymics, &c., derived from compound proper names. Compounds are, in any case, troublesome words on account of their length; but in proper names this is less tolerable than in any other. The consequence has been, most nations have used contractions, by omitting some part or other of the compound word (see Art. 166. 11. note). Hence 'i'i' for for engths ז אַנְיִמִינֵי 1 Sam. ix. 1, Jud. xix. 16, and Esth. ii. 5; אָישׁ מִינָי מִינָי מַינִי מַינִי מַינִי מַינִי מַי man, a Benjamite. So 1 Chron. xx. 5, בְּחָבִי, put as some believe for בֵּית הַלָּחְבְי Bethlehemite, conf. 2 Sam. xxi. 19; בְבַת לֶחָי Judg. xv. 17, Ib. ver. 9. 14. 19, is written simply בָּיִת.

le Hon france

<sup>\*</sup> With respect to this last passage, Pilkington has remarked-" The word , as an Appellative, signifies, a jaw bone; but, as it was also the proper name of the place, where the Philistines met Samson, and where he slew a thousand of them with the jaw bone of an ass, the name also of Ramath-Lehi was given to the place, where he cast away the jaw bone. To consider the word then, as Appellative, in the next verse; and to say that, 'When Samson was thirsty, God clave an hollow place that was in the jaw, and there came water

3 /m7

2 m 7 > he ?

7 and 7

ART. 170. 10.

10. To these may be added, יְעָרְים Ps. cxxxii. 6; for הָרִית יִעָרִים Chairnteny 1. 1 Sam. vii. 1, &c., where the English version has "the fields of the 2. wood;" ירושׁלֵם passim, is written אָלָם Ps. lxxvi. 3; Bethlehem, 1 Chron. iv. 22; Bethaven, Hos. x. 5, is 77 ver. 8.

11. Some additions which are peculiar to proper names have . addeting already been given (No. 8.). We now come to the transposition of letters or syllables: אַלִיעָם 2 Sam. xi. 3, is עַמִיאָל 1 Chron. iii. 5, in which the component parts of the compound change places by the Anastrophe, as above noticed (No. 5.); יהוֹיבֵין 2 Kings xxiv. 6, 8, is יְבְּבֶּוְ 1 Chron. iii. 16; and, by omission, with the addition ש. ל. of יו, קרס Jer. xxii. 24; תְּמְנַת־פֶּרָח Josh. xxiv. 30, is הִמְנַת-חֶרֶס Judg. ii. 9; השיח ו Chron. iv. 4, but Ib. 11, הואשה So הבשח בעם 2 Sam. viii. 8, is written בּבְּעָם 1 Chron. xviii. 8; to which some others might be added. According to Hiller,\* examples of this kind are very numerous: but here, as the significations adduced by him are grounded on conjectural etymologies, little reliance can be placed on them.

tuckings flether. 12. We shall now give a few examples, in which the letters of the same organ have taken place one of another (Art. 78.): דָּבּראָדָן: 2 Kings xx. 12, written קוֹאן Isa. xxxix. 1, and קוֹלף 2 Kings xxv. 27, Jer. l. 2. In the last instance, a quiescent 8 is also 3. and to this last allusion is made in the text; בַּת־שַׁבַע 2 Sam. ע. xi. 3, and בָּרִיבְּיְנֶאצִר ( Chron. iii. 5; בְּרִבְּיְנֶאצִר Dan. i. 1; בְּרַבְּרָבֶאצִר ( בַּרִּבְיָרָאצִר ) בוּכִּרְבָּאצִר ( בּרַבִּרָבָאצִר ) ווּ Is. xix. 13, and אָל ( Hos. ix. 6, Memphis. Several changes of 7 and 7 may be observed in the words Khemdán Gen. xxxvi. 26; Khemrán 1 Chron. i. 41; Dodaním Gen. x. 4, is given Rodaním 1 Chron. i. 7; Ripháth Gen. x. 3, is Dīpháth 1 Chron. i. 6; Děhūél Num. i. 14, is Rěhūél Ib. ii. 14; Hădad-Hézer 2 Sam. viii. 3, is Hădar-Hézer 1 Chron. xviii. 5. These letters, although not of the same organ,

> thereout,' must be through want of common attention; because, they immediately subjoin, 'Wherefore he called the name thereof En hakkore, (q. d. The well of him that called,) which is in Lehi, unto this day.'-Such mistakes as these give wrong ideas to the ignorant; and furnish the scoffers with matter of ridicule."-" The error indeed, is corrected in the margin, which hath Lehi, &c."-Remarks upon several passages of Scripture, &c., by Matthew Pilkington, Cambridge, 1759, p. 157.

<sup>\*</sup> Onomasticon, V. Test., p. 365.

are found in other languages frequently interchanged. Their change in Hebrew has been accounted for, by the supposition of the Scribes having mistaken the form of the one for that of the other, which is likely enough to have been the case. I think also, that confusion may have arisen from their similarity of sound, which we find has sometimes taken place in other languages.

13. It may not be amiss to offer a few remarks here on those proper names, which are found to end in (') Yód, not only because their number is very considerable, but also, because they afford some curious information on the subject of ancient Hebrew theology.

14. These, according to Hiller,\* may be classed under four heads. First, Those which may be termed Denominatives, on account of some particular circumstance being alluded to, when they were first /. given: as, Lēví, meaning Conjunctive, see Gen. xxix. 34. which may be added all Patronymics used as proper names.

Secondly, Words found in a mutilated state, which were originally composed of one or other of the Divine names: as, for הלקיה or חלקיה, signifying, the Lord (is) a portion. So בַּעַרֵי for בַּעַרִי stripped of God; to which many others might be added. The intervening Yod, however, is in many cases allowed by all to be nothing more than a sort of connecting letter, enutricals in the case of עבדיאל for אבד אבד servant of God. (This method of compounding the Divine name with other words, for the purpose of forming proper names, is certainly of great antiquity among the Jews, and may safely be appealed to in proof of the position, that they never did, as a nation, acknowledge any other unit of God, than that urged upon their notice by the last prophet. Whether we can extract from it the fact, that they also understood the doctrine of the Holy Trinity, of the Immutability, Invisibility, Incomprehensibility, and of the other attributes of the Deity, as known and taught among ourselves now, does not seem to be quite so clear as Hiller will have it. + It is also worthy of notice, that the names given to individuals among the Babylonians, and other nations contiguous to the Jews, were, for the most part, either the names of their idols, or compounds including one or other of their

Proper has

in 4 heads

<sup>\*</sup> Onomast. Vet. Test., p. 261, &c.

<sup>+</sup> Ib., pp. 228, 229.

titles. The same practice is found to prevail among the pagans of the present day.

Inbst. used

15. Thirdly, Yod is said to be paragogic when found attached to פ איני אות substantives used as proper names: as, דוֹמָמְתִּי עָזָרָ וֹרָ Chron. xxv. 4. 31 ; אררעי Numb. xxi. 33: עין בדי Josh. xv. 62, &c.

- hm. 1. for. 16. Fourthly, Yod is thought to be the pronoun of the 1st person singular in the following and similar examples: מַלּוֹתָי 1 Chron. xxv. 4; בְּלַתִּי Ib. verr. 4. 29.
  - 17. Having said thus much on the analogy and irregularities found to prevail in the forms of proper names, and referred the Student to those writers who have treated these subjects at great length, we shall now offer a few remarks; 1. On the persons by whom they were given, and the circumstances with which they were connected: and, 2, On the various names sometimes found attached to persons or places, which has by some been termed Polyonymia.

Fisher humed whom quon

18. With respect to the persons by whom proper names have been imposed, they are various, as likewise have been the occasions on which they have been given. In some instances God himself gave the name; in others, the Father, Mother, or some one or other of the kindred.

4 500

Of the first, examples may be found in Gen. xvi. 11, xvii. 19, 1 Kings xiii. 2, 1 Chron. xxii. 9, Matt. i. 21, Luke i. 13, &c. Of the second and third,\* examples occur very frequently; and of the last, Luke i. 59, may suffice.+

troky

- 19. The principal causes or circumstances connected with the imposition of proper names amount, according to Simonis, to fifteen. ‡ These, however, may all be reduced to the original number assumed / by Glassius, which is two. § "1. κατ' ἔσω, vel ratione etymi et 2. significationis, ejusque fundamenti. 2. κατ' έξω, vel ratione extensionis ad alia, et communionis."
  - 20. In the first place, proper names both of men and places, were first given with reference to some event, either past, present, or future, with regard to such person or place.

<sup>\*</sup> Simonis, p. 13.

<sup>+</sup> Gen. xxix. xxx., 1 Sam. i. 20, 1 Chron. iv. 9.

<sup>1</sup> Onom., pp. 14, 15, &c.

<sup>&</sup>amp; Append. Gram., Tract. iii. p. 709, Ed. Dathe.

21. Of the first sort, are the following: Gen. xvii. 17, 19, 773 1. of work Isaac, so called on account of Abraham's smiling upon receiving a the promise of his birth; Exod. ii. 10, Moses ( חשים) is so called 6. on account of his having been taken out of the water, as the text shews, whether the word itself be Egyptian or Hebrew; for, on this subject learned men differ.

1 Sam. iv. 21, I-kāvód (אֵי כֶּבְוֹד) where is the glory? on account כ of the ark of the covenant having been taken.

22. Secondly; Of names taken from present circumstances, the & farms following are examples: Gen. iv. 2, >= vanity, on account, as it ... has been thought, of the vanity and instability of all human things, which had been occasioned by the fall; Gen. iv. 25, Seth ( ) 1. because God had placed him in the situation of Abel, who had been murdered by Cain; Gen. xxv. 25, Esau () ), whether we deduce . it from עשי عشى, as Dathe will have it, which signifies to cover, &c., or from عثا to have long hair, after Simonis; Ib. ver. 26, Jacob, בְעַקְב from לַנְקב the heel, because he took hold of his bro- d. ther's heel at the time of his birth.

23. The following names appear to have been given with refer- of fully conence to something which was to take place afterwards: Gen. iii. 20, Eve (7377), because she was to be the mother of all who should live; Gen. v. 29, Noah (Dis), because he was to be the means of consoling, or giving rest to, the true believers. In this case, the verb used to explain the proper name is not the same with that included in the name itself, which signifies quiet, rest, &c. The only thing intended by the sacred Writer seems to be on this, as on many other occasions, to give the general sense, and not the exact etymology, of the word.

24. The following are examples of names of places given with reference to certain present events.

Gen. iv. 16, Nód ( ) is the place in which Cain is said to have resided, after he had been driven out from the presence of God, the meaning of which is wandering or wanderer.

Gen. xi. 9, Babel ( ) was so called on account of the confusion which took place there, from \$272, a reduplicated form of to mix, confound, &c. which, by contraction, will become בַּלֵל.

L 2

<sup>&</sup>quot;Risus Abrahæ exsultatio est gratulantis, non irrisio diffidentis." Eucherius apud Glass. Append. Gram., Tract. iii. p. 711.

Gen. xxviii. 19, Bethel ( ) was so called by Jacob, because he deemed it worthy to be called the house of God, on account of the vision he saw there. Similar examples are to be found, where Gen. xxxii. 3, with respect to Mākhānáim; Ib. xxxi. 47, Galeed; John v. 2, where Bethesda is intended to point out the favour of God, exerted at that place, as in a house of mercy. In Exod. xv. and Num. xxxiii. we have several examples of names thus given to the different stations in the wilderness, at which the Israelites halted.

mit as firmts. It should be observed, that in writing Hebrew names in the Greek letters, the aspirates are frequently omitted: as, Ἐσρώμ, not Χεσρώμ; Ναασσών, not Ναχσών; and so of others. See Art. 9. note.

#### LECTURE IX.

ON THE SEPARABLE AND INSEPARABLE PARTICLES.

ments of words, attached to others for the purpose of qualifying or otherwise restricting them; and they are written either separately, or together forming one compound word, as usage may require. In this point of view, therefore, they may be classed under one or other of the forms of nouns already detailed; but, as they occur frequently, and exert a very considerable influence on the force and bearing of the context, they deserve a separate consideration.

2. By these Particles are meant, words used occasionally as *Pronouns*, *Adverbs*, *Prepositions*, *Conjunctions*, or *Interjections*. We say occasionally, because some of them will sometimes fill one or more of these offices, as the context shall require, just as similar words often do in our own language.

3. That the Separable Prepositions are words of this

Knish

kind, no doubt will remain when we consider, that in many instances they actually present all the peculiarities of nouns put in the state of construction: as, בְּרִירָיִן for vanity, or in sufficientiam vanitatis,\* Jer. li. 58; so בוֹן הַבִּיִּרִי or, distinctio aquarum: יבּין (for בִּיוֹן being the absolute form in the one case, and בֵּין in the other, which in construction regularly become בוֹן and בְּיִן respectively (Art. 143. 6.). And, again, words preceding these particles ‡ are often found taking the forms proper for the state of construction: as, ובּיִרְצִין בּיִנִין Delight of Remin Is. viii. 6, &c., which shews that they are nouns.

4. In the Arabic and Ethiopic dialects, in each of which we have a peculiarity of termination for the state of construction, these particles are clearly marked as being in that state: as, in the Arabic,

the first word loses its tanwin, and the last is put in the genitive case. So in the Ethiopic, **Dat:** 11902: to, or towards, heaven; where the first word only has this mark. And, generally, words of this kind, whether conjunctions, prepositions, or adverbs, will be found with the termination proper for construction, when they are intended to be so understood: and without it, when not so intended.

With respect to the adverbs, as they can be known to be such only from the situations in which they are found, and may consist of any form of word suitable to the sense required, something will be said on their character and use when we come to the syntax. effected leastr.

s hom

<sup>\*</sup> Nold. sub voce בוֹ in notis, " בּנֹ Est absoluti בַּנֹ constructum."

<sup>†</sup> Ib. sub. v. בינים "Constituit substantivum nomen masculinum, cujus pluralis masc. est בֵּילָם, &c..... atque inde nominalem significationem, quam vix unquam exuit....discrimen differentiam rerum, qua a se invicem distinguuntur."

<sup>†</sup> These particles are ב, כ, ל, מן ס מי, ל, אָל, אָל, עָל, עָל, קּלְתִּי ,עַל ,אָל, אָל, הַלְתִּי ,עַל ,קּלְתִּי ,עַל ,אָל ,מוּן , Glass. Phil. Sacr., p. 80, &c. Storr. Observ., p. 105.

whit forms of norms with the super?

5. If then these particles were originally nouns, it is likely we should find them exhibiting the simple and augmented forms, peculiar to nouns; and such is actually the case, as the following examples will evince. Segolates: מַבְּיב not yet; מִבְּיב near, at; מַבְּיב before, in presence of; מַבְיב here, hither; מְבָּיב only; אַבָּיל by no means. Primitive nouns not Segolate: as, מַבִּיב round about; מוֹב fem.) quickly, soon; מוֹב besides, to which many others may be added.

The following are forms <u>augmented</u> by one or other of the letters termed הַאָּמִיבָּהְ (Art. 156.); בּוֹלְי suddenly, immediately; gratis, freely (Art. 167.); בוֹל perhaps, which is probably a compound of או לין); דְוַלּאָן (for בּוֹלְאַ) after, afterwards. Art. 109.

1. Laling 8. In some cases several of these words will be found construed

pluml.

fonda -

<sup>†</sup> בְּלֹם proprie defectus, sed in particulam abit .. notans non. Simonis Lex. sub voce בְּלֹם attritus fuit. I hope to throw some additional light on these particles in my Hebrew Lexicon.

9. These particles are also found in construction with the pronouns, sometimes in the singular, at others in the plural, number: מוֹלֵיבֵ בּׁי between thee; בּיבִיבֹּי , and fem. בֹיבִיבַ between us. So בּיבִיבַ between them; אַבְיבִיבּ on account of thee; בּיבִיבּ , and בּיבִיבַּ , they are not. The following, when in the plural number only, take the affixed pronouns singular or plural, viz. אַבּיבִיבּ besides; and, according to Schræderus, בּיבִיבַ the blessings of! בּיבִיבַ before; בּיבִיבַ on account of.

11. The particle  $\cap \mathbb{N}$ , put before any noun which is the object of some verb in the sentence, and used apparently for the purpose of pointing out this particular, appears in three different forms when connected with the pronouns, which Schræderus thinks has arisen from the root's originally presenting three cognate forms, viz.

<sup>\*</sup> D. Kimchi has remarked in the Michlol, (fol. יכו יעראמר ראובן הרג האובן הרג שמעון לא גודע מי ההורג ופי ההרוג וכשתאמר ראובן הרג האובן הרג שמעון הנה מלת את נופל על הפעול ונודע כי שמעון הוא ההרוג את שמעון הנה מלת את נופל על הפעול ונודע כי שמעון הוא ההרוג לפיכך בדבר שהוא מבואר מי הפועל והפעול לא הוצרכו למלת את: So, should you say, ול הרג שמעון Reuben slew Simeon, it would not be known who was the slayer, or who the slain. But when you say Reuben slew Simeon, (i. e. Simeonem,) the particle אף points out the objective case, and it is known that Simeon is the person slain. In like manner, in a matter in which the agent and patient are evident, the particle או is unnecessary.

- - 14. The other prepositions take the affixed pronouns regularly, and, for the most part, in the plural number: as, לאָלי to, towards, pl. לאָלי to me; שַׁלִיהָשׁ upon, against, plural אַלִילָם upon, or against thee; בּיִלְשָׁהִי to, usque ad, plural בִּיִלְיהָשׁ to him; אַלִיהָשׁ besides; אַלִיהָשׁ upon besides thee. With the grave affix: שׁלִיהָשׁ to you; שׁלִי upon them.
  - 15. The remaining prepositions, are קַּבְּרָ on account of; בְּעַר by, through; אָיָל nithout, foràs; בְּעַר before, in front of;

This rule, however, is not universally true, as we shall see when we come to the syntax. Similar to this is the doctrine taught by the Persian Grammarians, on the use of the particle 1, (originally perhaps 3, way,) for where, according to Mr. Lumsden, there would be a difficulty in ascertaining from the context, which is the objective case to the verb, this particle is added; and, when it is not so, the particle is always to be omitted. Pers. Gram., vol. ii. p. 202. My edition (9th) of Sir Win. Jones's Pers. Gram., p. 118.

towards, over against; מָבֶּבֶּל on this side, beyond (properly, passage); and בַּבְּל pefore, in front of.

### On the Inseparable Particles.

- 172. Having laid down the forms, and given some examples of construction, of the Separable particles, we now come to those which have been termed Inseparable. These have been termed inseparable, because, as many of them are no longer found extant in their original and complete forms, but presenting one single letter only, they are always prefixed to some other word. They are all comprehended in the technical terms, בְּיֵבְ הַ Moses and Caleb.
  - 2. The first (D) is regularly prefixed to nouns with the imperfect vowel (·) Khīrík, and consequently inserting a Dāgésh forte in the following letter: as, if from, or out of, the way. This word when written at length is 1D, probably from 1DD, signifying, according to Storr, cutting off, &c.\* This Dāgésh, therefore, may be considered as a compensation for the loss of the letter D (Art. 76.).
  - 3. Dāgésh, however, is frequently omitted when the following word commences with (:): as, מִמְיִנְיֹנְ from greatness; מִימִינְוֹ from his right hand (for בְּיִבְּיִרְהְיִ Art. 87. 5.). Under this rule Schræderus places the word יְמִינְיִנְי thence, from the beginning, 1 Chron. xv. 13. But here, the prefixed is probably a part of אוני which, what, or the like.
  - 4. But when any letter incapable of receiving <u>Dāgésh</u> follows, (Art. 109.) a compensation is made, either explicitly, or implicitly: i. e. either, 1st, by putting the vowel (") instead of Khīrîk; or, 2dly, by considering the following letter as doubled: as, 1st. שֵׁלְשֵׁב (for שֵׁבְּיֵב) from a man; אַבֶּיבְיב (for אַבְּיב) from a wicked (man); or, 2dly, בּלְּוֹלְהוֹלְם (for בְּלִּיבְּה) from a thread.
  - 5. The particle w, which is an abbreviation of n who, what, &c. will be considered with the demonstrative pronouns; where the use of the inseparable particle n will also be shewn.

<sup>\*</sup> See last Art. No. 13.

## On the Particle 1 and, but, moreover, &c.

ארה, Syriac ביל, or Arabic לוב, to augment, connect, &c.: its augmented form is לוב, desiring wealth, connection, or the like: and, as a noun אול a hook, nail, or any thing by which one thing is connected with another. Hence, it is used as a conjunction, and is capable of all the variety of meaning to which such words are subject.

2. This particle is generally prefixed to words with

(:): as, אָבֶר וּאָבֶר he called and said; שִׁבְּרָה וְּעָבֶר a servant maid and man.

3. The Shěvá, however, is liable to certain changes. When the word, to which is attached, commences also with Shěvá, this takes the vowel Shūrék: as, וֹלְכֵּלְ go ye, not וֹלְבֶּלֶר (Art. 106. 1.): זְלֶבֶּלֶר and to, or for, the king, not וֹלְבֵּלֶר or זְלְבֵּלֶר.

4. The same change takes place, whenever any one of the labial letters (אָבֶּבֶּן immediately follows: as, בּוֹבֶּבֶּן and the garment, not וָבְּבֶּבֶּן. There are, however, some exceptions: as, מוֹבָּבֶּן and void;

אַשׁבְּ and they blushed, &c.

5. When the letter ' with (:) follows, a contraction will take place (Art. 87. 5.): as, יֹחִי (for יֵחִי or יִוֹיִי and he shall live; (יִי וֹחָי (for יִיִּיי מָר ) and the shall live; (יִי וֹחָי וֹחַ ) and their right hand. In a few cases we have (י) in this place: as, יִּחְיִהְ and let him be.

6. Any word beginning with one of the substitutes of Shevá, will prefix with the correspondent imperfect vowel (Art. 107.):

as, ממן and I; מול and truth, &c.

7. In some instances of this kind, however, we find Shūrék: as, מְבָּיִבְּי and gold, Gen. ii. 12; מָבִי and cry thou (fem.), Jer. xxii. 20.

8. When the word commences with an א a contraction is often found to take place: as, מָלֵבְיֹל and my Lord (for בַּאַלֹבְיִים; so מֵאַלֹבִיים and God (for בַּאַלֹבִיים); Art. 87. 5.

9. Monosyllables, and dissyllables having the accent on the penultima, will generally prefix this particle with (+): as, Did and

<sup>\*</sup> Kimchi is of opinion, that in these cases the letter 8 is to be considered as dropped, and that we should read 38 universally.

a horse; מוֹל and a ram. To this, however, there are many exceptions: as, מוֹר and an ox; מוֹר and a chariot.

10. This particle has been supposed to have the power of changing the tenses of the verb, i. e. of making the preterite tense future, and the future preterite, and hence has been termed Vaw Conversivum. From what will be said on the doctrine of the tenses of the verbs by and bye it will appear, that the conversive power supposed to exist in this particle is neither necessary nor true. When it takes the vowel Pāthákh it ought perhaps to be considered as illative, corresponding to the Arabic ithen, therefore, &c., which is regularly so: when it takes (:), as being merely conjunctive (p. 50, note).

11. In some cases when accompanied by (-), the Dāgésh of the following letter, as also the Euphonic accent, one or other of which is necessary to complete the syllable, is dropped, probably by the negligence of the scribes: as, with and he consecrated, Gen. ii. 3,

for בְיַבְּשׁ, or with the accent בְיַבְּשׁן.

12. In the first person singular of the present tense this particle

takes (ד): as, שַׁשְׁלֵּן and I hear.

in, according to, to, or the like, respectively, may be easily traced to their origin as nouns. The first is probably a fragment of the word NI entering into, &c., which is found in Arabic under the forms of ..., he descended to his house, lodging, &c.; he descended to his house, lodging, &c.; and in Ethiopic  $\Omega(DK)$ : meaning nearly the same thing.

2. With respect to the second () signifying like, as, just as, &c., it is probably a fragment of some primitive noun, from which we also have the words it thus; if for, so; is and is thus. For the etymology of see Art. 171. 7.

3. These particles are regularly prefixed with (:); as, in a way; בְּעָץ like a tree; אָבֶר to earth.

If the word to which either of them is to be prefixed, have (:), the particle will regularly take (.) Khīrîk

Cours

5.

6.

(Art. 106. 1.): as, בְּלְי in the volume; בְּלְי like a vessel; לְבְנְן to his son.

- 4. But, when any one of the substitutes of Shevá happens to be at the beginning of such word, the prefix will take the correspondent imperfect vowel (Art. 107.): as, בּוֹלְים in a dream; בּוֹלֵים like eating, &c.
- 5. When an א happens to be the first letter, a contraction generally takes place: as, לַאָּדֹבֶּי (for לַאָּדֹבֶּי) to my Lord; לַאָּלּהִים (for לַאָּלּהִים) to God (Art. 87. 5.).
- 6. But when prefixed to monosyllables, or to dissyllables having the accent in the penultimate, they generally take (†) as above (Art. 173. 9.); e. g. אָבָּי in this; אוֹלָאָבּי like these; אוֹלָהָי for ever. So with verbal nouns or Infinitives, when not in construction with other nouns: as, אַבָּי for standing; אַבְיי for walking.
- 7. The following affixed pronouns are often found attached to these particles: viz. '크 in me; '크크 in us; '크크 in thee, masc.; 크로 id. fem.; '크크 in him, masc.; 크로 in her; '크크 (or Art. 75.) 므급 in them, masc.; '크크 id. fem.
- 8. The particle דׁ receives the pronouns in the same manner; but בֹ is so found in only two instances; viz. שׁבְּי like you, and בְּיִלְנִי like them. When it is necessary to use the pronouns with בֹּ וְלֵנִי tike them. When it is necessary to use the pronouns with בִּ וֹנִי like paragogic particle בֹּ וֹנִי is generally introduced thus: לְּבִּילִנְי like me; ווֹנִי like us; ווֹנִי like thee; ווֹנִי like you; ווֹנִי like him; בְּבְילִוּהְ like him; בְּבְילִנְי like him; בְּבְילִנְי like him; בְּבְילִנִי like her;
- 9. When either of the particles בּ, בּ, ס, סר בֹּלְנִים is prefixed to a noun with the definite article, the article is for the most part rejected (Art. 73.) and the particle takes its vowel: as, בּוֹלֵנִים in the house (for בַּבְּיבִים); שֵׁיִשְׁ like the man (for בַּבְּיבִים); שֵׁיִבְּיבוֹ in the month (for בַּבְּיבִים); בּיבְּיבוֹ in the dry (land, for בַּבְּיבִים Art. 87. 6.). Exceptions: בּבְּיבִים in the way, Neh. ix. 19: בְּבְיבִים to the people, 2 Chron. x. 7; בּבְּיבִים like the windows, Ezek. xl. 25;
- 10. This contraction, moreover, sometimes takes place in those Infinitives which commence with a servile הוא בּבָּשִׁלְ (for בָּהַבָּשֵׁלְ (for לַהַבְּשִׁלְיעַ ) in being impelled, Prov. xxiv. 17; לַשִּלְיעַ (for לַהָּבִיּשׁ ) for causing to hear, Ps. xxvi. 7; לָבִיא (for בַּבִּשְׁלִי ) for bringing, Jer. xxxix. 7, &c., which is most usual in the Chaldee.

# On those Inseparable Particles which are termed Paragogic.

- 175. To the preceding inseparable particles may be added others, which however are always found attached to the end, never to the beginning, of words; and for the purpose most probably, of adding something to the force of the passages in which they are found. These were at first, perhaps, nouns of one form or other just as the prepositions were, but which, in after times, were abbreviated for the sake of convenience.
- 2. These letters or syllables, then, (for they are now nothing more, have been termed paragogic, rather, I suppose, for the purpose of giving them a name, than for pointing out either their nature or their use), consist of one or other of the letters אהרין, which is found appended to words, either for the purpose of giving emphasis to the meaning, of promoting euphony, or for some other reason.
- 3. The letter & is mostly pleonastic, and is found attached to words terminating in the vowel (+) or 1: as אַתיִקיהא its galleries (for אָתִיקִיה), Ezek. xli. 15; לבוא לוא ליים they who went (for הַהְלְּכְוֹּא), Josh. x. 24; אָבוּא they were willing, Isai. xxviii. 12; יהוּ they shall be carried, Jer. x. 5. So יהוּ for יהוּ (for יהוּ, Art. 87. 2.) he shall be, Eccl. xi. 3; also אוֹ instead of וֹה he, Arab. ; Arab. Arab. J would to God, utinam, &c.,

and so of others.\*

4. The use of as a paragogic letter is very frequent. In some cases it is thought to augment the signification, in others to be merely pleonastic. On

<sup>\*</sup> This prevails in the Arabic, whence Schultens comes to the conclusion, that it is an ancient manner of writing: others, that it is a mere Arabism.

some occasions it is found appended to words ending in a consonant, with (י); on others, it is preceded by (·) or (·): and in these it becomes quiescent: as, אַבֶּלְהָּאָ (from אַבֶּלָהְ (from אָבֶלְהָּ) I will recount, or, let me recount, Ps. ii. 7; from אַבֶּלָה (from אָבֶּלֶה) the earth; הַבָּה from אַבְּלָה (from אֵבֶּלָה) these.

- 5. It is attached to verbs. 1st, to the Infinitive or Verbal noun; 2d, to both the tenses; 3d, to the second person singular masculine of the Imperative; and 4th, to the participles: e. g. 1st. אַטְשָׁבְּ (for שַּׁבִּי ) spoiling; (for שַּׁבִּי ) shaking, Isa. xxxii. 11; אַלָּרָה (for אַלֵּרָ (for אַלֵּרָ (for אַלֵּרָ (for אַלָּרָ (for אַלָּרָ (for אַלָּרָ (for אַלָּרָ (for אַלָרָתְּן (for אַלָּרָתְּן (for אַלָּרָתְּן (for אַלַרָתְּן (for אַלַרָתְּן (for אַלַרָתָּן (for אַלַרַתָּן (for אַלַרָתָּן (for אַלַרַתָּן (for אַלַרָתָּן (for אַלַרַתָּן (for אַלַרָתָּן (for אַלַרָתָּן (for אַלַרָתָּן (for אַלַרָּתָּן (for אַלָּתָּתְּרָּתְּיִי (for אַלַרְתָּתְּרָּתְּיִי (for אַלְרָרָתְּיִי (for אַלְרָרָתָּתְּיִי (for אַלְרָרָתָּתְּיִי (for אַלְרָרָתְּיִי (for אַלְרָרָתָּתְּיִי (for אַלְרָרָתָּתְּיִי) (for אַלְרָרָתְּיִי (for אַלְרָרָתָּתְּיִי) (for אַלְרָרָתָּתְּיִי) (for אַלְרָרָתָּתְּיִי) (for אַלְרָרָתָּתְּיִי) (for אַלְרָרָתָּתְּיִי) (for אַלְרָרָרָתְּיִי) (for אַלְרָרָרָרָתְיּיִי) (for אַרְרָרָרָרָרָרָרָרְרָרָרִי) (for אַלְרָרָרָרָרָרָרְרָרָרָרָרְרָרָרְרָרָרַר
  - 6. Verbs ending in תַ, usually drop that letter upon receiving the paragogic ת: as, אַשָּׁעָבָּע (for תְּבָשְׁאָעָבָּע Art. 75.) I will look on, observe, Ps. cxix. 117. So אָשָׁבָּע (for תְּבָּע ) we will observe, Isa. xli. 23. This last example Schræderus puts under the form of apocope, which appears to me to be unnecessary and erroneous.
  - 7. With Imperatives: as, אַבְּרָּה repose thou (for בְּיִּה ), Gen. xxxix. 7: אַבְּרָּה (for אַבְּיֹּה ) collect, Num. xi. 16: אַבְּרָּה (for אַבְּיִּה ) keep, Ps. xxv. 20; אַבְּרָּה (for אַבְּיִּה ) attend, Ps. v. 3; לְּבָּר (for אַבְּיִר), and with ה omitted אַבְּרָּד go, Num. xxiii. 13. So אַבְּרָּדְּה (for אַבְּרָּדְּה from אַבְּרָּדְּה ) I nill be honoured, Hag. i. 8.

(for אָבָּבֶּר from אִבְּבְּרָחְ אִין אוֹ אוֹ אַנְּבְּרָחְ בּיִּרְ אַלְּטְתּ אִרְּהְּרָחְ אֹנְיִלְטְתּ אִרְּהְּרָחְ בּיִּרְרָּחְ אַלְּטְתּ בִּיּרְ אַן אוֹ אַנְּבְּרָחְ הַּיְּרָּחְ אַ אַנְּבְּרָחְ הַּיְּרָּחְ אַ אַנְיִינְיִים וּ אַנְייִינְיִים וּ אַנְייִים וּ אַנְייִינְייִים וּ אַנְייִים וּ אַנְייִים וּ אַנְייִינְייִים וּ אַנְייִים וּ אַנְיים וּ אַנְייִים וּ אַנְיים וּ אַנְייִים וּ וּ אַנְייִים וּ אַנְייִים וּ וּ וּ אַנְייִים וּ וּ וּ אָּבְּבְּיִים וּ וּ וּיִים וּיִים וּ וּאָּיים וּ וּ וּיִים וּ וּאָרְיים וּ וּיִים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִּים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִייִים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִּיים וּ וּיִייִים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִייִים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִייִים וּיִיים וּ וּיִייִים וּ וּיִייִים וּ וּיִייִים וּ וּיִייִים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּייִים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּ וּיִיים וּייִים וּ וּייִים וּייִים וּיְייִים וּייִים וּ וּייִים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּיייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייְייים וּייים וּייִים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּיייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּייים וּיייים וּיייים וּיייים וּיייים וּייייים וּיייים וּייים וּייייים וּיייים וּיייים וּיייים וּיייים וּיייים וּיי

8. Nouns singular of both genders, as also nouns masculine of the dual and plural numbers, will occasionally receive a paragogic ה, still preserving the accent on the originally accentuated syllable: as, בְּלִילָם (from לֵילֵים (from יֵלֵים (from יֵלֵים (from יֵלֵים days. בּילִים days.

<sup>\*</sup> The force of these will be considered in the Syntax.

- - 9. This particle is occasionally added to the pronouns, whether separate or affixed: as, 1st, אַכָּה you, fem.; אַכָּה they, masc.; זְבָּיה they, fem.; אַכָּה these, com. 2d, יבּיה your (fem.) wickedness, &c.
  - 10. It will not be necessary to trouble the Reader with instances, in which this particle is found attached to other particles: as, The for there, &c.: because, as these are manifestly nothing more than nouns, it is reasonable enough that they should receive The paragogic as well as others.
- 12. The paragogic letter ו is sometimes found with Khōlém; at others, without any vowel; and, occasionally, coming between two nouns which are in the state of construction. It is thought by some to be nothing more than a pleonasm of the affixed pronoun (וֹ): as, אַבְּיוֹ בְּעִי בּעִי בּעִי בּעִי בּעִי בּעִי בּעִי בּעִי בּעִין זוֹן וֹלִי בְּעִי בּעִין בּעִין בּעִין בּעִין זוֹן וֹלִי בּעִין בּעִּי בּעִין בּעְיִין בּעִּייִי בּעִּיִּי בּעְיִּיי בּעִּיי בּעִין בּעִין בּעִּין בּעִּין בּעְייִין בּעִּייִי בּעִּייִּיי בּעִּיי בּעִיין בּעִּיי בּעייִּיי בּעִיין בּעייִּי בּעִייִּיי בּעייִיי בּעייִּי בּעייִּי בּעייִיי בּעייִי בּעייִי בּעייִיי בּעייִיי בּעיי בּעייי
  - 13. Professor Gesenius objects to this etymology, because the vowel points differ, in some respects, from those usually found with the affixed pronoun, and because the affix is also found to disagree

with the noun to which it refers.\* I do not think, however, that much stress can be laid on the consideration of the vowel points, because anomalies of this kind frequently occur. Nor is the disagreement of gender discoverable between the noun and the affix of much moment; because little regard is paid in the Hebrew either to the gender or number of words in cases like this, as we shall see hereafter. In the Syriac and Ethiopic, pleonasms of this sort are extremely frequent; but, in general, a preposition is then added to the last word: as, in the gift of him, of God, or rather, the gift of him, who (is) God. The preposition in the Ethiopic is in these cases. See Ludolf. Gram. Eth., p. 138.

15. The letter () when Paragogic, is like the preceding asyllabic, and is mostly found between two nouns in the state of construction. Its vowel differs in no respect from that of the pronoun of the first person singular; whence Schultens and some of his followers have supposed it to be nothing more than a pleonasm of that pronoun. To this, however, Storr and Gesenius object, and apparently with good reason. The Persian etymology, however, proposed by Gesenius, is perhaps

equally objectionable, as exemplified in the phrase, بري the scent of musk. Whether it be the same with

<sup>\*</sup> Lehrgebaüde, p. 549.

<sup>†</sup> Storr. Observationes, pp. 441. 442. Gesenius Lehtgeb., p. 547.

the same with the patronymic of the Hebrews (Art. 166.); or, whether it be that of the plural number, derived from the verbal noun קירו (Art. 139. 7. note), and thence applied for the purpose of giving Emphasis, Intensity, or the like to any passage, it is impossible to say; but, certain it is, that the significations thus derived will suit its application sufficiently well, where any variation of sense is discoverable in consequence of its insertion.\*

16. This particle is found appended both to nouns and particles: to nouns, as, אַרִּנִי אַרְנוֹּם בּנִי אַרְנוֹּם binding the fole of his ass, Gen. xlix. 11; בְּנִי אַרְנוֹּם great (fem.) among the nations, Lam. i. 1; מְלֵי הַּרְנִי יוֹם stolen (fem.) by day, Gen. xxxi. 39; see also Deut. xxxiii. 16, Ps. ex. 4, exiii. 5, 6, 7, 9, &c. To particles: as, וְבִּי בְּטָּוֹ בִּילְתִי הַיִּוֹם from the belly (for בְּלְתִי הַיִּוֹם, Gen. xxi. 26; so וֹלְתִי besides (for חַלַּוֹן), Deut. iv. 12, &c.

Letter to verbs; but, as it is never found, except in those which have  $\overline{n}$  for the last radical letter; and, as 'is in these cases generally substituted for  $\overline{n}$ , there can be no doubt, that this is its character in all such instances, as Storr has justly remarked.

17. The letter is mostly found with verbs: it is also affixed to particles, and is then preceded by (\*) or (-); but when in precede, it is affixed without any other vowel: as, imp (for imp, on account of the accent, from

<sup>+</sup> But this may be an instance of the Hendiadys; my voice, my supplication.

לְיִי (for יְשִׁיבְּהְ he shall turn her back, Jer. ii. 24; יְשִׁיבְּהְ it shall hang over (thee), Hab. ii. 17;\*

he will honour me, Ps. l. 23, where we have (¬) for (¬), on account of the accent perhaps: so, הְּחָילִין for thou) (fem.) shalt bring forth, Is. xlv. 10; לוֹי thou shalt adhere, Ruth ii. 8. So, אַרְבְּקִין they shall walk, &c., and with (¬) when put for ז: as, יְרַבְּּרְנִי they shall lie down, 1 Sam. ii. 22; יְרֵנִין they shall be watered, Ps. xxxvi. 9; in which cases the accent is always with the last syllable.†

18. Verbs having תונים (without Mappik), for the third radical letter, will drop it by contraction (Art. 73.) when I paragogic is to be added: as, אַרָאָבּוּ I will smite him, I Sam. xxvi. 8 (for אַרַאָּבּוּ וּאַ I will confess to him (for אַרַאָּבּוּ ), Ps. xlii. 6; אַרַאָּבּוּ (for אַרַאָּבּוּ ) I shall see him, Numb. xxiv. 17, &c. But in these cases, we have also an affixed pronoun, the rules for the addition of which we now proceed to consider.

<sup>\*</sup> Institutiones, &c. Schultensii, p. 428. In every instance this 7 is probably nothing more than that, by which the intensitive form in nouns is sometimes designated. See Art. 168. 2.

<sup>+</sup> From these examples it appears, that the 7 is sometimes single, at others doubled by  $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$ : its use will be investigated in the Syntax.

- 21. The paragogic is frequently inserted in the affix i, in the same manner: as, אוֹבֶיבָי he has blessed thee (for אוֹבָיבָי Art. 76.); אוֹבָיבִי chastising thee, Deut. viii. 5; אוֹבְיבִי answering thee (for אוֹבְיבִי , and rejecting אוֹבְיבִי by, rejecting אוֹבְיבִי , root אוֹבָיב, root אוֹבָיב, voot אוֹבָיב, where (art) thou? (ending also with paragogic הוֹב, Art. 175.) Gen. iii. 9.
- 23. When the affix וואר (which has always the accent) follows the paragogic וואר איז (which has always the accent) follows the paragogic וואר איז (which has always the accent) follows the paragogic וואר איז (which has always the accent) follows the paragogic in the paragogic i
- 24. This ? has by some been termed *Epenthetic*; but, as it appears to have been originally the same in every case, it seems unnecessary to give it more names than one.
- 25. The syllables which have been termed Paragogic are, ב, ב, מול ב, אור אור ב, ב, אור אור ב, אור ב, ב, אור ב, או
- 26. The first of these is thought to be the same with the word 'ב', or 'ב', signifying sufficiency, &c. The second, Schultens and some others have derived from 'ב', or 'ב' water; while Jahn thinks it is the same with ב', or ב' what, which, &c. In the Arabic

pi.

Lo, No, is frequently found attached to the same particles: as, أم , أم , i. e. in that which, according to that which, to, or for, that which, &c. So in the Syriac, 100 kemó; 100 bemó, &c. having the same signification.

27. The particle 's is nothing more than another form of the mouth, found in construction with other nouns. Its meaning, by a metonymy, will be any thing said, enforced, or commanded: as, a precept, edict, &c. which will not always bear to be expressed in a translation.

#### On the Demonstrative Pronouns.

176. The Demonstrative Pronouns are always found written separately; they are as follows:-

יוֹן masc., חוֹן fem. (rarely וֹן or חֹן;) לְּבָּל or זוֹ this, sing. com. אֵלֶה , rarely אָל these, pl. com.

2. The forms הַלְּבֶּה , לְנֶה masc. this, have the particle

to, or for, with the definite article in the. The same may be said of לוֹלֵוֹן this, fem. which occurs but once, viz. Ezek. xxxvi. 35, as also of 한다, of the common gender, as above.

3. these, is also found; it is nothing more than the plural pronoun, as above, with the article prefixed.

4. 75, however, is found construed as a plural, Gen. xxvii. 36, 1 Sam. xxix. 3, Job xix. 19, and Zech. i. 12, vii. 5. is also found as a singular, 1 Chron. xi. 11, 2 Chron. iii. 3, xvii. 14, and Ezra i. 9. But this has been done either by some figure of speech, or what is termed logical construction.

### On the Relative Pronoun.

177. The Hebrew language recognises but one Relative Pronoun: viz. אַשָּׁיאַ he who, that which, what, which is common to every gender and number.

2. The gender and number, however, will be determined by that of the preceding or following noun, or pronoun: as, אַשֶׁר הָעֹמְדִים who stand, i. e. they (masc.) who stand, 1 Kings xii. 8; אַשֶׁר קִבְּיר רָבְּיוֹ אַ אָּשֶׁר הַבְּיִלְירִ אַ אַ אָשָׁר הַבְּיִלְירִ אַ אַ אָשָׁר הִּבְּיַבְּר רְרְבְּיִ אַ אַ אַשְּׁר הִּבְּיַבְּר רְרְבְּיִ אַ אַ אָּשְׁר הִּוֹחָ אַשְּׁר הִּוֹחַ לַּבְּר רְרְבְּיִ אַ אַשְׁר הִּוֹחַ לַ אַר הַאַרְ בְּבִּיך הַבְּיִ הַ הַּבְּיִבְּר רְרְבִּין אַ הַּבְּיִבְּר הְרָבְיוֹ הַ אַשְׁר הִּוֹחַ לוֹבְיּי הַ הַּבְּיִבְּר הְרָבְיוֹ הַ הַּבְּיִבְרָּה הַ הַּבְּיִבְרָה הַ הַּבְּיִבְרָה הַיְּבְּיִּ הְיִּבְיִּ הְּבְּיִבְּר הְרָבְיוֹ הְבִּיבְיה הְבִּיבְּרְ הְבְּיִבְּיִּ הְבִּיְרְבְּיִּר הְבְּיִבְּיִי הְבִּיבְיִי הְבִּיבְיה הְבִּיבְּר הְרָבְיוֹ הְבִּיבְיה הְבְּיבְּר הְבְּיִבְיי הְבִּיבְיה הְבִּיבְיי הְבְּיבְיי הְבִּיבְיי הְבִּיבְיי הְבִּיבְיי הְבִּיבְיי הְבִּיי הְבִיי הְבִּיבְיי הְבִּיבְּיי הְבִּיבְּיי הְבִּיי הְבִּיבְיי הְבִּיבְּיי הְבִּיי הְבִּיי הְבִּיבְיי הְבִּיבְיי הְבִּיבְּיי הְבְּיִבְיי הְבִּיי הְבִּיבְיי הְבִּיבְּיי הְבִּיי הְבְּבְּיבְּיי הְבְּיבְיי הְבִּיבְּיי הְבְּיבְיי הְבְּיבְּיי הְיבְיי הְבְּבְיי הְבְּיבְּיי הְיבּיי הְיבּיי הְיבּיי הְיבּיי הְיבּיי הְבְּיי הְבְּיי הְבּיי הְיבּיי הְבּיי הְבְּיי הְבְּיבְּיי הְבְּיי הְבִּיי הְבְּיי הְיבְיי הְבְּיי הְבְּיי הְבְּיי הְיבְיי הְבְּיי הְבְּיי הְבְּיי הְבְּיי הְבְּיי הְבְּיי הְיבְייי הְיבְיי הְיבּיי הְיבּיי הְיבְיי הְבְיי בְּייִי בְּיִי בְּיִיי הְבְייִי הְיבְיי הְבְּייִבְייִי הְיבְּיי הְיבְייי הְיבְייי הְיבְייי הְבְּייי הְיבְּייי הְיבְייי הְבְּייי הְיבְייי הְיבְייי הְיבְּייי הְיבְייי הְיבְייי הְיבּייי הְיבְייי הְיבְייי הְיבּייי הְיבּיי הְיבּיי הְיבְייי הְיבּיי הְיבְייי הְיבְייי הְיבּייי הְיבְייי הְיבּיי הְיבּיי הְיבְייי הְיבְייי הְיבּיי הְיבּייי הְיבְייי הְיבּיי הְיבּייי הְיבְייי ה

3. In some instances, the demonstrative pronouns  $\overrightarrow{n}_{i}$ ,  $\overrightarrow{n}_{i}$ , and  $\overrightarrow{n}_{i}$   $\overrightarrow{n}_{i}$  take the place of  $\overrightarrow{n}_{i}$ : as Ps. ix. 16, xii. 8, and exxxii. 12, &c. A similar substitution takes place in the Arabic, which the Gram-

marians ascribe to a difference of dialect.\*

Dathe thinks, that we have an ellipsis of Tips, in these instances. See Glass. Philol. Sacr., ed. 1776, p. 160.

<sup>\*</sup> In the Arabic commentary on the Káfia of Ibn Olhájib, published at Calcutta, (p. ٢٦٩) we have the following remark: ونو الطالبة الحي يني طي لاختصاص مجيبًا موصولة بلغتم بمعني الذي النسوبة الي بني طي لاختصاص مجيبًا موصولة بلغتم بمعني الذي والتي قال الشاعر . ع . وبتري ذو حفرت ونو طويت . اي التي طويتها والتي طويتها to that tribe, on account of its peculiar usage in their dialect, as the relative pronoun, signifying who, which, &c. in both genders (i. e. masc. and fem.) The Poet has said, 'My well which (अ) I digged, and which (अ) I enclosed.'

בה

בה-

On the Interrogative, and occasionally Indefinite, Pronouns.

- - 3. Exceptions: 1. We have שַ with Pāthákh, notwithstanding the article following: אוֹם what prevarication? Josh. xxii. 16. Also in מָח מְּחָלֵּאָרָי what it is, Ps. xxxix. 5; אוֹם what (is) my sin? Gen. xxxi. 36.
  - 4, Whenever any letter capable of receiving Dāgésh follows, ישׁבּי is with Pāthákh, and Dāgésh is expressed in the following letter: as, מַה־פְּעֵים how good, and how pleasant? Art. 112. In what two (fem.)? Zech. iv. 12, the Dāgésh is omitted.
  - 5. When any guttural letter, having (ד), or ח or א not having it, immediately follows, we have אָה יְהָיָה with Sēgól, apparently for the sake of eupliony: as, אֹן מָה־הָרָה what has happened to him? Exod. xxxii. 1; אָה יְהָוֹל what defect? Ps. xxxix. 5; what have I done? &c. Art. 114.

# On the Interrogative Particles 7 and 18.

- 179. The Interrogative particle , , or , has been classed by some with the definite article; but, if we consider either its construction or office, we must be convinced that they are different words.
- 2. The office of this particle is interrogation, like the Latin an, annon, num, utrum? or the like; and, in this capacity, strongly to affirm or deny; and thus to increase or diminish the importance of subjects with which it is connected. It is also used indefinitely.

<sup>†</sup> In which case it differs but little from the definite article when equivalent

to الدي So, معنا in Arabic, for معد الذي Gram. Arab. de Sacy, vol. i. p. 338.

u of 17

end dazest

5. In these cases, however, the <u>Dāgésh</u> is often found written: as, 'בַּיְבֶּילְ whether my ways? Ezek. xviii. 29; בַּיְבִילְ have ye seen? 1 Sam. x. 24; בּיִבְּילִיךְ whether according to her cry? Gen. xviii. 21. And once, when Sheva is not attached to the first letter of such word: as, בּיִבְּילִי will it be well? Lev. x. 19.

6. When any word, to which this particle is prefixed, commences with a consonant and a vowel (excepting gutturals with τ) the interrogative will take (בּי:): as, אַבְּיִרְהַיִּ whether of the tree? Gen. iii. 11; אַבְּיִרְ shall the flock ...? Num. xi. 22; בּיִּרְרָדּיִּ shall it happen to thee?

7. Guttural letters having (ב) require the Interrogative particle to be prefixed with (ב): as, יוֹרָבוֹי whether I? Num. xi. 12; אוֹרָבוֹי has it come to pass? Joel i. 2; אוֹרָבוֹי whether strong? We also have, יוֹרְבּוֹי shall I cease? Judg. ix. 9, &c., which is perhaps erroneous, for יוֹרְבּוֹי whether and bare, יוֹרְבּי whether you? Judg. vi. 31; and, יוֹרְבּילִי whether an Ephrathite? Ib. xii. 5, which are anomalous. (See Art. 114.)

8. Noldius is of opinion, that this particle is a mere interjection forced out by the breath with some effort, in order to give the passage the force of an interrogation, or otherwise to heighten the expression, which is extremely probable. The passages too, which require to be construed interrogatively, but in which this particle is not found, must be so enounced, otherwise their interrogative force will not be perceived; e. g. In 2 Sam. xviii. 29, we have by for by mich is found Ib. 32. and also in the similar passages, 2 Kings ix. 18, 19. In like manner perhaps for shall I lift up? Ps. cxxi. 1; and by for by for by for by the case. Xvi. 59, which, when expressed with emphasis, will have just the same effect as they would, had the particle been prefixed. This is very much the case in all languages; and when no note of interrogation is used, we are compelled to determine by the context, whether such sentence is to be taken interrogatively or not; and,

. . . .

hence, whether it is to be understood in a positive or negative sense. When this particle is prefixed, however, this difficulty is removed; but, unfortunately, this is not always the case.

On the Definite Article 7.

180. The Hebrews have the fragment of some word, I, which they prefix to others for the purpose of restricting, or otherwise modifying, their signification, as will presently be seen.

<sup>\*</sup> In Persian, Sanscrit, and some other Oriental languages is an interjection O, Oh, &c. In Arabic has the same meaning as in Hebrew, viz. Quisnam, quis, quod, &c.

2. Some have supposed this particle to be an abbreviation of the pronoun Not he, or, of one or other of the interjections in, No, No, behold. Others, again, have affirmed that it is only another form of the Arabic article of the Arabic article of the party is perhaps both right and wrong. That both these articles have a common origin is, to me, extremely probable; and, that their force is the same in both these languages, there can be little doubt. No good reason can be assigned, perhaps, why we are to derive the Hebrew form of the article from the Arabic one, rather than we should derive the Arabic one from the Hebrew. Professor Gesenius thinks, that there are still manifest traces of the Arabic article to be found in the Hebrew Bible, such, for example, as, Prov. xxx. 31,

which he considers as equal to the Arabic , the people;

3. Another particular dwelt upon is, that in Hebrew the letter following the article is almost always doubled; and, that in Arabic, the of the article is so assimilated to the following letter, in many cases, that the first letter of the word may be said to be doubled:

as, שֵׁבְשִׁי in Hebrew, and pronounced שֵׁבְשִׁי in Arabic, both signifying the sun. It might be suggested: In Hebrew the imperfect vowel (-), with which this article is usually attended, makes it necessary that the following letter be doubled, in order to complete the syllable commencing with the article, (Art. 33.). In

<sup>- |</sup> 

<sup>\*</sup> Storr. Observ., &c., p. 121.

Arabic, the of the article must according to rule either be pronounced or not. In many instances it must be pronounced: it is only in others, that the sound of is merged in that of the succeeding letter; and to this no parallel is to be found in Hebrew. I am of opinion, therefore, that the Arabs have introduced the of the article, and not, that the Hebrews have rejected it.\*

4. The article is regularly prefixed with Páthakh (-), occasionally with Kāméts (-) or Sēgól (+): as, הַּנְרִים the great; הַנְּרִים the man, or הַנְּרִים the cities.

5. Whenever any letter capable of receiving Dagésh Dagesh follows the article, it will be doubled by that mark, and the article will take Páthakh; wird the sun.

6. But, ?, ?, or \$, (with Shevá) are frequently found so in the situated without Dāgésh: as, הישועה the salvation, Ps. iii. 9; The river, Exod. vii. 18, &c. Where the euphonic accent is also omitted.

7. Of words commencing with ?, Title Levites, Num. iii. 12.—With הַמְלַמֵּד, the teacher, Ps. cxliv. 1; הַמְלַמֵּד the proclaimers (fem.) of good news, Ps. lxviii. 12 .- Yet we have the insane, 2 Kings ix. 11; הַמְשׁבָּנה the delicate woman, Jer. vi. 2; הַפְבְשׁלִים the adulteress, Ezek. xvi. 32, and הַבְּיַשְׁלִים the cooks, Ib. xlvi. 24, &c. With בְּבְּרָדְעִים the frogs, Exod. vii. 29. Yet we have לבכות the frog, Exod. viii. 2. In most of these instances, it is probable the negligence of the transcribers has been the sole cause of the omissions.

8. If, however, either of the letters T, T, or T, follow such letter, Dāgésh is mostly expressed : as, בּיָהוּדְים the Jens, &c.

9. But, when any letter incapable of receiving Dagesh follows the article, it will take the perfect vowel corresponding to (-) in order to complete its syllable: as, שֹׁלִים the man; שֹׁלֹה the head; דעלמה the virgin, &c.

except.

口

<sup>\*</sup> In the Commentary on the Kāfia by Moolla Jámi, published at Calcutta, in 1818, it is cited as the opinion of El Mobarrad, that & is the original form of the article, and that the has been added, in order to distinguish it from

the interrogative, كر المارد نهب الى انها . The words are, المارد نهب الى الهمزة المفتوحة وحدها زيدت اللم للفرق بينها وبيه همزة الاستفهام.

10. In many instances, however, when nor no follows, (-) is still retained : as, יוֹבְיוֹם that which proceedeth, Gen. ii. 14; יוֹבְיוֹם the living creature, Gen. viii. 1. In all which cases (-) will either be sustained by an accent, or must be considered as placing an implicit Dāgésh in the following letter (Art. 109.).

11. In words, not monosyllables, commencing with T, T, or y, and having Kāméts (+), the article usually takes (+): as,

the wise man ; הַעְרֵים the cities (Art. 114.)

12. When such words are monosyllables the former rule pre-

vails: as, The mountain; Dyn the people, Par. 9.

13. The letter Π, however, having (τ) or (τ:) in monosyllables, will mostly prefix the article with (:): as, אות 1 Kings iii. 22, 23 (where it also occurs, הַחַרְבְּוֹת the wastes, Ezek. xxxvi. 35. The word Y and earth, always takes (+) with the article, and changes its first (.) to (+) for the sake of euphony: as, Y the earth.

14. On the use of the article we shall speak particularly when we come to the Syntax. It will suffice for the present to remark, that its offices appear to be two: one, to mark the noun to which it is prefixed, as already known and definite, either from the context, or from general consent: as, לול the light, Gen. i. 4; or, בְּעַלְמָה the heavens, Ib. i. 1; הַעַלְמָה \* הַעַלְמָה הֹ παρθένος, Is. vii. 14, &c.: the other, for the purpose of impressing upon the mind of the Hearer or Reader, the peculiar property, nature, character, &c., of the noun to which it is prefixed: as, הָאַרָי an animal remarkable for its properties as a lion, 1 Sam. xvii. 34. So Ib. בְּרָוֹב a very bear. So, passim האלהים the real or true God, In this sense it is occasionally used as a vocative: as, הַבַּעֵל, the Being designed as Lord Possessor, or the like, 1 Kings xviii. 26. So, in the N. Test. δ θεὸς, Heb. i. 8. Apoc. xv. 3, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> The Jews and some of the German Divines have thought, that in this, and some other cases, the article is equivalent to the Demonstrative pronoun this, the intention of which however has been, to get rid of a certain unpalatable doctrine.

15. This particle is also said to occur in the sense of the Latin versus, when found either prefixed or postfixed, or both, to words: = add 6000 as, הַרְמָהַה towards Ramah, 1 Sam. vii. 17; דבלשׁתִים to, or towards, the Philistines, Ib. xiii. 20; הקליד to the city, Ib. xx. 40, 42; to the tent of Sarah his mother,\* Gen. xxiv. 67. To which many others might be added. In these instances, however, it is very doubtful whether the article does not retain its original signification, the noun following being considered as complementary to some preceding verb : as, Josh. viii. 19, וַיָבאוּ הַנִיר and they entered THE city, &c., or, by the preposition being understood. The following examples will tend to confirm this opinion, in which, according to Buxtorf, the preposition is to be supplied; וְיְבוֹא יְרוּשָׁלָם and he came (to) Jerusalem, 1 Kings iii. 15; וַיתָף בית יהוָה זון I will enter thy house, Ps. v. 8; בית יהוָה בית יהוָה בית יהוָה ביתוּה and the king went up (to) the house of Jehovah, 2 Chron. xxxiv. 30; and he (caused them to enter) brought them to Babylon, Jer. xxviii. 3.

16. The passages in which it occurs postfixed to words, and in which it is said to have the signification of versus, are numerous; yet, it must not be dissembled, that passages which must be so construed, but in which this particle is not found, are also numerous. Noldius is of opinion, that it is nothing more than a paragogic letter, added for the mere sake of Euphony; or, with the view of giving some emphasis: and, if this latter be the case, it will be the definite article, as used in the Chaldaic and Syriac. Buxtorf holds, that it is not paragogic, because, says he, "tales literæ nihil ad vocem consignificant." §

17. When this particle is postfixed, it does not take the accent, in which particular it differs from the feminine affix 7. The fol-

<sup>\*</sup> This sentence is elliptical, for שָׁרָה אָמוֹ שָׂרָה אוֹהֶל הַ See Gen. xxvii. 22, &c. בְּהָל לְל וַעַלְב.

<sup>†</sup> Thesaurus Grammaticus, lib. ii. cap. xii. Reg. v. See also Hilleri. Onom., p. 339.

<sup>† 77</sup> paragogicum nil nisi pronunciantis nisus est, qui vel impetum et tendentiam ad locum objectumve aliud; vel admirationem magnitudinis, aut, in negativis, exiguitatis rei significat; vel ob soni saltem suavitatem vocibus adjicitur." Concord. part. Ed. 1734, p. 217, note.

<sup>§</sup> Thesaur. Gram., p. 568.

reducid

266

lowing are a few examples of its occurrence: אַבְּרָיִבְּי to Egypt, Gen. xii. 10, 14, &c.; אַבְּיִיבְיּי towards heaven, Gen. xv. 5, xxviii. 11, &c.; אַבְּיִיבְיּי into the river, Exod. i. 22; אַבְּיִרְיִּבְיּ towards the desert, Exod. iv. 27, &c. In these cases it is said by some to be equivalent to the Syriac and Chaldaïc א, which either makes the noun definite to which it is attached, or gives some emphasis to the sentence.

#### On the Numerals.

- 181. The last species of nouns, of which we have to treat, are the <u>numerals</u>: these are of <u>two kinds</u>: 1st, those which designate the *number* of persons or things to be thus defined, and are termed the *Cardinal Numbers*; and, 2d, those which determine the *order* in which they are to be taken: as, *first*, *second*, &c., which are termed the *Ordinal Numbers*.
- 2. The Cardinal Numbers are designated in Hebrew by substantives put either in apposition, or in the state of construction, with) others designating the persons or things to be numbered: and they have this peculiarity, that those which designate the numbers from three to ten inclusively, generally take the feminine form with masculine nouns; and, vice versâ, the masculine form with feminine nouns. But of this more will be said in the Syntax. The decimal numbers may be considered as adjectives or epithets.

Table of both the Cardinal and Ordinal Numbers.

CARDINAL NUMBERS.

		Masc. Abs.	Constr.	Fem. Abs.	Constr.	ale	
7	4	אַרְבַע	אַרְבַּע	אַרְבָּעָה אַרְבָּעָה	אַרְבַּעַת	TI T, and	
	5	הָמָשׁ	- הַבְּשׁ :- זקר	ק הַמִּשָׁה רַ הַמִּשְׁה	חַמָשֶׁת	^	
	6	שִׁשִׁ	unain www	निकृष्यं	י שֵׁישָׁת י	Ser 19.5	
	7	שֶׁבַע	ישָׁבַע י אַי	ישבעה - ישבעה	- ישָבְעַת	7.	
	8	שָׁמֹנֶה	שָׁמֹנֶה	שָׁמֹנֶה .	שמנת		
	9	משע	(* ## :) प्र <b>श्रं</b> क	תִּשְׁעָה -	עהמתר		
	10	עשָיר	ָּעֶשֶׂר ,	ּ בַּבְּעַשְׂרָה לְ	× 1× =:		
	F.	. 1 "	direct or	= teres (who not do	ue = 1		

Masc., com. gen., twenty, נְשִׁרְים; thirty, שֵׁלשִׁים; forty, אַרְבָּעִים; fifty, חֲמִשִּׁים; sixty, שִׁשִּׁים; seventy, ישבעים; eighty, שׁמֹנִים; ninety, תִּשָׁעִים; a hundred, מַאָּה, constr. מָאָתִים; two hundred, מָאָתִים for מָאָת; a thousand, אָלְבָּיִם; two thousand, אַלְבָּיִם ten thousand, יבבה or רבְבָה or רבְבָה or רבָבָה וֹאָ יִס רבָבָה וֹבָּאָ וֹנְתְּיֹם וֹאַ יִּסְיִּה וֹאַ יִּסְיִּה וֹאַ יִּסְי

ITT:	٠٠٠ - ١٠٠٠	न • र सिक्त • प	T .	. 7 -7	- 1
w. John	ral lection	Cardnial + 1730	J. Gen. 5.	or a jetie?	hei. a
			NUMBERS.		ordina
		Masc.		Fem.	
2.	First,	יסראשון or	TIN an Car?	ראשונה ל	mass in 4
4.	Second,	ישֵׁנְי	per i 4.997	שׁנִית	fem. I
5.	Third,	ישְׁלִישִׁי (		שְׁלִישִׁית	
7.	Fourth,	רְבִיעִי		רְבִיאָית	
8	Fifth,	הַמִישִׁי		הַמִישִׁית	
9	Sixth,	نپنپ	1 .	שִׁשִּׁית	
10.	Seventh,	שָׁבִיעִי		שְׁבִיעִית	
11.	Eighth,	שָׁמִינְי		שָׁמִינְית	
12.	Ninth,	הְשִׁיעִי		ּ הְשִׁיעִית	
13	Tenth,	עַשִּירָי		עַשִּׁירִית	bezon
				1.1	- July

3. The rest of the Ordinal Numbers are made by the terms & London appropriated to the Cardinal ones: as, דָשָׁנָה הָאָחָת עָשִׂנָה the יוֹ בישָׁנָה the יוֹ בישָׁנָה מַ eleventh year; so, שבעה עשר יום the seventeenth day.

4. In some other cases also the Cardinal Number has been pre- or Contra ferred: as, יוֹם אֶחֶד, day one, i. e. the first day; שׁנָת שִׁהָיִם the אל the Jumals

len in fem.

מחת

5 mi

second year; so, שַבְת שׁבָר the seventh year. But these are probably elliptical expressions, see Lev. xxv. 10, 11. שַׁבַת הַחֲבִשִּׁים the year of fifty years, i. e. the fiftieth. And Gen. vii. 11, ים שׁנַת שִשׁ־מֵאוֹת שָנָה in the year of six-hundred years, i. e. in the six hundredth year.

5. The decimal numerals ending in ביים are never עם נה במוס fr found taking the form for construction, בייבר , &c. (Art. 143. 7.) ל מיים אוני אוני איים אוני איי

6. When the feminine form occurs in these numerals, distribution or parcels, consisting each of that number of persons or things, is intended to be conveyed : as, וְשֵׁבֵי עַשְׁרְוֹת and chiefs of the tens, Exod. xviii. 25. Cardinal

7. The intermediate numbers from ten to twenty, twenty to thirty, &c. are made by connecting a decimal with an unit, in the following manner.

with Masc. horm - with Fem. norm moderals מות עשורה בחד עשר עשר בשר בשר mu 10 to 20 Eleven, nester Cond with Dournal about. י עשתי עשהה אנים עשתי עשר סי 1 Chros. 27. V. 14. שׁמֵי עִשִּׁרָה בּיים שׁנֵי עַשֵּׂר (שִׁמָּר עִשָּׂר Twelve, ish, or whool. Cond. with rounds, or שָׁתֵים עַשָּׂרָה שׁנֵים שָׁבֶּים עָשָׂר ישׁרָ אַ ישׁבָים עַשֵּׂר אַ אַ אַרָּה ישׁנֵים עָשֵּׂר ישׁרָ frm. absol. to שׁלשׁ עַשַּׁרָה -מּשׁ שׁלשַׁה עַשַּׂר Thirteen, אַרבַע עשהה אַרבָּעָהַ עָשֵׂר Fourteen, חַמִשָּׁה עַשֵּׂר חמש עשבה Fifteen, שִׁשָּׁה עַשֵּׂר שש עשבה Sixteen, שבעה עשר שבע עשבה Seventeen, שמנה עשר שמנה עשבה Eighteen, תשעה עשר Nineteen, השע עשהה

8. In a few cases TIN is found as the absolute form: as, MUN to one of them, Deut. xxviii. 55. So 2 Kings ix. 1, Ezek. xlvi. 17, Zech. xi. 7, &c.

> 9. In two instances this word is found defectively written, Ezek. xviii. 10, 디봇; and Ib. xxxiii. 30, 기기.

10. The feminine form MAN, when found at the end of a sentence with the accent Athnákh, or Sēgól, is written TIN. See Exod. xxxvi. 10; where it occurs twice.

\* according to Simonis ingelations without decem" (. e, 10 m fraging & 1 in him

11. This word (ארבן: as, בּבְּבְיִם attiones unæ, like mæniis unis in Latin, Gen. xi. 1, it. Ib. xxvii. 44, xxix. 20, Ezek. xxxvii. 17, Dan. xi. 20; שְׁבִּיִם is put for בּבְּבִישׁ, the ב being dropped, and its loss supplied by Dāgésh (not forté, Art. 76.).

न : धिलं

ZITT'E

12. From twenty to thirty, &c., the intermediate numerals are formed thus:

with Masc. arm fart Fem. now med all ! אחת מחד אחד משרים ועשרים & from weto 2 One-and-twenty, שׁתִים אַשְׁנִים שׁנִים אַשׁבּים Two-and-twenty, ושׁלשׁים ושׁלשׁים ושׁלשׁים ושׁלשׁים או ושׁלשׁים Three-and-thirty, אַרבַע -Four-and-forty, אַרְבָּעָה . וְאַרְבָּעִים fen w המש – המשה . והמשים Fifty-five, שִׁשִׁ – שִׁשָּׁה . וִשְּׁשִׁים Sixty-six, שַׁבַע – שִׁבָעָה , וְשִׁבְּעִים Seventy-seven, שָׁמֹנֶה - שָׁמֹנֶה . ושָׁמֹנֵים Eighty-eight, Ninety-nine, הַשׁע - הַשָּׁעָה , וְתִשְּׁעִים

# 13. And the hundreds, &c., thus:

Com. gen. mus. + fem. חוֹאָט שׁלשׁ כח וֹה. Three hundred, Four ditto, ארבע מאות Five ditto. חמש מאות שלשת אלפים שלשת אלפים Three thousand, Four ditto, ארבעה אַלְפִים מיים מיים אן משת המשת אלפים Five ditto. . mus. 4 frm. nigr par constr dout. Twice ten thousand, Twenty thousand, עשרים אלף Ten times ten thousand, עשר רבות סים. A hundred thousand, אַרְרָ אַלָרָ מַאָרה אָלָרָ יים אַרָה אַלָרָ consig. Six hundred thousand, שש מאות אלף

<sup>\*</sup> See No. 2. above.

36-10 influence

Cardenals

14. The Cardinal Numerals from three to ten inclusive, require the subst. not the word designating the thing numbered to be put in the plural number: all the others require the singular. Examples, wan שָׁבִים וּמְאַת שָׁנָה five years and a hundred year, Gen. v. 6; שֶׁבַע שָׁבִים שנים ושמנה באות שנה seven years and eight hundred year, Ib. 7.

Some instances occur in which this rule is not observed: as, קרשׁים לֶּקְלִים ; twenty planks, Exod. xxxvi. 23 שָׁקְלִים קְרָשִׁים fifty shekels, Josh. vii. 21; אֶלֶף twenty and four thousand, 1 Chron. xxvii. 1; בּן־עַשִּׂרִים וְחָמֵשׁ שָׁנָה a son of twenty and five years, i. e. a man five and twenty years old. So עָשִׂרִים לוושע שָׁבָּה twenty and nine years, 2 Kings xiv. 2. But of this more will be said in the Syntax.

15. When the decimal number takes the precedence, the conjunction ' is used: as שָׁבְעִים וְשִׁבְעָה seventy and seven; הִשְׁעִים seventy and seven; חששו ninety and six, Ezr. viii. 35, &c.

16. When the numerals are to be used distributively, they are repeated like other nouns: as, שַנִים שׁנִים two and two, i. e. by twos; שישים חַמִשִׁים by fifties, or, every fiftieth man.

17. Numerals signifying the repetition of any quantity or thing, are generally expressed by the dual number: as, בַּנְתָיִם fourfold; שַבְעָתְיִם seven-fold, or, seven times repeated.

In I weck

no Distul." lefe ated

puping whether ni duali.

## LECTURE X.

### ON THE HEBREW VERBS.

- 182. WE now come to treat on the Hebrew Verbs, and to shew, first, In what way they appear to have been formed; and, secondly, To delineate and explain their several conjugations.
- 2. The verb, we believe (See Art. 146.), is, in its crude state, nothing more than a noun of one form or

other,\* and, that its signification will be regulated by the hount to which it belongs, whether that form be primitive or derived.

3. If, therefore, we have the means of knowing what signification is to be attached to the different forms of the primitive nouns, as also how the augmented forms are derived, and what sense they will bear; we shall also know, to what class the verb itself is to be referred, and what must be its general force and meaning.

4. In our Lectures on the forms and derivation of nouns, we laid before the Student all that appeared necessary on that subject, leaving it to his own industry to follow out the enquiry to any extent he might think proper. We now come to shew how this bears on the question before us.

5. The different forms of the verb, as found generally 4 action in use, amount to seven; four of these have an active, 3 fafor and three a passive (and sometimes a reciprocal) signi-on-surprofication.

Kurm .. the Ve

The Bek

<sup>&</sup>quot;Kimkhi says in the Mikhlol. fol. 3. verso. הפער דקדוק הפעלים בתחלה ואף על פי שהשם קודם לפעל כי הפעל יצא הפעלים בתחלה ואף על פי שהשם קודם לפעל כי הפעל יצא מחשם ואמרו כי השם כמו הגוף נושא המקרים והפעל כמו מקרה "I first proceed to write the chapter on the Grammar of verbs, although the noun precedes the verb: for the verb proceeds from the noun. And they say, that the noun is, like the body, the subject of accident; but, that the verb (may be considered) as the accident (only)." And again, fol. אין עד ער בי בי בי בי בי שמות שבי שהוא נגזר מן הפועל או השמות הם שנים חלקים יש מהם שם שהוא נגזר מן הפועל או הפועל ממנו כמו רְאוֹבֵן שִׁמְעוֹן זְבּוּלְן שׁהוֹא שם ננזר מן הפועל הם הפועל מכנו כמו רְאוֹבֵן שִׁמְעוֹן זְבּוּלְן שהוא שם ננזר מן השם i.e. "Observe that nouns are of two sorts. There are those which are either derived from verbs, or, from which verbs are derived: as, Reuben, Simeon, 2ebulon, each of which is derived from a verb; בְּיִעִ שִׁנֹבּן just; מוֹנִי מִנְנִי מִנְנִי snow, and the like, are nouns from which verbs are derived."

6. The first of these has been termed Kal, i. e. levis, light; because the root is here exhibited in its simple state. Verbs of this species are either active or neuter.

7. In this conjugation, or species, as we shall term it, three forms are in use, which may be represented by the measures 722, 722, and 722, corresponding to the forms of nouns given in Artt. 153. Ff. II. III. X.\*

8. Again, in this, as in every other species of the conjugation of Hebrew verbs, two tenses only are found;

namely, the Past, and the Present.

9. The leading form in every species will designate the third person singular of the masculine gender of the Preterite Tense; and, in Kal, this will always be a primitive noun of the form 729, 729, or 729.

10. The leading form proper for the present tense of this species, is always a noun of one or other of the primitive Segolates; as, 179, 179, or 179 (See Art. 148, &c.), which, when combined with one or other of the pronouns, will present both the vowels, and signification, proper for this species of the conjugation.

183. The next species, taken in the usual order, is termed Niphhál, גפִעל, because, as it should seem, that word presents the conjugation itself of the word formerly taken as a paradigm for the verbs in general, which was בַּעל. This forms the objective voice of the foregoing.

passion

3 forms 7)(147)(17

Form i

pm.

2 iphal

<sup>\*</sup> A similar variety, in the vowels proper for the medial radical letter of the root, exists in the Arabic, where, as in the Hebrew, the first generally denotes transitive verbs; as, הُنَ he assisted; the second, verbs of sense: as, he heard; היים he was glad; the third, implies habit; as, היים he was generous, &c. So, אול היים he visited; שובו he was glad; היים he was powerful.

2. This species, according to our system, is an augmented word, combined of two others, affording both the form and sense peculiar to this conjugation (See Artt. 157. 18, 19, &c. 162. Ff. I. II.); לְבָקָל, therefore, will be the measure generally taken for the leading form of the Preterite Tense of this species; as, 7231 he was, or became, visited.

3. The Present Tense of this species is formed on the measure קוֹפָקָד (for קוֹפָקָד Artt. 157. 18. 162, &c.); which, with the abbreviated form of the pronoun of the third person sing. masc. will be is or becomes

visited, and, by Art. 76. 7991.

4. The third species has been termed Pihél בַּעָל. This is always of the active voice, and has, for the most part, a transitive signification (See Art. 154. 7, 8, &c.). The measure proper for the leading person of the Preterite is 772, which is one of the augmented and intensitive forms given under Art. 154. 4, &c.

5. The form proper for the leading person of the Present Tense is of the cognate form, Ib. Form I. 722, which, with the abridged form of the pronoun, will

become 752.

6. The objective species peculiar to this class of verbs is termed Puhál בַּעָל. Its leading word will be of the measure 775, and will always be a noun of the form given in Art. 154. Form V. This may be termed the fourth Species.

7. The fifth species is termed Hiphhil הפעיל, the leading form of which is cognate with those of the augmented nouns given Art. 160: that proper for the Preterite being of the measure הַבְּקִיד,—for the Present, ורָבְּבְּיִ, for the force of which see Art. 157. 2—9.

8. The sixth, and the objective of Hiphhil, is termed Hophhal דָבְעַל. This takes, for the leading word of Red

Presen

Bihil.

both tenses, a noun of the augmented form קבקד. See Artt. 157. 10. 160. F. VII. having an objective signification.

Thubel. 9. The seventh and last species is termed Hithpahél, , which, as before, is the form taken in the old paradigm בַּעֵל in this species. Our form is הַתְּבַּקַּד. The leading forms proper for both tenses, will always be

> of this measure, affording the sense common to nouns of this form, See Artt. 157. 13-19. 164. Ff. I. II.

184. These are the forms of the several Species of the Conjugation of Hebrew verbs, as usually given in the Grammars. But to these a few others may be added: which, as they occur less frequently, have been considered anomalous. They are, however, according to our view of the subject, just as regular in their formation as those already mentioned: and, although they occur less frequently, which might easily be accounted for, they are quite as consistent with the analogy of the language, as any verbs can

possibly be.

2. These additional species are thought to be analogous to those of Pīḥél, Pūhál, and Hithpāhél, because some reduplication of the letters or syllables of the root is generally found to take place in them, as it does in those forms; and, consequently, affording a similar shade of signification, although the same letters are not always doubled, nor the same vowels always found to accompany them.

3. It has been remarked (Art. 154. 5.), that nouns of excess, which have the same letter in the second and third of the root, usually take the forms לובד, for the active, and קוֹבד, for the passive signification: as, קוֹבֶר , בּוֹבֶן, קוֹבֶב, for the active; and, קוֹבֶל, קוֹבֶל, for the passive of these words, respectively.

> 4. Instead of TRP, and TRP, therefore, which are the measures proper for the species Pihél and Pūhál, we shall always have these forms from roots of this description; and here the same form is taken for the leading word of each tense respectively; קוֹמֶם, קוֹמֶם, active, and קוֹמֶם, objective.

5. The first of these forms is also taken for the Hithpahel species, i. e. instead of אָרְבָּוּבְר, we shall have הַתְּפּוּבְר, for the measure ; as, התקומם ; and so of others.

2 Somes

ulo from to 3th 4" + 7 th

I smid. 2nd. " action

Phal & Fral

L Hishhail

185. There is, moreover, a considerable number of forms peculiar to certain verbs, as laid down by Schultens and Schreeder, and, before them, by David Kimkhi and other Grammarians: of these some notice should be taken. These writers, then, have supposed these forms of the conjugation to have sometime existed; and, hence, they have proceeded to derive nouns of similar forms from them, even when the verb itself has not occurred; all of which seems to me to be proceeding in an inverted order. According to our system, the addition of one or other of the pronouns to any noun, simple or augmented, will always have the effect of investing houng it with the powers of a verb; and hence it probably is, that we occasionally find this done both in the Hebrew and all its dialects. This will sufficiently account for these rare augmented forms, as also for those instances in which nouns, which were originally the mere names of substances, are found acting as verbs in these tongues.

2. But, to come to these remaining and rarer forms, which are sometimes found as verbs: הַתְּבַּקָּד, is the passive form of הַתְבַּקָּד, just as הפקד or הפקד, is of הפקד. Of this form, we have মানুদ্রাল (for মানুদ্রাল Art. 83. 1.) rendered impure, or polluted; prim. noun, אם ההדשבה made fat, for ההשבה Is. xxxiv. 6, where, however, the Dagésh proper for the bis omitted.

3. Another form given by Schreeder is 77.87, from which we have only a noun supposed to be derived from this species of the verb, viz. This, therefore, does not occur as a species of the Conjugation: it is also doubtful, whether it is to be referred to the form given by this excellent Grammarian. Surely, as the (+) is immutable, Tipke would be the more suitable measure.

4. Another form is התפקדו Of this is יתקקדו, and התפקדו, occurring Judg. xx. 15. 17. But here we have no necessity for introducing a new form; the omission of Dagesh in the P, which might have been merely accidental, would make it necessary that the preceding vowel should be a perfect one (Art. 32.). form, therefore, may be considered as belonging to Hithpahel, which has already been considered (Art. 183. 9.).

5. The next form given by Schreder is התפקד, or התפקד, which may be referred to the first of these forms (No. 2.), with the additional consideration, that the Dagesh proper for has been

also

06.

also. ?

omitted, and supplied as in the last. The examples occur, Num. i. 47, 1 Kings xx. 27, &c.

also.

- 6. His two next forms, viz. This and This having a reciprocal sense, are not supported by the passages adduced. We may dismiss them, therefore, without further enquiry.
- 7. The next form, הַּתְּבּוֹלֶך, should have been הַּתְּבּוֹלֶל, his example being הַּתְּבּוֹלֶל, which is manifestly derived from the root. See Art. 184. 5.
- 8. In the form אָבָּהָ, as exemplified in בַּהְלֵּא, and הַהָּבָּ, we have, I believe, nothing more than a form equivalent to בְּקְבּ, in which the Dāgésh being omitted, we have a perfect vowel supplied to compensate for the defect thus occasioned. See Art. 109.
- 9. The next form given is אולה , which Schræder exemplifies by Is. xliv. 20: but this does not occur as a verb.
- 10. Of his next form אָרָאָל, no example occurs; שׁמָלָּאָל as given by him is fictitious. The noun is אָבָשְׁ left hand, &c.: which, when augmented, becomes אָבָּילִי, signifying to proceed in that direction. Whether Schreeder's derivation of it from שְׁבֵּיל , be correct or not, may be matter for dispute.
- 11. We next have אַרָּוּהָ, and the example given בְּעָבוּן green, which is said to be put for רְּעָבוּן, or רְּעָבוּן. But all this is extremely doubtful. The noun appears to be merely one of those augmented by the addition of אָרָבִים (see Art. 168.); קוֹבִים for קוֹבִים (as Schræder has put it, we think comes more properly under another form, which, however, is nothing more than a noun.
- 12. His next form אָרָבָּי, has, for the same reason, no connection with אַרָבָּי. And the other example given is manifestly a noun, אָמַלָּא, and is referable to the compound form (Art. 169. 7.).
- 13. The form הַחְפּוֹלֶהְ should rather have been הַחְפּוֹלָה, in order to admit of the form התקלמה. But, as we have already considered this form (Art. 184. 5.), we need not dwell any longer on it here.
- 14. The form אַרְיִבְיּבְיּ, is that of a reduplicated noun (Art. 169.), which is often conjugated as a verb: as, אַרְיִבִייִם travelling about as a merchant, &c. of which examples will be given hereafter.
- 15. With respect to the other forms, viz. מְבָּקָר, תְּבָּקָר, תִּבְּקָר, the first does not occur, and the rest have been given under the

E A TRE

ç

5

(

4

edupl.?

different forms of the noun, and accounted for; we need not, therefore, add any thing further on them now.\*

186. The forms, therefore, proper for the leading words of all the species of the conjugation now in use will be as follows:

Species.	Getwo Forms.	Species.	GENERAL FORCE.
1. {	TPP active.	niplat reciprocal.	Simple, or translated, sense of the root.
3. {	active, causal, &c.	Suhal  TPD objective.  TID id.	Transitive, when the root in Kal is not so. Sometimes intensitive, frequentative, or even causal. Names of substances often take this form when converted into verbs.
hel { 5. }	בּקיד active, active, causal, &c.	Nothal.  14	Causative. Transitive. Also the form assumed by many substantives when used as verbs.

<sup>\*</sup> In the cognate dialects of the Hebrew, viz. the Arabic, Ethiopic, Syriac, and Chaldaïc, we have a considerable number of forms seldom found in the common Grammars. In Erpenius's Arabic Grammar, we have only thirteen forms of the triliteral, and four of the quadriliteral, verb. In M. de Sacy's Grammar, we have two more noticed in the triliteral verbs, four under the quadriliterals, and a few others, which are said to belong to the triliterals, but which have been augmented by a letter or two. Scheidius gives upwards of forty forms in his "Primæ Lineæ Institutionum Arabicarum." Lugd. Batav. 1779. And Mr. Lumsden, in his elaborate Volume on Arabic Grammar, has given a list of still greater extent, amounting to fifty (Gram., p. 162, &c.), from which it appears, that it has often been a matter of difficulty with the native Grammarians to determine, whether many of these should be referred to triliteral or quadriliteral roots. Some of them do not occur more than once; and this should suggest, that the language itself acknowledges no such conjugations generally; but, on the contrary, that nouns of these forms have occasionally been made to occupy the situation of verbs.

4 mm ), 3.



- 2. But, for particulars, as to their several significations, see Artt. 183. 184. &c., with the references.
- 3. It must be borne in mind, that any change occurring in the forms of the nouns, with respect either to the vowels or consonants, will also occur when they are used as verbs: i. e. whenever any guttural letter occurs in the root, certain changes may take place on that account in the vowels: even the consonants אההי אההי אורי. as well as the

<sup>\*</sup> It is remarkable enough, that some cases occur in the Arabic, in which , n, occurs, even when the verb has already been augmented by that letter: as, she, or it has cut. The same excess occurs in the word she resembled, in which the additional is implied in the (-) teshdeed over the ...

<sup>†</sup> In Arabic we also have verbs augmented by مرحلك الله ومسالل and "May God make matters broad and easy for you." Lumsden's Arab. Gram., pp. 147, 154, in which there can be no doubt these words are nouns.

- 2. letters 2 and 5, may disappear in certain cases: of these we shall give examples hereafter, when we come to detail the forms of the conjugation at length; we shall then point out the rules on which these changes, &c. are founded.
  - 187. It should be premised, that there are in the Hebrew, as in other languages, three persons, each of the singular and plural number: that, in the verbs, there is no dual number; and, that the second and third persons, both singular and plural, have forms peculiar 460% to both genders. The first person is, under one form, common to both genders; as in the pronouns (Art. 145. 2.).
  - 2. These distinctions, however, do not arise out of the root itself, but out of the pronouns which are either prefixed or postfixed to it in an abbreviated form.
  - Paradigm of the Preterite Tense of a Verb, conjugated with the Pronouns in the First Species, or KAL.

### First Form, 772 visiting.\*

SINGULAR. 3 the primitive-concrete noun. The fem. gen. (Art. 142.

3 pers

<sup>\*</sup> This, according to D. Kimkhi, is the form taken by certain nouns: as, wise; שָׁיִ wicked; בְּבֶל intelligent, &c. The only difference being that the noun ends in Kāmets, whereas the verb ends in Páthakh. But, he goes on to say, that the noun will end in Kāmėts, when at the end of a verse or accompanied by certain accents: as, אָמָר אָמָך as he had said, Gen. xxi. 1.; בשי אל has not sat, Ps. i. 1. There are also instances pointed out by him, in which Kāmėts is the terminating rowel, just as it is in the roun, when neither the position of the word, nor the accent, requires any change in the vowels: as, 1 Sam. vii. 17, אָם מָשׁ מָשׁ מָשׁ there he judged Israel. To which he adds a number of similar examples. Mikhlol. fol. 7, verso. et fol. 1, in which we have Kāmēts with the accent Zākēf.

188

LECTURE X.

[ART. 188. 2.

149. Jable of mase.

Preterite.

SINGULAR.

SINGULAR.

2.

PPD for TIN TPD (19.

1.

1.

1.

PLURAL.

lerbin at frontino, not in truction, with 4th Junoum. p. (90)

the final vowel is considered as mutable. The conjugation, therefore, will proceed thus:

). ( ) muteble

## Second Form, Yan willing. + ( nearly lists)

	SINGULAR.				Pr	URAL.	
Pers.	Masc.	Fem.			Masc.	Fem.	
3.	ַ חָבֵץ	הַפָּצָה	74	3.	טַבְּצְוּ	بأخذ	×
2.	ַללּאַבָּי	ਪੇਵੇਂਬੇਂਪ		2.	בולּבּגַתְם	ושגפֿבושו	,
1+	בוללעי	בולללניי		\$1.	טֿפֿגֿנוּ	בולבוני	

<sup>\*</sup> The pronouns of the second and first persons sing, and pl. have been abbreviated by Art. 73. The third sing, masc, and fem, is merely the noun. In the third pl. the  $\Pi$  is dropt also by Art. 73. The  $\supset$  of the first pers. pl. is rejected by Art. 76; and the guttural  $\Pi$  is omitted, perhaps for the sake of euphony.

	350	Third Form	ּיָלְל ,	able.* /7 pr	van) - mul	266
	mus. SINC	ULAR. Jem.		mar. PI		
3.	נבל	יַנְבָלָה יִ	3.	וַבְלְוּ	ל גַּכְלְוּ	Ť
2 .	נָלְלָתָּ	יָבְּלְתְּ	2.	וְבָלְתֶּם	יבלמו 🥕	+
1 .	וַבְלְתִנ	נְבְלְתִּי	1.	יַבְּלְנוּ	יַבְּלְנוּ	

3. It may be observed, in general, that the conjugation of the preterite tense of every species, will be carried on all he just as in the instances here given; that is, by attaching as there the same abbreviations of the personal pronouns to the leading word of the species, whatever be its form or whether character; whether it include one or more of the y. Luthon guttural letters, or whether it be defective by the loss of one or more of the letters אהוי, or 2: due regard being had to the rules laid down respecting these letters, as well as to those concerning the changes of the vowels. The conjugation, therefore, is but one, however varied the ground form or leading word may occasionally appear.

4. As the changes which take place in the vowels in the conjugation depend, in a great measure, on the situation of the accent,

it may be proper here to advert to that subject.

5. It has been remarked, that in neither of the preceding leading words are the vowels immutable by usage. The nature of the syllabication, therefore, which very much depends on the situation of the accent, will regulate the vowels (Artt. 95. 96, &c.).

6. It will be seen by a reference to the paradigm, that the asyllabic adjuncts 7, 1, as well as the syllabic ones 7, and

<sup>\*</sup> These, according to D. Kimkhi, (Mikhlol, fol. 7), are of the same form with קרולק great, קמון small, בְרְוֹלק distant, בוְרוֹל near. The words so found as verbs are, לְבֶׁל fearing, לְבֹוֹל little, שׁהָה snaring as a hunter, לְבֹּל able, שׁבֶל bereaved, אוֹר lighting, for אָב stealing; some of which occur as nouns.

1.

therefore, of these words, will be shortened as far as circumstances will allow (Art. 98, &c.). In the first case, the vowel immediately preceding is rejected, in order to make way for the exertion of the accent; and, as this restricts the word to its primitive quantity, there is no necessity that any further change should take place: we have, therefore, This and This, in these instances. In the second case, we have the syllabic adjuncts adjuncts In, with the tonic accent. The preceding syllable must, therefore, contain an imperfect vowel, in order to comply with the laws of syllabication (Art. 33.). And as the first vowel is not immutable, it is rejected: the whole word still retaining its primitive quantity, i. e. consisting of two syllables, as in the former case, and no more. ...

7. In all the other cases, the accent retains its original situation: and, as the noun seems here to be in apposition, (not in construction,) with the pronoun, the vowels remain unaltered through-

out.

8. Hence in the second form, exemplified by VPD milling, the (.), when made imperfect, becomes (-) instead of (.), by what has been termed an oblique correspondence (Art. 96. 2.), as in PPPD, PPPD, which is retained likewise in every other case of increment, in which the accent remains on that syllable. In the remaining cases, when the verb receives the asyllabic augment, PPD, and PPD, it is rejected.

9. The first syllable is rejected, in 마양함이, 개안함이, for the reasons already given. The substitute of Sheva (-) is added, on

account of the guttural letter, see Art. 105. 4.

10. In the third form, 57, the vowels follow the paradigm of the first, and for the same reasons: except in the third person for singular and plural, where the entirely disappears, & aftern a (7:) in

11. When, however, verbs receive the illative particle )\* as

nd form

) fut (-1)

in form

ur f 1

<sup>\*</sup> This has generally been termed Vau conversivum. But, as I see no necessity whatever for supposing that it has any such conversive power as this name implies, I have rejected it: as it has an illative power, however, and even in Arabic has a manifest influence on the vowels, and sometimes on the consonants of the verbs, I have thought it more consistent to give it the title of illative. See Schnabel's Commentary on the Agrumia, p. 26, where this pro-

perty is ascribed to both, and . See p. 50, note. The use and force of this particle will be considered in the Syntax.

with 1)

syll. of

pupe

Judy:

7.1

a prefix, the accent is removed to the first syllable of the verb, executive which will always be perfect, and the following one imperfect: as, יְבְּרָתִי and I will visit; מוֹלְיבָין and thou wilt be able. The last syllable will be subject to the same law, when the accent is removed on account of any affixed pronoun: as, יְבָּלָתְיוֹ I have prevailed (against) him, Ps. xiii. 5.

12. The (-) Tsēré of the second form, and of the third and [4] 1.2. seventh species, viz. 750, and 75007, will undergo the same changes during the process of conjugation, as it does in YET in the paradigm.

13. The following examples present some anomalies, with which

the Learner ought to be made acquainted.

Preterite 3. Perso

### On the Third Person Singular Masculine.

14. In one instance the verb drops its first radical letter: as, The took, Ezek. xvii. 5; so, for The, by a similar omission, we have The declined, Judg. xix. 11. But see p. 34, note.

15. Whenever the third person singular of either gender is accompanied by a pause accent, the final vowel will be made perfect, and, in some instances changed: as, and he has not stood, Ps. i. 1; 120 he dwelt, Deut. xxxiii. 12 (Art. 123.5.). The same often happens in the feminine, as also in the plural number, whenever the accent is drawn back: as, it adheres to, Job xxix. 10; they were powerful, 2 Sam. i. 23 (Art. 120.): all apparently belonging to the roots 727, 127, or 727, respectively. These may, nevertheless, be of the form 772, although the other form most frequently occurs in the context. We have something similar to this in Gen. xliii. 14, שַכְלְהִי שָׁכָלְהִי שׁכָלְהִי even as I am bereaved I am bereaved, where the first verb is of the form שָׁכְל, and the last of שָׁכְל.

### On the Third Person Singular Feminine.

16. Our measure is The in every case. In some few instances, however, we have the Chaldaïc instead of the Hebrew feminine termination: as, north, instead of 7738 Deut. xxxii. 36. So קראח, for קראח she called; עשה, for קראח she made; which seems to shew, that this termination is not a part of the pronoun, 1 covered

for il

14 formes

but the distinctive form of the feminine gender, as stated above (Art. 188.). The same holds good in the Arabic dialect, where the feminine termination is also A, which cannot be derived from the feminine pronoun. See also Art. 137. 2.

17. When the accent is removed from the ultimate to the penultimate syllable, the original vowels of the root will return: as, אַבְּרֶבֶּי she gave, Judg. v. 25; אוֹנָי she was glad, Est. viii. 15. So, אוֹנָי it hath failed, Is. xli. 17, with an euphonic Dāgésh in the אוֹנ (Art. 120.).

18. In Ezek. xxxi. 5, we have אַרְבָּיה for הַבְּיה, the Chaldaïc

for the Hebrew termination.

### On the Third Person Plural, Common Gender.

19. We have a final sometimes added to this part of the verb, which is either intended to obviate a hiatus, or, (as in the augmented nouns,) to give some emphasis to the sentence: as, they knew, Deut. viii. 3; אַרָּיָּגְיּ for אָרָיִּגְיּ they poured out, Isa. xxvi. 16. See Art. 175. 17.

21. When the accent is, on any account, drawn back, the original vowels return: as, יל they have brought forth, Gen. xxxi. 43; they ceased, Judg. v. 7; they became debased, Isaiah lxiii. 19, lxiv. 2; ללה they were able, Exod. viii. 14. In a few instances, a paragogic א is added: as, אין ייין לראי Jos. x. 24 (Artt. 119. 175. 3.).

nd Person.

### On the Second Person Masculine Singular.

22. Instead of the termination  $\mathcal{P}$ , we sometimes have  $\mathcal{P}$ , which is the more usual termination of the pronoun (Art. 145. 2.):

<sup>\*</sup> The force of this letter will be considered in the Syntax.

<sup>+</sup> Ed. 1630.

Las! and IT.

- hu 112

I dropped

as, בַּרְקְהָ thou hast dealt perfidiously, Mal. ii. 14; הַּרְהָּדְ thou art grown old, Josh. xiii. 1, &c.

23. Whenever the root ends in אָם and also receives this pronominal affix, both these letters will coalesce by Dāgésh: as, אָבָרָ thou hast cut, Deut. xx. 20, for בְּרָבְיּלָ When is the last letter of the root, and this or any similar suffix is added, the is dropped (Art. 76.), and for this a compensation is made by inserting Dāgésh forte in the first letter of the affix; as, אַבְּרָבְּיִלְּ for לְּבָּרְבִּילִ I gave; בְּרַבְּיִלְּ you gave, &c.

### On the Second Person Singular Feminine.

24. When the last radical letter happens to be יוֹ or צֹ, (-) stands in the place of the first Shevá (Art. 45.): as, אַרְהַלְּיִלְּי, for אַרְהַלְיִילִּי thou didst receive, 1 Kings xiv. 3; אַרְהַלְיִילִי thou didst hear, Jer. iv. 19; אַרְיָּדְי thou didst know, Jer. l. 24. In roots ending in 1, that letter will be dropped as before: אָרָיִ thou gavest, Ezek. xvi. 33.

25. In many instances, particularly in the Books of Jeremiah and Ezekiel, this affix assumes the form אָרָ, for אָּר, which is also a termination of the original pronoun (See Art. 145. 2. p. 161, note): as, אָרָלְּיִלְּיִלְיִי thou wilt call, for אָרְאָרָן Jer. iii. 4; שׁלְּיִלְיִילִי thou hast heard, Ib. iv. 19; to which many others may be added.

#### On the Second Person Plural Masculine.

26. We have occasionally a Khīrik with the second radical letter, instead of (-): as, בְּיִבְּיִים, for בְּיִבְיִים ye shall possess, pout. iv. 1. In others (י): as, בְּיִבְּיִם ye asked, 1 Sam. xii. 13; and, as before, בְּיִבְּיִם, for ye gave, Jer. xxxvii. 18 (Art. 76.).

#### On the Second Person Plural Feminine.

27. Instead of the termination ੋਲ੍ਹਾ, we sometimes have ਸ਼ਰੂਲ, ਸਰੂਲ 🚣 🚶

<sup>\*</sup> In some editions only.

which is also a termination peculiar to the pronoun of this person (Art. 145. 2.); אַנְלְּבְּרָהְּיִ ye cast forth, Amos iv. 3. This, however, is an example belonging to the Hiphhil species.

1th Gerson

On the First Person Singular, common gender.

28. A few verbs have Khīrík for their second vowel: as אַלְלְתִּי I have begotten, Ps. ii. 7, for יְלַדְתִּי So, יְלַדְתִּי for אַשְּׁלְתִּי for אַשְּׁלְתִּי for אַבְּלְתִּי for asked, 1 Sam. i. 20. Here also, as before, (Nos. 23. 24.) roots ending in ח, or ז, will reject those letters, and supply their place by Dāgésh.

29. In the first person plural also, roots ending in 7 will cause that letter to coalesce with the כי of the pronominal suffix: as, (for בְּרְבָּכִר (for אָבֶרְבָּבֶר (for אָבֶרְבָּבֶר)) we gave, Lam. v. 7.

30. Upon the whole, then, these are rather apparent than real anomalies. It is consistent with the analogy of this language to make certain changes in the vowels, upon the occurrence of any of the guttural letters. The concurrence of any two identical letters is also regularly expressed, by placing a Dāgésh in one of them, and omitting the other, provided no vowel intervene (Art. 47.).

Nún likewise is regularly rejected, whenever it should receive a final Shěvá (Art. 76.). Other varieties in the vowels may be accounted for, by supposing different nouns, derived from the same primitive, to have been originally taken as the leading word.

31. N.B. The remarks here made on the preterite tense of the

3 continue

terra or apply

Il other from .

But perhaps these vowels do not belong to the form אָרָהָ, but אָרָהָ, or perhaps, דֹרָהָ, וֹרָהָ, וֹרָהָ, is certainly the root in Arabic to which may must be referred; and יִלִיי a son, may be the root of the second, and אַרֵּאָי, Arab. שׁבֹּי a beggar, of the third. And hence, perhaps, it is that the same root is occasionally found with different vowels. In Arabic, also, we have خُفي hiding one's self; خُفِ concealing something; خُوبُ he raised; and خُب he was elevated, ennobled; أَوْ يُوبُ he had a high voice, &c. De Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 119.

first species Kal, will apply, in a great measure, to those of all the rest.

### On the Present Tense of the First Species KAL.

Present.

189. It has been remarked (Art. 182. 10.) that the leading word of this tense in Kal is always one or other of the Segolate nouns of the forms 772, 772, or 772. There are, however, a few examples in which 7772 is the form taken, as we shall see presently. These nouns are generally abstract as to sense (Art. 152. 10.): if, then, a personal pronoun, or any abridged form of it, be affixed, we shall have a meaning something like the following: He (is) a visiting; Thou (art) a coming, &c., where the words visiting and coming are not used as participles but as abstract nouns, which must necessarily intimate a present tense. How this, as well as the preterite, tense, is used in composition, will be shewn when we come to the Syntax.

33. Past Inse ) Paradigm of the Present Tense.

## First Form I. 779.

SINGULAR.

(-

Per	s. Masc.	Etymon.			Fem.	Etymon.		
3	יפקד	unknown.	He visits	היצ	תפקד	unknown.	She visits.	5 08
	תבקד	אַתָּ פַקִּד	Thou visite	est.	תבקדי	Etymon.  unknown.  אַרְי פְּלְד	Thou, fem.	peg6.
1	אָבְקִד	אני פקד	I visit.		אָפַקד	as before.		1,

#### PLURAL.

of 2 fine ing

שבב We lie down.

2. The form '자꾸가 may, perhaps, stand for '주 가 , with the ' of '다 transposed, as we say, what man soever, for whatsoever man, &c., in English.

# 4. Paradigm of the Second Form 773.\* SINGULAR.

Pers. Masc. · Fem.	
3 יִשְׁכֵּב He lies down. אַכָּב S	She lies down.
2 הְיִשְׁכֵּבְי Thou liest down. הִישְׁכַּב	Thou liest down.
אַשׁכָב I lie down.	I lie down.
Plural.	- 20 10 1
3 יִשְׁבְּרָנָה They lie down. יִשְׁבְּרָן	They lie down.
י הָשְׁבֶּבְנָה You lie down. בְּישְׁבְּבָנְה	You lie down.

## 5. Paradigm of the Third Form 729.

שבב We lie down.

This form never occurs except in verbs which are subject to some defect, and then but seldom.

### Example.

#### SINGULAR.

Pers.	Masc.	Fem.
3	ונתן for ונתן He gives (Art. 76.)	She gives.
2	Thou givest.	Thou givest.
1	אָנְמֵוֹ – אֶמְוֹ	אָתן I give.

<sup>\*</sup> So בְּבְּלְי he rides; דְבְּלְי he spreads; יְבְּבְּל he lies down; וְבְּבְּל he increases, &c. When the last letter is guttural, the final vowel is, for the most part, (-): as, אַבְּעִי he hears; וְבִּבּל he sends; הַבְּע he rises, is exalted. This also will be the case when the middle letter is guttural, just as it is with the Segolate nouns (Art. 148. 7.).

·-:)

fam.

PLURAL.

Pers.	Masc.	Fem.
3	יתנן They give.	
2	אַתְנְנְ Ye give.	wanting.
1	We give.	

6. It has been remarked (Art. 189.), that the form of The is sometimes taken as the leading word of the present tense. The leading in following are examples: viz. ישׁבּוֹשׁי they judge, Exod. xviii. 26; it (fem.) preserves, or keeps, Prov. xiv. 3; אַעַבוּרָן pass thou over (fem.), Ruth ii. 8: אכנישה (for אכנישה Art. 72.) I am mortally pained, Ps. lxix. 21.

7. Of these forms, the first 77 is the most generally used in 1th flat.

Kal; and, for the most part, it has a transitive signification. 77 2. Ida occurs but seldom, and is mostly intransitive. 772 is found in 3. defen defective verbs only; as, אֹמֶר or יאמֶר or יאמֶר or יאמֶר, יאמֶר, יאמֶר,

8. In all cases in which the terminating vowel is perfect and fin. in., have all gularly accompanied by an accent, upon the accent's being withregularly accompanied by an accent, upon the accent's being withdrawn (which always takes place when the verb is joined with any other word by Makkaph, &c.), such final vowel will be changed for its correspondent imperfect one (Art. 119. 3. &c.): as, אַשְּמֶר־לָוֹ I will keep for him, Ps. lxxxix. 29; אַרְמַךְּ־בִּוֹ I will sustain him, Is. xlii. 1, for אָמָמָר and אָמָמָל respectively.\*

9. The same verb is sometimes found to occur in more than one of these forms; as, naw: he rests, Gen. ii. 2; and nawn it rests, Neh. vi. 3; YEAR ST I am not willing, Ezek. xviii. 32; and YEAR.

if withor

<sup>\*</sup> It is a curious fact that the tense corresponding to this in the Arabic verbs, is subject to a variation of ending, similar to that of the nouns, i.e. its terminations will answer to those of the nominative or objective cases. This

tense they term مضرع alike, because it is said to be like the noun. In a few

instances, indeed, it has the of the accusative; as, be will surely draw, &c. In others we have an additional (1), 3, as in the nouns of excess (Art. 168.):

as, ينصرن he will surely assist : but of this more in the Syntax.

he will approve, Ps. xxxvii. 23; אֹלְייִרְיׁ he will tear, Ps. vii. 3; and אַלְיִירִ Gen. xlix. 27. To which many others may be added. (See the Mikhlol of D. Kimkhi, fol. אין, verso.) This may be accounted for by supposing, that the Segolate noun corresponding to both these forms was once in use.

and + lost

10. When however any <u>paragogic</u> letter is added, this distinctive vowel, be what it may, <u>disappears</u> (as it is the case with some of the Segolate nouns): as, אַשְׁבְּאָרָ , not אַשְּׁבְּאָרָ , not אַשְּׁבְּאָרָ , not אַשְּׁבְּאָרָ , not אַשְּׁבְּאָרָ , not אַבְּאָרָ , not אַבְּאָרָי , not אַבְּאָרָ , not אַבְּאָרָ

1 returned

11. In a few instances the letter is still retained, which was perhaps formerly a mater lectionis: i. e. was used instead of the vowel is khōlém: as, אַמָּקְוֹלְהֵי I rest, Isa. xviii. 4; אַמָּקְוֹלְהֵי I rest, Isa. xviii. 4; אַמָּקְוֹלְהֵי I rest, Isa. xviii. 4; איניקּוֹלְּהַן rest, Isa. xviii. 4; איניקּוֹלְּהַן rest, Isa. xviii. 4; איניקּוֹלְהַן, or marginal reading, generally gives the more usual form. This i, however, is mostly omitted, and Kāméts Khātéf then found to supply the place of the rejected Khōlém (see Art. 152. 3.): as, אַמְּיֶבְיִיּ I step, Isa. xxvii. 4; אַיִּבְּיִיּ וֹלְּהַ I hear, Dan. viii. 13; אַיִּבְּיִי וֹלְּהַ thou bribest, Ezek. xvi. 33. In some copies, however, we have (:) Shěvá only in most of these cases.

whin its place

12. With the third person plural masculine we sometimes have an additional paragogic 1, supplied; as, ישִׁכְּילוּ they will hear, Exod. iv. 9. Sometimes the preceding vowel is perfect: as, אַבְּילִילוּ they will ask, Josh. iv. 6; יוֹלְילִילוּ they will reap, Ruth ii. 9. (See Art. 175. 17.) Of this more will be said in the Syntax.

added end oml

13. When the first radical letter happens to be a guttural, the substitute of Sheva will be resolved into its cognate imperfect vowel: as, אַרְבָּר they will extend (for אָרֵבְּר, by analogy יַבּּרְבָּר, see Art. 106. 2.).

- = -:

14. In the feminine we have הַּשִּׁלְּכָה, for הְּשִׁלְּכָה, by Art. 175. 20, &c., Ezek. xvii. 23. The final ה is sometimes omitted by apocope, by Art. 73. 2., of which more will be said hereafter.

on friel

bit (1) (-)"(1)

16. The principles, therefore, by which the vowels both of the he verbs and nouns are regulated, are precisely the same. This is hould also true of the letters, as well as of the forms of words: for these, as we have already seen, are such as the nature of the several cases seems to require.

### Of the Infinitives and Imperatives.

190. It is difficult to say which of these two ought to take the precedence, since both present the same form: but, as that which has been termed the imperative is sometimes found conjugated with one or other of the pronouns, the Infinitive has usually been placed first, as mife exhibiting the more simple form of the verb.

2. It is now generally allowed that the Infinitive (as it has been termed) is nothing more than a Verbal noun, having either an active, neuter, or passive, signification: as, קְּלְוֹד, (and in construction, Tip a visiting, visitatio, or the like, the acceptation of which may be either active or passive, (see p. 89. note); and, zij lying down, which is neuter.

3. Any of these words according to Schreder, when pronounced with some emphasis, will become imperative in signification: as, = infor or יוֹם inspicere, i. e. "inspice, vel inspicite." So, continues he, "Ab initio nullum discrimen habuit numeri et generis: e. g. virw audire! non tantum pro audi, sed et pro audite, Deut. i. 16; יוֹרְצְ infestare! pro infestate, Num. xxv. 17, &c.

4. We may now come to the forms, and other particulars, connected with this species of words.

5. The forms of the Infinitives are various, and may be either primitive or augmented, according to the sense intended by the writer. We shall, at present, notice only the primitive forms, reserving the others till we come to treat on the augmented species of the conjugation.

Forms of the <u>Infinitive</u> or Verbal Noun, belonging to the <u>First</u>
Species of the Conjugation KAL.

- לבור לייני לייני
  - 7. These, when in conjunction with any of the particles בְּכְּלְּב, will afford a sense similar to that of the Gerunds in Latin: as, בְּכְּלְּב in visiting; לְּבְּלְּךְ for visiting; מְבְּלְּךְ according to the visiting; זְבְּלְּךְ from, or by, the visiting. In which cases, the form proper for construction is necessarily taken, on account of the following context.
  - 8. In like manner with the pronouns, יקף my visiting; אָרָרְבָּן thy visiting, &c. in which אַרְרָבָּן is preferred.† We have, however, אַבְּרְבֶּם your eating, אַבְּרְבֶּם your saying, &c., of the form אָרָרָבָּם, as well as the other forms.
    - 9. When the terminating vowel (i of i) happens to lose the

<sup>\*</sup> Of this form in the nouns is בְּדִוֹל, constr. בְּדִוֹל, בְּדִוֹל, which imply habit, custom, &c., and occasionally have an abstract signification.

<sup>†</sup> The nouns equivalent to these in Arabic are subject to the same laws; and they are occasionally found in the plural number, which never occurs in Hebrew. In the last instance, (No. 8.) Grammarians have thought, that a transposition of the o has taken place, supposing לְּבָּילְּבָּי to have been the original form. But, as תַּבְיבְּיִ is regularly the feminine form of דְּבִיי חָבְי I do not see why בְּבִּילִי may not be derived from the same form, particularly as these forms are in use.

accent, it becomes imperfect, as in the nouns: as, אָלָדְּיּמֶלֶּהּ a king's reigning, Gen. xxxvi. 31. In one instance we have an additional 'inserted: as, אָבַרְיִוֹשׁ הַדָּבְי for investigating the matter, Ezra x. 16.

- 12. It will be difficult, perhaps, and certainly unnecessary, to lay down rules for the use of the other forms. The Student may note them down as they occur. We shall merely remark, that cases will happen here, as in the Arabic, in which it will be extremely difficult to say, whether a noun is to be referred to this class, or to that of the participles: and further, that in some the very same word will occasionally be found in situations suitable to both.

### On the Imperative belonging to the First Species.

191. This is usually of the form אָבְּקְרָנְה or אָבְּיִי visit, for the second person singular masculine; אָבְּקְרָנְה for the same בְּבְּרְנָה feminine; אָבְּקְרָנָה masc. and בְּקְרָנָה or בְּקְרָנָה, fem. for the same person plural. In which will be perceived some

y trans

<sup>\*</sup> Thes. Gram. lib. i. c. xiii.

of the fragments of the pronouns as already mentioned (Art. 188.).

- 2. It has already been remarked, that the form 7173 is sometimes used for both numbers and genders (Art. 190. 3.).
- 3. As the Imperative is generally formed on the same word with the Infinitive, it will occasionally be found with the feminine termination ה, as also with the same vowels: as, הוה keep,\* ty same wound Ps. xxv. 20; Tremember, 2 Chron. vi. 42. Kholem remains י unchanged in יצקה vilify, curse, Num. xxiii. 7. Judg. xix. 5, we א האפין אין support (from the form פָּעָד), and v. 8, סעָד with Makkaph (from סעדה): and, 1 Kings xiii. 7, סעדה (from סעדה) with the paragogic letter 77, whence it should seem, that each of these forms of the verbal noun was once in use.
  - 4. בְּשָׁי lie down, of the form אָבָּן, will, upon receiving the feminine termination, lose (-), and then change the first (:) into נשׁכְבָּה as, שׁבְבָּה. Upon the same principle, the o of קוֹם is generally rejected: as in פֿקָּדֶר, אָפָּקָדָר, &c., as above (Art. 189. 10.). In 기가 keep, Ps. cxli. 3, we have either an euphonic or an intensitive Dāgésh.
  - 5. Of the form קָרָבָּר, לּפַּקְרָ destroy ye, Jer. ii. 12; מַשְׁבְרּ draw ye, Ezek. xxxii. 20; ושרָרָל lay ye waste, Jer. xlix. 28, are instances. So בְּלֵלִי reign thou, fem., Judg. ix. 10; בְּלֵלִי cry thou, Jer. xxii. 20; (the medial r has been added on account of the accent's being drawn back, Art 120. 7.); so make thou dry, Is. xliv. 27.
  - 6. When a guttural is the first letter of the root, (:) is taken instead of Khīrik ( · ), whenever any asyllabic augment is affixed to the word : as, שַּׁשְׁהַ make thou bare, fem., Isa. xlvii. 2; take thou, fem., Ruth iii. 15.
  - 7. The divine thou, 1 Sam. xxviii. 8, takes a substitute of Shevá with the second radical letter, upon the principle of assimilation, noticed Art. 108, for קָּלְבֶּי. This is sometimes found to take place in the present tense also.

definition

(1-:) A fine. Term s - and lo :) fit (0) in ups hit

7 Ti

m(::)

of added

H. 1" letter e) for (1)

:) for (:)

<sup>\*</sup> See Ps. cxli. 3, where this word is used as a noun; unless, indeed, we construe the former word שִׁיתָה שׁמָבָה with it: thus, שָׁמָבָה place, keep, i. e. keep constantly. But much reliance cannot be placed upon the accents.

8. The feminine plural will occasionally suffer apocope; as, שמען Gen. iv. 23, for שמען hear ye, which will also happen occasionally in the present tense, as will be seen hereafter.

### On the Participles.

192. We now come to that species of words which have been termed Participles, and have accordingly been classed with the verbs as such, contrary perhaps to every principle of Hebrew Grammar. The fact is, these are nothing more than attributives of one form or other, into = affilia the etymology of which nothing, having the least possible connection with tense, has ever entered. Nor is the force usually ascribed to these words, exclusively applicable to nouns of their forms: שנה wise, פות distant, לבדל distant, growing, being just as much participles as 7719, masc., מוקדת and בוקדת fem. visiting, or קקוד masc., הפונה, fem. visited, can possibly be; to which a very large number of other forms may be added. The truth appears to be, that all these nouns, together with those conjugated as verbs (which, indeed, is occasionally the case with some of these), are to be regulated in tense by other considerations, as will be shewn hereafter.

2. It is curious enough to remark, that Simonis has, in his Jimm Arcanum Formarum, assigned a whole section to words of the form usually ascribed to the first participle, in which they occur as agents, without the least reference whatever to tense. Yet, in one of his notes lie says,\* " Exinde vero non sequitur, omnia Participia mera esse Nomina, quod contendit PAGNINUS in Institut. Hebr., p. 229. Fagnin If by this he means, that these words may occasionally be construed as participles, perhaps no one will object; but, if he contends, that they are not mere attributives, I believe he will contend for a position which will not admit of proof.

#### LECTURE XI.

193. Having dwelt thus much on the first species of the Hebrew Conjugation, which is indeed the model, and contains the principles upon which all the rest are formed, we may now proceed to give the others, with such notes as shall seem necessary.

Paradigm of the Second Species, or Objective Form of the First, usually termed Niphhal. בְּבַעָל.

hul.

### PRETERITE TENSE.

#### SINGULAR NUMBER.

Pers.	Masc.		Fem.	7 15 11
3	נפַקר	He became visited.	נפַקּקה	She became visited.
2	נפקדת	Thou becamest visited.	נפַקרה	Thou becamest visited.
1	נְבַּקְרָתִי	I became visited.	נפַקדתי	I became visited.

#### PLURAL NUMBER.

3	נפקדו	They became visited.	נפְקְדוּ	They became visited.
2	נפַקדָתֶם	Ye became visited.	נפַקרָהֶן	Ye became visited.
1	נפקדנו	We became visited.	נפקדנו	We became visited.

#### PRESENT TENSE.

-		SINGULAR	Number.			
3	He beco	mes visited.	הָנְפָּקְד	She becomes visited.		
2	Thou b	ecomest visited.		Thou becomest visited.		
1	TPAN I becom	ne visited.	אָפָקד	I become visited.		
Plural Number.						

3	יפקדו	They become visited.	תּפָּקִדְנָה	They become visited.
2	תפקדו	Ye become visited.	תּבָּקְדְנָה	Ye become visited.
1	100	We become visited.	נפקד	We become visited.

#### IMPERATIVE.

SINGULAR.

Masc.

Be thou visited.

והפקדו Be thou visited.

PLURAL.

ורפקדו Be ye visited.

Be ye visited.

Forms of the Infinitive, or Abstract Verbal Noun.

אבין, דבקד, רבקד, רבקד, and הבקד, being visited, &c. Aufines of PARTICIPLES (usually), rather Verbal Concrete Nouns.

PARTICIPLES (usually), rather VERBAL CONCRETE NOUNS.

SINGULAR.

יובקדת and נפקדת Visited, &c.

PLURAL.

נפקדים

ונפקדות 16.

- 2. We have here given the Infinitives, Participles, &c. as usually found in the Paradigm, as we shall in all the other Species; not because we believe this arrangement to be the best, but because we would depart as little as may be from the usual methods; and, because we may in this way familiarize the mind of the Learner with the several forms of these nouns.
- 3. The sense afforded by this species is mostly objective; sometimes, however, it is subjective: as, בשבי he fought; א בשבי he swore; זְצְשָׁיִף he reclined; שְׁבָּשִׁיִּ he contended; where co-operation seems rather to be implied: at others it is reflective: as, כְּשׁבֵּר watching one's self; asking for self; and at others, its force may be expressed in English, by using, may, can, must, ought, or the like. See Gen. vi. 21; xvi. 10; xx. 9. All of which may be very well comprehended in the compound form of this word, when regulated by the circumstances mentioned in the context. See Art. 157. 18. 20.

i = ១ឃំរ

### On the Preterite Tense.

of has 1. Tall. 4. When the primitive word happens to begin with a guttural letter, the vowel accompanying 3 may be either ( " ) or ( - ), but not (·): as, בְּחַשֵּׁבְ accounted, Num. xviii. 27; said, Dan. viii. 26; בַּהַפְּוֹה overturned, Esth. ix. 1; נַחְהְוֹם sealed, Ib. viii. 8; נַעְהְוֹר placated, 1 Chron. v. 20; where we have the form קלוד or פָּקוֹד, instead of בְּקְר So בְּמִוֹל and נְמִוֹל circumcised, Gen. xvii. 27, for (Art. 76.). In one instance seems to have been affixed to one of the augmented forms it shall expiate, Deut. xxi. 8, for יתכפר (Art. 83. 1.), grounded on the form התפקד.

5. In Thurs, left, Ezek. ix. 8, the additional word forming this species (see Art. 157. 18.) seems here to be given more at length than usual. Buxtorf thinks that we have here a form compounded of both tenses, than which nothing can be more unlikely.\* For יה in יה יה we sometimes have the Chaldee ה, as in Kal (Art.

188. 16.); as, חַבְּשָׁיִ forgotten, Is. xxiii. 15.

6. לְבָּשׁלְּהֹ they were polluted, Lam. iv. 14, on the form לָבָשׁלְהַ, probably from an avenger. Of the same form is they shall be possessed of, Num. xxxii. 30. In the word באדרי Exod. xv. 6, we have perhaps an abbreviated form for אָדָרָה הָיּ it is become glorious, the preceding word, וְלֵין, being regularly of the feminine gender. This, therefore, need not be considered as anomalous, in any other point of view.

### On the Present Tense, Imperative, &c.

7. The leading form mostly taken for this tense is 777, and ·· + ) r-(1+:1+) sometimes the feminine form מָלְדוֹ, בְּלֶדוֹה and קַבְּ are also found, i + (i-+) as in Kal: but, is used only with the Infinitive or verbal noun, examples of each of which will presently be given.

> 8. The 8 of the first person singular is sometimes regularly prefixed with (·); as, אַדְרָא I am enquired of, Ezek. xiv. 3; אַבָּשָׂאַ I swear, Gen. xxi. 24. Also with the feminine form; as, אמל און I escape, Ib. xix. 20; TITE I am honoured, Exod. xiv. 17; and

<sup>\*</sup> Thes. Gram., lib. i. c. xiv. ad. particip. Niphhál.

Hag. i. 8, where the  $\overline{1}$  seems to have been lost, as in other instances (Art. 72, &c.), in most of which, however, it is restored in the marginal reading.

9. For TRAD, we have TRAD thou wilt\* be broken, Ezek. (-) = 6 xxxii. 28. So PRD he was infirm, 2 Sam. xii. 15; PRD he was refreshed, Exod. xxxi. 17; PRD he was weaned, Gen. xxi. 8; PRD it was said, Josh. ii. 2. So also, TRAD they shall be commemorated, Isa. lxv. 17; TRAD they shall be eaten up, Jer. xxiv. 2, to which many more may be added.

10. To the plural אַרְיָּהְיִי a paragogic is often added as in Kal: as, אַרָּיִהְיּי they shall be cut off, Ps. xxxvii. 9, &c.

11. We have in the <u>Imperative</u>, אַבְּקְרָּבְּיִ assemble yourselves, Joel iv. 11, for אָבְּרָבְּי, which seems to be grounded on the form אַבְּרָבְּי, if it is not the preterite used as an imperative.

12. Whenever here, as in other instances, the first letter of the root is such as to be incapable of receiving Dāgésh forte, the preceding vowel is necessarily made perfect, as in &c. above given (No. 9.).

14. The Dagésh forte, found in the first radical letter in these verbs, is merely compensative for the characteristic 2 which has been rejected according to rule (Art. 76.).

15. The noun given here as a participle, has, in a few instances, (") instead of (ד): as, שַּקְבָּי, (for בַּיְבִילָּבָּי, form קְבָּבְּי, form אָבָּבְּי, form מוֹנִי, אַנְיּלָּבְּי, form מוֹנִי, אַנְיּלָּבְּי, form מוֹנִי, אַנְיּלְּבְּי, form מוֹנִי, אַנְיּלְּבְּי, form מוֹנִי, form מוֹנְי, form מוֹנִי, form מוֹנְי, form מוֹנִי, form מוֹנִי, form מוֹנִי, form מוֹנִי, form מוֹנִי, form מוֹנִי, form מוֹני, form מוֹני, form מוֹניי, form מוֹני, f

7 add to 3 h. p

1" vow. p

(\*\*)

. / 4

Dagust.

(..)}-(

<sup>\*</sup> Reasons for translating this present tense by a future will be given in the Syntax.

<sup>+</sup> Sect. vii. §. 119. de verbo perfecto.

xiii. 16; בְּלְבָּאִים hidden, Josh. x. 17; בְּלְבָּאִים polluted, Ezek. xx. 30, 31; Ding found, Esth. i. 5, iv. 16, 1 Sam. xiii. 15, and some others, are formed on the measure . But this is by no means necessary. The (<u>t</u>) of the singular is, it is true, mostly found with the second radical: as, Dist., but then, this vowel is not immutable, and may become (:) for the mere sake of euphony, which is perhaps the case in all these instances.

> 194. Puradigm of the Conjugation of a Verb of the Third Species, PIHHEL.

#### PRETERITE TENSE.

SINGULAR.			Plural.		
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.	
3	פַקד	פַקּדָה	פַקדוּ	פקדו	
2	פַקּדָהָ	פַקדהָ	פַּקַדְתֶּם	פּלוֹנמוֹ	
1	פַקּדָתִי	פַקּדָתי	פַקּדנוּ	פַקִּדנוּ	

#### PRESENT TENSE.

Singular.			PLU	RAL.		
3	יפקד	הָבַּקַד	וְבַּקְּדְוּ	תְּבַּקּרְנָה		
2	הָבַּקֶּד	הַפַּקּדִי	תַפַּקרוּ	הַפַּקּדְנָה		
1	אַפַקד	אַפַקּד	נְפַּמְּד	נָפַקּד		
		IMPERA	TIVE.			

PLURAL. SINGULAR. פַקדי פקדו פַקד

### INFINITIVE, or VERBAL NOUN.

Masc. Form.	Fem. Forms.
19.····	פָּמָדוּת יפַקּנֶרת יפַקּנֶרת יפַּקּבָר

#### PARTICIPLES, or CONCRETE VERBAL NOUNS.

SINGULAR.

WAR O CAMERAN		I DOWAL.		
Fem.	Masc.	Fem.		
מְבַּקְּדָה	מְבַּקְּדְים	מְפַקּקדות		
	Fem.	Fem. Masc.		

Objective Voice of the same, which is termed the Fourth Species, or PUHAL.

PRETERITE TENSE.

	SINGULAR.		PLU	TRAL.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
3	FET	פַּקבָה	פַקדוּ	פַקדו
2	בַּקרַתָּ	פַקּדָתָּ	פַּפַרְתָּם	פַּקַרָתֶוּן
1	פֿעֿבַעּי	פַּפְּדְתִּי –	פַקרנו	פַקּדָנוּ

#### PRESENT TENSE.

	SINGULAR.		PLURAL.	
3	וָבָקַד	הָבָקַד	וָפַקּדָנּ	הִּכַּקּדְנָה
2	עכפור	תְּבָּקְדָי	עַפָּקּרָנַיּ	הִפַּקּדְנָה
1	אַכָּקַד	REAL	וְבָּקֵּד	נְבָקַד

#### IMPERATIVE.

	Singu	LAR.	Plural.	
2	FAL	פַקדי	פַקרוּ	פַקּדנָה

INFINITIVE, or VERBAL NOUN.

CGT

PARTICIPLES, or CONCRETE VERBAL NOUNS.

SINGULAR. PLURAL.

מַבְּקַדְוֹת מַכַּקּדָה מַבַּקַדִים מפקד מפקדת זס

### On the Persons &c. of the Third Species Pihel.

2. Whenever the last radical letter happens to be one of the 3? 1-2. gutturals, or 7, (-) Páthakh will be the terminating vowel; 779 taka 1will, therefore, be the measure in such cases: as, 730 he broke, Ps. cvii. 16; אַבָּיל he smallomed, Is. xxv. 8; איב he sent, Exod.

ix. 7, &c. &c. Also when followed by Makkaph; as, לְמַד־בַּעַת he taught knowledge, Eccl. xii. 9.

3. In a few instances (\*) is the terminating vowel: as, 73.7 he said : 호크 he washed ; 기를 he expiated.

4. When any letter incapable of receiving Dagésh is the medial letter of the root, a compensation is made by changing the preceding imperfect vowel to its corresponding perfect one: as, is he refused, for 180. So in he blessed; and, in the participle in blessing; 그런다 detesting, &c.; 기본크 he explained; 기다형 he delayed each umain (Art. 109.). In a few instances ( ) Khīrîk remains without any apparent compensation: as, > he destroyed, 1 Kings xxii. 47; אָרָ he vexed, Ps. x. 3; בְּיִל he inflamed, Mal. iii. 19; בוֹין he consoled, Is. xlix. 13; They delayed, Judg. v. 28, where 8 occurs with Segól. So, in the Participles; קפַתוּק fearing, Prov. xxviii. 14; בנהל leading, Is. li. 18, &c. In all which cases Dagésh is said to be implied (Art. 109.).

5. When the accent is drawn back in the feminine (פֿקנה) the characteristic vowel (") returns: as, 777? it licked, 1 Kings (בּין אַ xviii. 38; אָטְרה she gleaned, Ruth ii. 18, &c. (Art. 119. 11.).

6. In some instances Dagésh forte is omitted when the letter is capable of receiving it : as, אַרָּחָה she sent, Ezek. xvii. 7 ; שׁלְחָרּ they sent, Ps. lxxiv. 7. So, דָלְלָּה passim, for לְּהָלָה praise ue. See Art. 113.

7. We have in the participle articiple our teacher, Job xxxv. 11, for מְאַלְפָנוֹ, by Art. 73. And, Jer. xv. 10, מְאַלְפָנוֹ cursing me, but in the margin, מְקַלְּלָנְי, which is probably the true reading, giving the participle or concrete verbal noun, written like a verb, with the fragment of the first personal pronoun. See p. 186, note.

8. In the participle of the feminine gender, (") occasionally remains: as, מְשַׁבֵּלְת causing abortion, Exod. xxiii. 26; we also have מְשֵׁבֶּרָת inebriating, Jer. li. 7; and without Dāgésh, אַנְאָנָּאָר inebriating, Jer. li. 7 (for הַבְּאָבָה) committing adultery, Prov. xxx. 20; and by contraction, משרת (for משרת) ministering, 1 Kings i. 15 (Art. 77.).

9. For the particular force of this species, see Art. 154. 7-9.

On the Objective Voice, or Fourth Species, PUHAL.

10. We sometimes have (\*) Khātúph in this species instead of (1), e. g. הַבְּיל it was cut, Ezek. xvi. 4; where it should be

ly (:)

and map. Days id simpuft. V. fit Profeet.

Porttiuply

yesh implied.

us Lome Hist retuefle

micked

. ( . o) Uma

v. / .. 108.

Puhul

days hed

observed, that receives Dagésh, contrary to the usual practice: it is destroyed, Nah. iii. 7. So also the participial noun באַרְמִים made red, Ib. ii. 4; and, in the plural number, באַרְמִים,\* Exod. xxv. 5.

11. In many instances, the Dagésh is implied (Art. 109.); as, ערון washed, Prov. xxx. 12; המוח object of mercy, fem., Hos. i. 6. The Kāméts found here in the penultima is on account of the pause-accent (Art. 120. 4.). So, The consoled, Is. liv. 11.

12. When Dagésh is neither written nor implied, the preceding such west vowel is necessarily made perfect; as, אוֹכָל slain, Is. xxvii. 7; קרה torn, Gen. xliv. 28; שֹרֶשׁ declared, revealed, Num. xv. 34; מערה agitated, (as with a whirlwind,) Is. liv. 11. In all which cases the correspondence of the vowels is oblique (Art. 96. 2.).

13. In some instances the correspondence is direct; as, יובד born, Judg. xiii. 8; יובן taken in a net, Eccles. ix. 12: out of joint, Prov. xxv. 19. In the first two examples לובות out of joint, Prov. xxv. 19. Dagésh is perhaps euphonic; in the last, Kāméts is put for Sēgól on account of the pause (Art. 120. 4.). In Jer. xxii. 23, we have this participial noun, with several others, so combined with the feminine pronoun of the second person singular, as to have given considerable uneasiness to the Grammarians: the passage is,-

### ישַׁבְתִּי בַּלְבָנוֹן מְקַנַּנְתִּי בָּצֵּרְזִים מַח־נַּחַנְתִי בְּבֹא־לָדְ חֲבָלִים

Thou (who) dwellest in the Libanus—art nestled in the cedars—how shalt thou be consoled when pains come upon thee?

Here ישַׁבְהִי is put for ישָׁב אַהִי for מָקָבָּן אָהִי and for כחנתי for יחון אתי than the feminine form of the pronoun in conjunction with a participial, (or verbal concrete,) noun. In the example , Rabbi hartings Jona was of opinion, according to Buxtorf, that some of the vowels only had been retrenched; by which he probably meant, that the pronoun was added, some abbreviation being first made (See p. 176, note.)

14. In a few instances we have a substitute of Shevá, where

Dunkmy

has niefele hudt. on

hill

<sup>•</sup> In some editions, and as cited by Buxtorf, this word is written DISD and DYNAD, with Kamets Khatef under N, which is contrary to the first principles of Hebrew syllabication. They are thus also cited by Kimkhi, in the Mikhlol, from which Buxtorf probably took his examples.

:) fr (:)

analogy requires the Shevá, which seems to have been introduced merely for the sake of euphony: as, The taken, Gen. ii. 23; thou shalt be sought, Ezek. xxvi. 21 (Art. 106. 5.).

15. For the particular force of this Species, see Art. 154.7-15.

16.

195. Paradigm of the Conjugation of the Fifth and Sixth Species, i. e. in both the Subjective and Objective Voices, HIPHHIL and HOPHHAL.

#### PRETERITE TENSE.

SINGULAR.		Plural.		
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
3	הַבְּקִיד	ניפֿאַיבֶּה	חַפְּקִידוּ	הִבְּקִידוּ
2	הבקדה	ָהַבְּקְדָהָּ <u>הַבְּק</u> ּדָהָ	הפְקַדְמֶּם	ניפֿלנמו
1	הפקדתי	הפָקְרָתִּי	הָפְּקְרָנוּ	הִבְּקְדְנוּ

#### PRESENT TENSE.

	SINGULAR.		· PLI	JRAL.
3	וַפַקִיד	תַּבְּקִיד	וַפְקִידוּ	תַּבְּקַדְנָה
2	תפקיד	תַּבְּקֵידִי	תַּבְּקִידוּ	תַּפְקַדְנָה
1	אַפְקִיד	אַבְּקִיד	נַפְּקִיד	נַפְּקִיד

#### IMPERATIVE.

SINGULAR.		PLURAL.		
2	תַבָּקָד	הַפָּקידֶי	הַבְּקִידְוּ	הַבְּקְדָנָה
P.k.	ההיוד			והברידנה
	* INF	INITIVE, or	ABSTRACT VERRAL NOW	N. F

Fem. Forms.

הפקד זס הפקיד איי הַפַּקרוּת יהַפַּקהת יהַפַּקה

107.110. 143 Wdupl. PARTICIPIAL, or CONCRETE VERBAL NOUNS.

Masc. Forms.

Sino	ULAR.	PLURA	L.
מַבְּקִיד	סְבְּקְרֶת or מְבְּקִידֶת or מְבְּקִידֶת	מַבְּקִידִים מַבְּקִידִים	בַּפְּקִידְוֹת

. 266.

### Objective Voice, HOPHHAL.

#### PRETERITE TENSE.

SINGULAR.		PLU	RAL.
Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
זְבְבָּקְד 🧸	הָפְקְדֶה	רָפְקּדְוּ	הָפְקְדִוּ
בּבְקַדְּתָּ 2	הָבְּקְדָהָ	הָפְּקַדְּמֶּם	הָפַּקַדְתֶּן
בַּפְקְדָתִי י	הָבָּקְדְּתִּי	הָפְקַּדְנוּ	הָפָקְדִנוּ

#### PRESENT TENSE.

	Sing	ULAR.	Plural.		
3	יָפַקר	הַבְּקַד	יָבְקְדָוּ	הָפַקִּדְנָה	
2	הַבְקַד	הָפָּקִדִּי	הָנפַקְדָּוּ	הָפַקִדנָה	
1	אָכְקִד	אָפָקד	נָבְּקָד	נְפְקַד	

#### IMPERATIVE.

Sind	ULAR.	Plural.	
בקד בקד יש ליין ואין ואין ואין ואין ואין ואין ואין ו	הָפְקָדִי	רָבְּקְ <b>רְר</b> ּ	הָפְּקִקּדְנָה

INFINITIVE.

הָפַקר or הָפַקר, הָפָקר

PARTICIPIAL, or Concrete Verbal Nouns.

SINGULAR.		PLURAL.		
מָבְּקַד	מָפָקדָת מָפָקדָת	בְּפָקּדְים	ָ מְפָּקָ <b>דְוֹת</b>	

### On the Active Voice.

2. The characteristic 7 of this species occurs also with (:), (:), Monfuel or (\_), but this happens only when a guttural letter follows: as, fellow he believed, Gen. xlv. 26; הַלְּמִין he caused to stand, Lev. מו ליים he believed, Gen. xlv. 26; xiv. 11; PROT thou hast professed, Deut. xxvi. 17; Professed, Deut. xxvi. 17; hast caused to pass over, Josh. vii. 7. We also have True Exod.

xiii. 12, and הַּמֶּבְרָתִּי Zech. iii. 4, &c. And with (:) under the guttural in יֵחְתִּיִם it is obstructed, Lev. xv. 3.

3. In one instance we have (.) with the middle radical letter: as, אוֹתְיאָלְהְיה I have requested him, 1 Sam. i. 28. See the notes on Kal (Art. 188. 28.).

- 4. On the word הַּבְּרֵלְיִּלִי shall I leave? Judg. ix. 9, 11, 13, much has been written, but perhaps not very conclusively. Let us endeavour to analyze it. It will be seen by turning to the lexicons, that יַבְּילִבְּי is the form of the Imperative in Kal: which, according to our system, will give יְבִּילִי, for the primitive Segolate noun. Here, then, we have nothing more than a Segolate noun, conjugated with the pronoun, which in the first person will regularly be יַבְּילִי, and, by rejecting the Khōlém (as in the Segolates, Art. 152. 3.), and retaining the correspondent substitute of Shèvá, in order to preserve the form, we shall have יַבְּילִבְּי, which, with the interrogative יַ prefixed (Art. 179.), we shall have ווֹבְּילִי, which, with the interrogative יַ prefixed (Art. 179.), we shall have ווֹבְּילִי, am I a leaving? i. e. shall I leave? According to this analysis, therefore, this word belongs not to the fifth, but to the first species, conjugated with the abstract, instead of the concrete, noun, which is unusual.
  - 5. In another instance, viz. I have polluted, Is. lxiii. 3, we have the augmented verbal noun commencing with instead of  $\overline{n}$ : i. e. the form usually taken in the Chaldee and Syriac (Art. 157. 2., 158.
  - 6. Again, we have in Amos iv. 3, הַשְּׁלֵכְתָּבָּה, which is an unusual but more regular way of writing the second person plural feminine of the preterite tense: i. e. הַשְּׁלַכְתָּן, usually הַשְּׁלֵכְתָּן (Art. 188. 27.), ye have cast. In Isaiah, chap. xix. 6, we have they shall abhor, with two letters of augmentation א and הן; but here, הַאָּלִיבְּתְּן is perhaps the ground-form.

7. In the <u>present</u> tense we also have the form מְּבְּיִבְּי, and in both, the characteristic ' is frequently omitted. With the paragogic ה, however, ' is always added: as, אַלְיִבָּה I will cast, Neh. xiii. 8. With ("); as, אַבְּיִבְּי he may believe, Job xv. 31. And by a further contraction, אַבְּיִבְי he will give confidence, 2 Kings xviii. 30, for הַבְּיִבְי or בְּיִבְּיִבְ.

8. In some instances every trace of the characteristic 'is lost; as, אָרְיִרְיִי they arrived at, 1 Sam. xxxi. 2; אַרְיִר they bend, tread, or direct, as a bow, Jer. ix. 2. Where the primitive form seems to have been taken instead of the usual one of the measure אַרְיִּרָּיִּבּי.

with mid. rad

1! Species & abstr. norm

מִּיבְרַלְּתְּ

N' for 7

on letters.

The sendorm [10: -) miked bouth 17 par. 10) + (-)

Rolly lost

9. אָם I mill collect, 1 Sam. xv. 6, is put for אָנְקִיף (for אָנְקִיף) אוֹקיף untra Artt. 72., 87.1.), root סי סר סו. | So, בינה I will ruin, Jer. xlvi. 8 (for לבידה Ib. for אובידה, Arabic פָנב , cognate with . אַבַד

10. 8 is sometimes rejected together with the characteristic 7, to facilitate the pronunciation perhaps: as, 1718 I will hear, Job 1. will xxxii. 11 (for YAN Art. 72.). | So, DON I will destroy them, 2. Jer. viii. 13, for בייסל he shall pitch a tent, for אָרָבוּל Is. 3. xiii. 20; יוֹחֶר he tarries, 2 Sam. xx. 5, is perhaps for the passive 4. form Thi, for Thin; (Art. 73.); and, as the quiescence of & after Kāméts Khātúf is rather unusual in Hebrew, the i may have been taken to avoid this. The final (:) is probably euphonic, and (1) eup taken on account of the guttural, as in other cases.

11. Some are of opinion, that I Sam. xv. 5, is put for שׁרֵב by omitting the N, as above, by Art. 73.

12. In the Imperative we may have a paragogic 7, which will then, as before (No. 7.), take the characteristic ': as, הַצִּלִיחָה prosper thou, Neh. i. 11.

13. Whenever the second or third radical letter is either a gut- with 2n tural or , the terminating vowel will be (-): as, חַבָּהַ cause to fee from come down, Joel iv. 11; Pro remove far away, Job xiii. 21; fan. Var הושר, margin הישר make straight, Ps. v. 9.

14. In the Infinitive or abstract verbal noun, the characteristic ה has ( - ) for the most part : as, הַכָּיָת cutting off, Jer. xliv. 8; commemorating, 2 Sam. xviii. 18. When any one of the particles contained in the word בכלם is prefixed, this form is always adopted; when this is not the case, we may have 7777, or יהפקיד: as, הַבְּשִיה rising early, Jer. vii. 13; הַבְּשִיה setting up, Neh. vii. 3; השׁמֵיד destroying, Amos ix. 8.

15. When either the second or third letter is a guttural, or 7, with 22 we then have (-) for the final vowel, as before: as, The resisting, find. his 1 Sam. xv. 23; קובר your bringing to recollection, Ezek. xxi. 29.

16. In many instances, we have the first vowel of the Infinitive / the the same with that of the Preterite tense: as, אַרָּבְיִים giving rest; Jame as shaking, Jer. l. 34: הַּמְלֵים liberating; הַבְּיל saving, Is. xxxi. 5.

17. בְּבְּרִיב 1 Sam. ii. 33, is probably put for לְבְּרִיב (Art. 73.), השנים

7 auch

1 tak

المون

derived from the root إلات ; على he punished. Whence correction, punishment. See Eichhorn's edition of the Lexicon of Simonis, sub voce 278.

resure

### On the Objective Voice, HOPHHAL.

18. This voice is grounded on nouns of the form of or (Art. 157. 10.). And here, as in the Pūḥál, the agent never appears; and hence, both are called by the Hebrew Grammarians, as are also those corresponding to them in Arabic by the Arabians, Conjugations the agents of which are not named.\*

19. Kibbúts occasionally accompanies π, instead of (τ) Khātúph; as, בשׁבֶּל thrown down, Ezek. xxxii. 32; אַבֶּל cast down, Dan.

viii. 11.

20. When the first radical letter happens to be a guttural, ( 1:) occupies the place of Shevá: as, The is laid waste, Ezek. xxvi. 2, where the Kāméts Khātúph becomes a perfect vowel, and remains under the characteristic 77, by analogy (see Art. 55.).

21. The participial nouns frequently occur with ( ) instead of (ד) Khātúph: as, בְּשִׁים laid down, 2 Kings iv. 32. So in the feminine, משלכת cast down, 1 Kings xiii. 25. In one instance, the characteristic a is retained, as is often the case in the Chaldee: as, מְהָקְצְעְוֹת (for מְהָצְעְוֹת) made angular, Ezek. xlvi. 22. But, when the first radical is a guttural, it will take ( +: ), as before : e.g. set up, 1 Kings xxii. 35: בּלְבָּלָה contained, held, 2 Chron. ix. 18; מַחַרְבָּוֹת wasted, Ezek. xxix. 12. So בַּחַרְבָּוֹת (who is) forbidden, Exod. xxii. 19. Here also Kāméts Khātúph is equivalent to i.

rleply

the ... ) loco (+)

## صيغةٌ مَا لَمْ يُسَمِّ فَاعلُهُ ، Arab , قِدِرٍ إِنْ شِرْكُ دَبِود نَيْمَ وَبِرِدْا .

The reason of this seems to be, that, as the nominative is included in the verb, and, as in these passive conjugations, this nominative is not the agent, but the patient on which the influence of the verb is exerted, if any other word were added as a nominative, (which would then be in apposition with the pronoun so included,) it would not represent the agent, but the patient; and further, it is unusual in the Shemitic dialects to use a periphrasis; as, Judah was killed by Simeon; for then it would be shorter to say, Simeon killed Judah; the Grammarians, therefore, have laid down this apparent omission as a rule, although some instances are to be found to the contrary.

22. The characteristic 7 of this and the last species is generally rejected, when preceded by any preformative taking an initial (;) Shevá: as, יְהַפְּקְר for יְכָּקְר; יְהַכְּקִיר for יְכָּקָר; for יְהַבְּקִר, &c. See Art. 73.

23. For the peculiar force of this species, both subjective and

objective, see Art. 157. 2-10.

196. Paradigm of the Conjugation of the Seventh Species termed HITHPAHEL.

#### PRETERITE TENSE.

	SINGULAR.		Plural.	
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
3	הָתְפַּקְּד	הָתְפַּקְרֵה	הָתְפַּקְדוּ	הַתְּפַּקְדוּ
2	בַּעַבַּפַּקְּדַתָּ	ההפקדה	ביעפּפוֹבשׁם	ביניפּפַלּבמוּ
1	הָתְפַּקּדְתִּי	התפקדתי	הָתְפַּקְרנוּ	התפקדנו

#### PRESENT TENSE.

	SINGULAR.		FLURAL.	
3	יִתְפַּקְד	עּתְבַּקּד	יִתְפַּקּדְוּ	תִּתְפַּקְרָנָה
2	הִתְפַקּד	תֹתְפַּקְדֵי	תֹתְפַּקְרַוּ	תתפקדנה
1	אָתְפַּקִד	אָתְפַּקּד	נּתְפַּקּד	נתפַּקר

### IMPERATIVE.

SINGULAR.

PLURAL.

PLURAL.

התפקד הָתִבַּקּקְדֵי התפקדנה התפקדו

#### INFINITIVE.

התפקד

#### PARTICIPIAL NOUNS.

SINGULAR.

מָתְפַּקּדִים מִתְפַּקּדִים מִתְפַּקּבָת מִתְפַּקּד מתפקדת or

## ithpakel.

### On the Species termed HITHPAHEL.

V. m. bosh
us of Imperal:
in (-)

2. The last vowel in both tenses, as well as in the Imperative, is frequently (-): as רְבַּחַחָה he seemed strong, 2 Chron. xiii. 7: רְבַּחַחַה he shall be shaved,\* Lev. xiii. 33, and, with the Chaldaic prefix אָרָחַהְּאָּ he joined himself, 2 Chron. xx. 35. So in the present tense, בְּחַהָּאָ I will console myself, Ps. cxix. 52 (the primitive word being בּחַבְּ, not בַּחַבּי, not בַּחַבּי, thou arrogatest praise to thyself, Prov. xxv. 6; בְּחַה thou shewest thyself kind, 2 Sam. xxii. 26; בְּחַה thou shewest thyself perfect, Ib. et Psalm xviii. 26; בַּחַה thou shewest thyself perverse, 2 Sam. xxii. 27. See Ps. xxxvii. 4, and 1 Kings xx. 22, for the Imperative. Here the primitive word seems to have had (-) for its last vowel.

+ 21.5. 2 of hills. 2 niphal 3. In אָרָבְּיִבְּיִי he shall be expiated, Deut. xxi. 8, we have a contracted form for אָרַבְּיִבְיּ, a compound of both the Hithpāhêl, and Niphhál, forms. To which I see no ground for objection; because I hold that the augment of the Niphhál species may be prefixed to a noun commencing with א, with just as much propriety as it can to any other. The Rabbinical writers often use this form.

furcatul,

4. Any root having a medial letter incapable of receiving Dāgésh, will make the usual compensation (See Art. 109.) The verb here also, as in other instances, may have the paragogic  $\pi$  (See Art. 175. 4.).

with 2? rui

also.

mtiefele

6. In the participial noun we have אָלְהְנֹיּלִ, irritated, Isa. lii. 5, for אָלְהִילֹיִ, where the primitive noun is of the form אָלְהָיֹלִי, The femining form has cometimes a segulate termination to as

dag.nifells.

7. The feminine form has sometimes a segolate termination; as, אַרְאַבְּּקְבֶּי, strengthening herself, Ruth i. 18; מְחָבּלְּקְבָּת contained, Exod. ix. 24.

<sup>\*</sup> The future signification of this tense will be accounted for in the Syntax.

8. In Exod. ii. 4: 22771 and she stood, we have a very singular anomaly, and one which has given great trouble to the Grammarians, 38 nn among whom Albert Schultens seems to me to have approached nearest to the truth. His solution of the difficulty is:-" It is not only," says he, " in the sibilants that a transposition might take place (Art. 83. 2. 3.), but also in any other letter, as in the Arabic

species افتعل. If then we take 그렇게 for the root, and write 그렇게 다 for 그렇게 and then drop the '(Art. 72.) we shall have 그렇지다. which will be sufficiently analogical. Alting refers the form to a Syriasm. Heb. Gram., § 163.

9. Of the objective form of this species only a few instances had 25 occur; as, it they were set in order, arranged, Num. i. 47, ii. 33, xxvi. 62, 1 Kings xx. 27. In our authorized version, this word is translated by numbered, for which there seems to be no good authority. For some account of (+) instead of (-) under : + fn the first radical letter, see Art. 185. 4. 5; 157. 10, note.

2. Other examples are: המשפה polluted (for המשפחה, where ש and ח combine under b, Art. 83.), Deut. xxiv. 4; and הַנְשׁנָה 3. made fat, Is. xxxiv. 6, for התרשבה Art. 83. 1.

10. For the properties of this species, see Art. 157. 12-16; d. 115. and for the transpositions which sometimes take place in the letters, Art. 83.

## On the remaining and less usual Species of the Conjugation of Hebrew Verbs.

- 197. Of these, the forms קובד, and התפובד classed under the third, fourth, and seventh species (Art. 186.), will be considered when we come to treat on those roots which have I or I for their middle radical; or, have the second and third the same; because those verbs alone are subject to these forms.
- 2. It must have appeared from what has already been said, that, although the roots or words to be conjugated may be multiform, the conjugation is but one: conjugation that is to say, the different persons, &c., are formed in persons in all cases by one general and regular process. The

Cp. 2.4

Pernami) o p

( + he fm. fi

- r not t

Participles a

persons, for example, are formed either by prefixing or postfixing the defective forms of one or other of the pronouns (Artt. 188. 189.): the Imperative and Infinitive by taking the root and suffixing the pronouns or not; and the participles by prefixing D, which may then be inflected like other nouns. The following examples taken from the Mikhlol of D. Kimkhi will sufficiently illustrate this subject, as applied to pluriliteral roots.

- . (1 .. : . )

# he put on a garment so called. ]

#### PRETERITE TENSE.

3 pers. בְּרְבֵּלְתִּי; 1 כִּרְבַּלְתִּי, &c. as before.

#### PRESENT TENSE.

3 pers. אָבַרְבֵּל; 2 הְּכַרְבָּל; 1 אָבַרְבֵּל, &c.

## ACTIVE PARTICIPIAL NOUN.

Masc. מְכַרְבָּלֶה fem. מְכַרְבָּלֶה or מְכַרְבָּלֶח, sing.; masc. מְכַרְבָּלְים, fem. מְכַרְבְּלִים, pl.

## PASSIVE PARTICIPIAL NOUN.

לְּכְרְבְּל, &c., 1 Chron. xv. 27.

10. 1. 2.3. 24. 5. Dufl. norms

40.

- 3. In the same manner may be conjugated שְׁבְּיִי he cut off; שׁ he was full of moisture; וֹרְיִוֹרְ he spread; בּיִישִׁר he undermined; בּיִישִׁר he inflamed, &c., which are nothing more than reduplicated nouns. See Art. 169.
- 4. From the noun מְלְחָבֶה a breast-plate, we have אָלְחָבֶה thou puttest on a breast-plate, Jer. xii. 5. And as a participle, בְּלְחָבֶה, Ib. xxii. 15.
- היינות ליינות ל

wamply

above), undermining. Many of these, however, are placed by other Grammarians under roots having the second and third radicals the same. In one instance we have an objective form, 17373 they were sustained, 1 Kings xx. 27.

6. The following are supposed by Kimkhi to have been formed radual. by reduplicating the first and second letter of the root (Art. 169. 3.): as, שִׁנְשֵׁנֵ soothing, delighting; תַּנְתֵּנֵ יִ soothing, delighting ridiculing. (Whence שַתְעְהֵילָ Gen. xxvii. 12;) לוֹכְיבָיתְ thou art exceedingly beautiful, Ps. xlv. 3; Kan bowing down, overwhelming:these, by reduplicating the last two, and dropping the first, radical: 기후구한다 turning about, tortuous; 기후다다 becoming very hot; perambulatory (see Art. 169. 6.); and the following, by also prefixing the particle חַה: as, הַתְּבֶּהְבָּה delaying.

7. All these, as far as they are found conjugated, follow the general analogy already laid down: e. g. כְּלַלְּהָיּ I (will) arm Is. xix. 2; אַכְּכָּבְיּר he will arm, Ib. ix. 10. From תְּשֹׁנְשֹׁנִי , שִׁנְשֵׂנִי thou (fem.) causest to grow, Is. xvii. 11. So אחתתהחת he delayed; they delayed, Judg. xix. 8; התְמַהְמָהָנוּ we delayed, Gen. xliii. 10. Infinitive, הַּתְּמַהָּמָ delaying, Exod. xii. 39. Participle, מְתְמְהָמָה, It. יְתְמְהְמָה he will, may, &c. delay, Hab. ii. 3.

In the last instance, the final it is radical, and therefore it has the Mappik and remains unchangeable, of which more will be said hereafter.

8. It should be observed, that these verbs are found in a very few of the persons at most, and some only as participles or verbal nouns: in no case, perhaps, is any verb found conjugated throughout all the species.

On these pluriliteral verbs, see Jauhari under ,-, Martelotto's Gram. Arab., pp. 185. 162-3. Bochart. Hierozoicon, tom. II., Thinkt col. 689. Orig. Edit. Hariri, by Mr. de Sacy, p 10 in voce See also Jauhari and the Kamoos under this and 1 hov. 66. similar words.

of 1 + 2? 1.2.1

5. 12031

4 with

Zynlin

7 mol.

1 1 + for.

#### LECTURE XII.

#### ON THE DEFECTIVE VERBS.

- 198. Having given tables of the verbs whether simple or augmented, and explained some occasional anomalies (as they have been called), we now proceed to consider those verbs which present certain defects in their several We shall be brief, however, because a table of the conjugation of every kind of verb will be given at the end of these remarks. Our principal business now will be to shew, how these defective verbs are reconciled in form with those already considered.
- 2. It has already been shewn, that primitive words doubled having a 1 in certain situations (Art. 76.), or either of the letters אהני (Art. 72.) will occasionally drop it; and, that pt. And one of the two last radical letters of any word, when both are the same, (Art. 77.) may also be rejected. We now remark, that when words thus circumstanced are conjugated with the pronouns, they will be affected both in Mymonytheir vowels and consonants by these considerations. We do not think it necessary here to divide these verbs into Defectives and Quiescents, as has usually been done; because that would, perhaps, be multiplying distinctions without sufficient reasons for doing so.
  - 3. All the anomalies or defects, then, that can possibly occur, must arise from one or more of these letters occurring as the first, second, or third, radical letter of the root; or, when the second and third radicals are the same.
  - 4. Let us begin with those commencing with 3. The rule is (Art. 76.), to this effect: Whenever 2 is affected

with a final Shevá (:), it must be dropped: i. e. when- with final ever the D in TPD of our paradigm has a final Shevá, then, putting any verb commencing with 1 in the same situation, the will be rejected, and the defect supplied by Dagesh forte.

5. All verbs of this kind, therefore, will be conjugated regularly in the Preterite tense of Kal; as, עָנָי, he approached; נְנְשֵׁת ,נָנְשֵׁת ,נָנְשֶׁת , גָנְשֶׁת , &c., as also in the בושת אל מים Pīhél, Pūhál, and Hithpāhél, throughout.

In the Present tense, the leading word is wit; here, then, we shall have יְנְנֵשׁ, but by Art. 76. עַנָּשׁ, אָנְשׁ , תִּנְשֵׁי, &c.

- 6. In the same manner in the preterite of Niphhál, ינְנָשָׁ and so on by the same rule through the נְנָבָשׁ, for נָנְבָשׁ; and so on by the same rule through the Hiphhil and Hophhal species.
- 7. The Infinitive or abstract verbal noun is here, for the most part, of the form נגשת; as, גנשת, and, dropping the 1 (Art. 76.), nwi; but, if a guttural be the last radical letter, ( ) will become ( ) as it is the case with segolate nouns (Art. 108.).
- 8. The Imperative is wind for will, which is regularly conjugated : as, נַשִּׁר ,נִשִּׁר ,נִשִּׁר . It will be unnecessary to notice this verb farther.
- 9. As the verb | he gave, placed, &c., has a little peculiarity about it, in having also for its final letter, it will be necessary briefly to notice its conjugation.
  - 10. Here, then, the final I will also be rejected by our rule (Art. 76.), whenever that has a final Shēvá: as, PD, for PD, &c. And, as in is the leading word for the present tense, we shall have regularly, וְמָחֵן, אָנְתָּן, &c.; but, by our rule, וְחָרָ, וְמָחָן, &c. This, however, does not universally take place in other verbs commencing with 3.

11. In the Infinitive, for בְּחֶבֶּה or הַבֶּה, according to our last example, we have הַנְהָּ or חָבָה or חָבָה or חָבָה or חָבָה or חָבָה

3 affects is desp dagest

ac Ret Hish. in Rose

. . Reject

Wan' 1 in trut. כתן

had been the primitive form; and hence, with the affixed pronouns, my giving; אָהָוּה his giving, &c.

12. In these, as in other verbs, we may have (-), ("), or (ז), for the last vowel of either of the tenses: hence שַּׁבְּוֹל thou shalt exact, Deut. xv. 3; בוֹל it shall wither, Ps. i. 3; the primitives being, perhaps, בְּלֵל perspectively.

13. When the second radical letter is either a guttural or א, and therefore incapable of receiving Dāgésh, the compensation, when is dropped, is either expressed or implied, (Art. 109.); as, first, אָרַרָּיִ, for בּוֹיִלָּי, for בּוֹיִלִי, he will descend; second, בּוֹילִי, he will receive consolation.

14. In most cases when the middle radical is a guttural, the is not dropped; as, אַבְּיִלְּים he groans; בְּיִבְים he rages; he will possess; בְּיִבְים he is mild. There are also a few instances in which the is retained, when the second radical is not guttural; as, יְבְּעֵים he will keep, Jer. iii. 5; אַפּיים ye will exact, Is. lviii. 3, &c.

15. The ב' is also occasionally preserved in the Infinitive; as, בָּלֵל withering; בְּלֵל touching: and in the Imperative; as, בְּלֵל leave; בְּלֵל keep; לְלֵל dig; plant, &c.

16. As one verb commencing with , namely , he took, is subject, in some degree, to the same rule, it may be proper to notice it in this place.

This verb, then, is found in the species Kal, Niphhál, and Pūhál only. In Kal, the is dropped whenever it is accompanied by a final (:), as also in the Imperative, and occasionally in the Infinitive. In the preterite tense, therefore, it will retain all its letters regularly. In the present, we shall have אַכָּילָי, for אַכִּילִי, and so on. In the Imperative we have אַכְּילִי, אָכִילִין, &c. In the Infinitive, we have אַכּילִין, &c. In the Infinitive, we have two forms, אַכִּילִין, (once אַכִּילִין, 2 Kings xii. 9.), and אַכְּילִין, Deut. xxxi. 26.

17. In Niphhál the י is retained; as בּלְקְּחָה and יוֹלָ it is taken, 1 Sam. iv. 11, 17, 22. Infinitive, הַלָּקְח being taken, Ibid. 19, 21.

19. The participles are regular as far as they are found: as, one who takes, &c.

לקת

I form only

2. hiphal

3. Puhal.

## On the Verbs commencing with & or ..

- 199. The next class of roots we shall notice as subject to certain defects, are those having an N or for their first radical letter.
- 2. Now, as these letters standing as the first of any word will lose their power, as consonants, only, when preceded by certain vowels (Art. 37.), the consequence is, the conjugation will be regular, as in 700, in the preterite of Kal, the Infinitive and Participles; as also in the whole of Pihél, Pūhál, and Hithpāhél; but, in this last, they seldom occur. We need not therefore give any table of these forms. Let us now proceed to consider those parts in which any defect or contraction arises, in consequence of either of these letters losing their powers as consonants; and, first, of those which have 8 for the first radical letter.

In one case, we have אָנושׁ: as, שַּגוּשׁ; so אַנוּשׁ; so אַנּוּשׁ; so אַנּוּשׁ; so אַנּוּשׁ; so אַנּוּשׁ; so אַנּוּשׁ; so אַנוּשׁ; so אַנּוּשׁן: contr. for אַנְּוּשְׁהּ I am languid, Ps. lxix. 21.

4. Taking אֹמָר, then, (or any other of these leading words,) and prefixing the abbreviated pronouns with (:), (Art. 189. 15.), we shall have אָמֶר, אַמֶר, אַמְר, אָמְר, אַמְר, אַמְר, אַמְר, אַמְר, אַמְר, אַמְר, אַמְר, אָמְר, אָמְר,

אָבּה he held, has אֹבְה and יֹבְּהָ, הַאָּה, and יֹבְּהָּ, &c.; many other verbs also, of this kind, have two forms in the present tense.

5. In the Imperative אָּמְרְי, אֶּמְרְי, &c. the Infinitive is אָמְרְים, or אָמְרְים; the participle active אָמֶר, plural אָמְרָים, אָמְרִים, as in קַּקָּר. So in the Passive Participle, אָמְרּר.

- - 7. In Hiphhil and Hophhal, the same rule prevails; as, אָמְינָה הּ believed; הַאֶּמְינָה, הָאֶמְינָה, הָאֶמְינָה, הָאֶמְינָה, אָמֶינָה, &c. Present tense, וְאָמִין, יַאָּמִין, אָמִין, אָמִין, אָמִין, אָמִין, as in other cases.

8. So in the Participial noun, בְאֲמֶינָה, fem. בְאֲמִינָה, pl. בְאֲמִינָים, &c.



10. In Hophhál, בותות things or persons held, contained, or the like, 2 Chron. ix. 18, is agreeable with the analogy.

11. Enough perhaps has been said to shew what the process of conjugating these verbs is: we may now proceed, therefore, to those which have ' for their first

radical.

200. One remarkable circumstance attends these verbs, which is this: There is a difficulty in ascertaining, whether i or has the greater claim to be considered the first letter of these roots. The same is the case in the Arabic: and, from the frequent substitution of one for the other in the Hebrew, the same difficulty must have long existed in this language.\* We shall, therefore, in considering the conjugations, take it for granted, that the primitive forms were written with either ' or ', and, that the one or the other of these letters has been occasionally taken, as the most convenient for enunciation, when preceded by some vowel depriving it of its power as a consonant.

On the KAL, PIHEL, PUHAL, and HITHPAHEL Species of Verbs commencing with .

2. In Pīḥél, Pūḥál, and Hithpāḥél, as before, we have no defect, which is also the case in the preterite of Kal. In the Infinitive, Imperative, and Present tense of Kal, and in the Niphhál, Hiphhál, and Hophhál species, therefore, our only defects will occur; and these we now proceed to consider.

& Han Re

<sup>\*</sup> Thus we have וֹרֶד for לֹבִי descending, 1 Sam. xxx. 24; מוֹרָד progeny, Gen. xi. 30; ולֵך id., 2 Sam. vi. 23; ולָך heavy, Prov. xvii. 27; ולָך laden, Ib. xxi. 8. In all which cases, and indeed in almost all others in the Arabic, is taken for the first radical letter.

Tuled

3. In the present tense of *Kal*, then, as in former cases, the last vowel of the root may be either (-) (") or (i), which may be accounted for in every case, by supposing a different form of the primitive word to have been originally taken. So far all is as before.\*

4. In the next place, when the preformatives are brought in contact with ', two modes of adjustment will take place among the vowels and consonants; the one by contraction and occasional omission also: the other by a sort of accommodation and omission: e. g. 1st. " שׁבְּי becoming dry; present בְּיִבְי ; contracted by Art. 87. 5. שׁבִּי ; and by omission, שֹבִי , where ( · ) is a perfect vowel by analogy. So יִבְּי he will possess; אֵישִׁ he will sleep; אִישִׁ he will awake; אִישִׁ he will place; ' he will form.

2. 5. The second method is, by contracting the (·) and (:) into (··), and omitting the radical : as, בּיבּי, for בּיבִי he will dwell; אַבְיּבְי I will go; אַבְּי I shall know; אַבְּי she shall descend. This sort of contraction generally takes place, when the last vowel of the leading word is (··), probably for the purpose of promoting euphony, as in the segolate nouns. See Art. 108. In the following examples, (i) is the last vowel of the primitive form: אַבּוֹי we agree, Gen. xxxiv. 15; אוֹב they agree, 2 Kings xii. 9; שׁבְּיִי he blushes: but these two may be derived from אוֹב and שׁבּי as the roots.

6. Of this kind, the following are, according to Alting, the only verbs which occur; viz. אַלְי he agreed; אַלְי he knew; אַלְי he begat; אַלְי he went; אַלְי he went out; אַלְי it was dislocated;

<sup>\*</sup> I must dissent from Schræder in supposing many of these roots to be conjugated like those commencing with 3 (Reg. 72.), because, the analogy of the language requires a different process when certain vowels precede 3, from what it does when they precede 1, or 1; and because the signification of these roots, though cognate, requires no such sacrifice of principle.

ור he descended; אין it seemed evil; שִׁיי he dwelt. The fol- Thur br lowing have both forms; Do he became hot; The formed; he burned; it was precious; "by he desolated, and win he became dry, to which "iz" above noticed is referred by some. In a few the ' is retained with ( -): as, אֵילָכֶּה I will go, Mic. i. 8; היקר. he shall be precious, Ps. lxxii. 14.

7. The former of these modes may be considered, therefore, as conformable with analogy; the latter, as accommodated to

euphony.

8. In all those verbs which conform with the analogy (and all are so except the few above noticed) the Imperatives and Infinitives July Vho follow the general paradigm: [7] spitting, abs. 70! founding, 87! fear thou, &c., in construction.

9. But, when an elision takes place, Art. 76, the first radical letter is dropped, the final vowel remaining: as, 77 Infinitive and Imperative, fem. דָּוֹת and חַבָּי. This verb has also and ירִד and ירִד for the Infinitive. So Imp. מולד and ירִד give, root בוֹב; אָן know thou, and with the paragogic ה, העד. Infin. for דְּעָה and דְּעָה. What verbs are to be used in the several forms, can be determined only by usage.

10. Several forms of the Infinitive are occasionally derived from the same root: i. e. according to our system, sometimes the abstract noun of one form is taken, and sometimes that of another; as, לוד bringing forth, Job xv. 35, form לֶבֶת; Gen. xvi. 16; אבר (for אָרַתְּ form פָּרָתְּ ) 1 Sam. iv. 19. So אבר descending, Gen. xlvi. 3; 'TT' (form T) my descending, Ps. xxx. 4.

11. To this variety of forms may be referred the following examples; אָם־שׁוֹב תִּשׁבוּ Jer. xliii. 10, if ye will still abide, where is probably put for Diw, the being dropped, by Art. 76. that thou shalt surely prevail; or, prevailing shalt prevail, Job xlii. 2, where לֹב stands for לֶב' (root לֶבֶל) by the same rule.

<sup>\*</sup> Kimkhi tells us, that his brother derived this word from 'thus: for אלת, striking out the latter ל, חל, and varying the vowel חל. In the Mikhlol, we generally have the leading word for the Present tense given thus: the root יְנֶבְר-נְבָּב; root הַבּ-נְהַב; whence we are to infer, that the persons of the Present tense will be ההב, ההב, &c., root בים whence Pres. ביטב, and so on.

12. This verb לְכֹל forms the Present tense in <u>Kal</u>, as if the root had been אָנְכָל; as, אוּכֵל, אוּכֵל, אוּכֵל &c., for אָנְכָל. See Art. 87. 2.

13. In the other species, viz., Pīhél, Pūhál, and Hithpāhél, the analogy of the paradigm אוֹבָייָם is regularly followed; excepting only, that in the verbs אַבְי he knew, אוֹבָי he threw, and, אוֹבְי he contended, the ' of the root is changed to ז: as, אַבְּיִים he made known; אוֹבְייִם he confessed; אוֹבְייִם he disputed. In Pīhél, however, we have אַבְּיִים (for אַבְּיִים Art. 73.) he shall make grieve, Lam. iii. 33; אַבְיִים they shall cast out, Ib. iii. 53. In Pūhál we have לּבִיִּבְיִים Jer. v. 8.

14. We now proceed to the others; viz. Niphhál, Hiphhál, and Hophhál, in which the first letter of the root will lose its power as

a consonant.

15. It has already been remarked, that these verbs are sometimes conjugated as if 'had been the first radical letter; this is the case in the three species before us. In Niphhál, for example, we shall have in the Preterite שֵׁשִׁשֹׁשׁ (for שֵׁשִׁשׁׁשׁ Art. 87. 1.), from the root שִּשִׁישׁי (for שֵׁשִׁישׁ Art. 87. 1.), from the root שִּשִׁישׁי (for שֵׁשִׁיִּשׁׁיִי (for שִׁשִּׁיִשׁ) where 'retains its power as a consonant. In a few instances 'remains in the Present: as, אוֹנְיִשְׁיִי he shall be pierced with a dart, Exod. xix. 13; 'אַשְּׁיִי he waits Gen. viii. 12; שִּשְׁיִבּי for שִׁשְּׁיִשְׁיִי made hot, Is. lvii. 5. The Imperatives and Infinitives are regularly formed; as, שִׁשְּׁיִבּי Art. 87. 2.). We have, however, אוֹנִי שִׁשְׁיִב made mournful, fem., Lam. i. 4, and שׁבּיב id. masc., Zeph. iii. 18 (for אוֹנִי בְּיבְּיבׁ &c. Art. 87. 2.).

16. In Hiphhil we have הושיב (for הַּוְשִׁיב Art. 87. 1.), and, in the Present tense, יוֹשֵיב הי יוֹשִיב, and so on throughout.

17. In some roots, however, the (י) remains, and either forms a diphthong with the preceding vowel, or coalesces with it by contraction; as, first, אַבְּיַחַ, or אַבְּיִחַ according to the Kĕthîv (for אַבְּיִחַ, root אַבְּיִחָ, lead out, Gen. viii. 17; יוֹיָשִׁ וֹ or יוֹיִשְׁ direct thou, Ps. v. 9; יוֹיִשְׁ וֹ I will chastise, Hos. vii. 12. So in the Participle or noun of agency, יוֹיִשְׁ using the right hand, 1 Chron. xii. 2. In the second place, the contraction may take place by the preceding letter taking (יי): as, בּיִשְׁיבֹּ (for בִּישִׁיבֹּ, or בִּישִׁיבֹּ, or בִּישִׁיבֹּ, or אַבְּיִבְּיִבּ, וֹיִשְׁיבֹּ &c. throughout; אַבּיבִּין אַרָּר I will take the right-hand direction, Gen. xiii. 9. Inf. בּיִבִּין &c. In Exod v. 7, we have בּיִבּיִין repeat ye, where & stands in the place of lor: but, here the leading word might be

Vi Rad.

H. 2 . Rud.

Stiphil

semains inapor

intracting 2

קיף, from the root אָבָּאָ, cognate with אָבְיָ: in בְּיִבְּיִלְ for יֵיבִּילִיל Is. xvi. 7; and אֵילָיל for אֵילִיל for אַיליל for אָיליל for אַיליל for אָיליל for אַיליל for אָיליל for אַיליל for

18. In Hophhál the dof the root takes distributions: as, בְּשִׁלְּה, see Art. 87. 2.) he was made to dwell. So in the Present tense, בְּשִׁלְּה, אַנְיִּלְהָ &c.; or, in both cases with ( ) for d: as,

שב אבי &c. Art. 72.

On the Conjugation of those Verbs which have \ or \ for their Medial Radical Letter.

201. When these verbs terminate either in a quiescent ה, or in ה, ש, or ז; as also, when conjugated in those species which have Dāgésh in the middle radical, they follow the general paradigm; as, אוֹן it was watered; it became; הוֹן he breathed; אוֹן it became white. So also יין vomit ye, Jer. xxv. 27, from אוֹן; אוֹן he acted as an enemy, אוֹן he was weary, which are perhaps only nouns.—With Dāgésh in the middle radical; as, אוֹן he made crooked; אוֹן אוֹן he was made crooked. So in verbs with a medial י, he criminated; הוֹעוֹן he procured by hunting. In all other cases, the medial radical ז or loses its power as a consonant, and gives rise to several apparently defective forms.

 ン.ソ.

mede.

- 3. For the forms אָבְקְּבְּ and בְּקְבְּ which are usual in the Imperatives and Infinitives, we here have אָבְּ (for בְּיִן art. 87. 2.), and, בְּיִן (for בָּיִן, or בָּיִן Ib. 4.)
- 4. In the Pīhél, Pūhál, and Hithpāhél, Species, when the middle radical is not doubled, which is mostly the case, the leading forms will be, דְּהַתְּפּוֹבֶד, and בַּוֹבֶד, and בְּוֹבְד (Art. 197.): as, בְּוֹבְי he raised; בּוֹבְי and בְּבִילְם he was raised. In these cases, the process of the conjugation is regular throughout. Nouns of agency, &c. will be בְּבִילְם, מִקְּוֹבֶם, and בְּבִילְם, capipa, and בְּבִילְם, respectively; the feminines and plurals of which are regularly formed, when in use.
  - 5. The Participial noun in Kal is, for the most part, constructed on the same form with the Preterite: as, בּוֹרָה, pl. בְּבֶּרְהָּ &c.: רְבָּרָה פָּרִר בְּיִרְה בְּרָרְּבְּרָר בְּרָרְה בְּרָרְּבְּרָר בְּרָרְה בְּרָרְבְּרָר בְּרָרְבְּרָר בְּרָרְבְּרָר בְּרָרְבְּרָר בְּרָרְבְּרָר בְּרָרְבְּרָר בְּרָרְבְּרְר בּרָר בּרָרְבּרָר בּרָר בּרָר בּרָרְבּרָר בּרָר בּרַר בּרָר בּרָר בּרָר בּרַר בּרָר בּרַר בּריר בּרבּר בּריר בּרַר בּרַר בּריר בּריר בּריר בּרּר בּריר בּריר בּריר בּרּר בּריר בירי בּריר ביריר ביריר בּריר ביריר בירייים בּריר ביריר בירירייים ביריר בירירי ביריר ביריר ביריר בירירי ביריר ביריר בירירי
  - 6. In the first and second persons of both genders and numbers, in the Preterite of Niphhal, the leading word seems to be a segolate of the form [ ] or [ ] i. i. e. [ ] for [ ] for [ ] Art. 87. 2. 4; in which cases, the vowel ( ) is introduced between the root and the

<sup>\*</sup> In קב, מתן, and בן, the vowels become perfect on account of the accent. In הַּבְּין, הַבְּין, הַבְּין, הַבְּין, הַבְּין, הַבְּין, וּבִּין, הַבְּין, הַבְּין, וּבִּין, הַבְּין, וּבִין, הַבְּין, וּבִין, הַבְּין, וּבִין, הַבְּין, וּבִּין, וּבִּין, וּבִּין, וּבִין, וּבִּין, וּבִין, וּבִין, וּבִין, וּבִין, וּבִין, וּבִין, וּבִין, the first vowel is made perfect, in order to complete the syllable; which becomes necessary on account of the first radical being taken to commence the next syllable. The (") is taken in Hiphhil, being the vowel obliquely corresponding to (·) of the regular paradigm. See Art. 96. 2.

<sup>†</sup> That is, dropping the middle radical letter out of our common measure 775, as is the case in these verbs, then assuming the proper vowel, and doubling the last radical letter, we have 775, &c.

- 7. In every other case Niphhál takes the leading word קֹר ; as, for קֹרְוֹם, as in בָּקְרִוֹם &c. (Art. 193. 4.)
- 8. A similar anomaly takes place in the corresponding persons of the <u>Hiphfil</u> species, which may perhaps be accounted for in the same way; as, הַקִּימְי, הַקִּימְי, הַקִּימְי, &c., but here, we also have the regular form; as, איני הַקּימָר, הַקּימָר, הַלּימָר, שׁבּי בּיִּמְיִמְיּה.
- 10. Verbs having a medial (') will sometimes drop it, and supply its place by ("), as it happens in other verbs, in the Hiphhil species; as, אָרָהְיָּה for אָרָהְיִּה thou shalt lodge; and, with the accent drawn back, אַרְהְיִה 2 Sam. xvii. 16. But, when either the first or last radical happens to be a guttural, we shall have (-): as, אַרָּהְיִּה or אַרְּיִהְיִּה or אַרְיִּהְיִה So with אַרְיִּהְיִה he put away, Gen. viii. 13. The same will occasionally take place with a pause accent: as, אַרְּבָּהְּה Judg. xix. 20.
- 11. Hence it will appear, that verbs having a medial, may, in the present tense of  $\underline{Kal}$ , take the same form which they, as well as those having a medial, will in  $\underline{Hiphkil}$ ; and that they can be distinguished only by the context.

## On the the Third Class of Defective Verbs.

202. These verbs are formed upon roots whose third radical letter is subject to elision; and this may take place, either when one of the letters occupies that situation (Art. 198. 2.), or, when the second and third radical letters happen to be the same (Art. 77.): as also in certain cases, when of or it is found in that place (Artt. 76. and 188. 23.).

2. But, before we proceed to consider these defects, it will be necessary to offer a few observations on the causes which have led to them: and first, we shall consider those which have one or other of the letters for their third radical.

3. It has already been remarked (Art. 200.), that considerable difficulty has been felt both in the Hebrew and Arabic, in ascertaining which is the proper root in many instances wherein these letters are concerned. It is also a fact, that primitive words having either of these letters for the first, second, or third radical, and forming words similar in some respects in sound, will generally have either the same, or very nearly the same, signification: e. g. אַבָּל, סָבְּלְל, יִבְלְל set up, to which perhaps an army, performed divine service, &c. which some make cognate with אַבֶּל beauty, and אַבְּלָל he became inflated, &c. So אָבָל ל, יִבְל ל, בּוֹל ל. \*\* to which many others may be added.

י So שַּׁבְי and שִּׁמְבּן; מְנִבְר ן; מְנּר , יָבֵר ן; מְנּר , יָבֵר ן; מְנּר , יָבֵר ן; מְנִי אָרָץ , יִקְץ , בְּרֶב ן; קְנִץ , יִקְץ , בְּרֶב ן; קְנִץ , יִקְץ , בְּרֶב ן; קְנִץ , יִקְץ , where a large list of this sort of verbs is given.

It may be proper here to notice remarks sometimes made on verbs of this kind, which, I am induced to believe, are entitled to little credit. "Singularis Linguæ genius," says A. Schultens, Inst. Ling. Heb., p. 399, "et granditas

\*

20-

7 and

T and

- 4. If this be the case, then, we need not be surprised in occasionally finding the vowels, and even the signification of one cognate root applied to another; as, חַבְּרָא, for אָבְרָּא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אַבְרָא, for אָבָרָא, for אַבְרָא, for אָבָרְא, for אַבְרָא, for אָבָרְא, for אַבְרָא, for אַבְרָא, for אַבְרָא, for אַבְרָא, for אָבָרְא, for אַבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבָרְא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבָרְא, for אָבָרְא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְּרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבָרְא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָּא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָּא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָא, for אָבְרָ
- 5. The following assume the <u>vowels</u>, and in some instances the forms, of a cognate root; אָלָיִלְּי taken away, Ps. xxxii. 1, where the form is derived from גָּשָׁדְ, while the root is אָלָיִלְ, So, תְּרְבֶּינְהּ, they (fem.) will heal, Job v. 18; אַלְיִלְי, they (masc.) will heal, Jer. viii. 11, and אַכְּיִלִיתִי she, or it, was healed, Ib. li. 9; בְּלֵיתִי I wondered, Ps. exxxix. 14; אַכְּילִיתִי thou hast thirsted, Ruth ii. 9 (for אָלָכְיִּלְי, as if the root had been בְּלֶילִיתִי bear (root אָלֶיִלְי). In like manner, we have בְּשִׁי they bear (root בְּלֵילִי we they are full, Ib. xxviii. 16; ישׁרִינוֹ we

stili, sæpe ansam præbuere, ad geminæ Radicis formas in unam conflandas." The first example he gives is being Is. xxx. 5, which he calls "specimen insigne. Hiphh. Radicum with a reality aruit, et with fatuit, signantissimi præ se ferens: ex with fatere fecit, et with a acescere fecit, coalitum." Remarks of this kind are often met with in the Thesaurus Grammaticus of Buxtorf, in the Mikhlol of Kimkhi, the Rabbinical Commentaries, &c. I must confess, however, I can see little in all this, but the occasional adoption of one or other of the cognate roots, as indeed it occasionally happens in the Arabic, without any intention whatever on the part of the writer, to combine more ideas than one in such verb. In the above instance, then, I believe with has been the root taken, to be used nevertheless in the sense of with I must object to the vowel (i) Khōlėm: because, I think, it may have been introduced for the mere purpose of supporting this hypothesis. In Eichhorn's edition of Simonis's Lexicon, sub voce with a series of with the control of Simonis's Lexicon, sub voce with series of with the control of Simonis's Lexicon, sub voce with series of with the control of Simonis's Lexicon, sub voce with series of with the control of Simonis's Lexicon, sub voce with series of with the control of Simonis's Lexicon, sub voce with series of with the control of Simonis's lexicon, sub voce with series of with the control of Simonis's lexicon, sub voce with series of with

are vile, Job xviii. 3, to which many more may be added; but, as they are always noticed in the best Lexicons, they need not be detailed here.

- 6. In the first place, then, every root terminating with a radical ה, that is, with ה having Mappik inscribed, will be regularly conjugated like הַבְּהָיּב, ; as, הַבְּ he was high; בְּהַהְּ thou wast high, בְּבְהָּתְּ they were high, &c. This letter, therefore, is not subject to any elision, either here or elsewhere.
- 7. It is when it is a substitute for it, or it, only, that any departure from the general paradigm can take place in any case.
  - 8. In the following instances, however, no such substitution takes place, but ' and ' retain their original places; ' וואר ביי וואר אוני ווואר אוני וואר אוני ווואר אוני וואר אוני ווואר אוני וואר אוני וואר

1 1

nely

J. n

- 10. It must be remembered, however, that in every other species (··) is generally the vowel which precedes 'in the Preterite. Even in Kal (··) would be more agreeable with the analogy than (·), because לְּיִילִּבְּ &c. should be, according to the general paradigm, לְּיִלֵּבְ , which by Art. 87. 3. would become לִייִלְבְּ : but, as (··) preceding the 'may also become (·) Art. 87. 4. this may have been adopted in this species.
- 11. The Present tense of every species here ends in  $\neg \neg$ ,\* as do also the Participles. The Imperative has  $\neg \neg$ , but this is the form of construction assumed by all nouns ending in  $\neg \neg$  (Art. 143. 5.), and such will the Imperative generally be.

12. The Infinitives follow the general paradigm, and end in in the masculine; as, אָן and אָן seeing.†

The feminine form always ends in אָן; as, אַלְוֹת or בְּלְוֹת (for בּלְוֹת Art. 87. 1.).

13. The agent or active participle will end in הַ; as, בֹּלֶה fem. בֹּלְהָה (for בֹּלְהָה Art. 73.). The patient or passive participle ends in י; as, יְּלְּלִי, form בְּלְוֹיִם, regularly, where the final is quiescent, fem. בְּלוֹיִה, pl. בְּלוֹיִה and בִּלוֹיִה : here appears as the proper radical letter. ‡

<sup>\*</sup> Except the 2 pers. sing. fem. and pl. masc. and fem., as also the 3 masc. and fem. pl., where הוא is dropped, or changed to בְּלֶבֶה, f. תְּבֶּלֶה, f. תְּבֶּלֶה, f. תְּבֶּלֶה, f. תִּבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלְּה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶּה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלֶה, f. תִבְּלְּה, f. תִבְּלְּהְתָּה תִּבְּלְּהְתָּה תִבְּלְּהְתָּה תִבּילְּה, f. תִבְּלְּהְתָּה תְּבְּבְּלְהְתְּה תְּבְּלְּה, תִבְּלְּהְתְּה תְּבְּלְהְתְּה תְּבְּבְּתְּה תְּבְּלְתְּה תִבּילְתְּה תִבּילְּה תִּבְּבְּלְהְתָּה תִבּילְה, תִבּילְּה, תִּבְּבְּבְּבְּה תִּבְּבְּלְהְתָּה תִבּיל, תְבּילְה, תִּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּתְּה תִבּיל, תְבְּבְּבְּתְּה תִבּיבְּבְּתְה תִבּיבְּבְּתְה תִּבְּבְּתְה תִּבְּבְּבְּבְ

<sup>†</sup> In קְּלָּה acquiring, 2 Sam. xxiv. 24, and הֹרְוֹ וְהֹבּוֹ conceiving and meditating, Is. lix. 13, Schræder thinks we have a final ז for the last radical letter: but this is far from being certain; קְּבְּוֹח and הֹרְוֹן will terminate regularly according to our paradigm; and, omitting the final הוֹל by Art. 72, we shall have the forms, הְבֹּוֹ בְּוֹה הֹרִוֹ , קְנֹוֹת. The other example, viz. י. 9, adduced by him, is probably a plural noun in construction.

<sup>†</sup> In Is. iii. 16, we have a various reading, viz. מָמַרְוֹּת and בָּמַרְוֹח, as also

On those Verbs which have an \( \cdot\) for the Third Radical Letter.

2. The Present tense is, אַנְאָצָא, and so on.
In every other species, the persons of both tenses,
(except the third singular and plural of the Preterite of both genders, those of Pūḥál, and of the Present of Hiphhil, the vowel preceding א is (בּי). As Niphhál,

אווון, 3 m. אַנְאָאָן, 1 com. (בּיִצָּאָרָן, 1 com. (בּיִצָּאָרָן), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאָרַן), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאָרַן), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאָרַן), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאַרַן), 1 com. (בּיִצַּאַרַן), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאַרַן), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאַרַן), 1 com. (בּיִצַּאַרַר)), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאַרַר), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאַרַר)), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאַרַר), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאַרַר)), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאַר), 1 com. (בּיִצְּאַרָר)), 1 com. (בּיִצַּאַר)), 1 com. (בּיִצָּאַר)), 1 com. (בּיִצְּאַר)), 1 com. (בּיִבְּאַר)), 1 com. (בּיבְּאַר)), 1 com. (בּיבְּאַר)), 1 com. (בּיבְאַר), 1 com. (בּיבְאַר)), 1 com. (בּיבְאַר), 1 com. (בּיבְאַר)), 1 com. (בּיבְאַר), 1 com. (בּיבְאַר)), 1 com. (בּיבְאַ

י בְּמְצָאָתִי ; plur. com. אַנְאָנְאָרִי &c. Pres. tense, אַבְּיִּ &c.; בּמִצְיּאָרִי ; plur. com. בְּמִצְיּאָרִי &c. Pres. ל. בּמְצִיאָרָ &c. Pres. ל. בּמְצִיאָרָ &c. Pres. ל. בּמְצִיאָרָ , f. תְּמְצִיאָרָ and Hithpāhél all is regular. In Pūhál we have, Pret. 3 pers. masc. אַבָּאָרָ , f. מַצְאָרָר ; בְּאַצְרָר, f. מַצְאָרָר, f. מַצְאָרָר, f. מְצָאָרָר, f. מַצְאָרָר, f. מַבְּאָרָר, f. מַבְּרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְּרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְּרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְּרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְּרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְרָר, מְבְרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְרָרְרָר, מַבְרָרְיבָר, מַבְרָרְרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְרָר, מַבְרָרָר, מַבְרָרְרָר, מַבְ

We have, however, אָבָּייֵי it shall be changed, Eccl. viii. 1, where, according to Kimkhi, the vowels belong to the root בְּעָב Mikhlol, fol, קער.

3. In the Infinitives and Participles a contraction mostly takes place; as, שְּאֵח or שְּאָר, for שָּאָל, for שְּאָל, root בְּשָׂאָל ; root בְּשָׂאָל ; root מִנְאָאָח ; root שִּׂנְאָח for שִּׂנְאָח for שְׁנְאָח for חְלָאָח, root בְּקֹאַח propiping filling (Art. 87. 5.).

in 1 Sam. xxv. 18; אַשׂרְּוֹרוּ and אַשִּׁרּוֹרְיּן , no reliance, therefore, can be placed on Schræder's remark, that these are words retaining their primitive radical ז. So likewise אַשׁרָּ Job xli. 24, may be a verb, and אַבָּן Ib. xv. 22, for אַבְּרָה by Art. 72. By the same rule we have בָּקִר clear, innocent, for בָּקִר בּּרָר.

- 4. Some verbs here, as in the other classes, have ( -) for the last vowel of the Preterite of Kal: as, אֹבֶי he feared; אֹבֶי he filled; אֹבֶי he hated; אֹבֶי he thirsted, which they will retain throughout that tense, where other verbs have (יוֹ): as, 3 m. אִבְי, f. בְּאָר, לִבְּאָר, 1 com. יְבָאָר, and so on. In every other tense and species they are conjugated like אַבָּי.
  - 5. For the rules and examples relating to Apocope when happening to these or any other verbs, see Artt. 74. 119. 3—8.

#### LECTURE XIII.

ON THOSE VERBS WHICH HAVE THEIR SECOND AND THIRD RADICAL LETTERS THE SAME.

- 204. It has been laid down as a rule, that whenever the last two letters of any primitive word happen to be the same, one of them may be dropped, for the sake of euphony (Art. 77.) There are cases, however, in which this will not take place: these we shall notice first, and then proceed to shew in what instances and in what way our rule applies.
- 2. First, then, in the reduplicated species, i. e. in Pihél, Pūhál, and Hithpāhél, the middle radical letter 1. Lower will either receive Dāgésh forte, as in The Mor, the redu-2 plication will take place on the measure Thie, Thie, or Thie, or, lastly, on one or other of the redupli-3 cated forms (Art. 197.). In these cases, therefore, no defect will take place.
  - 3. In the next place, the following examples follow the general paradigm; as, The intended, Deut. xix. 19; The intended, C.

4. The Participial nouns of Kal; as, אָרָלּר, as, בּבְיּב surrounding, Gen. ii. 13, pl. בּבְיִב 2 Chron. iv. 3; אָרָלּר, Gen. xxvii. 29,

pl. ארוּרִים, Josh. ix. 23, &c.

5. In some instances the Infinitive also takes the form קֹלְיבָּי, as, for surrounding, Numb. xxi. 4; בְּחַבְּיל for warming, Isa. xlvii. 14, form קַלְּיל, with (-) changed to (+) on account of the

pause, (Art. 123. 5.).

- 6. Again, in no case, in which either the first or third radical letter of any root is subject to elision or contraction, will our rule take place; as, in the first case, יְבְּוֹרְוֹן they flee, Ps. lxviii. 13; יְבִּוֹרְוֹן he exclaimed, root הַּתְּתָּתִי for הַּתְּתָּתִי for הַּתְּתָּתִי for הַּתְּתָּתִי So, הַתְּתְּתִּתִי for הַּתְּתָּתִי. So, הַתְּתְּתִּתִי for הַתְּתְּתִּתִי . So, הַתְּתְּתִּתִי for הַתְּתְּתִּתִּי for מָבָּר and the last radical retains its power as a consonant, one of the two is dropped: as, יִבְּ for הַתְּתְּתִּת for. v. 5.
- 7. Whenever, therefore, one or other of these exceptions does not take place, one of the two last radical letters of these verbs will regularly be rejected in both the tenses—in the Imperative, and occasionally in the Infinitive of Kal,—the whole of the species Niphhâl, Hiphhâl, and Hophhâl.
- 8. The most convenient method, perhaps, of stating this defect will be by saying, that the second radical with the preceding vowel, or Shevá, is rejected; as, בְּבָב, for בְּבָב, &c. which will generally hold.
- 9. In both tenses, then, of the species Kal, Niphhál, Hiphhíl and Hophhál, as also in their Infinitives, Imperatives, and Participles, excepting those already mentioned, will the second radical letter of all such roots be rejected; but, whenever any asyllabic augment

takes place, this rejected letter will be restored by the operation of Dagésh forte (Art. 77.)

- 10. If, in the next place, we except the first and second persons of both numbers and genders, throughout all the Preterites of these species, the abbreviated pronouns which are asyllabic will be the same in these as in other verbs. But, in all the first and second persons of these preterites, the vowel i is introduced, as in Art. 201. 6. between the root and the pronouns; e. g. taking בְּבָּׁלָ for the root, 3 m. בְּבָּלִ חָ, 1 בְּבָּלָת, לַבְּלֵּתְ, לַבְּבִּוֹתְן, לַבְּנִתְן, לַבְּנִתְן, לַבְּנִתְן, לַבְּנִתְן, בַּבְּנִתְן, בַּבְּנְתְן, בַּבְּנִתְן, בַּבְּנִתְן, בַּבְנִתְן, בַּבְנִתְן, בַּבְנִתְן, בַּבְנִתְן, בַּבְּנִתְן, בַּבְּנְתְּן, בַּבְּנְתְּיְן, בַּבְּנְבְּתְּן, בַּבְּנְתְּן, בַּבְּנְתְּן, בַּבְּנְתְּן, בַּבְּנְתְּיְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּיְּתְּבְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּתְּיְבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְתְּבְּתְּתְּבְתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּתְּבְּתְבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְּבְּתְב
- 11. It should be observed, that (as the first letter of the root in the Present necessarily commences a syllable,) the preformative will either take Shevá (:), (or a perfect vowel.) It will take Shevá when the accent is on the third letter, or farther from the beginning of the word; (in all other cases the vowel will be perfect:) as Pres. Kal, 3 pers. m. אַסְבְּינָה fem. אַסְבָּינָה fem. אַסְבּינָה fem. אַסְבָּינָה fem. אַסְבָינָה fem. אַסְבָּינָה fem. אַסְבָּינְה fem. אַסְבָּינְה fem. אַסְבָּינְה fem. אַסְבָּינְה fem. אַסְבָּינְה fem. אַסְבָּינְה fem. אַסְבְּינְה fem. אַסְבָּינְה fem. אַסְבְּינְה fem. אַסְבָּינְה fem. אַסְבְּינְה fem. אַסְבְּינְה fem. אַסְבְי
  - 12. It should be remembered, that the jusually found between the second and third radical, and which is considered as characteristic of the *Hiphhil* species, never appears in these verbs.

1 com. הוֹסְבּוֹת, &c. Present: 3 sing. m. הוֹסְבּוֹת, f. אוֹסְבּ ח. 2 m. הוֹסְבּוֹת, f. הוֹסְבִּינָה. In the last, and every similar case in this species, the first vowel is necessarily immutable: it must, therefore, remain perfect. So in the Imperative and Participle, הוֹסְבָּוֹת, f. הוֹסְבָּוֹת, &c. מוֹסְבָּה, &c.

15. In the species Pīḥel, Pūḥal, and Hithpāḥel, the forms assumed for conjugation must be determined by usage, i. e. whether they are to be אָבָּוֹרָ, אָבִּוֹבָּן, פוֹרָד, סִיּבְּיּבִּי, סִיּבְּיִּבְּי, סִיּבְּיִּבְּי, סִיּבְּיִּבְּי, סִיּבְּיִּבְּי, סִיּבְּיִּבְּי, or any other of the reduplicated forms (Art. 197.); and when this is done, the process will be analogous to that of the general paradigm.

16. Of the verbs terminating in 2 or 7, enough has been said, Artt. 198. 10. 188. 23. 26. 28.

- On the doubly Defective Verbs: i. e. Verbs, in which more than one of the Radical Letters may be subject to quiescence or elision, by the operation of the preceding Rules.
- 205. Roots may be supposed to exist composed of such letters as may all be subject to elision or quiescence, when occurring in certain situations: but, that they all should be subject, at the same time, to such rule, the nature of the case makes impossible: because, quiescence can take place, only when some homogeneous vowel precedes; and this pre-supposes that such vowel is enounced by a consonant. And, in the case of one of the two last radical letters of a verb being dropped, it is also supposed, that some preceding vowel is enounced, which cannot be done without the influence of a preceding consonant.
- 2. Hence it will follow, that such part of any root as is unaffected by the influence of a preceding vowel will remain unaltered: and also, that no two contiguous letters can at the same time be subject to defect by the process of conjugation, if we except the operation of apocope, and those cases in which any of the letters are dropped, as being unnecessary to the pronunciation.) But, when the first and last are subject to quiescence or elision, the middle radical letter, be that what it may, will generally retain its character as a consonant, and may receive a Dāgésh forte; or if not capable of being doubled, may be compensated in the preceding vowel being made perfect.
- 3. In this case, therefore, as in all the preceding ones, the general paradigm holds good: but, as the concurrence of certain sounds is incompatible with the pronunciation of the Hebrews, some changes will take place, in order to avoid this difficulty. Example, however, is

always easier to be understood than precept; we shall now give, therefore, a few examples of all the cases that occur; which, after Schræder, we shall divide into three classes.

# First Class.

- 5. The first is subject to quiescence, and the third to elision, as in אָבְּא he was faithful; אָבָּא he slept; אָבָא, or אַבְּא, or אָבְּא, he was handsome. Here the will be rejected, as before, whenever it is affected by a final Shevá (:) by analogy; the final אַ hy Art. 188. 23; and the initial or א, will be quiescent, according to the rules detailed in Artt. 199. 200.
- 6. In the next place, the first will be subject to elision and the third to quiescence, in such roots as אַשְׁיִי he bore; as, Present tense, 3 p. m. Kal, אַשִּׁי for אַשְׁיִי (Art. 198.); Imp. אַשָּׁי; Inf. אִשְׁיִי, or אַשִּׁי. Niph. Pret. and Part. אַשִּׁי. Hiphhil, Pret. אַשְׁיִי. Hoph. אַשִּׁיִי: also in roots terminating in ה, for י or י: as, הַשְּׁיִי. he extended; Pres. Kal, הַשְּׁיִ: and, by apocope (Art. 74. 2.), שִׁיִּ or שַׁיִּ: But here, the Imperative and Infinitive are sometimes written at length; as, הַשְּׁיִ extend, Exod. viii. 1, &c.; הַשְּׁיִן declining, Num. xxii. 26. In Niphhal, שִׁיִּ for הַשְּׁיִן, and, with a pause accent (Art. 119. 11.), אַיִּיִּי are they extended, Numb. xxiv. 6.

Hiphhil, וֹחְטַּהְ, 3 sing. fem. with the pronoun i, for הַּנְטְרַתְּהוֹ, she subdued him, Prov. vii. 21. Pres. מָלָ for הַּנְטְרַתְּהוֹ, by apocope, incline, Ps. cxli. 4, and Imper. מְלֵּ הַ incline thou, Ps. xvii. 6.

לונות א Again, verbs having both the first and third radical letter subject to quiescence; as, אָהַיֹּ (for אַהַאַיִּ), contraction of אַהָאַיִּ Art. 87. 5., from the root אַהָּאִי וֹלָהָי Deut. xxxiii. 21; אַרָּ וֹלְהָי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהָי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהָי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהְי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהָי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהְיּי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהְיּי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהְיּי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהְיִי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהְיֹלְי וֹלְי Ib. from the root ווֹלְהְיֹלְי Ib. from the root ווֹלְלְלְי וֹלְי וֹלְלְי וֹלְי וֹלְי וֹלְי וֹלְי

## Second Class.

8. The second class of doubly defective roots includes those which have a medial ו or subject to quiescence, and the third radical letter subject to elision; as, ווֹבְּ or וְבִּ he understood; ווֹ he lodged; חשׁ he placed; as, שִׁי for שִׁי thou hast placed; וֹבְי we have lodged, for לְוְנִנוֹ (Artt. 76. 188. 23.). But, in these verbs, the final is not universally rejected; as, חַבָּי thou hast understood, Ps. exxxix. 2.

## Third Class.

9. The third class comprehends those verbs which have

1433

KUK

1,51,

19'

ירה

יגה

В

בוּן

717

Ei

113

1.5

or for the middle radical, and or of the third; each of which may be subject to quiescence, and in certain cases to rejection.

10. Of the first sort אוֹם entering in; אוֹם being crude, and אוֹף or איִר vomiting, are examples which may thus be conjugated.

PRET.

KAL.

ארע. א p. m. sing. א בְּאָרָ, f. הַאָּבְ, f. אבּ, f. הַאָּבָ, f. הַאָּבָ, f. הַאָּבָ, l com. יבָּאָרָע. Pl. 3 com. בְּאָרָע.

PRES.

3 p. m. sing. אֹבְי, f. אֹבְאֹ ; 2 m. אֹבְאֹ, f. אָבְאֹי ; 1 com. אֹבְאֹ . Pl. 3 m. אַבְאֹן, &c.

IMP.

3 p. m. sing. בְּאִנְה, f. בְּאִי ; 2 m. בְּאוּ. Pl. f. בֹּאֶינָה, or בְּאִנָה.

INFIN.

ביאָה, פיא, ביא, סי גביאָה.

PART.

אַ אָעל. Masc. sing. אָבָּ, f. הָאָד. Pl. בָּאָים, f. הָבָּאָר.

11. In those cases in which  $Kh\bar{o}l\acute{e}m$  would accompany the middle radical, 1 may be inserted or not: as, 원구, 원구, &c., or 원구, 사구, &c. And, in others, where  $K\bar{a}m\acute{e}ts$  attends the radical 와, 와 is occasionally omitted (Art. 72.): as, 가구 for 권유 we came in, 1 Sam. xxv. 8.

In Hiphhil we have, Pret. הֶבְאֹת, סֵבְאֹת, or הֲבִיאְוֹתְ, &c. Pres. הָבְיאָ or יְבֵיא or יְבִיא, and so on. Infinitive, הָבְיא, and with א dropped, הָבְיא, הָבָא סִבְיא, מְבָא מָבְיא, מָבָא

, הובָאת , הובָאת , הובָאה , הובָא , Pret. Hophhál, מֶבְי מובא . Pres. הובא, יובא &c. Part. מובא &c.

12. Examples, in which both a medial 1 or , and final 7, are at the same time quiescent, seldom occur, if we except those forms of the Present which are said to be subject to apocope: as, יהי, for he shall, or may be; so יהיי for יהיי by apocope (Art. 74. 2.) and having a paragogic &; root קוֹד for הוות Eccles. xi. 3. In all other cases the middle radical letter retains its character as a consonant, the third only being subject to quiescence: as,

#### PRET.

3 p. m. sing. הָיְיָה, f. הְיָיְה, 2 m. הָיִיְה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַּיְיִיה, f. הַיְיִיה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַיְיִיה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַיְיְה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַיִּיְה, f. הַיִּיְה, הַיְיְה, הַּיְיִיּה, f. הַיִּיְה, הַּיְּה, הַּיְּה, הַיְּיִּה, הַיְּיְה, הַּיְיִּה, הַיְּיִּה, הַּיִּיְה, הַּיְּיִיּה, הַּיְּיִיּה, הַיְּיִיּה, הַיִּיְה, הַיְיִּה, הַיִּיְה, הַיְּיִיה, הַיְּיִיה, הַיְיִיה, הַיִּיְה, הַיִּיְיִּה, הַיִּיְיִּה, הַיִּיְיִה, הַיִּיְיִיה, הַיִּיְיִיה, הַיִּיְיִיה, הַיִּיְיִיה, הַיִּיְיִיה, הַיִּיְיִיה, הַיִּיְיִיה, הַיִּיְיִיה, הִייִּיְיִה, הְיִייְיִה, הִייִּיִיה, הַיְיִיה, הַיְיִיה, הִייִּיְייִיה, הִייִיה, הִייִיה, הִייִיה, הַייִיה, הַיְייִיה, הִייְייִיה, הִייִיה, הִייְיה, הַיְייִיה, הַיְייִיה, הִייְייִיה, הִייִייה, הִייִיה, הְיִייה, הִייְייה, הִייְייה, הִייִיה, הִייְייה, הִייְייה, הִייְיה, הִייְיה, הִייְייה, הִייְייה, הִייְיה, הִייְיה, הִייְייה, הִייְייה, הִייְיה, הִייְיה, הִייְייה, הִיייה, הִייְיה, הִייְייה, הִייְייה, הִיייה, הִיייה,

#### PRES.

3 p. m. sing. יְהָיֶה, (once אָהָה, (בְּהָרָא, apoc. יִהְיֶה, (apoc. הָּהָר, apoc. הָּהָר, &c.

#### IMPER.

2 p. m. sing. חַוֹּה, id. fem. בַּוֹר.

#### PART.

# Masc. sing. Tin Ens.

13. Verbs of this kind are seldom found conjugated beyond the first or second species; and perhaps never throughout those.

It must be borne in mind, that, in any of these verbs, the paragogic א or ז may occur in their usual places that any of the אהוי letters may be dropped or not, when the preceding vowels will preserve the pronunciation of the word-that the second persons singular of the Preterite may have an added: as, and thou gavest and that Dagesh forte may occasionally be omitted, and a compensation made, by the preceding vowel becoming perfect. But, as the Dictionaries and Concordances will always shew in what cases these take place, it will be unnecessary to note them down here.

On the Inseparable Pronouns when attached to Verbs.

206. When the inseparable pronouns are attached to

3. 7 4. 3m

nouns, the meaning of the compound will, as we have seen (Art. 145. 1.), be propriety, possession, or the like; because in no other way can nouns and personal pronouns be construed together; but, when the same pronouns are combined with verbs, which are already compounded with pronouns by the process of conjugation, then, if the verbs are transitive, these further affixed pronouns must be considered as complementary of the signification of such verbs, or what we usually term an objective case: but, if such verbs be intransitive, then must they be translated by introducing some preposition or other word explanatory of the connexion thus formed; as, בּלְנִי he grew up for me, or with me, Job xxxi. 18; קרשׁקיף I am holy (as to) thee, i. e. I am holier than thou, Is. lxv. 5. So אָרָהָ shall dwell (with) thee, Ps. v. 5. We shall have occasion to speak more particularly on this subject when we come to the Syntax.

207. The next question will be, How will the addition of these pronouns affect the vowels and consonants of the verbs? Generally, having the vowels of the affixed pronouns given, those which precede and are mutable will be regulated by the general laws prevailing in the nouns; that is to say, any mutable vowel, situated at some distance from the accent, will be rejected, while any perfect vowel, deprived of the accent and followed immediately by a consonant, will be changed for its correspondent imperfect one. But, as a table will make all plain, we shall now give one with the regular triliteral verb: those which are subject to any defect will receive the same affixes, due attention being paid to their particular characters.

## 2. Table of the Abbreviated Pronouns as attached to Verbs.

#### SINGULAR.

Pers.	Forms proper for the Preterite Tense.	Do. for the Present
1 com.	י –ני –ני	
2 masc.	7, in pausa 7 or 7	קר or קַּ
2 fem.	7 7 <u>- 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 </u>	ייקלי זר קיין סר אקיין פרייקלי.
3 masc.	17 I water whole	וֹ – ַּדְּוּ
3 fem.	<u>ਜ਼</u>	·

#### PLURAL.

3. Affixes of the Present, when preceded by an Epenthetic Nún, more properly the Nún of confirmation and inference. See Art. 175. 17. &c., and the Syntax.

208. Examples of the Third Person Singular Preterite of the Verb, with its various affixed Pronouns.

י אַקּקְרָנוּ he visited me; בְּקְרָנוּ — us; בְּקְרָנוּ — thee, m., אַקרָבָּן — thee, f.; שְּקְרָנָן — you, m., בְּקַרָנוּ — you, f.; אָקרָרוּ , or contr. בְּקַרָרוּ , or בְּקַרָרוּ , im, בְּקַרָרוּ , im, בְּקַרָרוּ

122 %

22 fem.

1? heare.

ארנה; בּקבוּ, poetice וֹבְקבּיִם — them, m., וְבְּבְּים — them, f.

# Third Person, Singular, Feminine. Swith Sin a

#### Second Person Masculine.

לְּכְּלְנְּנְי thou visitedst me, com.; בְּלֵדְתָּנְי or בְּלֵדְתָּנְי — him, הְּלַדְתָּנְי — hem, m., בְּלַדְתָּנְ — them, m., בְּלַדְתָּנְ — them, f.

#### Second Person Feminine.

לַקְרְתְּנְי thou (fem.) visitedst me, com.; שַּקַרְתִּנְי — us, com.; בְּקַרְתִּים or בְּקַרְתִּיה — him, הָּקַרְתִּיה hem, m., בְּקַרְתִּים them, m., בְּקַרְתִּין them, m., בַּקַרְתִּין

# First Person Singular Com.

קַרְתִּיךְ I visited thee, m., בְּקַרְתִּיךְ — thee, f.; בְּקַרְתִּיקְ — you, m., בְּקַרְתִּיקֶם — you, f.; בְּקַרְתִּיקָם or בְּקַרְתִּים — him, בְּקַרְתִּים — her; בּקַרְתִּים — them, m., בּקַרְתִּים — them, f.

#### Third Person Plural Com.

#### Second Person Plural Com.

עפ (com.) visited me; שַּקְדְתְּונוּ — us, com.; בְּקַדְתְּונוּ — him, בְּקַדְתְּונוּ — her; בְּקַדְתְּונוּ — them, m., j. — them, f.

#### First Person Plural Com.

ש פְּקְרְנְוּךְ we (com.) visited thee, m.; אָרְנְוּךְ — thee, f.; אָרְנְוּרְהָ — you, m., בְּקַרְנִוּכֶן — you, f.; אין בּקַרְנִוּכֶן — him, הָקַרְנְוּרָ — her; בְּקַרְנְוּרָ — them, m., בְּקַרְנְוּרָ — them, f.

Examples of the Present Tense, with the affixed Pronouns.

#### Third Person Singular, Masc.

יִבְּקְרֵנְינִי ' he visits me, com.; וּבְּקְרֵנִי ' — us, com.; וּבְּקְרֵנִי ' — thee, m., קבְּקְרֵנִי ' — thee, f.; בְּקְרְנִי ' — you, m., יבְּקְרָנִי ' — you, f.; בְּקְרָנִי ' or בְּקְרָנִי ' — him, קבְּקְרָנִי ' or בְּקְרָנִי ' — her; בְּקְרָנִי ' — them, m., or, poetice 'בְּקְרָנִי ' — them, f.: with the Epenthetic or Paragogic ', בַּקְרָנִי ' he visits him, בִּקְרָנִי ' her, בְּקְרָנִי ' they visit; 'בְּקְרָנִי ' they find me, Prov. i. 28; ' they visit; ' they serve thee, f.; ' they take him, and so on

#### Remarks.

209. It will be seen by the Table, that every possible combination of the verb with the pronoun thus affixed is not made: I visited myself, thou visitedst thyself, and the like, would rather fall under the province of one of the reciprocal species: as, בְּלֵּחְלֵּחְלֵּחְ in Niphhāl or Hithpāhēl, and would not, therefore, be made in Kal by affixing the pronouns; but, which of these species is to be adopted, custom alone can determine. We have, nevertheless, a very few examples in which this combination is made in

Paren

nut ]

of the he

Kal: as, אֵבִי עֵישִׁירְנִי I made myself, or, for myself, Ezek. xxix. 3, in which the repetition of the pronoun seems to add some emphasis to the passage.

- 2. With respect to the vowels of the root, it will immediately be perceived, that they are subject to the same laws which prevail in the nouns, when receiving similar additions. In the asyllabic affixes, for example, as the last consonant of the root must be taken in order to enounce the syllable, the preceding vowel must either be perfect, or rejected. But neither of the vowels of the root in Kal is immutable; and, as the first is the farthest removed from the accent, it is rejected as in the nouns; and we have יְּבְּרֵבְיּ, just as we have 'TTT (Art. 153. 2.). In like manner, when the affix is syllabic, we have the first vowel rejected, and the second made imperfect, as often as the accent is with the affix : as, בַּלַרָבָּב, just as we have זְבַרְכֵּם in the nouns. This necessarily holds good in the Present tense, the Imperative, Infinitive and Participles; as also in all the other species Niphhál, Pīhél, &c., as well as in the defective verbs, nouns, and participles, due respect being had to the etymology of the words.
- 3. In a few instances the affix of the first person has (ד) instead of (-): as, לְבֶּלְי, he hath heard me, Ps. cxviii. 5; thou hast despised me, 2 Sam. xii. 10. But in the last case this seems to have been brought about by the influence of the accent (Art. 120. 7.).
- 4. For the feminine affix  $\overline{\eta}_{rr}$ , we sometimes have  $\overline{\eta}_{rr}$ ; as,  $\overline{\eta}_{rr}$ , he hath called thee (fem.), Is. liv. 6. So  $\overline{\eta}_{rr}$ , thy being created, Ezek. xxviii. 15, which are generally thought to be Chaldaïsms. In the last case, however, the pause-accent will be sufficient to account for the anomaly (Art. 123. 4.).
- 5. When, however, the accent is on any occasion drawn back, the vowel accompanying the affix will necessarily be imperfect; as, אַרְהָאָלָּהְיּלָּהְ she hath loved thee (fem.); אַרְהָּלְּהָּ she hath stolen them, &c. Art. 119. 3. cor.
- 6. It should also be observed, that whenever the root ends in either it or it by the process of conjugation, &c. the affixes are simply added; as, יוֹרָבְּיִי without any previous vowel or Sheva; and, that when the affixes are found both in the syllabic, and asyllabic, forms, the syllabic must be taken in these cases: as, אַרְרָבָּיִ they visited her; אַרְרָבְּיִרְיִּ they visited him.

  The reason is obvious: one vowel following another will be unut-

terable; and, in order to avoid this, a consonant must be intro-

- 7. In 취취 the terminating vowel gives place to the vowel of the affix; as, 가위가 thou visitedst me.
- 8. The form אָרָרָיָּלְי is found in a few instances with the pronominal affixes; as, אָרָרְיִּלְי is found (fem.) hast adjured us, Josh. ii. 17, 20: but, most frequently, the form אָרָרְיִּרְי is taken (see Art. 188. 25.): as, יבָּרְרִייִי ; and, without ', יבּרְרִייִי thou (fem.) hast visited me. When this is the case, the context alone must determine whether the verb is of the first or second person. In a few cases also, ( · · ) takes the place of ( · ); as, אור דייִרְרָיִרְיִּרְיִי thou (fem.) hast caused us to descend, Josh. ii. 18.
- 9. The second persons masculine and feminine plural take the forms אָרָבְּיָבְּי, instead of אַרְבְּיִבְּי or אָרָ before the affixed pronouns; as, יבּיבְּיִבְּי ye (com.) visited me. In this case, the affixed pronoun seems to be of the Chaldaïc form, אָרָבּי you, instead of the Hebrew one אַרָּבָּי, or אָרָבּיי,

# On the Vowels of the Present Tense, the Imperative, Infinitive, and Participles.

210. As the terminating vowel of the Present tense is mutable in the regular triliteral verb in Kal, it will be rejected upon receiving any asyllabic affix; as, יֹבְּיֵלְוֹלֵי, he visits me; but, when the affix is syllabic, it will be changed for its correspondent imperfect vowel; as, אָלְיִלְיִלְיִי, he visits thee, &c. due regard being had to the vowel as determined by usage: i. e. whether it be (יֹן) (-), ("), or ("), and to the following consonant, whether it be guttural or not.

In a few instances, the vowels proper for the affixes of the preterite are found with those of the present; as, יְלֵבֶּי he will fill me, Job ix. 18; בְּלֵבְי he will acknowledge us, Is. lxiii. 16; בִּלְבִּי ne will strike or pierce them, Num. xxi. 30, &c. And, vice versa, those proper for the present with the preterite; as, 'בִּלְבִּי he hath corrected me, Is. viii. 11.

- 2. These observations will apply to the affixing of the pronouns to the present tenses of verbs of every species and sort.
- 3. In the Imperatives, however, which receive no preformatives, the vowels will be regulated as they are in the nouns (which in reality the Imperatives all are); and, as the form proper for construction

dees

ray House Danory it

priorit

Imper

will take the affixed pronouns, the ultimate or penultimate vowels alone can be subject to change, as in the nouns; e.g. שָׁלֶחֵנִי send me, from שְׁלֶחֵנִי send: hear ye me, from אַלָחַנּ hear. So hear ye me, from דַּעָחוּר hear.

4. Those terminating in (י) have been thought to be subject to a transposition; as, שְׁבִּוֹבֶי keep thou me, from שִׁבִּוֹבֶי . I believe, however, that שְׁבִּוֹבְי is the primitive word taken in these cases,

whence we shall regularly have שׁמְרֵנִי, &c.

5. The Infinitives are subject to the same general laws with the Imperatives of Kal, and these also prevail in every species of the Segolate nouns; i. e. we must inquire, in the first place, what form of the primitive has been taken, and then add our affixes, &c. just as we do to those nouns. Examples; אָרָבְּילָם his reigning, from אָרָבְילָם, or rather אָרָבְילָם (Art. 106. 2.) your spurning, from אַרְבָּלָם or אָרָבְּילָם, for אַרְבָּלֶם (Art. 106. 2.) your spurning, from אָרָבְּלֶם or אָרָבְּלֶם, for אָרָבְּלֶם your reaping, from אָרָבְּלֶם, or אָרָבְּלֶם אָרָבְּלֶם your reaping, from אָרָבְּלֶם or אָבְּרָבָם or אָבְּרָבָם or אָבְּרָבָם or אָבְּרָבָם or אָבְּרָבָם or אָבְּרָבָם or אָבְּרָבָם.

6. It may be observed that with the affixes אָבֶי, and אַבְּי, both forms are found; as, אָבָילָבָּט thy eating, Gen. ii. 17; אַבְילָבָּט your eating, Gen. iii. 5; אַבְילָבָט thy hearing, 2 Sam. v. 24; אַבְילָבָט your hearing, Josh. vi. 5. But it seldom happens that the same word is found in both forms: which seems to shew, that in one case, one primitive form has been preferred, and in another,

another.

7. Infinitives of other forms are subject to the general laws which prevail in nouns of the same forms.

8. Nothing further need be said on the manner in which the participles receive the pronominal affixes. They are nothing but nouns, and are, therefore, subject to the same variations with them.

On the mode of affixing the Pronouns to the different parts of the Defective Verbs.

211. It will be quite unnecessary to detain the Student long on this subject. He will only have to bear in mind, what has been laid down in our Canons on the mutable and quiescent characters of the letters אָהָוּג —on , as

occasionally rejected—on roots having the second and third radical letter the same, and hence occasionally losing one, which will however return whenever circumstances will allow it, under the form of Dāgésh forte—and on the vowels, as regulated by the occurrence of gutturals, the addition of syllabic, or asyllabic augments, and the like; all of which has been abundantly exemplified in the preceding pages. We shall now, therefore, take our leave of this subject.—The following are tables, intended to show the full conjugations of the different kinds of verbs, in illustration of the rules detailed and exemplified in our present and preceding Lecture.

These Tables have been taken from the "Lehrgebäude der Hebraischen Sprache" of Dr. Gesenius, with some slight alterations.

1 2 40 20

# Paradigm of Verbs in KAL.

1	i and I have		0 0				. 1 191
h f	PRETER.	Regular Verb.		Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
	Sing. \3 m.		-1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	Var. as for	70,
	3 f.	הַמַל		י זְעַק			קֿב
	-	קמְלָה	עָמָדָה	ַאַנְקָה ×	שָׁמְעָה		ַ מַבְּה
	2 m.	र्वेद्व -	עָמִרִהָּ		्रे येत्रेटक्		
	2 f.	राज्यंग	גֿלַרָהָ	ग्रह्मा	ं कंचेंतं सं	בֿנַמְיהָ הָּי	סַבְּוֹת ׳.
	1 com.	קַמַלְתִּי הַ			הָשְׁבַוֹּגִינִי.	בֿכֿוֹמִיני	מַבְּוֹתִי
	Plur. 3 com.	קומולוי	עַמְדָר עַ		יַשְׁמְבְיעָר יֹ	ָבְנְישָׁרּ יַ	מַבּר.
	ς 2 m.	לַמַלְנָּוֹם	וַצַמַדְהָּמֶם		שָׁמַעְהָּם.	נַנְּמְּטֵּלִם	ַבוֹּטֶׁם
	2 f.	רַשַּׁלְתָּוּ	ַצַּמַדְהָּןוּ	וֹעַהְהָוֹי	, שֶׁמַעְּתָּן		סׄבּוֹמֶןן
	1 com.	קַמְלְנוּיִּ	לַבְיִרָנָהּ יִּ	זַעַקונוּ	ישָׁמַעְנר .	בַבְיִשָּׁברּ	ַ סַבְּוֹנוּ
						4	3- 6
	INF. abs.	קְמְיוֹל -	עְמְוֹד	זְעְוֹק	שַׁמְוֹעֵ יִי	בְגְוֹשׁ	קַבְוֹב
	INF. const.	הְמִל דֹין	ַ בְּלְדי,	זְלְּק "	שׁמְעַ -	ָּבְשָׁת יִּבְ	\$ ≥5
	IMPERATIVE.	2				1 1	
	Sing. m. 2nd	קמל -	ַ עַקְיד	זעה	<b>שַׁבְ</b> שִׁ	בָשׁ	ہڑ≤ دی۔دد
	f. ·	קמלי	עמָדִי	זעקי	שמעי	בְשִׁי	קבּי
	Plur. m. 2 nd	המלה	עמְדָר	זְעַקר	יטִמְעָר	אָנְישָׁר בִּישָׁר	קבר
	f	קִמְלְנָה	אָנְקיִרנָה <sup>*</sup>	זְעַקְבָּה	מָמַעְנָה	בַּשְׁבָּה	
<	PRESENT.	, ,		••••	, , , ,	and on Re	
	Sing, 3 m.	יָקְמְּל	ֿיַנְצַּמְד ״ַ	וִזְעַק	ישָׁמַע	רַבָּשׁ	
	3 f.	הַהָּמְל מ	* הַּלְעַּקוֹד	תוְעַק	השמע	क्षंड्रक	مِرْح .
	(2 m.	הִּלְמִיל °	ַרָּגְיִבְיּי הַגְּעָבְיֹד ֹ	תועק	השמע	धंहीत	
	2 f.	תַּלְמִלֵּי	ַּנִלְּמַלְּנִי בּוֹבֵּוֹ בּוֹבְּי	תזעקי	השמעי	תּבְּשׁׁי	הָלְבָּי
	1 com.	אָקְמְל	אַנֶּקיד. >	אָזְעַק	אָשִׁמַע	אָבָש	אַקב
	Plur(3 m.	וֹרָןְמִילְרִּ	ַנְעֲבְּרָה * יַנְעֲבְיִרָה *	יזעקור	רשמער	יב שר	יַקֹבּרּ
	3 f. ,		־יַבּדּי ז×ֿמַּעֲמְדְנָר				ַ הָּסָבֶּינָה הַסְבָּינָה
	(2 m.	תקמלו	ַ הַּעַעַקּקּדָרּ הַרָּבְּדִייִּ	תועקו	השמער	הָנְבְּישׁר	ַתְּסְׂבּוּ הַנְסְׂבּוּ
	2 f.		֓֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞֞			ַ תָּבַּשְׁבָּה הַנָּשְׁבָּה	
	1 com.	נְהָמְל בְּהָמְל	י בּוּבּדיי דּי יַּבְעָבְיד	נוער נוער	ַנִשָּׁמַע נשָׁמַע	ַלַ <b>כּ</b> מָּ	בֿלָב גיייאש זיי
<	Pres. apoc.	اجزاح	- T-: F	142.14	,		-77
	Part. act.	המל ד	למֶד	זֹעֶק	מִּלִמַ	נֹבֵישׁ	מבַב
	pass.	קמְנּל	נה',	י אין זערק	שָׁהְיּבּ	רביה היאלה היאה	קבוב
	Passi	71717	1-17-7	11777	3-11-5	-117	- '

# Paradigm of Verbs in KAL.

. Verb.	. v	erb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	. Verb.	Verb.	1
S init.		init.	S init.		¶ med.		" final.	
אָבַל :		ַנְשָׁב	ימָב ׳	קם	בָּן	מָצָא	נָלָה	
				קַבְּח	17	מָנִאָת	גַּלְתָה	1
regular		regular	regular:	קַמְתָּ		מָצֶאתָ	בָּלִיתָ	8
lar.		ılar.	llar	קקה		מָצָאת	בָּלִית	
1.		1 .	1	קָמְתִי	=	מְצָאתֹיי	בַּלִיתִי	
		1	1	קקה	like t	מצאר	בָּלָה	-
			- 1	קַּמְתֶּם	12 12	מנאמם	בְּליתֶם	
		1		מַבְּטֶּר		מצאתון	בְּלִיתָן	
1			we come	קַקנוּ		בַבָּאנוּ	בָּלִיכה	
	regular	m het to	mug how .	716-		। ग	1. 1	
אָכְוֹל	_ = =	יַשִׁי _	ביטוב _	קום קום	בְּוֹן	בְּיִנֹא בִּי	בְּלְוֹה	
אַכְּל הָ		שבו .	ימב י	1	בִּין	מִצְא	בלות	
r W:	· F F (:	2 form 1 - J:	£ = p. 3. 3					
אַלְל		ירש		קום	בין	מַצָא	ּ בְּלֵחְ	i-v
אָכְלִי וּ	ישבי	יוִרשִׁי		קומי	בִּינִי	מצאי	בְּלִי	1
אכלה	מבר מיבר		none.	קומו	בִינוּ	מגאנ	בְּלְה	1
אַכְּלְנָח .	מָבְבָּה	יִרשׁנָה		קֹמְנָה	_	מָצֶאנָה	ּבְּלֶינָה	
							3	
יאכֶל	וַשֶּׂב	י שיביי	ייפוב ייפוב	ַרָקָרם <u>'</u>	יָבְין	יִמְצָא	יִּגְלֶּה	5.
תאכל	್ ⊃ಜ್ಞೆನ	הירה	ניומׄב	הַקְּוּם	הָבְין	הִמְצְאָ	תּגְלֶת	11
תאכל	⊃່ກຸ່ນ	היהמ	הימׄב	הַקוּם	הָבְין	עמֹבֶא		
תאכְלִי -	ים שֶׁבֶּי	הִירִשִׁי	תימקי	הָקוּמִי	מַבִּינִי	המִמְאִי	תּנְלִי	
אֹבֶל	z'm'z	אירַש	אימֹב אימֹב		אָקין קקין	אָמָדָא	אָנְלֶה	
יאַכְלָה	יִשְׁבְרּ	יייר שוי	-	יָקוּמוּ יָקוּמוּ	יָבִינוּ		יִבְלְּה	-
	י מִשַּׁכְנָה	ו הִירַשְׁבָּר	תימַּבְנָד	הַקּהָמֶינָה	-	הַמְצֶאנָה		
תאכְלָה	השיקר	תירְשׁׁוּ	ניומלני	הַקוּמוּ	הָבִינוּ	עלהאני	הִגְּלְה	
		ו תִּירַשְׁנָר	הימַּלְנָה	הָקוּמֶינָה		הִמְצֶאנָה		
נאכל	בשב	ניקש	נימֹב	בָקָהם	נָבְין	נמֹבֵא	נְנְלֶה	
	-	~		יָלָם -	יָבֶוֹ		יָבֶּלְ	
אֹכֵל	1	ישב	ימָב י	קָם	جُرْ ا	מצא	גלה	
אָכְוּל ַ	. ב	רָשׁר	באף'	קום		בְיצְרָא	בְּלְהִי	-
			S					ī

# Paradigm of Verbs in NIPHHAL.

	1	0,		•		1
	Regular	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	5 init.	77
Sing. 3 m.	נקְמַל	בֶּעֲבַוֹד	כוַמַק	נְמָבְע	רָבַּיְשׁ	ַנְמָב
3 f.	בַּקְמְלָה	בָגֶנְמְדָה	כזעקה	נִּשְׁמִעְּת	ָנְנְּעָֽת	נְמַבָּה
2 m.	<b>र्त्</b> थ्रंक	בֶּעָמַדְתָּ	द्राष्ट्रकृत	נְמָבַעְיהָ	בַּנִשְׁתָּ	נְסַבְּוֹת
2 f.	נקמַלְתָּ	נעמדת	בזעקת	נשמעת	רַבַּיִשְׁהָ	לְמַבְּוֹתְ
1 com.	נקמַלְתִּי	בֶּנֶבֵירָתִּי	כִּזְעַקְהִּנִי	נשמעתי	לַבְשְׁתִּי	נְסַבְּוֹתִי
Plur. 3 com.	נַקְמְלָהּ	בֶּעֶמְרָהּ	כָזְעֲקר	רְשָׁבְעָה	רָבְּשָׁר	נְקַבֵּרּ ,
2 m.	נקמַלּתָם	נֶעֶמַדְמֶם	נזעַקָּקָם	נְשָׁמַעְהָּקָם	בֹנַּמְיּמֶתְ	נְסַבּוֹתֶם
2 f.	נקשַלְהָּוּן	נֶעֱמַדְהָּוֹ		ר שׁמַעְהָן	בַבַּשָׁתֶּןן	נְסַבּוֹתֶן
1 com.	<b>בְּקְמֵ</b> לְנוּ	בֶּעֲבַיְרַנוּ	<b>בּוְצַקְרֵנ</b> ּ	רְשָׁבֵן עָנרּ	רַבְּשָׁברּ	בְּסֵבְּוֹנוּ
				-3		
Infinitive.	ग्व्यंद	הגמב	הוָּגֵקה	<b>मंक्</b> रीय	ּ הַבְּבֵישׁ	הַפַּב
IMPERATIVE.				1		
Sing. m.	הַקָּמֵל	הַעָּבֶר	הַּגָּקק	השָׁמַע	הַנְּגִישׁ	הַפַּב
. , f.	הַקְּמִילִי	הַנְּמְהָי	הַּנְצַּקוּי	נימוֹלגל	הַבְּנִמִי	הַמְבִּי
Plur. m.	הַקָּמְלָרּ	הַנְּמְרָרּ	הַּנְצַקרּ	די מָּלְבִיעְרּ	דַקְבְּנְשְׁרּ	הַמַבּוּ
f.	ההמלנה	הנְמִרְנָה	הנָּעַהְכָה	ע הָּמָבְעִנְנָת	הַבְּנִימְנָה	הַפַּבֶּינְה
PRESENT.						
Sing. 3 m.	יַקְמָל	נגלב	ווּגִקה	र क़ियं प	ָרָבָ <i>ב</i> ְשׁ	יַקַב.
3 f.	धंधेतंद	עהלמר	त्रकृष्त्	<b>धं क्</b> वेंदित	יַת בָּגִישׁ יי	עפֿב
2 m.	<b>ए</b> ईवेंद्	הַעָּמֶר	הַנָּעָק	त्यं क्वें <u>व</u> िष	نافَوْرُه	ਧਰੋਧ
2 f.	הַקּמְלִי	מּעֲמְרָי	תּגְּעַקר	धं क्रीयंत.	<b>अंदेर्ध्यं</b>	נושבי
1 com.	भ्रद्वाद	אַעָּמָר	אָזָיִג <u>ַ</u> ק	<b>אָשַ</b> מַע	אָבָגִשׁ	אַפַבן
Plur. 3 m.	יָקְמְלָּהּ יָקְמְלָּהּ	וַעְמִדָּה	יוּגְעַקוּר	י שָׁמְעָרּ	יבָּגְשׁרּ	יִמְבוּ
3 f.	הַּקְמָלְנָה	ניגללולני	הִנְּאָהְנָה	הִשָּׁבַןעְנָה	הַנְּנִישְׁבָה	ניםֿפּֿינֿע
2 m.	הַנְקְמִלְה	הַּעֲמָדָרּ	תַּיָּגְיִבְּקָרִּ	तं क्षेत्रह	הַנְּנִשְׁר	<b>הַנ</b> פַר בּר
2 f.		עלמובלע	תּנְּעֵקְּקָבָה	ה שָׁבַעְנְה	עַּבָּגִישְׁבָה	ניפֿבּינָע
1 com.						रंबेट
13 11						
Pres. apoc.	_	-		-	_	-
PARTICIPLE.	נְקָמְל	ָּגְעֶבֶּןד	בּוְעָקה	נִשְּׁכָּןע	ڎڎؙؙؚۺ	בָמָב
1-	1	,				

Paradigm of Verbs in NIPHHAL.

Paradigm of Verbs in NIPHHAL.									
Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.				
nit.	init.	" med.	5 med.	g final.	final.				
קאכל	נוֹשָׁב	בָקוֹם	נָבְוֹן	נמצא	נגָלָה				
10_	בוֹשֶׁבֶּה	נְקוֹמָה		נמִצְאָה	נְגָלְרָאָה				
like	בוּשַׂבְתָּ	בָקוּמְוֹתָ	like	נמָצְאַתְ	נְנְלֵיתָ				
first	כוּשַּׂבְהָּג	נְקוּמְוֹת	تابر بازار	נמצאת	נְנְלֵית				
lett.	נושבהי	נְקוּמְיתִי נְקוּמְיתִי	40	נמֹצְאתי	נְנְלֵיְתִי				
gutt.	כושבו	בָקוּמוּ		נמצאו	בְּבְלָה				
12	רוְמַבְהָּלִם	נְקוּמוֹתֶ <b>ִ</b> ם		נמֹצאטֶם	בְּגְלֵיתָ <i>ו</i> ם				
	כושבהו	בְקוּמוֹתֶןן בְקוּמוֹתֶןן		נמִצאהֶן	ּנְגַליתָן				
הַאָבֶל	כוּשַׁבְנוּ	בְקרִבְינר	1	נמִצְאנוּ	<b>בְּבְ</b> לִיכה				
הַאָּבֵל	הנימב	הקום	הְבְּוֹן	הַמָּצֵא	הַנְּלְת				
	בונְיָמִב	הְקְּוֹם	הַבְּוֹן	הַפְּצֵא	הַּנְּלֵה				
	יהְנְישָׁבְי	הִקְּוֹמִי	like	ַהַּמְצְּאָי	הַבְּלִי				
	דְּנְיִשְׁבְרּ	הקומו		נפֿגאני	יוֹבּּלְנִּ				
	הנְיִשְׁבְנָה	הַלְּמִנָה	٥١٩٥٦	נפֿגאנים	הְּבָּלֶינָה				
hau		min		terri.	יַּבֶּלֶה				
נאָבֶל	ت بالم	יקום מרים	יִבְּוֹן	במחת המלא	ַתַּבְּלֵּה הַבְּּלֵּה				
	בוניים ב	תקום	like	ಕ್ಷಪ್ತಿಸ್ತರ್ ಗಡೆಪಿಸ	תַּנְלֶּה				
as	בייייב	תְקוֹם תרניתו	the the	ם ממאר ני מַמֹּצְא	תּבָּלִי				
יגפור	न्द्रीयूर्	תקומי	last	השמח נופלללי	אָנָלֶה				
:3	⇒¢iã≃	אָקוֹם קיומי	5 20	nann Häää	יַּבְּלָרּ				
	יַנְישָׁבְרּ	יקומו מלמו		יַפְּנְצְאָרּ יַפְּנְצְאָרָ	תּבָּלֶינָה				
	הנייבי	הַקּמְנְת הַקּמְנָה		עללאלע ניפֿאלאנע	הַנְבָּלְרִּ הַנְבָּלְרִּ				
	ילימללע ילימללע	הַּקְּמְנָה הַקּמְנָה		ַ װַּמָּמֵׁאַנָּה היביביה	תּבָּלֶינָה				
	>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	יייקור איז כקום		さんだった。	בּבְּלֶה				
•	-H.4-	ب رارا ت		ه څاه دار ه	1,644				
_	_			_	וַבָּל				
נאָכָל	בוֹשָׁב	כָקוֹם	בְּרִוּן	נִלְּבֶאָ	נְנְלֶה				
		s 2			-				

# Paradigm of Verbs in PIHEL.

Regular	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
PRETER. Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	j init.	ידדֿ -
Sing. 3 m. קמֵל	עמָד	איני איני איני איני	, प्रांत	כִּגְשׁ	סובב
קפְלָה 3 f.		בּרָכָּת	מָשְׁמְעָה		סוֹבְבָּה
קמַלָתָּ . 2 m.	7	בַּרַלְתְּ		re	סוִבְּיִה
קפַלְתָּ 2 f.	regular	בּבַלְנָתְּ	ឃុំជំងឺណុំ	regular	ם, בֹביני ביביבי
קפַלתי .l com.		בּבַלְתָּי	מִשְּׁמִתְּתִיתִי		סוִבְּבִהִי -יייי
Plur. 3 com. קמַלָּה		בּרְקוּ	म्प्रकृष्ट		סובְקוּ
מַשְלָתֶם 2 m.		בַרכָּמֶם	מִּפַעְהָּחְם		סוִבַּבְּמָּם
מַלְתָּן 2 f.		בּרַכְּמֶּן	מִפַעְהָן		סובַבְתֶּוֹן
ו com. קפַלנג		בַּקַכנוּ	מָשׁ פַּן עָּבר <b>ר</b>		סובַבנוּ
: 1-10					
Infinitive. קמֵל	עמר.	मृंगू कृ	क्षंचित	בַבָּשׁ	סובב
IMPERATIVE.					
Sing. m. קַמְלּיִּ	עמד	ग्रम्	व्यविद	בַּגְישׁ	סובב
קַמְּלִי הּ זּ יּי		ברקי	, संबंध	&c.	סובקי
רַ Plur. m. קַמְלָרָ	Teo.	בְּרָכְוּ	מַמִּמְעָרּ שַׁמִּעָרּ		סובְבְוּ
לַּמְלְנְה ּ זּי יּי		בַּרַכְנָה	מַפַּמְנְבָה		סובַבְנָת
PRESENT.					
יַלְמָל Sing, 3 m. יַלְמָל	יַעַפֶּןד יִ	יָבֶּרְדּי.	रं, विविद	יְכַבְּשׁ	יִסוֹבֶב
הָקַמְּמְל f. ני פֿר		מָבֶרְוּד	שׁהַשַּׁמַ	&c.	הָסוֹבֶב
תַּקְמֵל 2 m.		הַבְּרֵה	यं क्रवित	ac.	הַסוֹבֶב
יהָקַמְּלִי £ f.	regi	הִבְּרָכִי	<b>שׁ</b> מְשׁמְרִי	-	הָסוֹבְבְי
ו com. אַרָּמִןל	regular	がかれた	אַמַפּֿמ		אַסוֹבֶב
Plur. 3 m. יַקִּמְּלָרָּ		יָבְרָרָנּ.	ישמער ישמין		יִסוֹבְבְוּ
הָקַמְּלְנָת 3.f.		וּתְבָרַכְנָת	שָׁהָמַמַעְנְרָה	0	הָסוֹבַבְנָה
יָּתְלַמְּלָר . <sup>2 m.</sup>		הַבַרְרָה	עַ <i>י</i> מִמְעָרּ		הָסוֹבְבְוּ
מָלַנְה 2 f.		וּתְּבָרַכְנָת	יני <u>ה</u> מלגלני		שָׁסוּבַבְנָה הְסוּבַבְנָה
יָב <u>קמ</u> ל 1 com. יָבַקמֵל		נַבְּרֶךְּ	בְּתַּמַע		נְסוֹבֵב
Pres. apoc.	•	**********			- 1
Danmarar 12		-	***************************************		
PARTICIPLE: בָּקָמֵל	מְעַמֶּד	नंद्रात	לִהַשׁׁמַּ	מָנֵגִשׁ	מְסוֹבֶב

# Paradigm of Verbs in PIHEL.

Verb	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	
% init.	s or s init.	~ med.	~ med.	å final.	7 final.	
אִבֵּלִ	ומב ושב	קומם	בוֹגֵן	מצא	इर्द्रात	
	,	קוֹמְמָה		ਰਬ਼ਬ੍ਹਜ	נְלְּתָה	
regular.	regular.	קוֹמֶכְהָ	like	מַצְאַהָ	ַ נְלֵינ <u>ה</u>	
lar.	lar.	קוֹמַמְהָ	the	ದಜ್ಞೆಗಿ .	בָּלֵית	
		קוֹמַמְתָּנִי	the last	ממאתי	בַּלֵיתִי	
		קומקר		מֹבְּאָנִּ	בָּלְר	
	hand	קוֹמַמְהָקם		מגאמים	נַלּינ <u>ו</u> ם	
1	3000	קוֹמַלְהָןוּ		מׁמַאקּוֹן	נּבִּיתָוּ	
1		קוֹמֶקְנוּ		מָאֵאנגּ	בְּלֵינה ֹ	
אַבּּוְל	प्रकृत प्रकृत	קומם ַ	בּוֹבֵן	מַמָּא	בַּלְּוֹת	8122 3
2						
אַבּוְל	ומב ומב	קומם	בּוֹבֵן	ಧ್ವಜ	मुद्रे	
&c.	&c. &c.	קוֹמָמִי		מַנְיאָי	בַּלִּי	
&c.	ac. ac.	קוֹמְמְוּ	&c.	מֹהֵאנּ	בַּלְּה	
		קוֹמַמְנָה		מַאָּאנָה	בַּלֶּינָה	
ואַבּל	המב - המב	יָקוֹמֶם.	יבונן	יַמָּצֵא .	ָיַנַלֶּה יָנַלֶּה	
(48.D.)	-#1:#1:	הַקוֹבֶּן	14.1-4;	, ಚಿದ್ದೆಜ್ಞ ಕ್ಷಾಪ್ತ್ಯ	יַבּאָ, הָנַלֶּוֹה	
&c.	&c. &c.	יינון בט תקומם	&c.	Nacia Macia	הָעַלֶּה הָעַלֶּה	
		ה, הוו בי ה, הוי בי	2.01	הַבְּאָר הַבְּאָר	הַנַּלִי	
		אַקוֹמֶם		<b>אַכ</b> יגא	אַנַלָּח	
1	11	יָהוֹי בֶּין בְּי		יִמְצְּאָר	יבלה	
1		הָקוֹמֵקנְה הָקוֹמֵקנְה		הַמַּאֵאנָה	הָנַלֶּינְנָת הָנַלֶּינְנָת	
1000		הָקוֹמְמְוּ		נוּמַגּאני	הגלו	
		הָקוֹמַמְנָה		הָמַאֶּאנָה	הָנַלֶּינָה	
NE.		נְקוֹמֵם		נַמַגֵּיא	ָנְנַבֶּלֶּח בְּנַבֶּלֶּח	
L		_	_		יְבַֻּל	
מְאַבֻּּל	מומר מומב	מְקוֹמֶם	מָבוֹגֵן	ಗ್ವಹಿದ್ದ	מְנַלֶּח	
1						3

# Paradigm of Verbs in Puhal.

	Regular	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb	•
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	5 init	٠ - ٣٦٠
Sing. 3 m.	त्युर	पृद्धा	ਵੰਧੂ	क्षेद्धर	כַּבָּשׁ	סוֹבַגב
-3 f.	קמָלְה		בּרָכָה	म् भूष्यु		סוֹבָבָה
2 m.	रब्द्र	reg	בּלַלְתָּ	ជំភំជំណុំ	гев	סובלביני
2 f.	<u>थ</u> र्सेद्रंध	regular.	בֹּרַכְתְּ	ក់តីតិជំ	regular	סובקה
1 com.	ָּלְ <b>פִּלְתִּי</b>		ברַכְתִּי	שַׁמַעָתִי	•	סובקתי
Plur. 3 com.	ָקמִלְרּ קמִלְרּ		ברקו	שמער		סובקו
2 m.	קפַלָּמֶם		ברלמם	מפֿגנטם		סוֹבַבְתָּמִם
2 f.	אַמַלְתָּוּנ		בַּרַכְּתָּן	מַמִּעָהָן		סובבהתן
1 com.	אַפַּן כּוּ		בֹרַכְנוּ	שַׁמַעְכר		סובקבנו
-						
Infinitive.	ें बंद	עפַר	न् नृष्	, व्यं व्यं प	בַּגַש	סובב
IMPERATIVE. Sing. m. f. Plur. m.	None.			-		
PRESENT.						
Sing. 3 m.	יָקפַל	יִעְמַד	יבֹרָה י	ישפוע	יכַבַשׁ	יסובב
3 f.	הָּלִמַּל		הָבֹרָה	תשפע		הִסוֹבֻב
2 m.	הַקפַל	&c.	הַבֹרָה	השמע	&c.	תסובב
2 f.	הָקִמְּלִי		הברבי	רשמעי		הִסוֹבְבִי
1 com.	אַקפַל		אַבֹרָדְ	אַשָּׁמַמַע		אַסוֹבָב
Plur. 3 m.	יָקִמְּלָהּ		יברקה	ישמער		יִסוֹבְקוּ
3 f.	הָקַמַּלְנֵה		הְבַּרְכְנָה	הָשָׁמַּעְנָה		הָסוֹבַבְנָה
2 m.	הָּלִמְּלְנּ		הָבֹרְכְוּ	הָשָׁמְּעָרּ		הָסוֹבְבְוּ
2 f.	הַּאָפַלְנָה		ֹתְבַּרְכְנָה	הָשָׁפַּוְעְבָה		הָסוֹבַבְנָה
1 com.	द्धवाद		נְבֹרָה	רָשָׁמַע		נְסוֹבָב
PRES. apoc.	_	Account.	=	=		
PARTICIPLE.	क्रुव्	מֹעָפָּור	מָבֹרָה	दंभेवीत	מֹלְבָּמ	מְסוֹבֶב ֹ

Sumir

# Paradigm of Verbs in PUHAL.

Verb.	Verb.	, Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
g init.	s or s init.	¶ med.	¶ med.	& final.	inal.
אַכַּל	प्रकृत प्रकृत	קוֹמָם	פונו	ਰੜ੍ਹ	इद्ग्रंग .
		קוֹמְמָה		ਰਝਝ੍ਹ	בְּלְתָה בְּלְתָה
reg	reg	קוֹמַמֶּתָּ	like	מַאָּאַרָ	ַ בְּלֵי <b>י</b> תָ
regular	regular	קוֹמַמְהָ	the	ಧಜ್ಞಗಿಗ	בְּלֵיִת
•		קוֹמַמְהִני	last	מַצְאַתִיי	צַלְיתִי
		קוֹמְמְה		מִצְּאָנּ	वर्ष
		קוֹמַמְהָם		ממאמם	צַליטמ
	. (	קוֹמַמְהָוֹן		למאמנו	צַלימָן
		קוֹמַקְנוּ		מָצֵאנוּ	בָּלֵיכר
אָבַּלְ	نقر نقاد	קוֹמָם	בּוֹכַן	מָצֵא	גָלְת
			777		
יָאַכַּל	्रोब्रेंट रोब्रेंट	יָקוֹמָם	יְבוֹנֵן	יִקּינֶא:	יגלֶה
126:	-E/: -E/.	הקומם		ਸ਼੍ਰਤ੍ਰਸ਼	תגלה
&c.	&c. &c.	הַקוֹמֵם	like	הַּמָאָא	תגלה
		הָקוֹמְמֵי	e the	המצאר	הגלי
		אַקוֹמֵם	last	אַמצָא	אַגַלָּה
		יקומקה		ימצאו	יבקה
		הַקוֹמַמְנָה		הַּמָצֵּאנָה	הְגָלֵינָה
	0.75	הקוממו		המצאו	הנקה
		הקוממנה		הַמָּאַנְה	הַּגָלֶינָה
		נקומם	n	נִמְצָיָא	גָּגָלֶּח
_	_ +	_	_		-
מָאָכָּלֶּ	خرنفر -	מָקוֹמֶם י		<b>ಸ</b> ಿಪ್ಪರ್ಥ	ָמָגָלֶּ <u>ה</u>

## Paradigm of Verbs in HIPHHIL.

- +	Regular	Verb	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	5 init.	<b>"17</b> .
Sing. 3 m.	הַקְּמִיל י	הָעֶמִיד	הוְעִיה	הִשָּׁמִיעַ	ָהַבְישׁ <u>י</u>	במַב
Theolog 3 f.	הַקְּמִילָה	הַנֶּמְידָה	701-	השמיעה	הַגִּישָׁח	המַבָּה
/my . 2 m.	עלמלני	הגמרה	reg	השמעה	<u> </u>	חָסִבְּוֹתָ.
2 f.	בינומליני .	הֶעֶמַדְהָּ	regular	הַשְׁמַעְהָ	ئر المرابع	חִבְסִבְּוֹת
1 com.	הַקְמַלְהִי	הַנֶּמַרָתִי		הִשְׁמַעְהִי	טַנְּאָנּיר	יבֹסבּוניי
Plur. 3 com.	הַלְמְילוּ	הַנֶּמִידוּ	٠	הִשְׁמְיעוּ	הַגִּישׁר	וְהַמֶבּוּ
, 2 m.	ו טלמל לם	הגפרקי	1	הַשְּׁמַעְהֶּהְ	نوشفاط	ם בו הַם
2 f.	עלהלפון	הַנֶּפַרָהָו	. 1	הִשְׁבַעְהָּקְן	טַבָּשָׁתָּן	בׄסבּוּמֶן <u>ה</u>
1 com.	חַקְפַּלְנוּ	הָאֶמַרָנוּ	11	הִשְׁבַּוְעְנוּ	הַבָּישְׁכּרּ	הַמִבְּוֹנוּ
				- 4		
Infinitive.	הַהְּמְיל יי	הַנְצַמִיד	הַוְעֶיק	יאָמְינֵע	תַּגְישׁ .	בַּמֶב -
IMPERATIVE.	L				nin-	
Sing. m.	הַלְּמֵל	הַנְצַמִר	הוִנֶקה	השמע	הַגְשׁ	בַּמֶב
f.	בּנִלּמֹּנְיִּ	קנְמִידִי	0	הַשְׁמִינִי	הַגִּישִׁי	הַמְבִּי
Plur. m.	בוּהְמִילוּ	חַנְבָּקידוּ	&c.	הַשְׁמִיעָה	דובישו	יהמבו
f.	הַלְּמִלְנָה	בוֹגַאָּדְנָת		בּשָׁמַעְנָה	בונימה בי	שׁׁמַבֶּינָת
PRESENT.	1					
Sing. 3 m.	<u>הַלְּמְיל</u>	וְצַמְיד	נוּמִיק	יַשְׁמִיעַ	רַבּוִישׁ	יַמָב -
3 f.	הַקְמְיל	הַּגַעִיד	Q	עַּימִימִ	הַגּגְישׁ	שַׁמַב
2 m.	שַּלְמְיל	הַּנְצִמִיד	&c.	נהמלות	תַּגְישׁ	<u> </u>
2 f.	שַּלָמִילִי	הַּנְצִקידי	,	תַּשְׁמִיעִי	עלימי	נים בי
1 com.	אַקִּמְיל	אַנַמִיד		אַשְׁמִרעַ	אַבּוְשׁ	אַמַב
Plur. 3 m.	יַהְמִילה יַ	וַעַקידוּ		יַשְׁמִיעוּ	יַבְישׁר	וָמֶבוּ
3 f.	תַּקְמֵּלְנָה	עוֹצִמְּוֹרְנָה	1	עַּמִּׁמַעְנָה	עלמֶתהׁלָע	עַּלְסַבּּינָה
7 2 m	תַּקְמִילוּ	ים לבליבנ		ינישָׁמְיער	הַגְּישׁר	ַרָּמָבָר <u>יַ</u>
2 f.	תַּקְמָלְנָה	ַ קּצָמֶדְנָה	17	עַּמְׁמַעְנָה	הַגָּישְׁנָת	'הְסָבֶּינָה
1 com.	בַקְמְיל	בַנְמִיד		בּמִּמִיינ	בַבּוְשׁ	, בֿמֹב
				and the same of th		
Pres. apoc.	<u>וְלְמִל</u>	יוֹצַמֵּד -	נומה	ַנְשָׁמַע ב	רַגָּשׁ בַּי	
Participle	מַהָּמְיל	ַ קַעָקיד	מזעיה	בַשָּׁמִינֶ	ַ בַּגְיִשׁ	מַכֶּב.
	काशन	्रात कार्य	ा ह∶न	* 17 T		, -10. 17

## Paradigm of Verbs in HIPHHIL.

Verb.	Ver		Verb.	Verb.		Verb.
s init.	s or s	init.	5 med.	~ med	final.	n final.
הַאָּכִיל	בישְׂוֹח	הימיב	מקים	הקין	הַמְּצִיא	הִגְלָה
-	חוֹשִׁיבָה	הַיּמְיִבְה	הַקִּימָה	717	הִמְצִיאָה	הַּגְלָתָה
like	חוִמְּלִהְ	ניוּמִלְנָּטִ	הַקִּימְיתָ	like	הַמְצֵאהָ	הַנְּלֵיתָ
122	הושַׁבְהָּ	מימַּבְּתָּ	הַקִּימְוֹת	the	הַמְצֵאָת	הַנְלֵית
תעמיד	חוֹשַׂבְתִּי	בומללעו	הַקִּימְיֹתִי	last	יַבְבְּצֵאָתִי הַ	הַנְלֵיתִי
	חושיבו	בימ'רבני ב	הַקִּימוּ		הַמְצִיאוּ	חַבְּלְוּ
	חוּשַׁבָּהֶּןם	ניהַלְּטָּם	הַקימוֹתֶם		הַמְצֵאהֶה	הַנְלֵיהָם
	וושַׁבְמָּוֹן	ני,מַלִּמֶּוֹ	הַקִּימוֹתֶן		עַבְּצֵאהֶן	ַהּנְצִיהָוּוּ
	-הושַׁבְנוּ	בימַלנו.	הַקִּיִּקוֹנוּ		נולמאמי	הֹנְלֵינֵנ
						.7
םאַקיל	הוֹשִׁיב	בימיב .	קקים קקים	בינו	עיגמים	הָּנְלְיֹת
haus						
קאַבּול		בימֹכ	ביוקם	וְהָבֵּוֹ	בעממא	הַגְּלֵה הַגָּלִי
&c.	הוֹשִׁיבִי	הימיבי	בַּיִלְימִי		בַּמִמִימִי	
	הוֹשִׁיבוּ	הימיבו	הַקִּימוּ הַקִּימוּ		בממחר	תַּנְלְוּ
	חוֹשֵׁבְנָה	הימללנע	דַהַקּלָנָה		הַמְּצֶּאְנָה	תַּגְלֶינָה
יאכיל	יושיב	נימיב	יַקִים	יביו	וֹמְצְיִא	יַנְלֶח .
1:1-	בישֶׂיה	הַימִיב -	הָקִים הַקּים		תַּמְצִיא	תַּגְלֵה
&c.	תושיב	שומיב	הָקים הַקים	like	עלבוגא	תַּגָלֶת
	הושיבי הושיבי	שומובי	הַקִּיִי <i>ב</i> ּי	e the	עַמָּצְיאִי	תַּגְלֵי
	אושיב	אַימִיב	<b>אָקים</b>	e last	אַמִּצְיא	פגלה
	יו שיבר	וֵימִיבר יימיבר	יַקימוּ		יַמִּצְיאוּ יַמִּצְיאוּ	יַבְלָּה
	תוֹשֶׁבְנָה	מימֻכְנָה	ה. הַּגְּקִמְנָה		עלהמאלע	תַּנְלֵינָת
	חושיבו	ניימיבו	הָקִימוּ		הַמִּצְיאוּ	תַּבְלָה
	תוֹשֶׁבְנָה	מימֹלבנת	קַּקְבָּנָה		תַּמְלֶּאֶנְת	תַּנְלֶינָה
1	בוֹשָׁיב .	גימיב	בַקים		נמגיא	בַּנְלֶח
1	7		17			-
יַאַכֵּל	יוֹשֶׁב	מימב ב	וֶקֶם	r	נמֹצֵא בּי	ָהָבֶּל <u>ּ</u>
בוּאַקיל	מושיב	מֹימֹיב	מַקִּים	מקיו	בֿבֿמּא	פַּנְלֶּה

# Paradigm of Verbs in HOPHHAL.

	Regular	Verb.	Verb	. Verb.	Verb	. Verb.
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt	3 gutt.	5 init.	٠ ٣٦٠
Sing. 3 m.	הַקְּמַל י	חָעָמַד	דָּוָעַּק	קשָׁמַע	מַנָשׁ	הופב
3 f.	הָקְמָלֶה	הָעָמִהָה	<u>הועקה</u>		तष्टुं इत्	הוּסֵבָה
2 m.	<b>הַקְּמַלְ</b> תָּ		ָדָּוָעַקָּ <b>הַ</b>	הָשָׁמֵעְהָ	ក្នុងក្	
2 f.	הַקְּמַלְתְּ		הַזְעַקָּתְּ	הָשָׁמֵעַהָּ	ַ הָשָׁנָת	הוסבות
1 com.	הָקמַל <b>ִ</b> תִּי			הָשִׁמֵעְתִּי		חוסבותי
Plur. 3 com.	הַקְמְלָרּ	דָעְמָדָרּ דָּ	<b>הַוְעֵקוּ</b>	קשמער דישמער	הַנְשָׁר	הוּסַבוּ
2 m.		הַנְּמַרָּמָּם			הַנָּשָׁמָם	הוסבותם
2 f.	הַקְמָּלְתָּוּוּ	הַנְּפַרָּהָו		ָהָשָׁמֵעָהָ <b>ו</b>		הוסבותן
1 com.	הַקִמַלנו <i>י</i>	הַנְבַּקְרנוּ		הָשָׁמַענוּ	רְוַבָּשִׁ כר	
Infinitive.	בַּנְמַל	הַנְּמַר	קוַעַה	הָשָׁמַע	<b>ण्ड्र</b> त	דוּסַב
IMPERATIVE.	)					
Sing. m.						
f.	none.					
Plur. m.						
	)					
PRESENT.						
Sing. 3 m.	ַנְקְמַל		ַיִּזְעַק	רְשָׁמֵע ע	וַבָּשׁ	יוּסַב
3 f.	कंधेलेद	הָּנְנָמַד	הַזְעַק	ַהָּמָ <u>ש</u> ָׁלַג	क्षेत्रम्	תוקב
2 m.	क्पंबंद	क्ष्र्वर	הַוֹּלֵק	שׁהְשִׁכֹּוּת	क्रोड्स	תוקב
2 f.	הָקִמְלִי	לוּגֹּלִידִי	הַזְּצַקי	שׁמְשִׁמִי	كاذبكاء	תוקבי
1 com.	אָהְמַל	אָעָמַר	אָזְעַה	אָשִׁמַע	HER	אוּקב
Plur. 3 m.	יָקְמְלֹּרִּ	וֹנְבְיּקְי	יַזְעַקרּ	יְשִׁמְעִינִי	יָבְשָׁר	יוּמַבּוּ
3 f.	הַּלְמַלְנָה	הָנְעָּמַדְנָה	הָזְעַקְנָה	הָשָׁמַעְנָה		הנספינה
2 m.	הַלְּמִלְיּ	נוֹגָלִילְרָּי	הַוֹּצְקְרָרּ	שַׁמְּמִיקִי	न्द्रभूत	חוקבו
2 f.	הָקְמַלְנָה	הָּנֶּמַרְנָה	שָּוִעַקְּבָּח	הָשִׁמַעְנָה		תוספונת
1 com.	द्रेख्यूर	בְּנִגְבַוּ	בְזִעַק	בֿמָּבוֹת	מָבַּיְשׁ בַּ	נוּסָב
Pres. apoc.			-			
z wzw. apoor						
PARTICIPLE.	בְּקִמְל	מָנְמָד	בְּזָעֶה	בְּשִּׁבְּוִע	کَوْلِھ	מוּקב

" week

# Paradigm of Verbs in HOPHHAL.

Verb.	Ver		Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
הַאָּכֵל	⊐ಙ್ಷೆಗ	הומַב	הנקם	הובן	הַמְצֵא	ָהָגָל <u>ָ</u> ה
स्मिति	חוּשָׁבָּה	7201	הוּקְמָה	I Paris	הַמְצְאָה הַמְצְאָה	הָנְלְתָּה יִיּנְּלְתָּה
like	ਹੈਂ ਤੇ ਜ਼ਿੰ <b>ਮਪ</b>	like	הנקמה	like	ַהָבְּיָאָרָ הַבְּיִּאָרָ	בְּנְלֵיהָ הְיִּצִייִים
	שוּקְּמְלִנְיּ	e the	הנקמה	e the	קמָצְאָת	הָגְלֵיֶת הָגְלֵית
חעמר	<b>בינה</b> היישליני	e last	הקקמתי	e last	הַמְצֵאָתִי הַמְצֵאָתִי	בּילְלֵינִינִי הַיּיּיִייָּייִי
1	הוישקו	18	הוקקו		הַכִּצְאָנּ	ָּהְגָּלְרּ הְיִּגְּיִייִי
	עוּמָלמֹם		הוקמְמָם		עַמָּצֵאמֶם	בּנְגִימֶׂם הַנְּצִיים
	רוימלטלו בייי		הונקקקן היים היים היים היים היים היים היים היי		הַמְצֵאתֶן	קנליקו קנליקו
2	הוישָׁבְעוּוּ היישִּבְּעּוּוּ		הוקמנו		קממאני	הַגְּלֵינהּ הַגָּלִינהּ
	-10-412-11				- MEV.	12.50.4
הַאָּכַל	הוישַב	הומב	הוקם	הובו	הָמִצֶּא	הָגְלְת
(= T; IT	- F	1-	-1-	.,-		1: 1
					-	
יאכל	בשֱיֹחי	יוּמַב	יוּקַם	יהבון	יִמְצֵא	יַגְלֶה
I-TH	במילים ב		- <u>ו</u> הו <u>ק</u> ם	1	קמָצֶא	הָּנְלֵּה
&c.	בשֵייח	&c.	תוקם	&c.	קקינא	הָנֶלֶה
	רתוישָׁבְי		תוקמי		הָמִצְאָי	הָגָלִי
	אושָב		אוקם		אָמִצָא	אָנָלֶה
	יר שְׁבְר		יוּקְבְּוּ		ימצאו	יָבְלָה
20	הוּיָּמֵלְבָנָה הייַלְבָרה		תּוּלֵמְנָה		הִמְצֵאנָה	הַּגָלֶינָה
	הנישָׁבְנ		הנקקנ		הַמִּצְאָוּ	הָנְלָרּ
	תושבנה		תוַקְמִנָה		הָמְצֵאנָה	הַנְלֵינָה
11	בוּשָׁב		כוּקַם		נמצא	בָּנְלֶּה
	1-		11-			
-	_	_	_		_	-
מַאַכָּל	ברּשָׁיב	מוּמָב	מוּקם	מוּבָן	מָמְצְא	מָנְלֶּ,ה

# Paradigm of Verbs in HITHPAHEL.

PRETER. Verb. 1 gutt. 2 gutt. 3 gutt. יוֹלְלָה הִתְנַפְּלְחָה הִתְבָּלְחָה הִתְבָּלְחָה הִתְבַּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּתָבְּיִ הִתְבַּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְתְבִּילְ הִתְּבְּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּתְהִיים הַיּתְבַּבְּלְּחָה הִתְבַּלְּתְבְּילְ הִיים הַיְבְּבְּלְּתְבְּילִיה הִתְבַּבְּילְיה הִתְבַּלְּתְבְּילְ הִתְבִּבְּילְתְבִּילְּתְבְּילְבְּילְּתְבְּילְבְּילְ הִתְּבְבְּילְּתְבְּילְבְּילְ הִתְבְּבְּילְתְבְּילְבְּילְ הִתְּבְבְּילְּתְבְּילְבְּילְ הִיּתְבִּבְּילְ הִתְּבְּבְּילְ הִתְּבְבְּילְ הִתְּבְבְּילְ הִתְבְּבְּילְ הִתְבְבָּילְ הִתְבְּבְּילְ הִתְבְּבְּילְ הִתְבְבְּילְ הִבְיבְּילְבְּבְּילְ הִתְבְּבְּבְּילְ הִתְבְּבְּילְ הִבְּבְּבְּילְ הְבְּבְּבְּילְבְּבְּילְבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּבְּ	<u></u>						
threat the little state of the	הָתְּנִּ						
וללה התברכה התברכה התקשלה 31.							
	הָתָּבּ						
بَرِّرْفِ بَرْدَوْنِ بَرْدَوْنِ بَالْرَوْفِرْفِ <sup>8 m.</sup>							
2 f. אָרָפְי בּ הַתְּצַלְחָהִי הַתְּצַּרְכְהִי בּ הַתְּצַפְּלְהִי הַתְּצַלְחָהִי הַתְּצַּרְכְהִי בּ הַתְּצַפְּלְהִי זּלְלְהִי בַּתְּצַלְחָהִי הַתְּצָּרְכְהִי בַּ הַתְּצֵּלְהִי הַתְּצַרְכְהִי בּיּתְבָּרְכִּהִי בּ בּיתִבְּיִּלְהִי							
וּלְלָה הִתְבַּלְחָה הִתְבַּלְה הִתְבַּלְה הִתְבַּלְה Plur. 3 com. וּלְלָה	لثائرة						
וּלַלְמֶם הֹתְנַלַחְמֶם חִתְבָּרַכְמֶם הֹתְבָּלַחָם <sup>2 m.</sup>	ייִתַּבּ						
וּלַלְמֵּוּ הִתְּבַּלִּחְמֵּוּ הִתְּבָּרִכְמֵּוּ הִתְּבַּרְכְמֵּוּ בּלְמֵּוּ בּלְמֵּוּ בּלְתָּוּ בּלֹ							
וֹלַלְנוּ הִתְּבַּלַחְנוּ הִתְבָּרַכְנוּ הִתְבָּרַכְנוּ הִתְבַּלְנוּ <sup>1 com.</sup>							
int in the interest of the int							
ואל התְנַגִּשׁ חִתְנַלַּת התְבָּתְה התְעַמִּד התְנַמִּל בּוֹל התְנַבָּל	התָּג						
IMPERATIVE.							
	بنند						
וּלְלִי &c. הַתְּבַּלְּתִי הִתְּבַּרְכִי הַתְּבַּלְיִי הוּתְבַּרְלִי א	יילפ						
וֹלְלָנִּ הַתְבַּלַחָנִּ הִתְבַּרָנִּ הַתְבַּלְנִּ Plur. m.	הָתָּבּ						
וֹלֵלְנָה הִתְּבַּלְתָנָה הִתְּבָּרֵלְנָה הִתְּבָּרָנָה הֹתְבַּלְנָה <sup>f.</sup>	הָתָּבּ						
Present.							
אָל יִתְבַּלֶּח יִתְבַּלָּח יִתְבָּלָּח יִתְבָּלָּח יִתְבָּלָּח יִתְבָּלָּח יִתְבָּלָּח אַנְהָּ	יתגו						
אַל אילידע שעלפֿלע שעלפֿלע אַל 3 t. אַליבֿלאַל אַני אַליבֿלע	فننو						
2 m. לייבות שליי מחברה שליים לייבות	فنزو						
אַלָּלִי בַּ שִׁעְבַּלְּעֵוּ שִׁעְבַּלְּעֵרָ הִּעִּלְּבֶּלִי מִעְבַּבְּלָּעִי הִעִּעְבּּלָכִי מּיִרְבּבּּ אַלָּלִי בַּ שִׁעְבַּלְעוֹ שִׁעְבָּעִן הִייִּבְּעָן הִייִּבְּעָן הִייִּבְּעָן הִייִּבְּעָן הִייִּבְּעָן הִייִּ							
ילֵל <sup>פְּ</sup> שֶּׁתְגַּלֵּח שֶּׁתְבָּבֶּד שֶּׁתְבַּבְּד יֹלֵל <sup>1</sup> com.	אָתִגּ						
	יתגו						
יּלַלְנָה הִּתְבַּלְחְנָה הִתְבָּרַכְנָה הִתְבָּלְנָה אוֹלָנָה הִתְבַּלְנָה יֹיִ s f.	فننو						
וּלְלָּוּ הִתְבַּלְּתְוּ הִתְבָּלְרוּ מִתְבָּלְרוּ מִתְבָּלְוּ <sup>2</sup> m.							
גלַלָנָה תִּתְבּלַחְנָה תִּתְבָּרַכְנָה תִּתְבָּרַכְנָה 2 £	_						
	בתבו						
Pres. apoc.	-						
D							
ולל מתנגש מתנלח מתקבד מתעמד מתקאל. PARTICIPLE.	מֹנוֹנוּ						

1 carir

# Paradigm of Verbs in HITHPAHEL.

Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
S init.	S init.	5 init.	¶ med.	¶ med.	& final.	ñ final.
הָתִאַבֶּלְ	הַתְיַשֶּׁב ו	בינילוש	הָתְקוֹמֵם	ההבוגן	הַתְּמַאֵא	הַהְנַּלְּה
н н	7	Ħ	הַהְקוֹמְמֶה	-	הָהָמֶיִּאָה	הַהְנַּלְּתָה
regular	regular	regular	הַהְקוֹמֵמְהָ	like	הָתְּמַּמֵּאַתְ	ַהֹעִּנְקִיתָ
ar.	ar.	ar.	הָתְקוֹמֵמְתָּת	the	הַתְּמַצֵּאַת	ַ הַתְּנַלֵּית
			התקומקתי	last	הַתְּמַצֵּאָתִי	ּ בִּהְנַלֵּיתִי
	- 1		התקומפר	•	ַהַרְיִמַיִּאָ <i>וּ</i> י	הַרָּבַּלְּר
			הָהְקוֹמַמְהָּם		בעימׁמּאטָם	עֹטִנּנְימֶם
			הַתְּקוֹמַמְמָּוָן		הַהְמַצֵּאהָן	ביטַּנְצְימָן
1			ההקומקנו		הַתְּמַצֵּאנוּ	הָתְבַּבּוֹינוּ
הָתאַבֶּל	ביניה בי	בינומב	הַתְקוֹמֶם	הַתְּבּוֹגֵן	הַתְּמַמֵּא	نننتزوب
			-	7		
יַתִאַבּוֹל	הַרְנַשֶּׁבְ	טַענֿוּמָב	הָתְקוֹמֵם	עיני בּיִנְלוּ	הַתַּמָּאָא	ַהַתְּנַלֵּה
&c.	&c.	&c.	הָתְקוֹמְמֶי	&c.	יַהְמַצְּיִּאָי	ַהתָּנַלִּי
	ac.	ac.	הִתְקוֹמְמְנּי	cc.	הַרְּמַצְּאָרּ הַרְּמַצְאָרּ	הַהְנַּלְּה
			התחובן		הָתְמַמֶּאנָה	עיניפּצְינָה
		-				,
רָאַבּּל	וֹנְעַנְמֵּׁבְ וֹּ	ורנומב	יתְקוֹמֶם	וֹלִבּוִנֹוֹ	נְעַמַבֵּאָ	ַיתָּצַקֶּיה.
&c.	&c.	&c.	הִהְקוֹמֵם	lik	הַתְּמַבֵּא	فندوك
re	re	re	פּתְקוֹמֶם	like the last	שַּׁלִמַבֵּא	עיטוּפְּאָה
regular	regular	regular.	הִהְקוֹמְמְי	las	עַּתְמַשְּׂאִי	<b>ַ</b> שַּׁתְּבַּלְּי
7	7	7	אָתִקוֹמֶם		אָרָמַמֵּא	אָתִנּלֶּה
			ירָ קוֹמְמְנּ		יַנְינִימַצְּאָנּ יַנְינִימַצְּאַנּ	וֹתְבַּלְּהַ
			הִרְקוֹמֵקְנְה		עינימּמֶאנים	שִׁהְנַּבֶּלְינָה
			תַּתְקוֹמְמְנּ		4kä ಹೆಬ್ <b>ಬ</b>	הִרְנַלֹּוּ
			הִהְקוֹמֵמְנְה		עליק אלע עלע	שֹּׁנִיבְּפְּׁינָת
			נהָקוֹמֶם		נְהָמַמֵּא	נִתְנַּלֶּוֹה
					1	1
	_	-		-	- 7	וָתְּבֵּל
1					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	mbama.
וֹרִאַכּּל	מתנימב כ	מענימוב ו	מִהָּקומֶב וּי	מתבונן	מעמלא	מִתְנַּלֶּת
			1	*		

270 p = 6v = 6. LECTURE XIV.

[ART. 212.

5. 118 in 15th hole 3. Much p. 175.

e. Amnit. hebs

#### LECTURE XIV.

ON THE SYNTAX.

212. In endeavouring to investigate the principles of the Hebrew Syntax, we shall first consider the nature and construction of propositions; secondly, those of their several parts; and, lastly, shew how these have been combined, according to the Authors of the accents.

2. In the first place, then, every proposition will be either general or particular. A general proposition is that which contains a complete sentence expressive of some general truth: as, "Men die." A particular, or singular, proposition (as it is sometimes called), expresses only that which relates to particular individuals: as, "The men die." Hence,

3. When any general proposition is enounced in Hebrew, the word or words used for the purpose of enouncing the subject will, generally, be indefinite; i. e. will not have the definite article, or be otherwise limited;\* while that which is intended to be the most impressive, will stand first in the order; e. g. זֹורֵע עוֹלָה

<sup>\*</sup> In such expressions as הּוֹרֵת יְהוֹה the law of the Lord is perfect, &c. Ps. xix. 8, &c. although the truth is general, the proposition is not so; because it relates to one particular law, not to any general one.

The subject and predicate are, according to the Grammarians of Arabia, two words so placed, that they may be independent of each other in a grammatical or formal point of view, their relation being purely logical. The

المبتداً والخبر هما اسمان ,says (p. m²) says المبتداً والخبر هما اسمان ,says المبتداً صحردًان من العوامل اللفظية احدهما مسند اليه ويسمّي المبتداً والثّاني مسند به ويسمّي الخبر نحو زَيْدٌ قَائمٌ والعامل فيها معنويّ

יִקְצְּוֹר־אָנֶן (any one)\* sowing iniquity, reaps vanity, Prov. xxii. 8; מֵיִם עֲבָה בְּלֶב־אִישׁ (as) deep waters (is) counsel in the heart of man, Ib. xx. 5.

- 4. And, on the contrary, when any particular proposition is to be enounced, the word or words expressive of its subject must be made definite: as, אַבָּישׁ יָצָא (as to) the sun, it had arisen, Gen. xix. 23; בּקוֹדֵי יְדִוֹּהְ The precepts of Jehovah (are) right, Ps. xix. 9. This holds good whether the proposition be affirmative or negative.
- 5. Propositions will also be either Incomplex, or Complex; Simple, or Compound.
- 6. Incomplex propositions are those which contain no qualifying, or otherwise modifying, words in connection with either the subject, or predicate. Complex propositions are those which have such words. Of the first, אַנָה בָּנָה בָּנָה בָּנָה בָּנָה בָּנָה בָּנָה מּ house is built, Prov. xxiv. 3, is an ex-

This conjunction of words is termed by them الكلام, and is thus described by Ibn ul Hájib: عما تضمن كلمتين بالاسنال ولا يتأتي ذلك الا في فعل واسم "It is that which comprehends two words in connection with each other, which cannot happen except in the concurrence of two nouns, or of a verb and noun." The word constituting the subject (المعتداء) is, according to El Hazeri, placed first, on account of the importance which it holds in the estimation of the speaker or writer." See Commentary on the Agrumia by Schnabell, Amsterdam, 1755, pp. ١٤٠١.

\* The capitals are intended to point out the words upon which the rule immediately bears.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The subject and predicate are two words construed independently of any GRAMMATICAL government. The first is that of which something is predicated, and is called which this predication is made, and is termed the enouncer: as, Zaid (is) Standing. The government of them is regulated by the sense (ot, is logical), that is, by the beginning," or subject matter of the discourse.

ample. Of the second, בְּחֶכְמָה יִבְּנֶה בְּיִח in, or by, wisdom is a house built, Ib.

8. We now proceed to consider the relation of the subject with the predicate, which is indeed necessary to point out the construction of simple and incomplex propositions. We shall next shew how the complex and compound parts of propositions are constructed, i. e. on what principles their several parts are connected together.

### On the Predicate of Propositions.

213. The predicate of any proposition may consist of nouns attributive or substantive (if predicables), or of verbs or pronouns, as in other languages.

2. Every proposition, whether general or particular, will, unless something particular require the contrary, have its predicate indefinite. (Verbs, of course, when in the predicate, do not come under this rule, because it cannot be said of them, that they are either definite or indefinite): e. g. אַנוּקָה עַמוּקָה מַ whore (is) a deep ditch, Prov. xxiii. 27.

3. Here, אוֹרָכְה being indefinite, the proposition will be general: and, as the rule requires the predicate also to be indefinite, this becomes a case in which some ambiguity might arise, as to which of the substantives is the subject of the proposition. The sense requires here that the last word אוֹרָבָה be the subject, although a ditch precedes: the sentence may be thus rendered, (as) a deep ditch (is) a whore.

- 4. Examples of particular propositions: וְהַאָּרֶץׁ הָיְתָה and (as to) the earth (it) existed, Gen. i. 2; יהֹנָה Jehovah (is) king, Ps. x. 16. With a verb: בְּלָאׁ וּבּ מִבְּרָאׁ וּבּ מִבְּרָאֹנִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבִּיִּים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיִים וּבּיים וּבִּיים וּבּיים וּבִּיִּים וּבִּים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבִּים וּבִּים וּבִּים וּבִּים וּבִּים וּבְּים וּבִּים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבִּים וּבִּים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבִּים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבְּים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבִּים וּבִּים וּבּים וּבִּים וּבְּיִים וּבְּיִים וּבּים וּבִּים וּבּים וּבִּים וּבּים וּבִּים וּבּים וּבִים וּבּים וּבִּים וּבּים וּבִּים וּבִּים וּבִּים וּבּים וּבְּיִים בּיִּים וּבְּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיים בּיִים בּיִים בּיים בּייבְיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּייִים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּייבִים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיבּים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיבּים בּיים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּיים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּיבּים בּייבּים בּיבּים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּייבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיבּים בּיבּיבּים ב
- 5. When, however, any thing very specific is intended to be enounced by the predicate, it will be made definite: as, יְהִיָּה הוּא הָאֵלֹהִים יְהוֹה הוּא הַאֵּלֹהִים יַהוֹה הוּא הַאַלֹּהִים יַהוֹה הוּא הַאַלֹּהִים יַהוֹה הוּא הַאַלֹּהִים יַהוֹה הוּא הַאַלֹּהִים יִהוֹה הוּא הַאַלְּהִים יִהוֹּה הוּא הַאַלְּהִים יִהוֹּה הוּא הַאַלְּהִים יִהוֹּה הוּא הַאַלְּהִים יִהוֹּה הוּא הַאַלְּהִים יִהוֹיִם יִהוֹיִה הוּא הַאַּלְּהִים יִהוֹיִם יִּהוֹיִם יִּהוֹיִם יִּהוֹים יִּהוֹיִם יִּהוֹיִם יִּהוֹים יִּהוֹיִם יִּהוֹים יִּהוֹים יִהוֹיִם יִהוֹיִם יִהוֹיִם יִהוֹיִם יִבּיִּם יִבּיִּה הוּא הַאַלְּהִים יִהוֹיִם יִהוֹיִם יִבּים יִבּוֹים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּיִּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִבּים יִּים יִבּים יִּים יִבּים יִּים יִבּים יִּים יִבּים יִּבְּים יִבּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִּים יִּים יִּבְּים יִיבּים יִּים יִיבּים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִיים יִּים יִּבּים יִיים יִּים יִּיִּים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִּיים יִיים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִיים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִּיים יִּים יִּים יִּים יִּיִים יִּיים יִּים יִּייִים יִייִים יִייִּים יִּייִים יִּיים יִּים יִּיִּים
  - 6. Here, as both the subject and predicate are made definite, (unless a pronoun ((as אור) in this instance)) were introduced in the place of the logical copula, or, unless the construction should otherwise contribute to explain the intention of the writer, an ambiguity would arise, as to which of the words so defined is to be considered as the subject: e. g. אור הביל היי (am) he who speaketh, Is. lii. 6. Or taking אור as the logical copula, I am the speaker. But by inverting the proposition we shall have, (as to) the speaker, it is I. In these cases, however, the sense afforded is generally the same whichever way we read the proposition. In others, where it is not, we have no other resource than the order of the construction, or the general sense of the context, to guide us.
  - 7. From this and the case above noticed (Art. 213. 3.), some difficulties will occasionally arise in construing the Hebrew text, particularly in the books of Job, the Psalms, the Proverbs, and the Prophets, where the style is often sententious, and the order inverted.

It may be remarked, that as the Hebrews have no abstract verb which can stand for our logical copula is, and, as any pronoun may stand in the predicate of a sentence, due regard must be had to the subject of the context, in order to ascertain which of the pronouns, when more than one is used, is in the predicate: e. g. In Is. xli. 4, we have אַרְיִרָּהְיָרָ I he, where a very slight consideration of the context will shew us, that אָרָי is to be considered as in apposition with the subject of the sentence

<sup>\*</sup> Exod. xxxiv. 6, &c. בְּחָלֵה וְהַלְּה וְלֵה וְהַבּוֹן Jehovah Jehovah (is) a God merciful and gracious, &c. Both the construction and accents require that this passage be thus taken. Our translators, however, have taken it differently.

hich frame

mul of both

a freative

predicate. See also Deut. xxxii. 39, Neh. ix. 7, Jer. xiv. 22, Isa. xli. 4, xliii. 25, &c.

Of the Concordance of the Subject with the Predicate.

215. Whatever is predicated of any thing must not be incongruous with it, in sense at least: what the grammatical agreement may be, we shall see presently.

2. As the Grammatical forms of words were perhaps not much attended to in very early times, a difference in termination for the purpose of distinguishing between the feminine and the masculine gender would, probably, be among the first wanted, particularly in cases wherein there was no other means of intimating of what sex the person spoken of was. Number would soon be in the same predicament; and hence, such terminations generally agreed upon and allowed to intimate these particulars, or other words used at first as attributes, and afterwards abridged and adopted as terminations, would be made to supply these defects. The latter of which I am inclined to believe was the case.

3. If then it were ever necessary to make any such distinctions as these, it must have been so in the subjects and predicates of sentences: because, as the one must be known to refer to the other; and, as this could not be pointed out, in many cases, without some mark to apprize us of such relation, these terminations would naturally be employed in such cases.

4. In process of time, however, recourse would be had to the several figures of Rhetoric, such as *Personification*, *Epanorthosis*, *Metonymy*, or the like; each of which would exert a very considerable influence on the construction of sentences; and this is often found to be the case. When, therefore, we are to consider the relation of a subject with its predicate in the Hebrew, it

will sometimes be necessary to have recourse to one or other of these figures.

5. Generally then, the Predicate is found to agree with its subject in gender and number, considered either in a logical or a formal point of view.

By logical we mean, when the gender, number, &c. is regulated, not according to the grammatical forms of words, but by their significations; in which case they may be influenced by any of the figures of rhetoric, or not. By formal we mean, when the gender, number, &c. are considered with reference to the grammatical forms only: e. g. אַלָּה יְרוֹשְׁלֵים וְיהוֹנְה נְכָּל Jerusalem HATH STUMBLED, and Judah HATH fallen. Is. iii. 8. Here יְרִישְׁלִים וֹ is considered as feminine, not as to form, but signification (Art. 135. 5.); its predicate, therefore, אַלְיִישְׁלָּה, may be said to be in logical, not in formal, concordance with it. In the next member, אַלְהַיִּה, though feminine in form, is not considered as being so in signification; its predicate, therefore, is put in the masculine gender,

6. In the example אָלְהְים לְּחָלֶה מְלְּחָה And (there) shall be a high way, Isa. xi. 16, the concordance is purely formal. The same may be said of .... בְּלְהִים קְּדְשִׁים הַּלְּחִים הַלְּחִים הַּלְּחִים הַבְּיִים הַּלְּחִים הַּלְּתִּים הְּלְּתְּיִּם הְּלְּתִּים הַּלְּתְּיִם הְּלְּתְּיִם הְּלְּתִּים הְּלְּתְּיִּם הְּלְּתְּיִּם הְּלְּתְּיִם הְּבְּבְּיִּתְּיִּם הְּלְּתְּיִם הְּלְּתְּיִּתְּיִּם הְּבְּבְּיִתְּיִּתְּיִּתְּיִים הְּבְּבְּיִּתְּיִּבְּיִים הְּבְּבְּיִּתְּיִּים הְּבְּבְּיִים הְּבְּבְּיִּתְּיִּים הְּבְּבְּיּתְים הְּבְּבְּיִּתְּיִּים הְּבְּבְּיִּים הְּבְּבְּיִּבְּיִּים הְּבְּיִּים הְּבְּיִּים הְּבְּיִּים הְּבּיּבְּיִּים הְּבּיּבְּיִים הְּבְּיִים הְּבְּיִּים הְּבִּים הְּבּיּים הּבּּים הּבּים הּבּים הּבּים הּבּּים הּבּים הּבּּים הּבּים הּבּים הּבּיּבּים הּבּיּבּים הּבּיּבְּיִים הְּבְּיִים הְבּיּבְּיִים הְבּיּבּים הּבּיּבּים הּבּיּבּים הּבּים הּבּים הּבּים הּבּים הּבּים הּבּים בּיּבּים הּבּים הּבּיים הּבּיּבְּים הּבּיִים הְּבְּיִים הְּבְּבְיּבְּיִים הְבּיִּבְּים הְבְּיִים הְּבְּיִים הְּבְּיִים הְּבְּיִים הּבּיּבּים הּבּיּבּים הּבּיים הּבּיבּים הּבּיים הּבּיים הּבּיבּים הּבּיים הּבּיים הּבּיים הּבּיים הּבּים הּבּיים הּבּיים הּבּים

hogier &

Transl

- -17

<sup>\*</sup> Hence the names of nations, tribes, or families, may be taken as collective and singular nouns, and the names of regions, cities, &c. as singular feminine nouns, considered as containers, &c. See Exod. xiv. 30, Jer. xlviii. 11, 1 Kings xx. 20, 2 Sam. xvi. 3, Ps. cxiv. 2, Job i. 15. When, however, a verb is used as the predicate, as will be presently seeu, the apparent nominative is not to be considered as the real nominative to it: but, as this must be in apposition with the real nominative, the rule respecting the concordance will hold good.

<sup>†</sup> I am well aware that these and similar passages have been appealed to in support of the doctrine of the Holy Trinity; but, until it shall be made probable, that the Sacred Writers did usually involve doctrines of this kind in the mere forms of words, I must be allowed to object to such methods of supporting an article of faith, which stands in need of no such support. For similar usages in the Greek and Latin, see Viger de idiotismis. Edit. 1813. pp. 32. 46, &c. Clarke's Homer. Iliad E., line 778, note.

- 7. The following is an instance of Personification: קסר וְאֵבֶּח נְשָׁלִוֹם נְשָׁלוֹם נִשְׁלוֹם נִשְׁלוֹם נִשְׁלוֹם נְשִׁלוֹם נִשְׁלוֹם נְשִׁלוֹם נִשְׁלוֹם נִשְׁלוֹם נְשִׁלוֹם נְשִׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נִשְׁלוֹם נִשְּלוֹם נִשְׁלוֹם נִישְׁלוֹם נִישְׁלוֹם נִישְׁלוֹם נִישְׁלוֹם נִים נְישְׁלוֹם נִישְׁלוֹם נִישְׁילִים נְשְׁלוֹם נִישְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נִישְׁילוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְישְׁלוֹם נִישְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נִישְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁילִים נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְשְׁלוֹם נְישְׁלוֹם נְישְׁלוֹם נְישְׁלוֹם נְישְׁלוֹם נְישְׁילוֹם נְישְׁלוֹם נְישְׁלוֹם בְּיִים נְישְׁילוֹם נְישְׁלוֹם נְישְׁילוֹם בּים נְישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁלוֹם בְּישְׁילִים נְישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בּישְׁילוֹם בּיוֹם בּישְׁילוֹם בּישְׁילוֹם בּיוֹים בּיוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁלוֹם בְּישְׁלוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁלוֹם בְּישְׁלוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּשְׁלוֹם בְּשְׁלוֹם בְּשְׁלוֹם בְּשְׁלוֹם בְּשְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁלוֹם בְּשְׁלוֹם בְּישְׁלוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁילוֹם בְּישְׁלוֹם בְּישְׁלוֹם בְּישְׁים בְּישְׁי
- 9. When one Predicate answers to several subjects differing from each other in gender or number, or both, it may be put in the plural number, as referring to them all; or it may be made to agree, both in gender and number, with the nearest, or, with that which may be considered the most worthy: † e. g. אַבְרָבָּלְם וְשָׂבָר וֹפְיבֶר וֹשְׁבִר מַל שִׁר־צְּבָאוֹ וַיְּשֶׁבוּ So he arose, (i. e.) Abimelek and Phicol the captain of his host, and they returned, Gen. xxi, 32.

<sup>\*</sup> See Glass. Philolog. Sacr., p. 838-97. Storr. Observ., p. 13, &c.

<sup>†</sup> Storr, pp. 11. 17, &c. refers the use of abstracts for concretes to a Synecdoche, see p. 20; and vice versa, p. 21.

<sup>‡</sup> The plural is considered as more worthy than the singular, and the masculine than the feminine gender. But, in the last case, the mother will be considered more worthy an the children.

- 10. When, however, the predicate precedes, it generally agrees with the nearest noun; when it follows, it will mostly take the plural form, as in the last example. See also Gen. viii. 16. 18, xxxi. 14, xxxiii. 7, Exod. xvii. 10, xxi. 4, xxix. 15, Num. xii. 1, xxvii. 21, Deut. xxviii. 32, Judg. xiii. 20, Ruth i. 3, Est. ix. 31, 1 Chron. xxv. 1, Job xix. 15, Prov. xxvii. 9, Is. xvi. 10, li. 3, Jer. vii. 20, Ezek. i. 11, xxxv. 10.
- 11. When the subject is a Collective noun, the Predicate may be in the plural number, agreeing with its subject logically: e. g. אַבְּחָה נָאֵנְחָל the whole of her people (ARE) sighing, Lam. i. 11; עַשֶּׁרֶת צָּמְדֵיכֶּבֶּע ten acres of vineyard (THEY) YIELD one bath, Is. v. 10.
- 12. When the subject of any Proposition is found in the definite state of construction with any word (see Art. 143.), the predicate is mostly made to agree in gender and number with the last of them, provided the signification of the predicate can apply to both (by the figure Zeugma): e. g. אָלִיך צֹעִקִים אָלִי the figure Zeugma): e. g. אַלִיך צֹעִקִים אָלִי the some of thy brother's bloods (They are) crying to me,\*
  Gen. iv. 10; אָלִילְים הַּלִּים הַלְּיִם הַּלִּים הַלְּיִם הַּלִּים הַלְּיִם הַלְּיִם הַלִּים הַלְּיִם הַלְיִם הַלְּיִם הַּלְיִם הַלְּיִם הַלְּיִם הַלְּיִם הַלְּיִם הַּלְּיִם הַלְּיִם הַּלְיִם הַּלְיִם הַלְּיִם הַלְּיִם הַלְּיִם הַלְּיִם הַּלְיִם הַּלְים הַּלְיִם הַּלְים הַלְּים הַלְּיִם הַּלְּיִם הַּלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּיִם הַּלְים הַלְּיִם הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְים הַלְּים הַּלְים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְּים הַלְים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְּים הַלְּים הַלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הְּלִים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְיִים הְּלִים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְים הְּבְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הַּלְּים הְּלְּים הְּלְּים הְּלְּים

See also Lev. xiii. 9, 1 Kings i. 41, xvii. 16, Job xv. 20, xxxii. 7, xxxviii. 21, Jer. iv. 29, x. 22, &c.

13. In some cases, however, it is made to agree with

M. de Sacy says on the Arabic construction which is parallel to this: "On peut regarder la concordance, en ce cas, comme une concordance logique, parce qu'on y a plus égard au rapport logique qui unit les idées, qu'au rapport grammatical qui se trouve entre les mots." Vol. ii. Art. 332. e. g. وَاللّٰهُ عَلَيْكُ اللّٰهُ عَلَيْكُ اللّٰ عَلَيْكُ اللّٰهُ عَلَيْكُ

the subject : as, בָּל־כְּלָּאֹכְה לֹא יֵעְשֶׂה the whole of the work (it) shall not be done, Exod. xii. 16.

See also Gen. v. 23. 31, Is. lxiv. 10, Nah. iii. 7, &c. And particularly when the predicate stands first in the order: as, סָרָה קּנָאָל the envy of Ephraim (it) shall depart, Isa. xi. 13.

Of the Concordance of the Nominative with its Verb.

216. The Concordance of a real nominative with its verb, is that of a subject with its predicate. By a real nominative we mean, the pronoun which is always inherent in the verb when in the state of conjugation (See Art. 188.). What, therefore, is usually taken for the nominative is, in fact, either a noun or a pronoun, put in apposition with the nominative; and, consequently, as before, agreeing either logically or formally with it, or with the predicate. Considered, therefore, in this point of view, all the cases of apparent discrepancy which can happen under this head, may be resolved into one or other of the preceding ones: e. g. Difference in the ci. e.) God, created, Gen. i. 1.

Here the word שֵׁלְהִים is manifestly a plural form; but, as the Being designated by this word is every where affirmed to be one, the agreement between the pronoun inherent in the verb אַרְבָּי and this word is logical, not formal. The same may be said of the says (i. e.) God; and of many other similar constructions.

- 2. Examples of apparent discrepancy in gender and number: 1st, הַמַּלְחָמָה ... הַמַּלְחָמָה it (masc.) became strong (i. e.) the war, (fem.) 2 Kings iii. 26; 2d, הביא שִׁבְּחְתְּךְ he hath brought (i. e.) thy handmaid, 1 Sam. xxv. 27; 3d, יְהִיה נַעְרָה בְתוּלְה he may be (i. e.) the young woman, a virgin, Deut. xxii. 23; 4th, מַה־יִּהְיֹן חַלְּמִקְיִן what they (masc.) will be (i. e.) his dreams, Gen. xxvii. 20.
  - 3. With the apparent nominative preceding: 5th,

בעם ביקים knowledge ... it, or he, is pleasant, Prov. ii. 10; 6th, עריה עלה (as to) her cities, it, or he, has ascended, Jer. xlviii. 15; 7th, דרעות יְתֹּמִים יְדְּבָּא (as to) the arms of the orphans, it is broken, Job xxii. 9.

4. The first four of these examples seem to involve an Epanorthosis, a figure frequently used in the Arabic, by which something enounced in a general manner, is afterwards restricted by the addition of other words. Of this the Arabs count four sorts, 1, بدل الكل -9001 i. e. the change of the whole for the whole, or, when any thing is enounced by one or more words, another enunciation is added to the same effect but in a different point of view; as, جاءنى عمر بدل البعض من , Omar came to me (I mean) thy brother ; 2, اخوك the change of a part for the whole; as, القوم بعضهم the people came to me (I mean) some of them; 3, بدل الاشتمال the change of the contained for the container, or, vice versá; as, بدل الغلط,4 \*; Zaid was stripped (I mean) his garment سلب زيد ثوبته the change of error; as, مررت بكلب فرس I passed by a dog (I mean) a horse. See M. de Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. ii. pp. 225. 394: the شرح الكافية by Moolla Jami, pp. ١١٥٠-١١٥٥ . Glass. edit. Dathe, on the Epanorthosis, pp. 1350-2.

In the first example, then, it is generally enounced that some

<sup>\*</sup> We have a remarkable instance of this construction in Gen. xxxvii. 23.

Joseph, his coat, the coat of many colours mhich was on him. See Ps. lxxi. 18. 22; lxxvi. 6. The whole of the men of might, (I mean) their hands (powers) have not prevailed: Jer. ix. 2; Job xxxviii. 12; 1 Cor. i. 15. τὸν Στεφανᾶ οἴκον, Rev. i. 14.—The Hendiadys of Europeans.

1177

gregias

person or thing has acquired power; it is then added that this is the war. The same may be said of the four following ones. In the sixth example, either a personification is resorted to, or some such word as affair, matter, thing, or the like, is to be supplied by the ellipsis: as, knowledge (it is a thing or person), that gives pleasure. In the seventh and eighth, the plurals seem to be taken collectively: as, her cities (the whole), has gone up.\* The arms of the orphans (the whole), is broken.

6. So also when a personal pronoun occupies the place of this verb: e. g. קות הְעָמִים הֶבֶּל הוֹא (as to) the statutes of the people, it is vanity, Jer. x. 3, i. e. taken collectively.

7. When, however, inanimate or irrational beings are represented as agents, the feminine form of the verb is often taken: † e. g. אָבֶר־אָרֶל עַבּר־אָרֶל it bears away (i. e.) her effusions, the dust of the earth, Job xiv. 19; אַבְּלִים הַשְּׁמִרְּכֵּוּ דְּבָלִים הַשְּׁמִרְּכֵּוּ the lips of the wise, it preserves them, Prov. xiv. 3. See also 1 Sam. iv. 15, 2 Sam. x. 9, Job xii. 7, xx. 11, Ps. cxix. 98, Is. lix. 12, Jer. xlviii. 41, li. 56, Mic. iv. 11.

8. When the apparent nominative is in the definite state of construction (see Art. 215. 12.) with another noun, the verb will occasionally agree with the latter:

<sup>\*</sup> So in the Greek, πόλιν ἄρδην εξολοθρεῦσαι.—aliquando (ἄρδην) significat sublime, Viger., Ed. 1813, p. 294.

<sup>†</sup> This is a constant law in the Arabic, and generally in all the dialects of this family of languages, viz. that it is only when the agent is a rational being that the concordance is formul.

e.g. פָּלֶבְ בְּיְלֶבְה יְלֵך שֶּבֶּר the concubine of Caleb (i. e.) Maachah (he) begat Sheber, 1 Chron. ii. 48.

- 9. When courage, virility, fortitude, efficiency, or the like, is intended to be predicated of females, verbs expressive of acts, &c. done by them, seem to be put in the masculine gender in order to express that; e. g. פַאָּשֶׁר עֲשִׂיהֶם וְעָפָּדִי as ye (women) have done (courageously) with the dead and with me, Ruth i. 8; and ye (women) shall know (effectively) that I am the Lord Jehovah, Ezek. xxiii. 49; מוֹל בְּרָעִוֹת וְהוֹבְל and thou (fem.) doest evil things, and thou prevallest (manfully), Jer. iii. 5.†
- 10. It has been laid down as a general rule, that the word intended to be considered as the most important in a sentence will stand first (Art. 212. 3.). When, therefore, this happens to be the apparent nominative to a verb, it is usually termed the Nominative absolute.
- 11. The office of this nominative is to enounce the subject matter of discourse (Art. 212. 3. note); which, as it is done in a manner independent of any of the following context in a formal point of view, has been termed absolute. Any nouns, pronouns, &c. following, and referring to this nominative, must, however, agree with it either logically, or formally: e. g. אַטְהָה שִּׁנְשִׁיָּה ... &c. (as to) a soul, when it shall sin ... AND HE DO, &c., Lev. iv. 2; i. e. as spoken of a person, וְעִשְׂרָה אֲנְשִׁיִם נְּמִצְאַרְּבָּם

<sup>\*</sup> But here we may have an ellipsis.

<sup>†</sup> It is a curious fact that the Grammarians of Arabia consider females as unintelligent agents, not absolutely, but relatively; and hence they account for these agents having, in grammar, the same government with collective inanimate nouns. See the Shurho Moolla Jámi, p. p. 14

found among them, Jer. xli. 8; וֹמְבִּיבשֶׁת בֶּן־אֲדֹנְיְךְ יֹאכִל but (as to) Mephibosheth, the son of thy master, אוּבְּילְנְשׁוֹ וּשְׁמְהּ וֹשְׁמְהּ (as to) his concubine, and her name was Reumah, she also brought forth, Gen. xxii. 24.

- 12. A great number of instances moreover occur, in which the Subject of the discourse is thus absolutely enounced (Art. 212.) by one or more words, not apparent nominatives to verbs, but which are, nevertheless, termed nominatives absolute: e. g. אָשֶׁר שְּׁבֶּרְ מַ אַשֶּׁר שְׁבְּרָים אַשֶּׁר שְׁבִּרְ (as to) the words which thou hast heard, &c., 2 Kings xxii. 18; בּרְבָּר אַשֶּרוֹבְּבַרְתְּ ... אֵינֶבּוּ שִׁמְעִים (as to) the word which thou hast spoken ... we regard it not, Jer. xliv. 16; בּרְבָּר הַמְיוֹת הַרְיוֹת הַרְּבִּר הַמְרַבּר הַבְּרִים בְּשִׁר הַבְּרָם מַרְאַיְּהָם (as to) the similitude of the living creatures, their appearances, &c., Ezek. i. 13; בּרְבָּר הְּכְמַת בִּינְהִייִנְיִּבְיּאָמָם (as to) every matter of wisdom (and) discrimination ... so he found them, Dan. i. 20; אָנִי הְבָּה בְּרִיתִי אִהְּרָ (as for) me, behold my covenant is with thee, Gen. xvii. 4, &c.
- 13. The relative pronoun אָשֶׁב is often subject to this kind of construction, though always in a subordinate point of view, and when the subject matter of the proposition in which it is found, is not the real subject of the discourse, but nevertheless relates to it: e. g. אַשֶּׁר תְּבְּבָּנוֹ (as to) which, the wind disperses it, Ps. i. 4; אַשֶּׁר רְבְּלְתִּי אֲבְל־תִבְּבֵּנוֹ (as to) which, I commanded thee for not eating of it, &c., Gen. iii. 11; אַבְּרִיְהַנְהַ אֵּבְיֹן (with respect to) whom, the word of the Lord came to him, &c., Jer. i. 2.
- 14. This view of such constructions will account for the apparent pleonasm of the pronoun in Hebrew, which has in some instances found its way into our own version. See also Exod. vi. 4, Lev. xviii. 5, Num. xiii. 27, Deut. xxviii. 49, 2 Kings xiii. 14, Jer. xiv. 1,

Ezek. xx. 11, xxxvii. 25, Joel iv. 2, Ps. xcv. 5,—with  $\psi$  Eccles. ii. 21.

17. In some instances, also, we find both the leading and subordinate subject of a discourse placed together, without any such mark of distinction: e. g. שְׁבְעִים הָיוֹ מְלַקְּטִים (as to)

<sup>\*</sup> So in the Arabic, Gram. Arabe, vol. ii. Art. 158. Also with Lel , U &c., passim.

seventy kings, the thumbs of their hands and (the great toes) of their feet being cut off, they were gathering, &c., Judg. i. 7, which however will, according to the Arabic idiom, admit of another solution and translation; viz. (as to) seventy kings deprived of their thumbs and great toes, they were gathering, &c. The accents give the former.

18. The recurrence of , in all such constructions as this, is said to have the effect of pointing out the comparison or correspondence intended to be instituted between the preceding and following member, i. e. the relation between the prodosis and apodosis: e. g. אַן בּוֹלֵין ... וֹלְכִוּ בּוֹלֵין ... אַרַ בּוֹלֵין ... אַרַ בּוֹלִין בּוֹלֵין ... אַרַ בּוֹלִין וֹלֵין בּוֹלֵין אַרַ בּוֹלֵין וּלִין אַרַ בּוֹלֵין וּלִין אַרַ בּוֹלֵין וּלִין אַרַ בּוֹלֵין וּלִין וּלְיִין וּלִין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלִיין וּלִיין וּלְייִין וּלִיין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלִייִין וּלִיין וּלִיין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלִייִין וּלִייִין וּלִייִין וּלְייִין וּלִייִין וּלִייִין וּלִייִין וּלִייִין וּלְיייִין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלִייִין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלִייִין וּלִייִין וּלְיִייִין וּלִייִין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלִייִין וּלְייִין וּלִייִין וּלִייִין וּלְייִין וּלִייִין וּלְייִין וּלְייִין וּלִייִין וּלְייִייְיִין וּלְייִייִייְיְייִייְיִייִייִין וּלִייִייִין וּיִייִייְיִייִייִייִייִייִייִייִי

#### LECTURE XV.

ON THE GENERAL DEPENDENCE OF WORDS ONE UPON ANOTHER.

- 217. Having considered the principles of concordance found to prevail in this language, we now come to consider the dependence of words upon one another generally, and to investigate the principles by which this is regulated.
- 2. It will readily be admitted, that when words are added, either for the purpose of defining or modifying the signification of others, or for completing the ideas partially perhaps conveyed by them, the relation which such words will bear to one another must be regulated by some easy and intelligible principles. We now proceed to shew what these are.

- 3. The principles found to prevail in the Hebrew language may be reduced to two: viz. apposition, and what has been termed the definite state of construction, (Art. 143.)
- 4. By Apposition is meant the juxta-position of two or more words which are either different names for some idea, or some of which are added for the purpose of presenting it in a different point of view, or otherwise to modify and define it : e. g. יהוָה אֵלהִים Jehovah Elohim, presents two words in apposition; by the first of these the reader is put in mind of that Being alone, who had revealed himself to the Jews; by the second, the name of any Deity, either true or false. But, when these two are combined, the sense of the latter is so restricted, as to be applicable to none but the God of Israel. Again, in the example, דְמֶּלֶךְ דְּוַד the king David,\* by the first we shall understand the king, but shall not know what king is meant. It may be the king of Assyria, Samaria, Egypt, or of any other country; when however the word David is added, the phrase becomes perfectly definite. The first of these may be termed apposition of identity; the second, of specification.
- 5. Apposition may also be either immediate or mediate: immediate, when the words so connected have no intervening word or particle: as, בְּלְהֵים; mediate, when some such word, &c. intervenes: as, ישֵׁי בֵית הַלְּחְבִי as, ישֵׁי בֵית הַלְּחְבִי desse the Bethlehemite.
- 6. Under this head we shall consider the construction of attributives with substantives, or when used as adverbs: nouns substantive used as *specificatives*, i. e. when

<sup>\*</sup> Sophocl. Œdipus Rex. l. 27—8, ὁ πυρφόρος θεὸς....λοιμὸς ἔχθιστος, &c.

in apposition with others signifying number, weight, measure, &c.: also the Pronouns demonstrative, interrogative, and personal, when added for the purpose of defining, specifying, or otherwise restricting, the significations of other words.

- 7. The other principle just mentioned, by which two or more words are so connected as to present one definite idea, is that which regulates the juxta-position of two or more substantives, or attributives used as substantives,\* which are not names for the same thing, but which, having a certain relation to one another, will by their combination afford the idea of proprietorship, materiality, peculiarity, or the like, which exists between them. This we term the definite state of construction, generally; but, when the first of two or more such words in construction is an attributive, used as a substantive, we shall, for the mere sake of distinction, term this the distinctive state of construction.
- 8. This construction may be either *immediate* or *mediate*, as before, and for the same reasons.

<sup>\*</sup> It is usual with writers on the Arabic Grammar to say, when speaking of (what we term) adjectives or attributives, that they are put to stand for the essence or being of the person or thing described, including also the properties expressed by such words. So the Moolla Jámi, on the Gram. of Ibn ul Hájib,

p. ١٤١, وهو كون الاسم دالًا على ذات مبهمة ماخوذة مع مثل احمر فانه بعض صفاتها سوا كانت هذه الدلالة بحسب الوضع مثل احمر فانه موضع لذات ما اخذت مع بعض صفاتها التي هي الحمرة. See also the same sentiment repeated under the مفعول , فاعل and Mr. de Sacy's Gram. Arabe, vol i. p. 228. The same is found to prevail in the Persian, Sanscrit, and Bengáli. On the last, see Mr. Haughton's Gram., p. 35. Art. 120. See also Lumsden's Pers. Gram., vol. i. p. 147, &c. And the

9. The complements of all verbs, (i. e. their objective cases, as considered in the Latin and other Grammars), whether transitive, intransitive, or neuter; as well as the construction of prepositions, adverbs, or interjections, we shall consider as regulated by one or other of these principles.

Remarks.

- 218. All indefinite attributives found in the predicates of propositions, may be considered as in the state of immediate apposition with the noun, either expressed or implied, which is the real predicate; e. g. מַחְלֵהוֹ יְחִלֵּהוֹ חַשְּׁבְּוֹן and gracious (is) Jehovah, Ps. ciii. 8, &c., which, Exod. xxxiv. 6, &c. is given יְרוּשְׁלֵים Jehovah (is) A God merciful and gracious. So the passage בּיִשְׁלָה יִרוּשְׁלִים Jerusalem hath stumbled, might be thus fully expressed, יְרוּשְׁלִים עִיר בְּשִׁלָה יִרוּשְׁלִים trusalem (is) A city (that) hath stumbled; and hence perhaps, יְרוּשְׁלִים is put in the feminine form. So Gen. vi. 9, אישׁ צַּדִּיק Noah (was) a just MAN.

Hence, the absence of the article universally after the negative particles \$1, 5, 5, 5, 6. &c. no, not, &c. because the word thus negatived must necessarily be a predicate, and not a subject as in

the European languages.\* Hence الله الله عن (as to) God,

<sup>•</sup> We say in English, No one came; not so in the Hebrew, &c. Here we must say, Any one came not, which is much more proper. So ابن مالك الله في نكرة مفرقة جآءتك أو Ibn Mâlik, in the Alfia, عمل أن أجعل للافي نكرة مفرقة جآءتك أو بريد

Its government is such, that y must accompany an indefinite word, whether it be single or repeated.

there is not a God besides him, &c. In all such cases as the above, then, we have a Nominative absolute (Art. 216. 10.), or a Subject attended by its predicate, e. g. as to Jehovah, (he is) a merciful and gracious God: and so of the rest: in which the subsequent words may also be considered as specific (Art. 217. 4.).

3. In all cases, in which both the subject and predicate are made definite, we have nothing more or less than nouns in *immediate* apposition, where the following ones may be considered as specificatives as before: and hence the rules relating to the concordance of

the subject with the predicate will also hold good here.

4. The construction of apparent nominatives with their verbs, has been shewn to be nothing more than nouns, &c., in immediate apposition with the real nominatives, and which must always agree with them either in a logical or formal point of view.

### Of Words in Immediate Apposition with one another.

219. When two or more substantives, or attributives used as substantives, are in Apposition, one or more of them may be considered as occupying the place of adjectives in other languages.\* Examples of *immediate* 

<sup>\*</sup> Nouns put in apposition, may, as already observed, be considered in two points of view: the one may be termed Identity; as in the phrase Difficultion; the one may be termed Identity; as in the phrase Difficultion; Identity as in the phrase Difficultion, as in the instances above. This last is termed by the Arabian Grammarians معمية specification, and is thus explained in the Hidayat oon Nahve, p. 19 تحديد عدد الو كيل او وزن او مساحة او غير ذلك مما فيه تذكر بعد عدد او كيل او وزن او مساحة او غير ذلك مما فيه سمناً وجريبان قطناً وعلى التمرة مثلها زبداً وقد يكون من غير مقدار نحو خاتم حديداً وسوار ذَهباً وقد يقع بعد الجملة لرفع الإبهام عن نصو خاتم حديداً وسوار ذَهباً وقد يقع بعد الجملة لرفع الإبهام عن The (noun of) Specification, is always indefinite, and is pluced after a noun of Number, Measure,

apposition: אֲלָשִׁים מְעַשׁ men, a few, i. e. a few men, Neh. ii. 12; אֶּלֶרִים אֱלֶרִים אֶּלֶרִים אָלָרִים אַלְרִים מיסלא, truth, i. e. true words, Prov. xxii. 21: שָׁה בִּרְיָה a sheep, fatness, i. e. fat sheep, Ezek. xxxiv. 20.

2. Examples in which the latter designates the materials, metal, &c. out of which the first is made: בַּבְּרֵים two talents (of) silver, 2 Kings v. 23; דְבַבֵּקְיּ

According to the opinion of El Akhfash, الأخفش, and Soheili بسهيلي as recorded by El Azheri (Schnabell's Com. on the Agrúmia, p. ٨٢) and condemned by him, this construction is to be considered as a species of the Izáfat, or definite state of construction; which is no doubt erroneous.

We have a construction perfectly parallel to this, which M. de Sacy has put down in his Gram. Arabe, (vol. ii. p. 110.), as anomalous; it is given in these examples: viz. التَّقُرُونِي الْخُوارِيبِ الدَّهُ مِن and i. e. the wooden billets. So

דְּנְּחֹשֶׁת the oxen, the brass, i. e. brazen, 2 Kings xvi. 17; טורים אָבֶּן orders (rows) stone, i. e. of stone, Exod. xxviii. 17.

3. So also, when the latter word signifies the person, thing, &c. numbered, measured, or weighed, and the preceding one the name of the measure, &c.; 'e. g. מְּבָּיִם יְבִים יְבִים יְבִים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבִּים יִבִּים יִבִּים יִבִים יִבִּים יִבְּבּם יִבְּבּה שִּׁעְּרִים יִבּים יִבְּבּה שִּׁנְבְּה שִּׁנְרִים יִבּים יִבְּבּה שִּׁבְּה שִּׁנְרִים יִבּים יִבְּבּה שִּׁבְּה שִּׁבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבּים יִבְּבּה שִּׁבְּה שִּׁבְּה שִּׁבְּה שִּׁבְּה שִּׁנְרְים יִבְּבּה שִּבְּה מִבְּבְּה שִּנְרִם יִבְּבְּה שִּבְּבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּבּה מִּבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּים יִבְּים יבּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּבְּים יִבְּים יִבּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִּבְּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּים יִּבּים יִּבְּים יִּבְּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּבְּים יִּבּים יִּבְּים יִּבּים יִבּים יִּבּים יִּבְּים יִּבּים יִּבּים יִּבְּים יִּבְּים יּבְּים יִּבּים יִּבְּים יִּבְּים

See also Gen. xv. 1, Num. ix. 19, 20, xxviii. 23, 1 Kings xxii. 17, Prov. xxii. 21, Is. x. 19, xxx. 20, 33, &c.

4. Apposition may also take place *mediately*, i. e. when one or more words intervene between the nouns to be thus construed.

Under this rule may be placed all those examples, in which

p. 16, and without the article , p. 17. There is no reason, however, for supposing, as M. de Sacy does, that this construction is modern, for it also occurs in the Koran; nor, that these are to be considered as nouns in the definite state of construction. The fact is, they are nouns in apposition,

and involve the rhetorical figure which the Arabs term אָבּט, and we, EpaNORTHOSIS. This construction may also be explained, by supposing the preposition סיס to be omitted, as done by El Azherí, אָנָמֶבֶּע, in his Commentary on the Agrúmia, published by Schnabell, p. אַרַי. But I prefer the
former method. See also Josh.iii. 14, 2 Kings ix. 4, 1 Chron. xv. 27, 2 Chron.
xv. 8, Ps. lix. 6, Jer. xxxviii. 6, where there seems to be an excess of the
definite article; but this may be accounted for without having recourse to
the ellipsis, as Schræderus has done. Synt. R. III. See also Freytag's
Hamasa, vol. i. p. 3.

الخبر الشعير ,So in the tale of Sindbad, by Langles السروج الخشب

See also Ps. lxxxix. 14, cxviii. 7, Prov. xvi. 6, Is. xl. 10, Hos. xiii. 9.

5. Words are sometimes put in the mediate state of apposition by means of a conjunction. This is generally termed Hendiadys. Examples: אַר וְאַם a city and mother, i. e. a mother city, or metropolis, 2 Sam. xx. 19; ובערו ובערו ובערו וה Ramah and in his own city, i. e. in his city Ramah, 1 Sam. xxviii. 3; בּּבְלִי דְּרֹעִים בּּרִלִי דְּרֹעִים ... in the shepherds' vessel, and in the bag, Ib. xvii. 40.

See also Is. xxxvii. 18, 2 Chron. xvi. 14, Ps. lxvi. 20, lxxiv. 16, Dan. iii. 5, viii. 10, &c. And in the New Test., Mark xvi. 7, Acts xiv. 13, xxiii. 6, Eph. ii. 3, &c.

## On the Nouns of Time and Place.

220. Under this head may be placed certain words, signifying the time or place in which any thing is done, the construction of which in the Arabic is absolute:\* these words are, therefore, construed by them as if in the objective case, and as standing in no need of any intervening particle: e. g. שִׁנְתֵּים יָמִים יָמִים יִמִים יִמִים יִמִים מִנִּים מִנִים מִנִּים מִּנִּים מִנִּים מִּנִּים מִּיִּים מִּנִּים מִּנִּים מִּים מִּנִּים מִּנִּים מִּנִּים מִּנִּים מִּנִּים מִּים מִּים מִּים מִּיִּים מִּנִּים מִּנִּים מִּיִּים מִּים מִּי

As the construction of the numerals will occasionally require the application of the other principle, which we have termed that of "definite construction," its consideration will be postponed till we come to treat on that subject specifically.

The preposition, however, is sometimes used: e.g. אָרָבוּ בְּתַהְתִּית הָהָנ they stood beneath the mount, Exod. xix. 18.

- 2. In all these cases, as the qualifying word seems to have no *formal* connection with the word qualified, its position may be termed *absolute*: and, in this point of view, it may be said to have the same relation to the word qualified that an adverb has when used for the purpose of qualifying a verb.
  - 3. We sometimes find a pronoun joined with the first

<sup>\*</sup> These are termed فاروف المكان والزمان containers of time and place:

e. g. قام المسجد fast ye (for) days numbered; معدودة المام معدودة before the mosque, Gram. Arab. M. de Sacy, vol. ii. p. 59, 60, &c.

of such nouns: as, אוֹם בוּד בוּד הוּג GARMENT (of) linen, Lev. vi. 3; אוֹ מְחַסִיעוֹ אַץ REFUGE (of) strength, Ps. lxxi. 7.

See also 1 Sam. xxii. 13, Is. xxxi. 7, Lam. iv. 17, Ezek. xvi. 27, &c.\*

- 4. Attributives in Hebrew being considered as including some substantive in their signification (see Art. 217. 7.), need not, when put in apposition with other substantives, be made to agree with them formally, i. e. in the same gender and number: e. g. עַּלְילֵּה בְּלִילִּה מַנְלְּה מָנְה מָלְיִה מַנְּלְילִ an offering, a complete one, i. e. a complete offering, 1 Sam. vii. 9; אַלְילֵם לְצִייִם flesh in the field, Torn (flesh) i. e. flesh torn in the field, Exod. xxii. 30; יְעֵם לְצִיִים for a people, for the desert in the field, Exod. to those inhabiting the desert, Ps. lxxiv. 14, &c.
- 6. Pronouns have the property of making the words to which they are attached definite; and hence, in the last example, the article is necessarily affixed to the

<sup>•</sup> Examples of this description have been improperly laid down by Schroederus as being in the definite state of construction. Synt. R. 10.

- - 7. In some instances, however, the attributive appears to be made definite, when the preceding substantive is not so: as, אַבְּיִים הַּבְּאִים יִּבְּאִים הַבָּאִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאָּבִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאָּרִים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאָּבְּיִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאָּבְים הַבְּאָרִים הַבְּאָּרִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאִים הַבְּאָּבְים הַבְּאִים הַבְּיִּבְּים הַבְּיּאִים הּבּאּים הּיּים הּיִּים הּיִּבּים הּיִּבּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיים הּיּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיִּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיִּים הּיּים הּיים הּיִּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיִּים הּיִים הּיִּים הּיּים הּיִים הּיִּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיִים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיּים הּיִּים הּיִים הּיּים הּיּים הּיים הּיּים הּיּים הּיים הּיים הּיים הּיים הּיּים הּיים הּיים הּיּים הּיים הּיים

  - 9. Proper names are to a certain degree definite in their own right; they do not, therefore, receive the article. Nor will two nouns in the state of definite construction admit of the article being prefixed to the first; as, חַבָּיב יִי בְּיבֶּי יִי בְּיבָי יִי בּיִּבְי וּ because, in all these cases, the last word (whether it be a proper name and therefore definite in itself, or, an appellative with an article) is added for the purpose of defining the first; which will not then admit of being made still more so, by the further addition of the article.
  - 10. There are, however, many examples in which this doctrine about the force of the article seems to be contravened; and in order to be able to account for these,

it becomes necessary here to consider the principles by which the use of the article is regulated.

## On the Use of the Article.

221. The article is used for two purposes generally (Art. 180. 14.); first, to point out any person or thing already mentioned or well known; as, אָרֶץ the earth, Gen. i. 2, which had just been mentioned; or, שֹׁמֶשֶׁלוּ the sun. Secondly, to restrict the bearing of any generic, abstract, or other noun, to the peculiar property, quality, &c. expressed by such word; e.g. Gen. xviii. 25, הָלְלָה לְךָּ מֵעֲשֹׁת פַּדָּבָר הַזֶּה לְהָמִית צַדִּיק עִם־רָשֶׁע וְהָיָה far be it from thee to do after this manner, to put to death righteous and evil; so, the righteous shall become as the wicked: or, and thus the man characterized as RIGHTEOUS shall be (considered hereafter) as the man designated as wicked. So Ib. xiii. 7, והַכְּנַעָנִי ישׁב בָּאָרֶץ and the Canaanite and the PERIZZITE dwelt then in the land. So also with respect to abstract nouns: 1 Kings vii. 14, וַיָּמָלָא אָת־הַהָּכְמָה וְאֶת־הַתִּבוּנָה וְאֵת־הַדְּעַת לַעֲשׁוֹת כָּל־מְלָאכָה בַּנָּחשֶׁת and, he is filled with such wisdom, and intelligence, and knowledge, (as are necessary) for making all work in brass,\* &c. See also Gen. xxiii. 17, דְעָץ; Deut. xxxii. 4, קאיש; 2 Sam. xii. 7, אָליש, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> The following account of the force of the Arabic definite article is taken from a treatise on the Arabic Syntax, printed at Madras in 1820, p. ٢٣٠٠ ما عرف باللم وهي علي اربعة اقسام الاول ما يشير به الي المعية من حيث هي هي بلا اعتبار فرد او افراد نحو الرجل خير من الامراة ومن ثم اجيز الدينار الحمر والدرهم البيض ويسم بلام المجنس . . . والثاني ما

2. The reason of the rule seems to be this. These generic, or abstract, nouns may be considered as proper names for the ideas for which they severally stand; and, therefore, as definite within themselves to a certain degree. But, as they may stand for any individual of the species to which they belong, and, likewise, for every individual, they may represent whole classes. In this case, the addition of the definite article can affect their signification in no other way, than by giving a sort of importance, not to such class or individual, but to the property expressed by its name. And, again, any proper name receiving the definite article, may be considered as an attribute expressive of the properties, &c. expressed by such name.

يشير به الي المهية الموجودة في ضمن فرد معهود بين المتكلم والمخاطب في المخارج نحو ليس الذكر كالأنثى ويسمي بالعهد المخارجي والثالث ما يشيرِ به الى المهية الموجودة في الذهن في ضمن فرد ما نحو اني خاف ان يأكله الذَّبِّب ويسمي بالعهد الذهني وهذا في المعنى كالنكرة واما في اللفظ فيجري علية احكام المعارف . . . والرابع ما يشير الى المهية الموجودة في ضمن جميع الافراد نحو أن الانسان لفي خسر بدليل صحة . That which is made الاستثناء وهو الا الذين امنوا ويسمى بلام الاستغراق. definite by the article, is of four sorts. The first is, any word intimating character as it exists, without regard to particular or particulars; as, THE MAN is better than the woman. And hence by a metonymy, The RED Dinar, the WHITE Dirhem, (i. e. of gold or silver, respectively). This is termed the article of kind. The second is that by which the character existing externally and habitually in an individual, is pointed out between the first and second person (in discourse); as, The MALE is not like THE FEMALE. This is termed, The external convention.... The third is that which points out the character of something inherent in any individual mentally: as, I am afraid THE WOLF will devour him. This is termed, The mental convention. In this the word is not actually defined, although it has received the form of words defined. The fourth is that which points out the property found to exist in all the individuals of any species; as, "THE MAN" (i. e. man generally) "is certainly" (implicated) " in sorrow:"-in order to point out the force of the exception (following, viz.) "except those who believe." This is termed the article of immersion. The Persians express these powers by adding the letter \_s to nouns.

William Jones's Persian Grammar, edit. ix. pp. 26, 27, &c.

- 4. This sometimes takes place also, when a proper name, compounded of two words, is put in the definite state of construction; as, אַרִית הָּאַרְבּע הַאָּרִבּע הַאָּרִבּע הַאָּרִבּע הַאָּרִבּע הַאָּרִבּע הַאָּרִבּע הַאָּרִבּע הַאָּרִבּע הַיִּעְרִים הַאָּרִבּע הַיִּעְרִים הַאָּרִים הַאָּרִים הַאָּרִים הַאָּרִים הַאָּרִים הַיִּעְרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרָּבע הַיִּעְרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרָים בּאַרִים בּאַרָים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִּים בּאַרִים בּאַרְים בּאַרִים בּאַרְיבּים בּאַרִים בּאַרְיבּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרָּים בּאַרָּים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרִים בּאַרָּים בּאַרִים בּאַרָּים בּאַרָּים בּאַרָּים בּאַבּים בּאבּים בּאַבּים בּאבּים בּאבּיבּים בּאבּים בּאבים בּאבּ
- 5. Some appellatives which are much in use, seem to take the article by way of distinction: † as, א בַּבְּי the well, Exod. ii. 15; the servant, Gen. xviii. 7, &c. just as we use the corresponding

<sup>&</sup>quot;In the المظهر في اللغة الالف by Essoyuti, we have the following remark to this effect: فان قيل فالفرات ايضاً معرفة فلم دخلته الالف الوصف كالعباس واللام فالجواب ان ذلك جايز في كل معرفة اصله الوصف كالعباس . If it be said, Why is EL FARAT with the article, when its addition is not allowable? The answer is, that this is allowable in all proper names the origin of which is AN ATTRIBUTIVE; as, العباس EL ABBAS; الحارث EL HARITH. And as to EL FARAT, its signification is, the Sweet water: as it is said in the Koran, "And we will surely water you with sweet water." (Sur. 77.). See Weiske on the Greek Pleonasms, p. 23, edit. 1813; Freytag's Hamasa, p. 14 line 16, &c.

<sup>†</sup> This the Arabs term ἐνὰμενος, i. e. a sort of antonomasia. So in the Greek, ὁ ποιητης: ὁ ὧν, καὶ ὁ ἦν, καὶ ὁ ἐρχόμενος. See also Freytag's Hamasa, p. 1—

words in English without intending to make the word definite. For a similar reason perhaps others omit it: as, 그그 ગુંટ્ર great king, for the great king, Ps. xxi. 2, xlv. 16, xlviii. 3, xlix. 7, lxii. 1, Esth. i. 9. So પ્રારૂપ for પ્રારૂપ Ps. lxxii. 17, Jer. xxxi. 35, &c. So પ્રારૂપ for પ્રારૂપ Ps. ii. 2, Job iii. 14, xxxv. 11, &c.; and prints frequently for prints 7, &c. see No. 3.

# Adverbs and other qualifying Terms.

- 222. Words generally construed as adverbs are nothing more than nouns, attributives, or particles, put in immediate, or mediate, apposition with those which they are intended to qualify.\* The order is regulated as before (Art. 212. 3.). Examples of such words in immediate apposition; בוֹנְשׁ הַפְּלְשׁׁהִי הַשְׁכֵּם וְהַעְּרֵבְּ יִנְשְׁיִבְּטֹ הַשְּׁכֵם וְהַעְּרֵבְ יִנְשִׁ הַפְּלְשִׁהִי הַשְּׁכֵם וְהַעָּרֵבְ יִנִישׁ הַפְּלְשִׁהִי הַשְּׁכֵם וְהַעָּרֵב יִנִישׁ הַפְּלְשִׁהִי הַשְּׁכֵם וְהַעָּרֵב יִנִישׁ הַפְּלְשִׁהִי הַשְּׁכֵם וְהַעָּרֵב יִנִישְׁיַבְּם וְהַעָּרֵב יִנִישׁ הַפְּלְשִׁהִי הַשְּׁכֵם וְהַעָּרֵב יִנִישְׁרֵב וּבִישְׁיַבְּם הַפְּלְשִׁהִי הַשְּׁבֶם וְהַעָּרֵב יִנִישְׁרֵב וּבְּיִשְׁרֵב וּבְּיִבְּיִב הַשְּׁבְם וְהַעָּרֵב וּבְּיִבְיִב הַשְּׁבְם וְהַעִּרֶב יִנְיִיבְם וְהַעָּבם וְהַעִּרֵב יִנְיִיבְּם הַפְּרִשְׁהִי הַשְּבַם וְהַעִּרֵב יִנְיִיבְם בּבּרְעִיבוּם בּבּרְעִב הַשְּבַם וְהַעִּבם וּבְּעִּבְּם הַבּבּי אָם הַבּבּיע הַבְּבִּישׁ הַבּבְּי הַבְּבִּי הַבְּעָּבְם הַבּבּי בּבּי בּבּיע הַבְּבִּישׁ הַבּבּי בּבּיע הַבְּבִיע הַבְּבִי הַבְּבְּיִי בְּיִים הַבּבּי בּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּיע הַבּבּי בּבּי בּיּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּיבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּיבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּיבּי בּיבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּיבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּיבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּיבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּיבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּיב בּבּי בּיבּי בּיבּי בּיבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּיב בּיבּי בּבּי בְּבִי בְּבִּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּי בּבּיב בּבּי בּבּבּי בּבּי
- 2. Examples of such words in *mediate* apposition with others, i. e. with some particle or other word or

<sup>\*</sup> The construction is here, as before, absolute when immediate. This is termed by the Arabian Grammarians i. e. the state; and, as it consists of one or more words added for the purpose of qualifying either the subject or object of a verb, it must necessarily be an attributive. Mr. de Sacy seems to have confounded the with the same in both.

<sup>†</sup> Ibn Malik says on the use of the Infinitive thus added, 

"It is selected for the purpose of giving emphasis, or to specify, or to intimate number: as, I went two journeys, or, on a journey of observation." So in the Greek, öς αν καταφεύγων καταφύγη ἐς τούτους. Herodot. iv. 23. See Weiske's Pleonas-Græc., Ed. 1813, pp. 9. 15. 27. Bos. Ellipses Græc., p. 3., Edit. 1813.

words intervening: יְבֵּוְרְאֹבֶל לְמַבְבִּיר he giveth food to abundance, i. e. abundantly, Job xxxvi. 31; יַבְרְחוֹ בְּהַתְבֵא they fled in becoming hidden, i. e. secretly, Dan. x. 7, &c.

- 3. In all these cases specification is obviously the purpose for which such words have been introduced. With verbs these will necessarily be construed as adverbs, with nouns as adjectives, attributes, or the like. The addition of the particle can have no other influence than that of uniting the force of the several terms used, for the purpose of giving perspicuity to the whole.
- 4. Hence, when it is necessary to express the commencement, repetition, hurry, progress, the power of effecting, the intensity, certainty, &c. of, any action, passion, &c. this may be done either by putting two verbs in the tense and person suitable to the passage, with or without the copulative conjunction; or, by one verb only in such tense and person, and the other, either in the Infinitive, or in the Participial, form: \* e. g. לְּהָוֹל בְּיִשׁ begin, possess, i. e. begin to possess, Deut. ii. 31;

الإقواء والاقفار . . . جمع بينهما نضرب من التاكيد . 5 . Antara Ed. Menil. v. 5

i. e. The becoming void and desert. These words are conjoined for the purpose of strengthening the passage, just as the poet Tarafat has said, When I come near him, he wanders and separates from me." So also Weiske Isagoge, §. 16, and Winer's Grammar of the New Testament, p. 91, Edit. Andover, 1825.

בּרֵם בּרַם . . . וַיִּשֵּׁע בְּרֶם מֹ vineyard, i. e. to plant, Gen. ix. 20; לא תוֹסִיפִּי do not repeat (that) they call thee, i. e. do not allow them again to call thee, Is. xlvii. 1; וּיִסֶּף שַׁרָּחְיִּרְ שַׁרְּחִי שַׁרִּחְיִּרְ שַׁרְּחִי שִׁרְּחִי שִׁרְּחִי שִׁרְּחִי שִׁרְּחִי שִׁרְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי שִׁרִ בְּחִי שִׁרִ בְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי שִׁרִ בְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי בְּחִי שִׁרִ בְּחִי בְּחִי שִׁרִ בְּחִי בְּחִי שִׁרִ בְּחִי בְּחִי בְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי בְּחִי שִׁרִּ בְּחִי בְּחִי בְּחִי שִׁרִ בְּחִי בְּיִי בְּחִי בְּיִי בְּחִי בְּיִי בְּחִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּיִים בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיי בְּיִי בְּיבְּי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיבְיי בְּיי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְּיי בְּיי בְיבְּיי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְּיי בְּיבְּיי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְּי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיבְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיבְּיי בְּיי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְּיי בְּיבְּיי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְּיי בְ

5. The repetition of nouns or particles is often recurred to, for the purpose of denoting distribution, diversity, comparison, or the like: e. g. אַל־נֹח שׁנֵים שׁנֵים שׁנֵים שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים בּאוֹ דְּאַרְ נִח שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים בּאוֹ דֹּאַר נֹח שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים בּאַר נֹח אַלִּר נִבּין עִדֶּר וֹבִין עֶדֶר וֹבִין עִדֶּר וֹבִּלְן וְאָבֶן וְבָּרוֹן וְבָּרוֹן וְבָּרוֹן וֹבְּרוֹן וְבָּרוֹן וְבָּרוֹן וְבָּרוֹן וְבָּבְּר וְלֵבְי וְבָּבְר וְלַבְּי וְבָּרוֹן וְיִבְּי וְבָּבְין נְבְּרוֹן בְּעֶבְ בְּבָּר וְלָבְי וְבָבוֹן נְּעֶבֶר וְבָּבְין בְּבֶרן נְבְּבֶן וְנְבֶּרוֹן נְדְיִבְ וְאָבֶן וְלָבְרוֹן בְּעֶבְ בְּבֶּר וְלָב בְּעָב בְּבֶּר וְלֵב בְּעָב בְּבֶר וְלָב בְּעָב בְּבֶר וְלָב בְּעָב וְנִבְּרוֹן וְאָב וֹנְבְלְוֹן נְּבְלְוֹן נְבְּלְוֹן נְבְלְוֹן נְלְנִין נְיִוֹן וְלְבְלִין אָוֹ וֹבְלִח שְׁנִי אָוֹ וֹבְלִח שְׁנִי אָוֹ וֹבְלִח יִי עָוֹן וֹבְלִרן עִּבְי בְּנִין עְּבְּר וְבָּב וְלִב וְלֵב וְבְבּר וְלֵב וּבְבּר וְבְּב בּעְם בְּבֶּר וְבְּלְיִר אָוֹ וֹבְלְח יִבְּין עִּבְּר וְבְּבְיוֹ עִּיוֹ וְלִּב וֹלְיוֹ עִּוֹ וְלְבְלִיי עְּיִוֹ עִיוֹ וְלְבוֹי עִּין וֹבְלְתִי עְּיִוֹ עִּיְ עִוֹ וַבְּלְיוֹי עְלִיוֹ עִוֹי עִוֹן וֹבְל וֹלְב וְלִב וְלִב וְלִב וְלִב וְלִב וְלִב וְלִב וְבִּב וְנִייִי עְּיִי עְּיִי עְּיִי עְּיִי עִיּי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עְלִיוֹ עִיּי עִוֹי עִוֹי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיוֹי עִיי עְיִוּי עִייִי עְיִי עְיִי עְיִי עְיִי עְיִי עְיִי עְיִי עְּיִי עְיִי עְיִיי עְיִי

On the emphatic repetition of Words or Phrases.

<sup>\*</sup> See Weiske on the Greek Pleonasms, Isagoge, § 15, &c., Ed. 1813.

<sup>†</sup> For similar examples in the Arabic, see Mr. de Sacy's Gram., vol. ii.

So מַשְׁעֵּךְ הְּמַשְׁעֵּךְ masc. and fem. i. e. every species of support, Is. iii. 1. See the Concessus of Hariri by Schultens, p. 36, and the Moallakah Antaræ by Menil (Lugd. Bat. 1816.), v. 71, شيظمة وشيط, with the Scholia of Zúzení, at p. 113.

3. Hence, also, words put in the plural number, (which according to our system, consists of the juxta-position of two words) may be considered as emphatical: e. g. מַלְּהָיִם God; אֲלֹהִים Lord; אֲלֹהִים Baal; אַלְהִים Ashtaroth, Venus; תְּבֶּׁבְּׁחִוֹדְּ the Behemoth; real wisdom, &c. by way of eminence, and which may hence be termed the plural of excellence.

Art. 671—2—676; Moolla Jami's Commentary on the Kafia, p. rro. And with the pronouns, Mr. de Sacy's Gram., vol. ii. pp. 359, 360.

286.

On Nouns put in the Definite State of Construction.

- 224. Of this construction, or regimen as it has been sometimes called, there are two kinds (see Art. 217. 7.). The first we have termed the <u>definite</u>, the second the <u>distinctive</u>, state of construction; merely to denote the different kinds of words thus used.
- 2. Of the definite state of construction we may reckon two kinds: viz. the Immediate, and the Mediate. The Immediate is that which presents two or more substantive nouns (not being names for the same thing), so connected that the idea conveyed by them becomes sufficiently defined for the purposes of the speaker or writer. The Mediate is that, in which this is done by the intervention of one or more of the particles.\*
  - 3. Examples of nouns in the definite state of con-

an equivalent mediate one: e. g. غلم زيد the slave of Zaid, is, according to them, equivalent to غلم أزيد. So غلم أزيد, to غنة, to غنة a ring of (or out of) silver; and فرب اليوم or blow of to-day, to a stroke or blow happening to-day. See the Shurho Moolla Jami, p. ۲۰۲, &c. This process, according to my notions, is making the construction less simple than it stood originally; in other words, it is making a plain matter difficult, by placing a greater number of words in construction than in the original example. It shews, however, that both signify the same thing. See p. 291, note.

<sup>\*</sup> The truth is, however, the particles themselves are really nouns, as already intimated; and, when they intervene, the construction is either that of apposition, or, of definite construction, or both. We have adopted the term mediate, both here and in Art. 217.5., in order to conform to the usual mode of considering these skeletons of words. 'Hereafter, perhaps, we may get rid of this distinction.—According to the doctrine of the Arabian Grammarians, every example of immediate construction which can occur, may be resolved by an equivalent mediate one: e.g. The slave of Zaid, is, according

struction termed Immediate: מְרַבְּיבְּיִ בְּבְיִי בְּנִי־הַנְּבִיאִׁם of the wives (of) the sons (of) the prophets, 2 Kings iv. 1; בְּהַרּבְּרַת the river (of) Euphrates, Gen. xv. 18; דְרַת הַצְּוֹת הַצְּוֹת הַצְּוֹת הַצְּוֹת הַצְּוֹת הַעָּהִי (of) Khuzoth, Num. xxii. 39; the virgin (of) the daughter (of) my people, Jer. xiv. 17.

6. This construction, however, will admit of more than one solution. For, first, if we consider the particles, &c. as nouns,

<sup>\*</sup> A similar practice exists in the Persian with respect to the vowels which mark the state of construction: e. g. وَنَّ رَفْتَى the time of going, &c. So وَنَّ رُفْتَى the will of (him who is) without a when, i. e. is eternal.

But in these cases both وقتى and بي چون may also be considered as nouns.

which I believe we ought to do, we shall have nothing more than an immediate state of construction, with the preceding word in the proper form for such a state (Art. 171.). And, 2dly, if we suppose the relative pronoun who, which, &c. to have been omitted by the ellipsis, which has been preferred by Schræderus, the construction will also be explained.

7. In some cases, however, the order is inverted, and has hence been termed Hypallage; e. g. טִינִים argentum scoriarum, for scoriæ argenti, Prov. xxvi. 23; העבים oblatio lignorum, for ligna oblationum. See also Lev. v. 15, vii. 21, xxii. 4, Deut. xii. 3, Josh. ii. 6, 1 Kings xvii. 14, Ezek. xxi. 30, Est. ix. 19.

8. As this combination takes place only for the purpose Finner of presenting some definite idea to the mind, should it be add to necessary further to define or qualify them by the addition of any pronoun, &c. such pronoun, &c. will generally be added to the last : e. g. דר לְדְשׁי the mountain (of) my holiness, i. e. my holy mountain, Ps. ii. 6; פְּסִילֵי כַסְפָּךְ the images (of) thy silver, i. e. thy silver images, Isaiah xxx. 22; עמי התעבות האלה the peoples (of) abominations, these, i. e. these abominable people, Ezra ix. 14.

See also Exod. xxviii. 38, Neh. ix. 14, Ps. iv. 2, lix. 18, cx. 2, cxix. 123, Is. ii. 20, xxx. 22, xxxi. 7, Dan. ix. 24. For similar constructions in the New Testament, see Rom. vii. 24, Col. i. 13, &c.

9. In the preceding examples, the qualified and qualifying noun have been considered as in juxta-position with one another; still this is neither necessary, nor is it always found, for in some instances we have the intervention of particles, such as the definite article, &c., in others, whole words or phrases: e. g. עשות יהוה THE LORD God's making (of) the earth and heavens, Gen. ii. 4; לְרֵעֶהוּ מִישׁלֹחַ בְּנוֹת אִישׁ לַרֵעָהוּ דוב SENDING GIFTS of each man to his friend, Est. ix. 19; בשלה אחו בשלה אותו ברצון SARGON'S sending him, Is. xx. 1.

See also Gen. vii. 6, Jos. xx. 3, Isa. v. 24, xx. 1, Prov. xxv. 8, &c. Storr thinks that these examples are not to be considered as in the state of construction, but to be accounted for in some other way (Observ., p. 282.). I believe it is of little importance whether we consider them in this point of view, or as in apposition

diste

11. The following are examples of what has been termed the "mediate state of construction," i. e. when the connection of nouns thus situated is, in one way or other, defined or modified by the intervention of one or

<sup>\*</sup> So in the Arabic عما خط الكتاب بكف يوما يهودي just as the book was written by the hand, one day, of a Jew. See Gram. Arab. M. de Sacy, vol. ii. p. 125—6.

more of the particles:\* מְיֵנֶר מּנְיֶנֶר a boar out of the forest, i. e. of the forest, or, a forest boar, Ps. lxxx. 14; people of, or from a distance, i. e. distant, Isa. xlix. 1.

See also Exod. i. 14, Ps. xc. 4, Cant. iii. 8, Jer. v. 6, &c. Of this kind are the passages בְּלְבְּרָר לְדָרִוּ — a psalm of David, —of Asaph; לְשֵׁלְבּוֹר לִשְׁלְבּוֹר (is) Solomon's, i. e. belonging to Solomon, Cant. i. 1, &c., where the proprietor, author, possessor, or the like, is designated.†

12. This rule, however, with its exemplifications, coincides with the corresponding one given under the article of mediate apposition (Art. 219. 4.), i. e. the preceding word generally suffers no abbreviation either in the quantity of its vowels, or in the number of its consonants. The words so connected may, by taking the particle as a noun, all be considered as in immediate apposition. In the following cases, the first so connected is put in the definite state of construction, e. g. אַבְּיֵבֶי בַּבֶּיֵבְי בַּבֶּיֵבְי those arising early in the morning...lingering after the twilight, Isa. v. 11; dwellers in the land of the shadow of death, Ib. ix. 1.

See also 2 Sam. i. 21, Ps. ii. 12, lxxxiv. 7, Isa. xiv. 19, xxviii. 9, Jer. xxiii. 23, Ezek. xiii. 2, xxi. 17, &c. So also with the conjunction ; as, אַרָּבָּת וְדַעַּת misdom and knowledge, Isa. xxxiii. 6. With אַרָּבָּי as, בַּרָק אֵין מִינְיָם there is no deliverer from their hands, Lam. v. 8.

13. It must appear from these passages, that the particle here found so influencing the preceding word, must either have been considered as a noun, or the following context must be supposed to exercise the influence, which the second of the nouns in construction does. See Art. 217. 5.

<sup>\*</sup> If we consider these particles as nouns, which we may, all these cases may be resolved into those of *immediate* construction or apposition, as before.

—New Test., John iii. 21, vi. 31, Rom. iii. 26, iv. 16, Gal. iii. 7, 8, &c.

<sup>†</sup> It has already been remarked (Art. 224. 2.), that the Arabian Grammarians consider every construction of this kind, as equivalent in signification to those in which the particle is not introduced. In most cases, however, the particles tend to define the relation more specifically.

Dis fraction ( 303) On the DISTINCTIVE State of Construction.\*

<sup>\*</sup> This kind of construction is termed by the Arabian Grammarians i. e. verbal construction, in order to distinguish between it and that which we have termed "the definite," which they style "

and that which we have termed "the definite," which they style "

the logical or significant, construction. It is curious enough to observe, that they exemplify this sort of construction in three different ways; which may serve to shew, that in fact they all amount to the same thing in meaning: e. g. 

handsome (of) face, in immediate apposition handsome (of) face, in the state of definite construction; and handsome (of) face, in the state of definite construction; and when the latter or defining word is put absolutely, and constructed as a noun of specification, "

See M. de Sacy's Arab. Gram., vol. ii. p. 159, &c. So Rev. xii. 1. γυνὴ πεξιβεβλημένη τὸν ἤλιον. Αrab. 

Arab. 

Δλιον.

See also Gen. xxxv. 29, Exod. xxxv. 22, Ps. xxiv. 4, Isa. i. 21, &c.

2. Under this general head may be placed certain idiomatical expressions which will frequently meet the Learner in his progress. These are, phrases having איש man, each, וב son, בעל Lord, possessor, מָנְשֵׁי men, &c. for the first member, followed by certain others in the definite state of construction: e. g. איש בורת אָהָא thou art a man of death, i. e. deserving death, 1 Kings ii. 26; the son of seven years (was) Joash בּן־שֶׁבַע שָׁנִים יְהוֹאָשׁ בְּמְלְכוֹ when his reign (began), i. e. he was seven years old, 2 Kings xii. 1; a son of death (is) he, i. e. he shall die, 1 Sam. xx. 31; איש שפתים a man of lips, i. e. loquacious, Job xi. 2; איש דְּבָרִים a man of words, i. e. a speaker, Exod. iv. 10; אמיש a man of truth, i. e. a true man, Neh. vii. 2; אַנשׁי השׁם the men of name, i. e. famous, Gen. vi. 4; אַנשׁי־חַיִל men of might, i. e. mighty men, Gen. xlvii. 6; מְתִי מִסְקָּר men of number, i. e. few, Gen. xxxiv. 30; בעל שער a man possessed of hair, i. e. hairy, 2 Kings i. 8; כל-בעל פנף cvery (one) possessed of ning, i. e. winged bird, Prov. i. 17.

So בּבוֹת הַאָּ men of Sodom, Gen. xix. 4, for Sodomites. See Gen. xxiii. 3, xxiv. 13, Numb. xxi. 28, Josh. xxiv. 11, Judg. ix. 2, x. 1, Jer. ii. 16, Ezek. xvi. 26, Ps. cxlix. 2, Cant. 1. 5, &c. To this class may be referred בּבְּבִּירְ הַאָּלִּיךִ הַּאָּלִי מִּילִּים sons of God, pious persons, and בַּבְּבִּיר הַאָּלִים daughters of men, low, impious women, Gen. vi. 2, &c.

### On the Construction of the Numerals.

226. The numerals in Hebrew being all substantive nouns, are put either in apposition, or in the definite state of construction, with the word signifying the thing numbered: e. g. In apposition: אַלֶּךְ וֹמֶאָה הַבֶּּכֶּףְ one thousand and one hundred (pieces of) silver, אַלְם שְׁנְיִם, or אַלְטִּה בָּנִים שִׁלְשָׁה מָלְטִּה בָּנִים שְׁלְשִׁה בָּנִים שִׁלְשִׁה בָּנִים שִׁלְשִׁה בָּנִים שִׁלְשִׁה בָּנִים שִׁלְשִׁה בָּנִים שִׁלְשִׁה בָּנִים אַלְשִׁה בָּנִים אַלְשִׁה בָּנִים מּלְשָׁה בָּנִים thirty sons. In construction: שִׁלְשִׁה בְּנִים two sons; שִׁנִי בְנִים two daughters.

2. The dual and decimal numerals, however, signify-

Phras

ing numbers above ten, such as אָשִׁרִים twenty; מָאתִים two hundred, &c. prefer the state of apposition.

On the Concordance of the Numerals with the Thing, &c. numbered.

- 3. The numerals from three to ten inclusively, are mostly in the gender different from that of the thing numbered, for the sake perhaps of variety: in this case the word representing the thing, &c. numbered is put in the plural number, e. g. שֵׁבְעֵה בְּבְשִׁית, or שֵּבְעֵה בְּבְשִׁית seven male lambs; שֵׁבְע בְּבְשׁוֹת seven female lambs. The numeral is here taken as a collective noun, and stands either in apposition or in the state of definite construction with the thing numbered.
- 4. In like manner when the numeral signifies any number exceeding ten, it may likewise disagree in gender with the thing, &c. to be numbered; while the thing, &c. numbered, will be put in the singular number: e. g. ישָבע אָשְׂבֶה seventy and seven men; יְּבְעִים וְּשְׁבָּה אָיִשׁ seventeen years; יְּבָעִים וֹמְאַר שָׁנִים וֹמְאַר שִׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָׁנִים וֹמְאַר שִׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָּׁנִים וֹמְאַר שִׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָּׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָּׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָּׁנִים וֹמְאַב שְׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָּׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָּׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָּׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָּׁנִים וֹמָּב שִׁיִּים וֹמְעִים וֹמְיִים וֹמְאַר שִׁיִּים וֹמְעִים וֹמְיִבְּים וֹמְיִים וֹמְיִּים וֹמְיִים וֹמְיִּים וֹמְיִים וֹמִים וֹמִים וֹמִים וֹיִים וֹמִים וֹיִים וֹמְיִים וֹמִים וֹיִים וֹמְיִים וֹיִים וֹמְיִים וֹמְיִים וֹמִים וֹיִים וֹיִים וֹיִים וֹמְיִים וֹיִים וֹמְיִים וֹיִים וֹמִים וֹיִים וֹיִים וֹיִים וֹיִים וֹיִים וֹיים וֹייִים וֹיִים וֹייִים וֹייִים וֹיִים וֹייִים וֹייִים וֹייִים וֹייִים וֹייִים וֹייִים וֹייים וֹייִים וֹייִים וֹייִים וֹייִים
  - 5. There is nothing peculiar in the construction of such numerals as אָבֶּה an hundred and אַבָּה a thousand, other numerals being made to agree with them or not, according to the preceding rules, while they will be put either in apposition or construction, in the singular or plural number, according to those rules: e. g. אַבְּע־בֵּאוֹת מוֹלְנִים וְאַרְבַּע־בֵּאוֹת an hundred thousand, and eighty thousand, and six thousands, and four hundreds, Num. ii. 9. See Gen. v. where a large number of examples occurs.

### Other modes of Construction.

227. In many cases, too, when the numeral exceeds the number one, the thing, &c. numbered is put in the plural, whether the numeral precede or follow it; the

gender is regulated as before: e. g. אֵילִם שְׁנִים־עָשָׁר rams twelve, Num. vii. 87; שְׁנִים עָשָׂר בּבְשִׁים הוּשׁנִים עָשָׂר בּּבְשִׁים נוּשׁל twelve bullocks, male . . . twelve, Ib.; שְׁנִים עָשְׂר בְּרִים twelve bullocks, Ib.; עַשְׂרִים וְאַרְבָּעָה בְּרִים twenty and four bullocks, Ib. v. 88.

See also Gen. xvii. 20, xxv. 16, xxvi. 12, xxxii. 15, 23, Josh. iv. 2, xxi. 19, 2 Sam. xix. 18, 1 Kings iv. 13, Jer. xxxviii. 10, Ezra viii. 35, &c. And, vice versá, תְּשָׁי שֵׁשְׁתְ five year, 2 Kings xxii. 1. &c.

- 2. The intermediate numbers between ten and twenty, twenty and thirty, &c. are regulated by the principles already investigated; that is, either by apposition or definite construction, immediate or mediate; e. g. שַׁבְע וֹשִׁבְע וֹשִׁבְע וֹשִׁבְע וֹשִׁבְע וִשִּׁבְע וִשִּׁבְע וֹשִׁבְע יִשְׁב seven and seventy, mediate apposition; שָׁבֵי עָשָׂר eighteen, definite construction.
- 3. In many cases when the numeral is in apposition, it is to be taken as an ordinal: e. g. אַרְבָּעִים שָׁלָה the fortieth year, Deut. i. 3; i. e. taking it in the order of computation, the year, forty, or forty (by) year. So Gen. i. 5. אַרָּבְּעִים day, one, i. e. the first. See also Exod. xii. 6, Num. xiii. 2, Deut. xv. 9, 1 Kings xvi. 10, 2 Kings viii. 16, xii. 2, Ezek. xxix. 17, Dan. i. 1, 21, vii. 1, ix. 1, xi. 1, &c.
- 5. The thing numbered, measured, &c. may also be considered as a specifying term (Art. 219. note), and construed as absolute with reference to the preceding noun; as, אָרֶךְ בֶּטֶרְ thirty, sons; אָרֶךְ בֶּטֶרְ a thousand (of) silver, &c. We nevertheless have occasionally the

state of construction : as, שְׁתֵּילֶּטֶם two (pieces, &c. of) bread, 1 Sam. x. 4, &c. : מָאָת שָׁנָה (Art. 226. 4.).

6. As it is easy to supply certain names of weight and measure, on account of their frequent occurrence, they are often omitted by the ellipsis: e. g. יַיִשְׁקְלוּ אֶּת־שִׂכְרִי so they weigh my price thirty (shekels of) silver, Zech. xi. 12; יַּשְׁלְּיִים בְּּטְּר מִיּשׁר שִׁעִּרִים so he measures six (measures of) barley, Ruth iii. 15. 17; יַּיִשְׁר לְחֹדֶּשׁ in the first (day) of the month, Deut. i. 3; יַּיְשִׁר אָשׁוֹן בַּאָשׁוֹן בַּאָחַר לְחֹדֶשׁוֹ and they keep the passover in the first (month), Num. ix. 5; שֹׁיִדְּר לְחֹדֶשׁוֹ in the first (month) in the first (day) of the month, Ezek. xxix. 17.

See also Gen. xx. 16, xlv. 22, Lev. xxiii. 17, 1 Sam. x. 4, Ezek. xlv. 21. And, where some of these ellipses are supplied, Gen. xxiii. 15, Lev. xxvii. 3, 6, Ruth ii. 17, &c. See Bos on the Greek ellipses, p. 4, Ed. 1813.

The ordinals are construed like other attributives.

### LECTURE XVI.

ON THE COMPLEMENTS OF WORDS GENERALLY, AND OF VERBS IN PARTICULAR.

228. It will perhaps readily be granted, that the character of the words necessary to complete any sentence must very much depend on the signification of the preceding ones; or, which is the same thing, on the signification intended to be conveyed in them by the Writer. I may say, for example, I am now riding, equitans ego; or, am a riding. But it may also be necessary to state whether this be on a horse, in a carriage, &c. as

also to, or from, what place I am thus proceeding; or, for what purpose, &c. The same will hold good of all attributives.\* A man may be said to be swift generally, or swift on foot, swift in the chase,—in the pursuit of an enemy,—to revenge,—to do good or evil, or a thousand other things, which it may be necessary for a Writer or Speaker to state.

- 2. All verbs are necessarily attributive in signification; and, from what we have seen, as to their etymology, there is strong reason to suspect that they are composed of nothing more than nouns put in a state of conjugation or combination with one or other of the pronouns. If, then, attributive nouns will necessarily stand in need of such complementary words in order to complete or modify the sentences in which they are found, the same must necessarily be true of the same words, when found in the form of verbs. Usually, however, this has been stated by saying, that nouns derived from verbs are subject to the same mode of construction with the verbs from which they descend. I prefer the contrary method of stating this question; because I can see why nouns, as such, require this kind of construction; of verbs we know nothing, on the other supposition.
  - 3. We have seen that nouns substantive or attributive may be qualified by the addition of other words, either in a state of apposition, of definite construction, immediate or mediate, or absolutely, just as the signification of such word shall require, or as the intention of the Writer may be. The same is the case with verbs whether active, passive, transitive, intransitive, or

<sup>\*</sup> So in Is. xiii. 19, and Amos iv. 11, we have מְקְהַבֶּּע construed as a verb; and in Infinitives and Participial nouns this is done regularly.

neuter: which are distinctions arising purely out of the signification of the primitive word or noun.\*

4. In treating of the complements or qualifying words of substantives and attributives, we adopted the terms *Immediate* and *Mediate* as best suited to our purpose on that occasion. We shall use the same now, because they are sufficiently easy and intelligible, and because it will be interesting to find the same principles prevailing throughout every part of this Language.

5. The influence then of any noun or verb (arising out of its signification, or the intention of the Writer,) may extend to one or more subsequent words with some variation of the sense in each; e.g. I may say as before, "I am riding a horse." Here I would term the influence of the verb riding, Simple, because it affects one object only, which is here "a horse." But, if I say, "I rode a horse towards the city at full speed," then I should term the influence of the verb Various, because the subsequent terms are variously affected by it. I prefer this method of considering the influence of verbs, &c. because the Latin cases of nominative, genitive, accusative, &c. as taken by Schrederus and others, are ill calculated to convey just notions on this subject; and, because these distinctions are perfectly foreign to this language. The truth is, the words added here, as in the rules already given, are nothing more than terms added for the purpose of defining, &c. the signification of such verb, and may be resolved by recurring into one or other of those rules.

<sup>\*</sup> Let it be remembered, however, some verbs which are at one time transitive, will at another be intransitive, in these languages; so, with his foot, or kicked. See Ludolf. Gram. Eth., p. 32. ed. 1702. Freytag's Hamasa, p. 1.—

- 229. Having determined then, whether the signification of any verb or verbal noun, is to be taken actively or passively, (Art. 146. 8. note.) the influence of its action or passion, considered with reference to the subsequent terms in immediate or mediate connection with it, will always be either Simple or Various, just as the signification of such verb shall require, or, as the intention of the Writer or Speaker may be.—Examples of active verbs and verbal nouns in immediate connection with the following term, and where the influence is, consequently, simple; בּוֹעשֵׁה בְּוֹב עֵנֶיה בּבּר yellow make, Man, Gen. i. 26; צֹה צֹב ב eding, or producing, SEED, Ib. 29.
- 2. In mediate connection, and where the influence is also simple: בָּרָא אֱלֹהִים אֵת הַשְּׁמֵים God created the Heavens, Gen. i. 1; קּלְהִים אֵת־הָאוֹר וֹבִין and he saw ...

  THE LIGHT, Ib. 4; אַת־הָאוֹר וֹבִין הַחשֶׁך and he sivided ... Between the light and between the darkness,

  Ib.; אָבְּרִיל בִּין בִּרְא לְאוֹר ... וַלַחשֶׁךְ קְרָא לְאוֹר ... וַלַחשֶׁךְ קְרָא לָאוֹר ... וֹלַחשֶׁךְ קְרָא לַאוֹר ... and the darkness he called ... Ib. 5; מַבְרִיל בֵּין בִּין בֹּוֹל בֵין (a thing) dividing between the waters, Ib. 6.
- 3. Both in *immediate* and *mediate* connection, and where the influence is *various*: עשָׁה פָּרִי לְמִינוֹ (a tree) producing fruit, according to its kind, Gen. i. 11; מְנִרִיעַ זְרַעַ לְמִינָהוּ (herb) producing seed after its kind, Ib. 12.

Note. The proper office of the particles is, to point out the precise character of this influence.

The verb הְיָה construed with שׁ will signify either to have, or to become, according to the context: e. g. לְעָשִׁיר הְיָה צֹאוֹ the rich man had flocks, 2 Sam. xii. 2; וְהִיר לְדָם so they shall become blood, i. e. the waters, Exod. iv. 9.

4. Where the connection is mediate, and the influence various: וְהָיוֹ לִמְאוֹרֹת בַּרְקִיעַ הַשָּׁמִיםְ לְהָאוֹרַ אַל־הָאָרֶץ

- בְיִהִיבֹן and they shall be for lights in the firmament of the heavens, for giving light upon the earth, and it was so, Gen. i. 15; יַיִּהְן אַהְים בְּרְקִיעַ הַשָּׁבְיִם לְּהָאִיך בִּין אַהְים אֱלֹהִים בְּרְקִיעַ הַשָּׁבְיִם לְּהָאִיך בִין הָאוֹר וּבִין עַל־הָאָרֶץ: וְלְמְשׁל בִּיוֹם וּבַלִּיְלָה וּלְהַבְּהִיל בִּין הָאוֹר וּבִין מוֹם מוֹם God placed them in the firmament of the heavens for giving light upon the earth, and for ruling over the day and over the night, and for dividing between the light and between the darkness. And God saw that (it was) good, Ib. 17, 18.
- 5. In causative and other doubly transitive verbs, the influence will necessarily be various: e. g. יְהֹלֶכְתִּיהָ and I caused her to walk the desert, Hos. ii. 6; מּמִיב יְחִירִים בַּיְתָה who causes the solitary ones to possess a house, Ps. lxviii. 7. In these instances the connection is immediate: in the following, it is both immediate and mediate: e. g. יַּשֶּׁב .... אֶת־תַּמֶּלֶךְ דְּבָר בָּר בָּעָר אֶת־תַּמֶּל word to be returned to the king, 1 Kings ii. 30; יַּשְׁב הַבְּעַר אֶת־תַּמְי he taught knowledge to the people, Eccl. xii. 9; יְשָׁמִיעוֹ דְבָרֵי אֶת־עַמִי let them cause му реорle to hear му words, Jer. xxiii. 22.
- 6. In the following the connection is miscellaneous, and some of the verbs are passive: וְיִאֹמֶר אֱלֹהִים יִקְּוֹם אָלְהִים יִקְּוֹם אָלְהִים יִקְּוֹם אָלְהִים מִתְּחָת הַשְּׁמִים אֶלֹּהִים אֶלֹּהִים מִתְּחָת הַשְּׁמִים אֶלֹּהִים אָלִּהְים אָלִּהִים מִּתְּחָת הַשְּׁמִים אָלֹּהִים אָלִּהְים אָלִּהְים זְּמָרִיבְּנִי אָה הַבְּיִּהְים מּמּל so God says, Let the waters be gathered from beneath the heavens to one place, and let the dry land appear, and it becomes so, Gen. i. 9; יְהַרְאָה אֶת־הַבְּהַן \* then let it be shewn to the priest, Lev. xiii. 49; אַמֶּרְ בָּהָר which thou wast shewn in the mount, Exod. xxvi. 30; יְתַּוְבְּהַוֹּ אַרִי הָאָרֶץ הַזֹּאֹת ; thou shalt not cause them to be worshipped, Exod. xx. 5, xxiii. 24; יְתַּוֹלְתַּרְ הַזֹּאֹר יִיִּבְּרִיךְ הַזֹּאֹר יִיִּבְּרִיךְ הַזֹּאֹר יִיִּבְּרִיךְ הַזֹּאֹר יִיִּבְּרֵיךְ בַּוֹאָרָי בְּיִבְּרִיךְ בַּזֹאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹאָרָץ הַזֹאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹאָרָן בּזֹאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָץ הַזֹּאָרָן בּיִּאָרָץ הַזֹאָר בּיִי בּיִּיִּים בּיִבּיִים בּיִּיִּים בּיִבּיִּים בּיִבּיִים בּיִּיִּים בּיִבּיִּים בּיִבּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִיבּים בּיִבְּיִים בּיִיבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְיּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְיּיִים בְּיִיבְיִ

<sup>\*</sup> The particles mostly used with passive verbs, however, are and D. See Gen. xiv. 19, Is. i. 26, lxii. 4, Ezek. xiv. 3, Nch. vi. 1, 1 Chron. v. 20, &c.

לְעֲבְנֶיךְ let it be given (i. e.) this land to thy servants, Num. xxxii. 5.

See also Gen. xxvii. 42, 2 Sam. xxi. 6, Jer. xxxv. 14, &c.

#### Observations.

7. A little consideration will enable any one to see, that the construction must in all cases very much depend on the intention of the Writer. It is true, certain constructions only are allowed, because they have been generally adopted, and these are to be known only from long and constant practice in the language, or, from the use of the Concordance: but, in general, the principle is in the Hebrew, as it is in the English: viz. that the significations of words will always be influenced by those with which they are connected. A few remarks on the examples given will make all plain. In the first we have אַרַבְּעִים וֹשְׁבַּ let us make man. Here, the word man may be said to be in immediate connection with the preceding word, and to qualify or restrict its signification, just as אַרַבְּעִים שִׁבְּיִים שִׁבְּיִים עִבּמִי (Art. 227. 3.); or, as the English word year does the word forty, in the same example, absolutely, as it regards the gram-

matical construction, and, therefore, just as the تمييز i, e. the specific construction does in the Arabic (Art. 219, note). This would be exemplified in that language, by what is termed a juxta-position,

where النصنع إنسانًا , or أَضَعُ إنسانًا , thus ; أَلْمَفْعُولُ بِمِ , or النصب , where

the last or qualifying word is nothing more than a مييز, i. e. specification, with reference to the signification of the verb. The next

example (Art. 229.) is perfectly parallel to the Arabic حسن وجبه, or مسن معند handsome (of) face. See Art. 225. note.

8. The next case (Art. 229. 2.) affords an instance of mediate connection, that is, where we have the particle The falling between the verb and its complementary or restricting term, for the purpose of shewing, that the following, not the preceding, word is to be construed as complementary to the signification of the verb. Kimkhi

Just &

has remarked long ago (see Art. 171. 11. note), that such is the office of this particle. His illustration is the sentence, Judah killed Simeon הַרֵג יְחוּדָה שׁׁמְעוֹן; where he says, that the particle being omitted, it could not be known which is the subjective, or which the objective, case to the verb. This would indeed be the case in this and all similar passages; and, I believe, this is one of the offices of this particle, and perhaps of all the others so situated. , fee host. The other particles have, moreover, the force of defining the relation, which the action of the verb has, with reference to the word or words which designate its object, either directly or indirectly: e. g. קלף construed with או will signify, he walked towards; with מו or זֹף from; with לְפָבֵי before; with מו or אַחַרי or אַחַרי after, and so on. Situated, then, as this and other particles are, in immediate connection with verbs, they ought to be considered as pointing out the objective, or some such case of the noun affected by them: and hence it is, that the particle no has been said to mark the objective case in active, and the nominative (which is really the objective case) in passive, verus.\*

9. This particle, however, is often found without any such preceding verb; and, in these cases, it seems intended strongly to impress upon the mind the force of the word immediately following it, as being of considerable importance. Of this character is the following passage, to which many more might be added: אַרַבְּיבָּיִנְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינִ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינִי לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לֹאַרִי בְּיבִּינְ לִּאָרִי בְּיבִּינְ לַּאָרְ בְּיבִּינְ לַּאָרְ בְּיבִּינְ בְּיבִּינְ לִּאָרִי בְּיבִּינִ בְּיבִּינְ בְּיבִינְ בְּיבִּינִ בְּיבְּינִ בְּיבִּינִ בְּיבְּיבִינְ בְּיבִּינִ בְּיבְינִ בְּיבְּיבְינִ בְּיבְּיבְינִ בְּיבִּינִ בְּיבְּיבִינִ בְּיבִינְ בְּיבִּינִ בְּיבִּינִ בְּיבְינִינִי בְּיבִּינִ בְּיבִינְ בְּיבִּינִ בְּיבְיבִינְ בְּיבִינִ בְּיבְיבְינִ בְּיבְּיבְינִ בְּיבְיבְינִ בְּיבִיבְינִ בְּיבְּיבְינִ בְּיבְינִ בְּיבְינִ בְּיבְּיִינִ בְּיבְּינִ בְּיבְּיבְינִ בְּיבְינִ בְּיבְינִ בְּיִינְ בְּיבְינִ בְּיִינְ בְּיבְּיבְינִ בְּיבְּיבְּינִ בְּיבְּיבְּיִי בְּיבְּיִיי בְּיִי בְּיבְּינִיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְייִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִיי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִינְ בְּיבְיי בְּיִינְ בְּיבְיי בְּיִי בְּיִינְ בְּיבְייִי בְּיִי בְּיִיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיִינְ בְּיִיבְּיִי בְּיִיי בְּיִי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִי בְּיִיי בְּיִי בְייִי בְּיִיי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיִיי בְּיי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיִי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייִי בְּיִיי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייִי בְּיי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייי בְּייי בְּיי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייבְיי בְייִי בְייי בְּייי

<sup>\*</sup> The Bengáli particle  $K\bar{e}$  is used for a similar purpose, as is also the Persian 1. See Professor Haughton's Bengáli Gram. Art. 90, 91, 92. Sir W. Jones's Pers. Gram., Edit. 9. p. 111.

the city,\* Exod. ix. 33. That is, generally, any person or thing strongly recommended to the attention of the reader, may, whether it be in the situation of a nominative or objective case, be pointed out by introducing the particle AS. See Hosea ii. 23. 24.

10. In the examples (n. 3.) we have both the *immediate* and *mediate* connection of a verb or verbal noun with its complementary words. And here, it will be seen, that had not the particle been prefixed to the last, some ambiguity might have arisen, as to the precise sense in which it ought to be taken: or, in

<sup>\*</sup> Schrederus has endeavoured to explain this sort of construction, by having recourse to a metonymy, by which he says, intransitive verbs take the signification and construction of transitive ones (Synt. R. 69.). For my part, I can see no necessity for this; nor, for introducing the doctrine about nominative, accusative, or other cases in conformity with the usage of the Latin and Greek grammars, which in fact drove Schrederus to this expedient. In Hebrew we have no cases. Why then should we talk about something which has no existence, and then coin rule after rule in order to explain it? But, if we can conceive these particles to possess the same defining character which they do when coming between nouns in construction or apposition (see Art. 219), we shall have no difficulty in perceiving, why they are sometimes omitted, or else used the one for the other: e. g. בַּצַען so they enter the land of Canaan, Gen. xlv. 25, for אל אַרֶץ כִּנַען into the land of Canaan; שבה רעיר (to the city, 2 Sam. xv. 27; אל העיר to the city, 2 Sam. xv. 27; יעלר they ascend the heavens, they descend the deeps, Ps. evii. 26. In all which cases, the latter word in the construction may be considered as merely specific, as in the case of nouns of number, weight, measure, &c. In other instances the particles will vary; as, יְבֵא אֵת־הַמֵּלֹךָ 1 Kings i. 51; שׁלמה he feared the king—he feared from before Solomon, Ib. 50. And Ps. lv. 20, יראו אלהים they fear God, without a particle. So, with אָנְיר, הְעִיר, he went out (into) the city, 2 Kings xx.4; לא אַנְיר, אָנָאָ המובח he went out to the altar, Lev. xvi. 18; אות בון Num. xxi. 28; מַבְּי Num. xvi. 35 ; מַלְּפְנֵי יְהֹוָה Ib. xvii. 11. With מָבָּי יְהֹוָה Est. vii. 8, compare viii. 15; יצאר את־העיר Gen. xliv. 4, &c. As to אוני פוו פווי Gen. xliv. 4, &c. in Neh. ix. 19. we have apparently the ellipsis of some such verb as I speak, mean, &c., and, in this view, the case will identify itself with the Arabic coni.e. what comprehends a condition ما اضمر علي شريطة التفسير struction termed of explanation, as زيدا مررت به, (as to) عونل (as to) عنان مررت به, (as to) Zaid, I passsed by him. See Jami's Com. on Ibn Ulhajib, p. 174, &c.

other words, as to the precise relation of the latter, to the two former, words. The same has been remarked of words in the state of mediate apposition and construction. The principle in each case is one and the same.

- 11. With respect to the causative verbs, If we suppose them to be actually composed of two words; which, according to our system, is always the case with Hiphhil and Hophhal, we shall readily perceive why two complementary, or restricting, words would be necessary to complete the idea contained in each of them. This is what grammarians usually term a double accusative, just as they do verbs, doubly transitive. It is curious enough to remark, that when such verbs become passive, the object of one of them will stand as its nominative, that of the other as the accusative: for this reason, viz. that one of the verbs only in the compound takes the passive character, the other remains active : e. g. Lev. xiii. 49, as above, Fac eam videri à sacerdote. This is still more evident in the Arabic, where we have terminations marking these cases: e. g. active, اطعمت اننك thy son لطعم أبنك لحما I caused thy son to eat flesh; passive, أطعم أبنك was caused to cat bread. See M. de Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. ii. p. 101. In the other species, viz. Pīhél, and Pūhál, the accessory idea may be considered as equivalent to an additional word.
- 12. Of the other examples nothing need be said: the particles introduced are only such as the various relations of the words to each other seem to require, in order to present to the mind of the reader the various circumstances which the writer wished to detail; but without these, all must have been error and confusion.
- 13. What has been here said of the verbs is true of all the verbal nouns, whether of the Infinitive or Participial kind; i. e. the complementary or defining word may be put either in the state of apposition mediate or immediate, or in that of definite construction. The former cases have been sufficiently exemplified; the following are a few instances of the latter. Of infinitives, בְּלֵילֵי in the days of the judges' judging, Ruth i. 1; בַּרִי בּוֹ from the abundance of my speaking against him, Jer. xxxi. 20; יוֹבְּרִי בְּוֹלִי the keeping of his statutes, Deut. viii. 11; the doing of judgment, and the loving of piety, Mic. vi. 8. Of Participles,

# On apparently Elliptical Constructions.

230. Some active,\* neuter, and all passive verbs, being such as to include within themselves the idea required for their object, complement, or other restriction, will stand in need of no other for that purpose, when their influence is simple; but, when it is various, the connection will be either immediate or mediate, as before. In the one case, the connection may be termed Implicit, in the others, Explicit. N. B. This has been usually styled by the Grammarians, "Constructio prægnans." Examples: אֹל thou hast not made abundance or gain, Psalm xliv. 13.

This is an instance in which the influence may be said to be simple and implicit; but, when we add by their price, it is various, and necessarily explicit; and we have the particle introduced for the purpose of pointing this out. So בַּל־ינֵרְשׁ it hath not struck root into the carth, Is. xl. 24. See Jer. x. 18, Josh. xxiv. 20, &c. So הַּמַבְּרֹינִר clednse yourselves, or rather, become ye clean, Gen. xxxv. 2. See also Ib. xxv. 22.

2. Of this character are the following examples, which

<sup>\*</sup> These are for the most part verbs of the Pīḥiel and Hiphḥiel species, which have been formed from substantive nouns, Art. 154. 8. 157. 5, &c. See Glass. Philol., Ed. Dathe, pp. 185—254, &c. Storr, p. 15, &c. For similar instances in the Arabic, see Mr. de Sacy's Gram., vol. ii. Art. 653—657. See also Bos. Ellipses Græcæ, Ed. 1813, p. 2. Freytag's Hamasa, p. 6, line 22. Ludolf. Gram. Eth., p. 32, 3.

have usually been considered as impersonal: חָרָה אוֹ הַרָה וּשׁר became hot to himself (or, heat became hot to him), Ps. xviii. 8; אַל־יִחָר בְּעֵינֵי אֲדֹנְי וּבּר וּד not become hot (i. e. let not heat exist) in the eyes of my Lord, Gen. xxxi. 35; אַל יֵרַע בְּעֵינֵיך לְּרַוֹּד tet not evil be in thy eyes, Ib. xxi. 12; מוֹל מָרָל וְרַוֹּד מְלְיִרָּן מְלֵיִר לְרָוֹד מוֹל (pressure) pressed upon David, I Sam. xxx. 6; אַלֹא תַּמְטִיר עָלֶיִר לְרָוֹד (rain) rains not upon it, Amos iv. 7.

See also Gen. iv. 26, x. 25, xlvi. 22, Is. xxiii. 1, Lam. v. 5, Job xxx. 15. And with participles, Is. xxvi. 3, Ps. lxxxvii. 3.

4. Hence it is, that in many instances the word necessary to complete or qualify the sense of the verb, is frequently omitted by the ellipsis: e. g. אַרָרָה לוֹי she had not borne to him, i. e. a son, Gen. xvi. 1; אַרַר זוֹי so he sent, i. e. a messenger, Ib. xli. 14; אַבּר he accumulated, i. e. wealth, Ps. xxxix. 7.

See also Ps. lxxvi. 12, 1 Sam. viii. 15, &c. The same often takes place in words of common occurrence: as, יְלִית he cut, or made,

<sup>\*</sup> This would universally be so supplied in the Persian باران نه بارانیده

- i. e. a covenant, בְּרִית 1 Sam. xx. 16; לא יִפְּרָכוּל they broke not, i. e. בְּרִית bread, Jer. xvi. 7; לא they cast, i. e. בּוֹרֵל the lot, 1 Sam. xiv. 42.
- 6. This relative pronoun (אַשֶּׁיִ") ought to be considered, moreover, as definite in its signification like other pronouns, and need not therefore be expressed when the antecedent is indefinite; e. g. בְּיוֹם דְבֶּר יְהוָה אֶל־מֹשֶׁה on a day (on which) Jehovah spoke to Moses, Exod. vi. 28; אַבְּיִר לְּאָ יִהְשִׁב יְהוֹיָה לוֹ עָוֹן blessed is Any Man, Jehovah imputes not to him iniquity, i. e. to whom, &c. Ps. xxxii. 2, comp. Ps. i. 1, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> See Noldius sub voce, p. 98, note d. This is also a remark of Noldius, "Præpositio semper pronominis relativi, ad quod se refert, objectum supponit, atque in quod ipsa influit; sive id exprimendum concipiatur per nomen, sive per pronomen antecedens "D vel 712," &c. See also his note under "D.". Concord. Part. Ed. 1734.

racter of the noun, as to its properties, i. e. I am that sort of man, &c. See Art. 221.

- 8. In the following examples, some consequence seems to be intimated by the influence of words termed prægnantia,\* which may, therefore, be supplied by the ellipsis: מַשֶּה לְאַהֶרן אָת בְּלֹּרְהַבֵי יְהֹוֶה אֲשֶׁר שִׁלְחוֹ so Moses relates to Aaron all the words of Jehovah, which he had sent him, (i. e. to relate) Exod. iv. 28; see also the remainder of the verse; אַלִּיְהְוֹּה אָלִייְהוֹנְה and they shall fear towards Jehovah, i. e. fearing shall turn to Jehovah, Hos. iii. 5; אַרֶאָרוֹן בּיִרְאָרוֹן מִשְׁבּן מִשְׁרָן and they fear for meeting him, i. e. they fearing proceed to meet him, 1 Sam. xvi. 4; אַרֶץ חַלְּלוֹ מִשְׁבַן שִׁמֶּך to the earth have they profaned the habitation of thy name, i. e. profaned and cast it down to the earth, Ps. lxxiv. 7.
- 9. Hence, when several members of a sentence are connected together, the verb accompanying any one of them, may be supplied to them all: e. g. קָּבִי אֶּל־בְּצַע הַשִּרֹבִּי אֶל־בְּצַע ווֹבוֹתוֹיךּ וְאֵל אֶל־בְּצַע ווֹבוֹתוֹיךּ וְאֵל אֶל־בְּצַע ווֹבוֹתוֹיךּ וְאֵל אֶל־בְּצַע ווֹבוֹתוֹ ווֹבוֹתוֹ ווֹבוֹתוֹ ווֹבְוֹתוֹ ווְאֶת־הַלְפִידִם וְאֵת קוֹל הַשִּׁבְּר and the whole of the people were perceiving (saw) the thunderings and (saw) the lightnings, and (saw) the sound of the trumpet, &c. Exod. xx. 18.

See also Deut. iv. 12, xxxii. 13, Job x. 12, Est. iv. 1. And in the New Testament, 1 Cor. iii. 2, 1 Tim. iv. 3, James i. 9, 10. Hence, also, the word hear, &c. has often the signification of understanding, obeying, or the like. Comp. Acts ix. 7, with xxii. 9: and, generally, verbs of sense are used the one for the other.

10. Hence also verbs, nouns, or particles, which are expressed or implied in one part of the context, may be

<sup>\*</sup> By this term is meant, words not only used in their own proper significations, but in others which may naturally be supposed to flow from them.

supplied by the ellipsis in the other: e. g. of verbs: אַבְּישְׁלוֹם observe ve who (whoever of you) the young man (observe ve) Absalom, 2 Sam. xviii. 12; אַל־עִירוֹ וְאִישׁ אֵל־עִירוֹ וְאִישׁ אֵל־עִירוֹ וְאִישׁ אֵל־אַרְצוֹ (let) each man (Go) to his city, and each man (Go) to his land, 1 Kings xxii. 36.

So Ps. xxv. 15, xxxiii. 18, xxxiv. 16, Prov. vi. 26, Is. lxvi. 6, Hos. viii. 1, &c. And particularly אוֹנְבּוֹלְנָה בּאָנֹיה בְּאָנִיה (בֹּאָבִיה בְּאָנִיה בְּאָנִיה בְּאָנִיה בּאָנִיה בּיּבְּנִיה בּיּבְּיה בּאָנִיה בּאָנִיה בּאָנִיה בּאָנִיה בּיּבּיים בּיּיה בּאָּב בּיּיה בּייה בּיּיה בּיּיה בּיּיה בּיּיה בּיּיה בּיּיה בּיּיה בּיּיה בּייה בּיּיה בּייה בּיי

- 12. The most frequent ellipsis, perhaps, is of the negatives, אָל לְצֶצַח יִשְׁכַח אֶּבְיוֹן &c.: e. g. לְצָצַח יִשְׁכַח אָבְיוֹן the poor (man) shall not be forgotten for ever, (NOR) shall the expectation of the humble (ones) for ever perish, Ps. ix. 19.

13. It ought to be remembered, that the orientals of this family generally enounce their propositions vaguely, and then add the restricting or defining terms (Art. 216. 4.). When, therefore, the leading term happens to be a verb, its qualifying term or nominative will immediately follow; as, בְּרָא אֵלְהִים He created, i. e. God. If, however, it be intended to leave the passage indefinite, as in English, some one said, or in French on dit; no such nominative will be added: and the greatest care ought to be taken in supplying it: e. g. Is. ix. 5, ויִקרָא אָמוֹ and he, (i. e. some one, any one, or every one) calls his name, Ps. cx. 7; מַנַּחַל בַּדֶּרֶךְ וִשְׁתָּח from the torrent (i. e.) the abundance of spiritual waters which shall then abound) in the way, shall one (any one, every one) drink: 2 Sam. xxiv. אָת־דָוָד so (one, some one) tempts David; which is supplied, 1 Chron. xxi. 1, by ម្រុំ an adversary. We must not, therefore, take the name of God found in the preceding context, in order to supply this ellipsis, as some have imprudently done, nor charge the text with the inconsistencies which have arisen purely out of our own ignorance. This sort of construction frequently occurs. So also in the objective voice, קרא לָד it hath been called to thee, i. e. thou hast been named, Is. xlviii. 8, equivalent to the Arabic איך יחל . See also v. 11, איך יחל how would it be profaned?

# LECTURE XVII.

### ON THE MODES AND TENSES OF THE VERBS.

231. As the Hebrew Language recognizes no variation of termination in verbs indicative of mode, no place has been assigned to that distinction in the paradigms: nor will it be necessary to enter on that consideration, until we have considered the doctrine of the tenses: but, when this is done, we shall offer a few remarks on that subject.

## On the Tenses.

- 2. We have in our theory of the verb proceeded upon the supposition, that the *Preterite tense* is formed on a Concrete noun as its basis;—the *Present* on an Abstract.
- 3. If then the basis of the Preterite be a Concrete noun, such word considered alone will naturally refer to some past time, for the commencement of the action, passion, state, &c. meant by the root; and which, when put into a state of conjugation, may be considered as intimating some indefinite past tense. If, for example, I say in Latin, Amatus ego, or Amans ego, it will necessarily be understood, that at some time antecedent to the present, I began to be, and consequently am now, either the subject or object of the action intimated by the verb amo; but whether I shall be so hereafter, will depend upon other considerations. We find accordingly in the Hebrew, that our Preterite tense universally refers to past time, unless some of the circumstances hereafter to be mentioned shall require the contrary.

- 4. Again, if the *Present tense* be formed on an Abstract noun, as no intimation whatever can be given by this combination of any person or thing being at any time past or future subject to the influence expressed by such word, the sense afforded will naturally apply to the present time, unless indeed some of the circumstances hereafter to be detailed should suggest the contrary.
- 5. But here an important question will arise, which is this: How are we to determine the period from which we are to reckon, when speaking of past, present, or future tenses? This, I believe, involves the main part of the question before us; which we shall now proceed to consider: and, if we can succeed, we shall perhaps solve the hitherto unsolved problem.
- 6. In the first place then, any writer commencing his narrative will necessarily speak of past, present, or future time, with reference to the period in which his statement is made; and to this period he may adhere, as long as it suits his purpose to do so. This use of the tenses may, therefore, be termed *Absolute*.
- 7. In the next place, a person may speak of past, present, or future events, with reference to some other period or event, already introduced into the context. This may be termed the *Relative* use of the tenses.
- 8. In the Hebrew paradigm, we have only two tenses, viz. a *Past*, and a *Present* tense. To the present tense, the *Participles\** and *Infinitives* are very nearly allied. That is, either of these when unrestricted by any other

<sup>\*</sup> Participial nouns may, indeed, have been used as preterites, for the reasons just given respecting concrete nouns: but, in practice, they include within themselves no particular tense, and are, very much like the present, to be construed either in the past, present, or future tense, as the context may require: and may in almost every case be substituted for the present. See Jámi on Ibn Ulhajib, p. \*\*Fe\*-.\*

considerations, are generally to be understood as referring to the present time, either absolute or relative. Hence, too, a Preterite connected with another Preterite, will be equivalent to our pluperfect; a Present following a preterite, to our imperfect; and so on, affording every distinction of time necessary for the purpose of language. Of these, examples will now be given, beginning with those which have been termed absolute.

- 9. Generally, in the commencement of narrations, paragraphs, &c. the use of the tenses will be absolute, as in the English; e. g. בָּרָא אֱלֹהִים in the beginning God created, Gen. i. 1; וָרָאָרָם יָדַע אֶת־תָּוָּה iv. 1, &c., where we have the preterite tense so used. A great number of instances also occurs, in which the present tense is so used, and in which the writer takes the liberty of transporting himself and his reader into a time present to the narration, occasionally introducing some terms designating such time : as, ויהי בעת ההיא So IT COMES to pass AT THAT TIME, that HE DEscends, Gen. xxxviii. 1; נְיָהִי הַבְּיִתָה נְיָבֹא הַבַּיְתָה So IT COMES TO PASS as ON THIS DAY, that HE ENTERS the house, Gen. xxxix. 11; בָּל־הַיָמִים אִיוֹב בָּל־הַיָמִים Thus DOES Job at ALL TIMES, Job i. 5:—at other times totally omitting all such terms : as, וַיִּקְרָא אָל־מֹשֶׁה וַיְרַבֶּר So אב CALLS (at that time) to Moses, and SAYS, Lev. i. 1. So in the New Testament, John i. 29. 42. 46. &c. On the pleonastic use of the in these cases see Noldius Concord. partic., Ed. 1734, pp. 309, 310. Mikhlol, fol. 72 verso.
- 10. When, however, it is necessary to enounce any thing in the absolute present tense,\* either our present

<sup>•</sup> See also Is. v. 23, vi. 2, vii. 14. In this last instance I understand in the gives, to refer to the declaration or prediction of the Prophet, which is here

tense, or one of the participles may be used : e.g. לְמָה לִי הִיה what (is) the multitude of your

termed nin sign, or wonder. See Gen. iv. 15, Exod. iii. 12, iv. 8. 28, 1 Sam. ii. 34, 2 Kings xix. 29, where it also means a prediction, not a visible sign.— This has been termed an Aorist by modern writers on Arabic Grammar, but it is really a present tense, as will appear from the following considerations. In a Commentary on the Kafia dib of Ibn ul Hajib, by Najm Oddeen of Irák, preserved among Mr. Burckhardt's books in the Public وقال بعضهم هو حقيقة في Library at Cambridge, it is said of this tense, الحال مجاز في الاستقبال وهو اقوي النه اذا خلي من القرائن لم يحمل الا على الحال ولا ينصرف الى الاستقبال الا لقريئة وهذا i. e. "Some say that it is in truth a present tense, but allowed to be used as a future, which is the best opinion. For when it is accompanied by no other (words, &c.) it can refer to the present tense only: nor is it turned into a future, except when so accompanied. This is what we mean by true, and allowable." So in the following examples: مَا يُزِكِي ٱلإِنْسَانُ بِشَهَادَة أَهْل ما يود ; a man is not justified by the testimony of his own family ; ما يود those who have not believed الذين كفروا أن يُنرَّلُ عَلَيْكُم مِن خَيْرٍ مِن ربكم DO NOT LIKE that any good thing SHOULD BE SENT down to you from your Lord. M. de Sacy, Arab. Gram., vol. i. p. 132. Again, they consider the present tense as of two kinds; one they term the real present; الحال تحقيقا, by which they mean, the tense which we have termed absolute; or, in other words, that in which a person commits to writing any event or number of events he may have to detail. This is what our Grammarians always understand by The Present tense. The other they term i. e. the present, as to the narration; by which they mean, the time contemporary with any event, and which may therefore be considered as present with it, although past, present, or future, with regard to the real or absolute present tense. The following passages taken from the Commentary on the Káfía by Moolla Jámi will be sufficient to shew in what manner they speak on this subject (p. popular). When speaking of the use of

sacrifices to me? saith Jehovah, Is. i. 11; לְכוּ־נָא וְנִנְּכְהָה יִהוֹּה בְּשֹׁרְ יִהוֹּה come now, let us reason together, saith Jehovah, Ib. v. 18; הוֹי מַנִּיעֵי בֵּיִת בְּבִית שֶּׁרֶה בְשְׂרֶה יִקְרִיבוּ woe (to those) who join house to house, (who) lay field to field, Is. v. 8. In this last instance the participle holds a place in the parallelism corresponding with the present tense. בִּי יְהוָה אֱלֹהֶיךְ מִבִיאַךְ אֵל־אֶרֶץ טוֹבָה אֶרֶץ הַבְּקְעָה וּבְּהָר: יִבְיִה וַבְּקְעָה וּבְהָר: בַּקְעָה וּבְהָר: בַּקְעָה וּבְהָר: בַּקְעָה וּבְהָר: בַּקְעָה וּבְהָר: Lord thy God (now) bringeth thee into a good land, a

اذا كان اي المضارع مستقبلاً بالنظر الى ما he says, أنا كان اي المضارع مستقبلاً بالنظر الى قبلها وان كان بالنظر الى زمان التكلّم ماضياً او حالًا او مستقبلًا i. e. when the soil (i. e. our present) is to be taken as a future, with reference to what may have gone before; or, with reference to the time in which the relation فان اردت was first made, as A PAST, PRESENT, OF FUTURE. And again, فان اردت بالفعل الذي دخلة حتّي الحال يعنى زمان الحال تحقيقًا اي بطريق التحقيق بان يكون هي زمان التكلُّم بعينه . . . . او حكاية اي بطريق الحكاية كما تقول كنت سرت امس حتى ادخل البلد فادخل في هذا الموضع حكاية الحال الماضية فكانك كنت في زمان الدخول هيَّات هذه العبارة وتحكيها في زمان التكلُّم على ما كنت هيَّاتُه i. e. if you intend by the verb preceded by حتى to express the PRESENT TENSE, i. e. the time of the ABSOLUTE PRESENT; that is to say, by way of verifying it as present with the time of the original narration itself, &c....or, by way of (subsequent) באנה NARRATION, (i. e. relatively) as if you should say, I was (so circumstanced that) I proceeded yesterday, in order that I (may then) enter the city. Here the word ال خا I ENTER (is used in) the PRESENT TENSE of a past circumstance, as though you had expressed yourself in this manner (i.e. as present) at the TIME of entering; relating the circumstance in the tense of (the first) enunciation in consequence of having so conceived the matter (in your own mind). Hence, it will be seen, that this present tense will occasionally be relative to the reader, when it was absolute with the original enouncer of any proposition. On this use of the Arabic participles, see Jami's Comm., p. mer\_.

land of brooks of water, of fountains and depths that spring out of the valleys and hills, Deut. viii. 7.

11. When, however, any future event is enounced prophetically, or any circumstances are mentioned manifestly relating to a future period, either the present tense,\* or one of the participles may then also be used; e. g. אָבֶילְה שְׁבֶּרְכְּךְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְךְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְךְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְךְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וֹאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וֹאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וֹאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וֹאֲבֶרְכְרְ וֹאֲבֶרֶכְרְ וֹאֲבֶרְיְרְי אִבְּרְ לֹא בְמִסְבְּנָת תּאֹבֶל־בְּה מוֹ a land wherein thou mayest (or shalt) eat bread without scarceness, thou shalt (dost, mayest) not lack any thing in it, &c. Deut. viii. 9; וֹאֲבִירֶבְּם אַחֲבִיכֶם אַחֲבִיכֶם אַחֲבִיכֶם אַחֲבִיכֶם אַחָבִיכְם אַחָבִיכְם אַחָבִיכְם אַחָבִיכָם אַחַבִּיכָם אַחָבִיכָם אַחַבִּיכָם אַחַבּיכָם אַחַבִּיכָם אַחַבִּיכָם אַחַבִיכָם אַרִבּיִרִי אִהְכֶם וֹאָתִ־בִּרְכָם אַחַבִּיכָם אַחַבִיכָם אַרִבּיִרִי אַרָּנְים אָתִבּיִרִי אַרְבָּרָם אַחָבִיכְם אַחַבּיכִם אָחַבִיכָם אַרִבּיִרִי אַרְבָּרְיתִי אָרְכָם אַרִבּיִרְיתִי אִרְּכֶבְם אַחָבִיכְם אַחַבּים אָרִבּיִרִים אָרִבּיִרְיתִי אִרְּבֶבְּם אַחָבִיכְם אַרִּבִים אָרִבּיִרִי אַרְבִּים אָרִבּיִרִיתִי אַרְבִּים אָרִבּיִריתִי אַרְבִים אָרִבּים אַרִּבּים אַרִבּים אָרִבּים אַרִבּים אַרִּבּים אַרִּבּים אָרַים אָרִבּים אַרִבּים אָרַר אָרִבּים אָרַבּים אָרַר אָבִים אָרָב אַרְבּים אָרִבּים אָרַבּים אַרְבּיִּבְים אָרַבּים אַרְבּיִבְים אָרָבּים אַרְבּיּבְים אָרָבּים אַרְבּים אָרָבּים אָּרָבּים אָּבְּים אָּבְּים אָּבְּים אָּבְים אָּבְּים אָּבְים אָּבְּים אָּבְּים אָּבְים אָּבְּים אָּבְים אָּבְים אָּבְּים אָּבְים אָּבּים אָּבְּים אָּבְים אָּבּים אָּבְים אָּבְים אָּבּים אָּבּים אָּבְיּבּים אָּבְים אַרְבּים אָּבְים אָּבְּים אָּבְים אָּבְּים בּיּבּים אָּבְיּבּים אָּבְיּבּים

The reason of this usage seems to be, that when any thing is predicted, it may now be said either to be doing or done in the mind of him who makes the prediction. This is also the case in the Arabic and Persian, and apparently for the same reason. See Mr. Lumsden's Persian Gram., vol. ii. p. 334—7. In the other case, i. e. when it takes a future signification from circumstances, the mind of the writer and reader are translated into the times referred to, and then the narration is necessarily carried on in the present tense.

12. Hence, in all cases in which any other person is introduced as speaking, or in which any event evidently of past occurrence is mentioned, the tenses will be reckoned from that period; i. e. placing both the writer and reader in the times in which such declaration, prediction, citation, &c. took place. The same will also be the case, when the mind is carried forward in any predic-

<sup>\*</sup> See Viger de idiotismis Græcis, p. 165, Edit. 1813, with the notes. Winer's Gram. of the New Test., Ed. 1825, p. 104.

tion.\* Examples; יְהוָה אֱלֹהֵי הַשְּׁמִים אֲשֶׁר לְקְחַנִי מִבֵּית יְהְלָהִי הַשְּׁכִים אֲשֶׁר לְקְחַנִי מִבִּית וְאָשֶׁר נִשְּבַּע־לִי לֵאמֹר אָבִי וֹמֵשֶּׁרֶץ מוֹלְדְתִּי וַאֲשֶׁר הְּבָּר־לִי וַאֲשֶׁר נִשְׁבַּע־לִי לֵאמֹר לְוַבְּעָךְ אָמֵן אֶת־הָאָרֶץ הַזּוֹת הוּא יִשְׁלַח מִלְאָכוֹ לְבְּנִיךְ the Lord God of heaven, who took me from my father's house and from the land of my kindred, and who spake unto me, and that sware unto me, saying, Unto thy seed GIVE I this land, he sendeth his angel before thee, Gen. xxiv. 7.

the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g. التمس شيا لياكله فلم يجد he sought something that he might (now) eat it, but he finds not;

<sup>\*</sup> In these cases the use of the tenses is relative: or rather, partly absolute, and partly relative.

<sup>†</sup> Of this kind are all those expressions in the Arabic, in which the leading verb is found in the preterite tense, and the following ones in the present, or (as

they two were (so situated that) they (now, i. e. in those circumstances) butt with the horns of them both. The same principle generally holds good, when two preterites follow each other without an intervening conjunction; for then, the first will have respect to some time anterior to that from which the writer

had set out, the second to one anterior to that of such verb: e. g. وكان خرب and he was (so circumstanced that) he went out (before that time) to Khorásán, i. e. and he had gone out.

Corollary. Hence citations will generally be made in the words of the first speaker: i. e. it is not said, that God sware and declared, that he would give the land to Abraham's seed, &c. but, in the original terms of the oath, unto thy seed do, or will, I give it: and also, that preterites and futures more or less remote from the time in which any declaration is made, answering to our imperfects, perfects, pluperfects, simple, compound, or paulo-post, futures, may be formed

Mr. de Sacy remarks, that "le verbe de employé comme auxiliare influe sur les prétérits de les convertit tous en preterits anterieur." Arab. Gram., vol. i. p. 131. The reason of this is, that each of these verbs involves a preterite tense in its own right, and the reader is by the combination of both carried back into a time more remote than either alone could express. This the European Grammarians have termed the Pluperfect tense.

As the Persian language has for several centuries been cultivated on the principles of the Arabic grammar, it may not be amiss to cite Mr. Lumsden's remarks on this use of the present tense. "In the conversation of the Persians," says he (Pers. Gram., vol. ii. p. 336.), "though seldom perhaps in written composition, the present is often found to supersede the past tense of the verb, in the statement of those propositions which, though past in point of fact (i. e. as to the absolute time in which the statement is made) are recalled by the memory as if they

were present. Example: أَنْ عَالَى اللَّهُ ال

the Prophet informs (or has informed) us."—This must bring to every one's mind, the φησὶ, ait, and inquit, of the Greeks and Latins, which are used in the same way, and upon the same principle. Citations are made in a similar manner in the Persian; as, Zaid said, I am going to Calcutta, not obliquely,—said that he was going, &c., but in the words of the original enunciation. See Pers. Gram., ib., pp. 349—355....

מt the pleasure of the writer. The following passage from Isaiah must suffice on this subject: בְּהַבְּיִר וְּהָבְּיִר וְּהַבְּיִר וְּהַבְּיִר וְּהַבְּיִר וְּהַבְּיִר וְּהַבְּיִר וְּהַבְּיִר וְּהַבְּיִר וְּהַבְּיִר וְּהַבְּיִר וְּהְבִּיִר וְּהְבִּיר בְּיִבְיִר וְּהְבִּיר בְּבְּיִר וְּהְבִּיר וְּהְבְּיִר וְּהְבִּיר וְּהְבִּיר וְּהְבְּיִר וְּהְבְּיִר וְּהָבְּיִר וְּהְבְּיִר וְּהְבְּיִר וְּהְבְּיִר וְּהְבְּרְ וְבְּרִיחִי בְּרְוֹלְ אֲנַבְּיִךְ וְבְּרִוּרְיִם אֲנִשְׁר וְבְּרִוֹּת וְשְּבְּרוֹת וְשְׁבְּרוֹת וְשְׁבְּרוֹת וְשְׁבְּרוֹת וְשְׁבְּרוֹת בְּהָלְבְּיִם בְּרָוֹלְ אֲנַבְּיִר וְבְּרִים בְּרָוֹלְ אֲנַבְּיִר וְבְּרִים בְּרָוֹלְ אֲנַבְּיִר וְבְּרִים בְּרָּוֹלְ אֲנִבְּיִר וְבְּרִים בְּרִוֹלְ וְבְּרִים וְּשְׁבְּרוֹן וְתְּבְּיִם בְּהָּוֹלְ בְּרִים וְבְּרִים בְּרָוֹלְ בְּרָים וְּהְבְּרִים בְּרָוֹלְ בְּרָים וְּבְּרִים בְּרָוֹלְ בְּרִים בְּרְוֹבְיְ וְהְוֹבְּרִים בְּבְּבְיוֹך וְּהְוֹבְיִם בְּרִוּבְיִם בְּבְּיִלְ בְּבְּיִל בְּיִלְ וְבְּרִים בְּבְּיִלְ בְּבְּרִים בְּבְּרִים בְּבְּבִיי וְהְוֹה הַקּוֹבֵּר בְּיִלְים בְּבְּיִל בְּיִלְ בְּבְּי בְּבְּיִל בְּיִוֹי בְּרְוֹבְירִים בְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּרְוֹבְיִים בְּבְּיִל בְּיִים בְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּיוֹל בְּיִים בְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּתְּבְּבְי בְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּתְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּתְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּתְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּתְּבְיבְים בְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּתְּבְיבְים בְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּתְבְיבְים בְּבְיוֹב בְּיִלְם בְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּתְנְבְיִים בְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּתְבְיבְים בְּבְבְיוֹב בְּתְבְיבְים בְּבְּבְיבְים בְּבְּבְיבְיבְים בְּבְּבְיבְיוֹב בְּבְיוֹב בְּבְיבְיוּב בְּבְיבְיוֹב בְּבְּבְיוֹב בְּבְּבְיוּם בְּבְּבְיוֹם בְּבְּבְיוּם בְּבְּבְיוּ בְּנְבְיוּ בְּבְיוֹב בְיוּבְיבְיוּ בְּבְיוֹב בְּבְיוּבְּבְיוּ בְּבְּבְיוּ בְּבְּבְיוּ בְּבְּבְיוּ בְּבְּבְיוּ בְּבְּבְיוּ בְּבְּבְיוּ בְּבְבְיוּם בְּבְיוּבְים בְּבְּבְיוּ בְּבְיוּבְיוּ בְּבְיוּבְים בְּבְיוּבְיוּ בְּבְיוּבְּבְיוּבְיוּ בְּבְיוּבְּבְיוּבְּבְיוּבְבְיוּבְּבְיוּבְבְּבְיוּבְּבְבְיוּם בְּבְּבְיוּם בְּבְּבְיוּבְּבְיוּבְּבְיוּבְּבְיוּב

brass DO I BREAK, and the bars of iron DO I CUT ASUNDER.

1. And I have given thee the treasures of darkness, and the hidden treasures of secret places, that thou mayest

2. KNOW, that I am Jehovah who CALL (thee) by thy name, &c., Is. xlv. 1—3.

Although this citation is not quite direct in the first verse, the passage is nevertheless all put in the first person; and the second verse is a direct citation. As to the tenses, the first verb is in the

/. a preterite, because the prophet recites what he had already received ( ).

/. perhaps at some distance of time. The next is also preterite as

referring to past events. The following רַבְּבְּבְיּ and יְבְּבֵּבְי are present, referring to what may have been done at the time when the declaration was first made, or immediately subsequent to it. The same may be said of the four following verbs. The next, בְּבִּתְּהִי, '. a preterite to be taken in an absolute-future signification (see

2. Art. 236.): and the following אַרָּה is present to the fulfilment of this or immediately-subsequent to it. The second preterite, אַרָּהְהָה refers to a time anterior to that of אָרָה, with which the declaration commences, though perhaps not so much so as to bear our pluperfect in the translation. The next two, אַרָּהְאָּאָ and יְּבָּהְיִּרָּ,

2- though presents or futures to בְּחַחַה, seem, nevertheless, to be anterior to אַבָּה as to tense. In the next place, אַבָּה, and בַּיּה, are evidently present or future to אַבָּה, and consequently, in

a tense future to מַבְּרוֹּ and יִפֹבִרוּ. In the last place, is manifestly future with respect to the preceding verb אַבַּוּצִיּ, &c. and אָרָע, which is present or immediately future to this, may be considered as referring to something still further removed into futurity. Numerous instances of this kind occur in the New Testament. For examples in which the present tense is thus carried backward or forward, see Matt. ii. 13, φαίνε αι; Mark ii. 4, χαλῶσι, &c. As future, Matt. ii. 4, γενᾶλαι; Ib. v. 46, ἔχεθε; Ib. xvii. 11, ἔξχελαι; Ib. xxvi. 29, πίνω. Paulo-post future, Matt. xxvi. 24, παραδίδο α; Ib. v. 45. See Mark xiv. 41, Luke xxii. 21, 22, John xiii. 3. 11. 27. 33, &c. In like manner the Aorists are also used for the past, present, or future. It will be unnecessary to give examples of the past. Of the present, Matt. iii. 17, ἐνδόκησα. So Ib. xxiii. 2, Luke i. 47, xv. 16, John i. 12, 1 John iv. 8. Of the future, John xi. 56, ἔλθη: xv. 6, ἐβλήθη, έξης άνθη. See also Rom. viii. 20. With πρίν or πςο preceding, Mark xiv. 30, John iv. 49, viii. 58, xiii. 19, &c. See also Matthiæ's Greek Grammar, vol. ii., Artt. 504, 505, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> So, "Instant Volsci recentes, qui è castris impetum fecerant; integrant et illi pugnam, qui simulata cesserant fuga. Livy, lib. vi., § 24. 'ΕΩΡΑΚΑΣ δ' "ΕΦΗ δ' κῦρε, τὸν γυναῖκα, ὅν με ΚΕΛΕΥΕΙΣ φυλάτθειν; Μὰ Δὶ ἙΦΗ ὁ κῦρος, &c. Xenoph. Cyropedia. Pantheia. See Matthiæ's Greek Gram., vol. ii., Art. 504.1.

ער־הַבּאָשֶׁר צִּיָה משֶׁה וְלֹא הִבְאִישׁ so they LAY IT UP till the morning, as Moses had commanded, and it did not become fætid, &c., Exod. xvi. 24.

232. If then events consecutive of each other may be enounced by verbs intimating a presence of action, with reference to those primarily introduced into the context, then may Subjunctive or Conditional sentences also be enounced upon the same principle, by the present tense, while the dependence of the different members one upon another will be determined by the signification of the particles introduced for that purpose. Examples: Gen. xxiv. 49, 50, וְעַהֶּה הֶשֶׁכֶם עִשִּׁים הֶסֶד וֶאֱמֶת הַּיִּשְׁכֶם עִשְׁים הָטָד וְאָבְּנֶה אַלְ־יָמִין אוֹ אֶת־אֲדֹנִי הַנִּידוּ לִי וְאִם־לֹא הַנִּידוּ לִי וְאֶבְּנֶה עַלְ־יָמִין אוֹ בל־שַׂבאר and now, if ye are dealing kindly and truly with my master, tell me; and if not, tell me; and I turn (or that I may turn) to the right hand or to the left; Ib. v. 5, הַאָּשָׁה הַאָּשָׁה ָלֶלֶכֶׂת אַחַבִי אֶלֹ־בָאָרֶץ הַזֹּאת הֶהָשֵׁב אָשִׁיב אֶת־בִּנְדְּ אֶל־הָאָרֶץ : אַשֶּׁר־יִנְצָאּתְ מִשֶּׁם then the servant said (says) to him, Perhaps the woman MAY not BE (or, putting the case that she is not,) WILLING to follow me to this land, must I surely bring back thy son unto the land from whence thou camest?

233. There are, moreover, other modes of construction, by which hypothetical and other sentences, exhibiting certain relations between their leading and subsequent members, are formed. These constructions have hitherto been unobserved by Christian Grammarians and Commentators. Some of the Jews seem to have entertained a few very imperfect notions respecting them,\*

<sup>\*</sup> The words of D. Kimkhi on this subject are to be found in the Mikhlol, fol. במקום verso, and are as follows: בי במקום אם וואחר במקום אם נושנה ומענהו כמו אם מַהָּה הַבַּרְצֵל התשובה והיא מורה לתשובת התנאי ומענהו כמו אם מַהָּה הַבַּרְצֵל התשובה

which they probably borrowed from the Arabians, who detail them very much at length in their Grammars and Commentaries on Grammar.\*\*

- 2. It has more than once been remarked (Artt. 74. 2. 108. 119, p. 256, &c.) that verbs will occasionally appear in an apocopated or abridged form: at others with a 7, usually termed paragogic (Artt. 175. 2. 4. 5, &c.), and, at others, with what has been termed an Epenthetic or Paragogic Nun (7) Art. 175. 17, &c. We now proceed to state, in order, the several powers which these forms appear to exert upon the context of Scripture:—and first, of that which has been termed apocope.
- 3. We have seen, Artt. 119. 8. 9, that the apocopated forms are often used for the purpose of expressing command, prohibition, exhortation, wishing, forbearance, or the like: as, יְהֵי let him or it be; יְהַי let him, or,

<sup>\*</sup> See the شرح الكافية by the Moolla Jami, and the work of ألحراقي in the collection of Mr. Burckhardt in the Public Library at Cambridge.

may he, dilate; אל־בִרְאָר let him be blotted out; \*אל־בִרְאָר it not be seen, &c. We now say, that, apparently for the purpose of preserving an uniformity in the forms of words composing those members of sentences which have a mutual relation to each other, the verbs in such subsequent members will also be apocopated: e. g. יְרִי אוֹר בּוֹרָ וֹנְיִרְי אוֹר (there) be light: And light (accordingly) is. This connection is also preserved in the next verse; thus, וַיִּרְא אַלְהִים אָּתְרְבָּוֹלְ וַבְּרֵל אֲלְהִים בֵּין הָאוֹר בִּירִשׁוֹב וַיְבְּדֵל אֲלְהִים בֵּין הָאוֹר בִּירִשׁוֹב וַיְבְּדֵל אֲלְהִים בֵּין הָאוֹר וֹנְבְּדֵל אֲלְהִים בֵּין הָאוֹר (accordingly) sees the light, that (it is) good; and God (accordingly) divides between the light and between the darkness . . . And (hence) the evening becomes, and the dawn becomes, day one. See the remaining instances occurring in this and the following chapters.† So Is.

<sup>\*</sup> The Arabic imperatives are regularly apocopated forms; as, is assist thou: the preformative alif is added merely for the sake of facilitating the pronunciation, and is omitted by rule whenever it can be. In Hebrew an imperative of the first person singular and plural must be made either by this form; as, it let me be, Hos. xiii. 14. I Num. xx. 17, &c., or by one of those presently to be considered. It must also be borne in mind, that imperatives will express request as well as command.

<sup>†</sup> The Arabian Grammarians reason on this subject in the following manner. The Moolla Jámi says, in his commentary on Ibn Ulhájib (p. ٣٨٢), مم الأم المطلوب بها الفعل ويدخل فيها لام الدعاء نحو ليغفر لنا الله . . ولا النهي هي لا المطلوب بها الترك اي ترك الفعل . . وكلم المجازاة . . تدخل على الفعلين لسببية الفعل الأول ومسببية وكلم المجازاة . . تدخل على الفعلين لسببية الفعل الأول ومسببية الفعل الأول سببا والثاني مسببا (نا) of command, i. e. the LAM intimating a desire for the action of the verb.

The LAM of prayer will also influence the verb; as, الغفر لذا الله "may God forgive us:" also the word , (Heb. كا) of prohibition, i. e. intimating a request that the action of the verb be given up; also expressions of retribution,

(exists.)

xlviii. 17, לוא הַקְשַׁבְתָּ לְמִצְוֹתֵי וַיְהִי כַבְּהָר שׁלוֹמֶךּ hadst thou attended to my commandments, then had been thy peace like the river. When לא is used as a prohibitive, no apocopation takes place: see Exod. xx. 3—18. Similar consequent members seem also to be marked, when the illative accompanies the preterite and exercises the influence of removing the accent, (Art. 119.) e. g. Exod. xxix. 1—3. לְקַח בַּר אֶּחָד....וְנְהָהָּ אוֹתְם עַל־ \*\*

(i. e. a consequent member in such sentences), these will influence two verbs,

the first implying the cause (or antecedent), the second that upon which the cause acts (or the consequent), i. e. to mark the first verb as implying the cause, the second as the thing caused. The Moolla goes on to tell us, in the words of Ibn Ulhájib, that we must not, however, always understand such constructions as marking the ubsolute cause and effect, but only that the writer or speaker has expressed himself, as if this were actually the case; i.e. just as we find it in the scriptural parables, he argues these cases as real, whether they are so or not in the nature of things. This is a principle exerting a most extensive influence on the language of Scripture. The idiomatic force of such passages seems to be: Let there be light, so, let there be light; i. e. The Creator gives the command in the first instance, the historian, writer, or speaker, in the second. This will account for the use of the apocopated forms in each case, and explain their concordance with one another. So in the Arabic الى تشتمنى اكرمك. ازرنی ازرك the case (thus) or surely, despise me : let me honour thee : نزرنی visit me, (so) let me visit thee. And hence the peculiar force of the Arabic so, therefore, and the Hebrew illative particle 7; as, من عال فينتقم الله منه so, therefore, and the Hebrew " And as to him who returns to sin, God will accordingly take vengeance on him:

• So in the Arabic with the illative particle فصدقت, putting the case that his frock was torn...then she has spoken truly. This is termed by the Arabian Grammarians الفاء التعقيبية the consequent فقد . Jámi's Com., p. ۳۸٥٠.

and, in the Hebrew, יהי אור ויהי אור let there be light, Accordingly, consequently, so (or the like) let it be taken for granted that light becomes,

על אֹתֶם וגוֹ Take one heifer . . . and place them upon one basket, and offer them (accordingly), &c. See the following verses. The preterite is apparently used in these cases for the greater emphasis.

- 4. It is not, however, necessary that a command or prohibition should always precede; any subject matter of discourse upon which a consequence will depend, may have its consequent members enounced by apocopated present tenses: as, לְבוֹא וְנְכְּחָה לְאִרחוֹב אֲטֶת וְנְכְחָה לֹא־תוֹכָל בְּעִינְיוֹ בַּעִינְיוֹ בַּעִינְיוֹ נִיְרָע בְּעִינְיוֹ for truth hath stumbled in the open place, and integrity is unable to enter. SO, Accordingly, &c. truth hath failed: . . . . SO, Therefore, &c. the Lord saw, and (therefore) it was evil in his eyes, &c.\* Is. lix. 14.
- 5. From what has been said, it may perhaps be concluded, that no passage of this sort can occur, in which such apocopation will not be found either as a mark of a leading imperative, or of a consequent and corresponding member. We must bear in mind, however, that a very great number of cases occurs in the verbs, in which we can have no mark whatever of this apocopation. Again, in a great variety of instances, it will be of but little importance whether the context contain narration only, or be prophetic; for in either of these the particle 1 may

<sup>\*</sup> From this sort of connection in the context, we may see in what sense this connecting and illative particle \(^1\), may be said to be conversive of the tense of the following verbs to that of the preceding ones: not that it exerts any such power absolutely, but only relatively, (Art. 231. 9.). And in this sense D. Kimkhi has taken it, (Mikhlol, fol. 712 verso.) where he cites Aben Ezra as appealing to the Arabic. And De Balmes expressly ascribes it to the tense of narration (Art. 231. 10. note)

be a simple conjunction.\* In others a paragogic אוויר (of which hereafter,) may have been struck out for the sake of euphony: and, in others, the accents may have been misplaced through the ignorance of the copyists; nothing being more certain, than that the modern Jews are perfectly ignorant of this doctrine; and, it is doubtful whether it was known to any considerable extent by their older and better writers. Examples of the kind alluded to are the following: בּיִבְּיֵלְּהַ &c. Let the waters be limited, ... and let the dry (land) appear: or prophetically: The waters shall be limited ... and the dry (land) shall appear. And then we have the consequent member: viz. אוויר בו בייבי בו לוביי בו בייבי בייבי בו בייבי בו בייבי בו בייבי בו בייבי בייבי בו בייבי בו בייבי בו בייבי בו בייבי בייבי בו בייבי בייבי בו בייבי בו בייבי בו בייבי בייבי בו בייבי בייבי

<sup>&</sup>quot;Nor is this mark always visible in the Arabic, particularly when the preterite tense is used: e. g. יו בּבּיבי בֹרָבי בֹרָבי בֹרָבּי בֹרָבי בֹרָבי בֹרָבי בֹרָבי בֹרָבי בֹרָבי בֹרָבי בֹרָבי בֹרָבי וּ If you have gone out, I have gone out; for, if you do so, then I will. So also וּ בֹּרַבְּלָבִי נְצֵב וֹנֵצ וֹנֵצ וֹנֵצ וֹנֵצ וֹנֵצ וֹנֵצ וֹנִצ וֹנָצ וֹנִצ וֹנִצ וֹנִצ וֹנִצ וֹנִצ וֹנִצ וֹנְצ וֹנְל וֹנְל וֹנְל וֹנְל וֹנִי וֹנִצ וֹנִי בְּבַּלְתִּי לְבְּחָתִּי וְלְבִּילְי וְלְבְּלְיִי לְבְּלְתִּי לְבְלְתִּי לְבְּלְתִּי לְבְּלְתִּי לְבְּלְתִּי לְבְלְתִּי לְבְלְתִי לְבְלְתִּי לְבְלְתִּי לְבְלְתִּי לְבְלְתִּי לְבְלְתִי לְבְלְתִי לְבְלְתִּי לְבְלְתִּי לְבְּלִיתְי לְבְלְתִּי לְבְּלְתִי לְבְלְתִי לְבְּלִיתְי לְבְּלִיתְי לְבְּלִיתְי לְבְלְתִּי לְבִּלְיתִי לְבְּבְּלִיתְי לְבְלְתִית (then) I have arisen: i. e. these are the constant facts of the case.

we have both combined in הַנְּיְדָה־נָּא, Shew now I pray. So also Ib. xxxviii. 16, קָבָה־נָּא Give now I pray. See Ps. ii. 3, 7; lxix. 19, &c.

2. Examples of the second case :\*—Ps. ii. 8. שַׁאַל מִמֶּבִּי Ask of me, and I will (accordingly) give, or, let me (then) give, nations, &c., Ib. lxix. וֹנִי בַּצִּילֵנִי Deliver me from the mire, and let me not (thence) sink down, Ib. cxix. וֹנִי וְאַבִּישְׁה בַּלְּאוֹת מְתּוֹנְתֵּךְ Enlighten my eyes, in order that I

last letter of the verb in the present tense, as nietead of pie; which Mr. de Sacy has mistaken for the subjunctive mode of European Grammars; to which it will only occasionally correspond. The particles found to precede this form are various: see de Sacy's Gram. Arab., tom. ii., pp. 19-30. His mistakes will be pointed out in a tract which I shall shortly publish.-This form is not used as an imperative in Arabic, unless preceded by a negative. The principle on which this construction proceeds, is thus stated by Jámi in his commentary on the Kafia. Speaking of the particle , our illative , he says: والفا التي ينتصب المضارع بعدها بتقدير أن فتقدير أن بعدها لانتصاب المضارع مشروط بشرطين احدهما السببية اي سببية ما قبلها لما بعدها لان العدول عن الرفع الى النصب للتنصيص على السببية حيث يدل تغير اللفظ تغير المعني فاذا لم يقصد السببية لا يحتاج الي دلالة عليها &c..... So the , والثاني أن يكون قبلها أي قبل الفاء أحد الأشياء الستة which marks the following verb with FATHA, as equivalent in sense to UT. Now this equivalent to of after i, (added) for the purpose of placing FATHA on the (last letter of the root in the) present tense, is governed by two conditions. One of these is CAUSALITY, i. e. the causality of some antecedent acting upon its consequent: for, indeed, the change from (9) to (1) is intended to mark this causality; so that the change in the form of the word intimates the change intended in the sense. But, when no such causality is intended, there can be no want of any intimation of it. The second condition is, that one of the six things (as in

the last article) precede the - &c. These are, a command, a prohibition, an

interrogation, a question, a negation, a wish or representation.

<sup>\*</sup> This relation is marked in the Arabic by the vowel Fatha placed on the

3. It must not be supposed, however, that this form is always had recourse to, for the purpose of marking this relation: for it is occasionally, and perhaps most frequently, designated by such particles as will best express it; as, אָלימָעוֹ אַיָּשׁר quod, or eo quod, אַשָּׁי for the purpose of, אַיַּשְׁי in order to, because of, &c., בּעבור that, ut, אַלימָעוֹ to, in order to, because of, and the like, with the unaugmented form of the verb. It is when these particles are omitted that the form is generally used. So also in the nouns, אַלִּיבְיִמְּעַ will signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַלימִצְרַיִּמְ signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַלימִצְרַיִּמְ signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַלימִצְרַיִּמְ signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַלימִצְרַיִּמְ signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַלימִצְרַיִּמְ signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַליבִינְמָה signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַליבִינְמָה signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַליבִינְמָה signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַליבִינְמָה signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to this signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to the signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to the significant to the significa

235. The third case we have to consider is, that which involves the doctrine respecting the uses of the *Epenthetic* and *Paragogic Nún* ( $\uparrow$ ): and here, as before, the first of these is found with *commands*, *prohibitions*, and *exhortations*, and also in the consequent members of antithetic sentences: the second is used with inter-

<sup>\*</sup> In this place we have probably a contraction, i.e.  $\Box 328$  for  $\Box 7228$ , in order to avoid the concurrence of two  $H\bar{e}s$   $\Box$ . Art. 175, 6.

rogatives, and also in the consequent members of similar sentences. And, in both cases, strong asseveration, with futurity of action, seems to be implied.\* Examples in

signification; as, Thou shalt certainly strike ( ' הית ישט'), in its light form, and in its reduplicated one; also with a prohibition; as, Thou shalt (certainly) not strike; also with an interporation; as Wilt thou really strike? also

tainly) not strike: also with an interrogation; as, Wilt thou really strike? also with a wish; as, I wish you would really strike: and with a representation; as, Surely you will come down to us, so that it may be well with you: also with an oath; as, And (as) God (exists) so, surely will I do it: and these cases all hold good, whether the form be light, or doubled .... This confirmation is not used, except when something is requested; but, it is most frequent in such examples as, "WHETHER YOU WILL SURELY DO IT, &c.," (i. e. in a condition, the accompanying particle of which is strengthened by Lo, what, &c., For, when such particle gives force, the intention is, to strengthen the action of the verb likewise, in order to avoid otherwise missing the force intended by the passage,) together with what goes before it, i. e. whatever precedes such confirmatory Nun, whether it be light or heavy (i. e. single or double). That is, in all such antithetic sentences as those involving oaths, requests, and the like. The most complete account I have met with of the use of these forms is to be found in the work of نجم الدين العراقي already referred to, from which I shall give extracts in another publication. I have given this note more

- 2. In some instances the Paragogic Nūn, in single sentences, seems strongly to intimate futurity of action, as in Joel ii. 4; בְּבוֹרִים בְּרוֹצוֹן so shall they assuredly run: Ib. ver. 7; ווֹצוֹן בְּוֹרִים יְרוֹצוֹן like heroes shall they (certainly) run; אישׁ בְּדָרְבִיוֹ וִלְכוֹן run; בּרְרָבִיוֹ וִלְכוֹן אַרְחוֹרָם each in his ways shall they march; וְלֹא יִעַבְּטוֹן אַרְחוֹרָם neither shall they (at all) wander (from) their paths.
- 3. In the following passages they strongly mark consecutive members of sentences, implying perhaps, at the same time, futurity in the tense of the verb, Gen. xxxvii. 27; לכוֹ וְנִמְבְּנֵנוֹ come, let us actually sell him, Isa. xxvi. 5—7; לכוֹ וְנִמְבְּנְנְּה יַשְׁבִּילְה עַר־אָבֶּי וְנִישְׁנְּה יַעִר־אָבֶי וְנִישְׁנְּה יַעִר־אָבֶי וְנִישְׁנְּה עַר־עְבָּר : תִּרְמְסֶנְּה רְנֶל for he shall (surely) bring down the inhabitants of (a) high place; an exalted city, he shall assuredly debase her: he debaseth her to the earth; he shall assuredly make her touch even to the dust: the foot shall surely trample her.

We may here remark that שֵׁלֵה, a manifest prophetic future, is parallel to יְשִׁכִּילֶבָּה in the following member: whence both must

at length than I otherwise should have done, because I find Mr. de Sacy speaking in his Gram. Arabe (tom. ii. p. 35), as if there were no fixed rules for its use, and actually dislocating the last example he has cited, as if it had no connection with what went before. See the Koran, Sur. 102.

be construed in the same tense: both therefore will be strong prophetic futures: the one grounded on the principle (Art. 236.) of the event having already come to pass; the other enouncing a similar event in a corroborated present sense only: while the Epenthetic Nūn in the latter, marks it as a consequent to the former.—In the next place, the following אַרְּיָבֶּייִ has no such Nūn: it commences, therefore, a new series of context, i. e. it resumes the original theme commenced with אַרָּיִבְּיִבְּיִי and has אַרְּיִבְּיִבְּיִי with the Epenthetic Nūn for its consequent; and, in apposition with this is the following אַרְּיִבְּיִבְּיִר. See Ib. xliii. 5; Deut. viii. 5. 19, 20; Job. ix. 6. 32. 34; xii. 7. 8, &c. In the nouns too, the termination appears to have a corroborative force, see Art. 168.

4. From the near approach of these forms in sense, we must not be surprised in occasionally finding constructions in which they are mixed, for the purpose perhaps of imparting to its several members some particular shade of meaning, or to keep up a variety in the modes of expression, e. g. Job ix. 14; אַרְ כִּי־אָנֹכִי אָעְנָנוּ אבחרה דברי עמו Nay, (supposing) that I may really answer him, (then) let me I pray select my words (for use) with him, Gen. xii. 2; וְאֶעֶשֶׂךְ לְגוֹי נְדוֹל....וַאֲנַדְּלָה מוך והיה ברכה and let me make thee a great nation, and let me (I pray) make thy name great, and become thou a blessing, Exod. xxiii. 10; וְשֵׁשׁ שַׁנִים תְּוָרֶע־אֶת־ אַרצַךּ וָאָסַפָּתָּ אֶת־תְבוּאָתָה: וְהַשְּׁבִיעִירֹן תִּשְׁמְשֶּׁנְּרֹה מוּנְטַשְׁתָה וְאָכָלוּ אָביוֹנֵי עַמֶּךְ וְיִתְרָם תֹאֹכֵל חֵיָת הַשְּׁרָה and six years sow thou (imper.) thy land, and HAVE COL-LECTED (pret. i. e. prophetic fut.) its income. But the seventh (year) THOU SHALT DISMISS IT (Epenthetic form): so thou (shalt) have left it, and the poor of thy people SHALL (surely) EAT: and their excess (i. e. leavings) the wild beast of the field SHALL EAT (pres. or contingent future). I translate these so as to express the force of the different forms as near as I can. See also Gen. xliii, 21.

236. Another leading principle, by which the tenses

are regulated, has arisen out of the circumstance, that the Hebrews, in common with some other nations of the East, often represent events, of the future occurrence of which they have no doubt, as having already taken place.\* Examples: בִּיבֶלֶבוֹ בַּוֹ נְתַּוֹךְלֵנוֹ בַּוֹ נְתַּוֹךְלֵנוֹ בַּוֹ

"It seems to me," continues Mr. Lumsden, "that most of the preceding rules have their basis in the following principle...that the occurrence of a future event is naturally a matter of great uncertainty, and generally speaking, will be so considered, if expressed by the future tense of the verb. Past events having already occurred, are subject to no uncertainty at all. And hence it happens, that a Persian, having occasion to speak of a future event, which he believes to be of certain occurrence, will naturally enough employ the past tense of the verb: by the use of which he means to apprise his auditor, that the occurrence of the event, though still future, is, in his opinion, not less certain, than if it were past."

Of this character is the passage cited by Mr. de Sacy, from the "Concessus of Hariri, Arab. Gram., vol. i. p. 123, &c. الا تلمظت بقراكم أو I will by no means touch your meat, unless, &c. See Storr, p. 163—4. Pococke's Specim. Hist. Arab., p. 57. Gram. Syr. Isaac Sciadrensis, Rom. 1636, p. محدم, &c.

The following passages copied from a very valuable commentary on the Arabic Grammar of Ibn Ulhájib, by خجم الدين العراقي which is preserved in the public library of Cambridge, will put this question out of all doubt, as to the practice of the Orientals. Speaking of the preterite tense, it is said: وينصرف الي الاستقبال بالانشاء الطلبي اما دعاء نحو رحمك الله او اصراً نحو قول علي عليه السلام أَجْزَا أَمَرُ قَرِنَهُ آسا اخالا بنفسه وينصرف الي

<sup>\*</sup> The following observations on this use of the past tense of a Persian verb are taken from the Persian Grammar of Mr. Lumsden, vol. ii. p. 326.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The prophetic denunciation of a future event will be often expressed in the past tense, in order to indicate the certainty of its occurrence. Examples: كَافُرُ إِمْرُوزُ الْكُرْحِةُ خُوشَ است لِيكِنَ فُرِدَاسَت كَمُّ طُوقِ لَعَنْتُ بَرُ كَافُورُ الْمُرْدِدُ لَا اللهُ اللهُ

הַמְשַׂרָה עַל־שִׁכְמוֹ וַיִּקְרָא שְׁמוֹ בֶּלֶא יוֹעֵץ אֵל גִּבּוֹר אֲבִי־עַד : בּיִּקְרָא for a child has been (i. e. shall surely be) המאר to us, a Son hath been (or surely shall be) given to us, so the government is upon his shoulder, and

الاستقبال ايضاً بان يخبر من الامور المستقبلة اذ قصد القطع بوقوعها كقوله تعالى ونلاي اصحاب الجنة ... انَّه من حيث افادة المتكلَّم لوقوع الفعل قطعًا كانته وقع ومضي ... وينقلب الماضي اليم ايضاً اذا كان منفياً او ان كان في جواب القَسَم نحو والله لا فعلتُ وان فعلتُ ... وينقلب ايضاً اليه بدخول كلم المجازاة غير لو واما كان فقد يبقي معها على المضي نحو قوله تعالى ان كنتُ قلتُه .... وينقلب ايضاً يدخول ما الذايعة عن الظرف ... نحو ما دامت السموات ... نحو ما دامت السموات ... تحو ما دامت السموات الم desire-whether in prayer; as, كال حمك May God Have MERCY ON THEE; -or, command, as in the saying of Ali, LET THE MAN REWARD HIS NEIGHPOUR ( pret.) WHO IN HIS OWN PERSON HAS DONE GOOD TO HIS BROTHER. It is also changed into the future, when speaking of some future event, and intending to enounce it AS CERTAIN TO COME TO PASS; as in the passage (of the Koran), The inhabitants of Parudise SHALL call, &c. (have called. Surat. Alaraf.) where the speaker mentions the event as HAVING AL-READY COME TO PASS. It is also taken as a future, when accompanied by a negative, or, as an answer to an oath: as, By God, I will not do it, or, Should I DO IT. It is also used as a future in hypothetical sentences, except with the

particle should, unless, &c. But, as to the verb should, it will retain its preterite signification: as, If I had said it. It is also changed when the particle is used intimating time: e. g. As long as the heavens have endured (i. e. shall endure"), &c. It is very evident, that the same principle prevails throughout every instance here adduced, (if we except the hypothetical ones, of which something will be said hereafter, and which is used as an auxiliary), namely, that of certainty, and thence intense petition, or command, grafted upon this certainty.—See Viger de idiotismis Græcis, p. 167. Edit. 1813, with the notes. Winer's Gram. of the New Test., p. 105. (b).

(one) calls his name Wonder, Counsellor (or Preacher), Mighty God, the Father (or Proprietor) of an age, the Prince of peace; Ib. vii. 18, יְשִׁר בִּיוֹם הַהוֹא יִשִּׁר בִּיוֹם הַהוֹא יִשְׁרִים עָּבְרִים הַבְּוֹם הַהוֹא יִשְׁר בִּיוֹם הַבְּוֹם הַבְּבִּם בְּעָבִה יִאֹרֵי מִצְרִים (certainly) come to pass, in that day, Jehovah hisses (or shall hiss) to the bee which (is) in the extremity of the rivers of Egypt, &c.; Ib. 19, וּבְּבְּרֵוֹת וֹבְנְקִיקִי הַפְּלְעִים בְּבַּבְּוֹיִ הַלְּבָּוֹת וֹבְנְקִיקִי הַפְּלְעִים &c. and they shall (certainly) come, and shall all rest in the desolate valleys, and in the holes of the rocks.

2. Upon the same principle, the Preterite tense is often used as an Imperative; which may, therefore, be termed emphatical: e. g. Deut. vi. 5, וְאָרֶבְתָּ אֶת יְהוָה אָלהֶיף בְּכָל־לְבֶבְף וּבְכָל־נַפְשִׁךְ וּבְכָל־מָאֹדֶף: וְהָיוּ הַדְּבָרִים הָאֵלֶה אֲשֶׁר אָנֹבִי מְצַוְהָ הַיּוֹם עַלֹּ־לְבָבֶה: וְשִׁנַנִּתָם לְבָנֵיךְ וָדַבַּרְתָּ בָּם בְּשִׁבְתְּךָ בְּבֵיתֶר וּבְלֶבְתְּךָ בַנֶּעֶדְ וּבְשָׁבְבָּךְ וּבְקוּמֶךְ: וּקְשַׁרֶתָם לְאוֹת עַל־יָדֶדְ וְהָיוּ לְטֹטְפֹת בֵּין עֵינֶיְדְ: וּכְתַבְתָּם בית הבית בית and thou shalt (surely) love and though thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy might. And these words which I command thee this day shall be upon thy heart: and thou shalt diligently impress them upon thy children: and thou shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thy house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou risest up. And THOU SHALT BIND them for a sign on thy hand, and they shall be for frontlets between thy eyes. And thou shalt write them upon the posts of thy house, and upon thy gates.

It will be imagined, perhaps, from the use of the accents here, that these preterites have been affected by the preceding imperative IPW (Art. 233. 3.). But this is unnecessary, as it regards their forms; because, had nothing but a simple imperative been intended, the common imperative forms would have been used. Innumerable instances occur, however, in which no such

form precedes, as is also the case with the prophetic preterites just noticed. See Gen. xl. 14, xlv. 13, xlvi. 34, Ezek. ii. 4, iii. 17, iv. 5, 6.

- 4. The following has a prohibitive sentence in the Present, followed by two predictions enounced in the Preterite, tense: Gen. xxvi. 24, אַל־תִּירָא בִּי־אָּתְּךְּ אָנֹכְי fear not, for I (am) with thee and will (surely) bless thee, and multiply thy seed.

<sup>\*</sup> In this case, the preterite is reckoned, not from the period in which the declaration is made, but from one future to it, as it is even in the English. The reason is: futurity is intended in the first, and consequently in every subsequent verb, whatever other particulars the context may require in this respect.

Jehovah thy God bringeth (or shall bring) thee to the land whither thou art going to possess it, and shall have cast out many great nations from before thee.... and Jehovah thy God shall have delivered them up before thee, and thou shall have smitten them, (then) devote thou them to utter destruction.

6. In like manner when two events are enounced, one of which is prior to the other, and that which occurred first in the order of time, being to be taken in the past tense, (with reference to the time in which the relation was originally made,) the following one may be translated into English in the preterpluperfect tense:\* as, Gen. xxvi. 18, לְבֶוֹלְ בְּׁבֶּרְ לְבֶּוֹלְ שֵׁמֵוֹת בַּשִׁמוֹת בַּשְׁמוֹת בַּשְׁמוֹת בַּשְׁמוֹת בַּשְׁמוֹת (i. e. at that time by) names, according to the names (by) which his father CALLED THEM (i. e. had called.).

237. General opinions may be enounced either in the preterite, the participles, or in the present tense.

\* In this case, the verb " is an historical present, and hence contempo-

rary in act with the preceding verb שלי, and therefore preterite with reference to the time in which the narration is made: but, the following verb אֹרָהְיִ is preterite with reference to this time, i. e. to the time of אַרָּהְיִי and אֵרָיְ, it is, therefore, a preterite still more remote, and equivalent to our pluperfect. So in Arabic, as already noticed.

† So in the Arabic אול בּבְּיִי בּבּוּל בּבְּיִי בּבּׁי בּבְּיִי בּבּׁי בּבְּיִי בּבּׁי בּבְּיִי בּבּׁי בּבְּיִי בּבִּי בּבְּיִי בּבִּי בּבִּי בּבְּיִי בּבִּי בּבְּיִי בּבִּי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבִּי בּבְּיִי בּבִּי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבִּי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבִּי בּבְּיִי בּבְיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְיִי בּבְיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְיִי בּבְיִי בּבְיִי בּבְיִי בּבְיִי בּבְיִי בּבְּיִי בּבְיי בּבְייִי בּבְייִי בּבְייִי בּבְייִי בּבְייִי בּבְיי בּבְייִי בּבְייי בּבּיי בּבְייי בּבְיי בּבְייי בּבּיי בּבְייי בּבְייי בּבְייי בְבִייי בּבְייי בּבְייי בּבְייי בְּבִיי בְבִייי בּבִיי בְבִּיי בְבִייי בְבִייי בְבִּיי בְבִּיי בְבִייי בּבְייי בְבִייי בּבִיי בּבִיי בּבְייי

Examples: Ps. i. 1, הַלְךְ בַּעְצַת לֹא הָלָךְ בַּעְצַת הָאִישׁ אֲשֶׁר לֹא הָלָךְ בַּעְצַת לֹא יִשָּב בּיִשְׁר לֹא יָשָׁב וּבְיֶּעָר וּבְיֶּעָר וּבְיֶּעָר לֹא יִשָּב Blessed (is) the man who hath not walked in the counsel of the wicked, and hath not stood in the way of sinners, and hath not resided in the habitation of the scorners; Prov. xxviii. 7, בּיִלִים יִבְלִים יִבְלִים בָּן בְּבִין וְרְשֶׁה זוֹלְלִים יִבְלִים יִבְלִים אַבִּין בְּרָב בּן בִבין וְרְשֶׁה זוֹלְלִים יִבְלִים יִבְלִים אַב An intelligent son keeps the law; but he who attends upon base men, puts his father to shame; the xi. 4, בּיִוֹ בְּיִם עֶבְרָה וּצְדָקָה תַצִיל מִבְּוֶת וּ בְּיִם עֶבְרָה וּצְדָקָה תַצִּיל מִבְּוֶת.

on which an opinion is founded, the effect is the same in either case. An Englishman will commonly state the opinion, as 'Force cannot cope with fortune:' and a Persian will be often disposed to state the facts on which the opinion is founded: as 'The strong have been generally foiled in the contest with fortune.' This, therefore," adds he, "is a case in which the past may be said to supersede the future tense of the verb." Example;

retire and dwell in a corner, like the ant; for even the elephant (which is the strongest of all animals) cannot master (has not mastered) his fortune by force."

Pers. Gram., vol. ii. p. 326.

The Arabic Grammarians endeavour to account for this use of the verb,

the notes: also p. 163.

riches PROFIT not in the day of wrath; but righteousness delivers from death.

238. Hypothetical sentences, which are very nearly allied to the foregoing, will be enounced either in the past or present tense, according to one or other of the preceding rules, or as it shall suit the intention of the writer. Examples: Gen. xxvi. 10, מַה־זֹאת עַשִּׁיתָ לַנוּ כִּמְעַט שָׁכַב : אַשָׁם אָת־ הָעָם אָת־אִשׁתֶּךְ וְהֶבֵאתְ עַלִינוּ אָשַׁם what is this (that) thou hast done to us? some one of the people MIGHT lightly HAVE LAIN with thy wife, so thou WOULDEST HAVE BROUGHT sin upon us; Ib. xxvii. 12, אולי יִמְשֵׁנִי בּרְכָה וְלֹא בְרָכָה יְלָלֶה וְלֹא בְרָכָה יִבְאַתִי עְלֵי פְּלְלָה וְלֹא בְרָכָה יִבְּאִתִי עְלֵי פְּלְלָה וְלֹא בְרָכָה Perhaps my father MAY FEEL me; (or, putting the case that he feels me) so SHALL I (certainly) BECOME as a great deceiver in his eyes, and SHALL (surely) BRING upon myself a curse and not a blessing; Prov. vi. 1, אָת לָרֶעָד תַּקַעָת לָזֶר כַּפֵּיך . . . עֲשֵׂה זֹאת vi. יַ &c., My son, if thou HAST BECOME surety for thyneighbour, if thou hast stricken thy hand with a stranger. .... do this, &c.; Gen. xxviii. 20, &c., אָם־יִהְיֶה אֱלֹהִים עָמָּדִי וּשְׁמָרַנִּי בַּדֶּרֶדְ הַזֶּח אֲשֶׁר אָנֹכִי הוֹלֵדְ וְנָתַן־לִי לֶחֶם לֵאֵכֹל וּבֵנֶ<mark>ד</mark> לְלְבַשׁ : וִשְבַתִּי בִשָּׁלוֹם אֶל־בֵּית אָבִי וְהָיָה יִהֹוָה לִי לֵאלהִים: והאבן, &c., i. e. as assuredly as that God is with me, and HATH PRESERVED me in this way in which I am (now) travelling, and HATH (hitherto) GIVEN me bread to eat and clothing to put on; so assuredly SHALL I RETURN to my father's house in peace, and Jehovah SHALL assuredly continue my God; and this stone, &c.\* Is.

<sup>\*</sup> This passage has been entirely misunderstood. It contains an oath, or vow, which is the same thing. This usage of the preterite in the Hebrew, as in the Arabic, generally designates oaths; and the principle upon which these are constructed is, by taking something which is most certain as a basis, and then by comparing the subsequent terms with it. See Heb. vi. 13—19. And the

lxiii. 19, מְבְּעֶהָ שְׁמֵים יְרַדְהָ מְבָּנֶיךְ הָרִים נְזְלוֹ וּוֹיִם וְרְנִים וְרְנִים וּרְנִים וּרִים וּרְנִים וּרִים וּרְנִים וּרְנְּנְים וּרְנִים וּרְנְים וּרְנִים וּרְנְים וּרְנְיִים וּרְנְים וּרְנְים וּרְנְים וּרְנְים וּרְנְים וּרְנִים וּרְנְים וּרְנְים וּרְנִים וּרְנְים וּרְנִים וּיְנִים וּרְנִים וּרְנִים וּתְּנִים וּתְּיְנִים וּתְּנִים וּתְּנִים וּיְנִים וּתְּנִים וּתְּיִים וּתְּיִים וּתְּיִים וּתְּיִים וּתְּיְיְתְּיִים וּתְיְיְיִם וּרְנִים וּתְּיִים וּתְּיִים וּתְּיִים וּיְנִים וּיִּיְיְיִים וּרְנִים וּרְנְים וּנְיּיִים וּיְנְיְיְיִים וּרְנִים וּנְּיְיִּים וּיְנְיּים וּרְנְיתְיִים וּיְנִים וּיְיּוּיְיְיִים וּיְיּוּיְיְיִים וּיְנִים וּיְּיוֹים וּיְיּוּיְיְיִים וּיְּיוֹים וּיְיּבְּיוֹים וּוּבְּיוּים וּיְיּוּוּים וּרְּיוּים וּיְיּוּוּיְיִים וּוּבְּיוּבְּיוֹים וּיְּיוּיְיְיּים וּיְבְּיוֹים וּוּבְּיוֹים וּוּבְּיוֹים וּיְיּוּבְּים וּוּבְּיוֹים וּוּיְיּבְּיתְיּיְיְיִים וּיְיּוּיְיְיִים וּיְבְּיוֹים וּוּבְּיתְיּיְיְיִים וְּיְּיְיּבְיתְיּיְיְים וְּיּלְיוֹים וּיְיְבְּיְיְיְיְיְיְיְיְיְ

Moolla Jámi on Ibn Ulhájib, pp. 1677-1671. These forms, therefore, imply no curse or ban, as the forms of cursing do which run thus, God do so to

me, &c.

\* In translating this passage, I have taken is as the Niphhál of it which it seems to me most properly to belong, (see Simonis's Lexicon sub voce,) and side as a particle implying supposition with a negation, according to its most usual import both in Hebrew and Arabic. It should also be observed, that in the first and two last examples, the preterite is used, as in the statement of general opinions, and for the reasons assigned by Mr. Lumsden. The particles preceding such expressions may always be considered as intended to put a suppositious case; or, in other words, to lay down a general fact as accidental, and then to deduce the consequence: which may be stated, either in the past or present tense, as circumstances may require. Of this character are

the following Arabic examples; الو لا عرفناك لفعلنا مثل فالك HAD we not known thee, we had (surely) done after this manner; or, we should (surely) have done so, taking the second member as a future of certainty with respect to the first; الو كنت هَاهنا لم يَمْت أَخِي HADST thou BEEN here,

respect to the first; يفت الحجي الم يفت الحجي ( HADST thou BEEN here, my brother WOULD not HAVE DIED; مَالِي اللَّهُ عَلَيْتُ ذَلِكَ ضَيَّعَتُ مَالِي

DONE this, I SHOULD SURELY HAVE LOST my wealth; أَنْ أَنَا كُتُرِتُ عَلَقْهَا وَاللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ

i. e. putting the case that if I had done so, then she would perhaps lay, &c. De Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 124, &c.

The Persian examples selected by Mr. Lumsden seem to me all subject to this distinction, i. e. of certainty or contingency, and to have been enounced ac-

cordingly, either in the past, present, or future tense; اگر نرسیدی انتظارت اگر نرسیدی انتظارت الکتاب الی الکتاب الکتاب الکتاب الکتاب الکتاب الکتاب الکتاب الکتاب الکتاب

اگر امروز بر خلق رحم کُذ فرد ا مرحوم ; (me) مرحوم اگر امروز بر خلق رحم کُذ

: וְאָכֵלְתִּי חַשְּׁאת הַיּוֹם חַיִּיטֵב בְּעֵינֵי וְהוָה and, had I eaten the sin-offering to-day, would it have (seemed) good in the eyes of Jehovah?

239. Commands, requests, prohibitions, deprecations, blessings, and hence future events taken prophetically, will be enounced by the imperatives of verbs, or by any of those other forms, which are used as imperatives (Artt. 233. 3. 234. 235.): as, Gen. xxvii. 3, וְעַתַּה שָׂא־נָא כֵלִיךָ הֶלְיִךָּ : וְקַשְׁתֶּךְ וְצֵא הַשָּׁבֶּה וְצוּדָה לִי צָיִדֹה and now, TAKE, I pray, thy weapons, thy quiver and thy bow, and go our into the plain, and HUNT for me a hunting. Ib. v. 26, בְּשֶׁרוֹ נא ושַקה-לִי בְּנִי: come near now, and kiss me, my son. Ib. v. 28, וְיִמֶּן־לְךְּ הָאֱלֹהִים מְטַל הַשְּׁמֵיִם and MAY God GIVE (or, he shall give) thee of the dew of heaven. Ps. xxxvii. 1, : אל-תַקנא בעשׁי עולה BE not IRRITATED at those who are evil; ENVY thou not the workers of iniquity. Gen. xxiv. 60, הַּיִי לְאַלְפֵי רְבָבָה וְיִירֵשׁ זַרְעֵךְ אֵת שַׁעַר שׂנְאָיו весоме thou (i. e. thou shalt be) thousands of myriads, and LET thy seed Possess the gate of those who hate them. See also Gen. xii. 2, xx. 7, xlv. 18; Deut. xxxii. 50; Is. xlvii. 1; Ps. xxxvii. 27, xlv. 4, 5: also Ps. lxix. from v. 7 to the end, explained by St. Paul, Rom. xi. 9, &c., as prophetical. So also Ps. cix. compared with Acts i. 20; Ps. lxxix. 6-12. See Glassius, Lib. i., Tract iv., § i.,

if to-day you (by any chance) shew mercy to mankind, to-morrow you have received mercy, i. e. certainly shall receive it. See Pers. Gram., vol. ii. p. 322, &c. That is to say, the present tense appears to be chosen when contingency is intended to be intimated, the preterite when it is not. The one therefore is a less forcible form of expression than the other. It is, however, my intention shortly to treat this matter more at length in a tract devoted to this and similar questions.

Canon ii., Lib. iii., Tract iii.; Canon xliii. xlv., &c. Viger. de idiotismis Græcis, p. 155, Edit. 1813, with the notes.

### Remarks

240. The preceding rules seem to be governed by two general principles. One, in which the Writer, setting out from the period in which he commences his narrative, follows the different circumstances of it, as if himself and his reader were present, and hence dates the tenses of his verbs from the different periods in which he thus places himself; still however reserving the right of returning to his original position whenever he pleases.

2. By the other principle, events which it is believed will certainly take place, are represented as having already come to pass: and thence preterites are used as Imperatives for the greater emphasis. Nothing surely can be more natural than the adoption of such principles. And, when we consider the great degree of precision, which their application must communicate to the context, we shall be induced to believe, that the poverty and uncertainty, of which it has been fashionable to accuse the Hebrew language, has rather arisen out of our own ignorance, than from any defect inherent in its construction. We do not mean to affirm, however, that we can always say, why one mode of enunciation is preferred to another, when, as far as we can see, either would have suited the character of the context: nor can we in the Greek and Latin, notwithstanding all that has been said in their favour. In many cases the parallelism may have had some influence, in others attraction; but, upon the whole, I believe we can generally give as good an account of the use of the tenses in the Hebrew, as can be given in either the Greek, Latin, or any other language.

# LECTURE XVIII.

ON THE NATURE AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PARTICLES, AND ON THE ADVERBS.

241. It has been seen (Art. 222.), that adverbs are nothing more than words added for the purpose of qualifying the signification of verbs generally; we now come to consider some of those cases, which are not of the most common occurrence, and which may otherwise

present some difficulties to the Learner.

2. Adverbs in immediate connection with verbs may be considered as absolute (Art. 220. 2.), or, as added for the purpose of specification (Art. 219. note): those in mediate connection, as in the definite state of construction with the preceding word or particle; which word or particle may nevertheless be absolute with respect to the verb. General examples are given, Art. 222. The following are some in which we have attributives singular and plural, pronouns, and particles, thus construed: מֵרֶד פּלְאִים she descends, wonders! i. e. wonderfully, Lam. i. 9; מִישָׁרִים תִּשְׁפְּטוּ RIGHT THINGS judge ye, i. e. righteously, Ps. lviii. 2; נוֹרָאוֹת נָפְלֵיתִי (in) DREADFUL THINGS I have become wonderful, i. e. I have become exceedingly wonderful, Ps. cxxxix. 14; ימינְך נוֹרָאוֹת יִמִינְך and thy right hand shall shew thee (to be) WONDERS, i. e. very wonderful. Ps. xlv. 5. Comp. Is. ix. 5. and the Septuagint.

3. It will immediately be seen, that these instances may all be construed by one or other of the rules already given. So also with pronouns: in this (place), for here; בְּוֶה, and בְּאֹרָר like this, for thus; לְּכָּה,\* or the for what, why? בְּאַיֶּר in that which, i. e. place or time, as the context shall require; or אַיָּבְ absolutely, for where, when? or since, because, &c.

4. Negative particles ought to be considered as affecting the action, &c. of the verb either expressed or understood, not as negativing the substance implied by the noun; † e. g. V-X7 Dy a people, Not strong, Prov.

\* The particles \(\text{\Rightarrow}\) are occasionally equivalent to the είς of the New Testament, signifying for the purpose of, in order to, &c., as, Ps. xxix. 4, Γεξείς δύναμιν; powerful, effective, ib. Τημα in ordinem, producing order.

+ Such expressions as, there is no man, no place, nothing, &c. would be considered as monstrous by an Oriental, whom it would be extremely difficult to persuade that we were not affirming both the existence and non-existence of the same thing at the same time. They would say, there is not a man, &c., which is certainly more natural and intelligible. See Art. 218. 2. note.

The Arabs make their adverbs by an indefinite attributive put absolutely, or in immediate, or mediate, apposition with the word intended to be qualified, which may be either the subject or the object of the verb: e. g. فربت زيداً مشدوداً وتقيت ; يد راكباً

I struck Zaid VIOLENTLY, and I met Omar, BOTH RIDING. In these cases the adverbs are put in what is termed the accusative case, where the connection seems to be mediate, i.e. in which some intervening word is to be understood. In the following, they are in the nominative, and there the connection is

manifestly immediate. جائني زيد وغلامة وغلامة الكب Zaid came to me, and his servant (was) RIDING. The reason given for the first of these examples by the author of the Hidáyat oon Nahve (p. المعانفة أنه is, that a verb is understood: his words are, ومثال ما كان عاملها معني الفعل نحو هذا زيد قائماً فان معناء انته . The examples, in which the signification of a verb was the governing principle, as in "this Zaid (is) standing," require a verb to be understood:

thus, " I intend, I point out."-His meaning is this: " as to this Zaid, I men-

xxx. 25; לא־כן עשור\* Not so (or, according to Schreederus, right) have they done, Jer. xlviii. 30; נתתי לָהֵם מובים לא טובים I ascribed to them, i. e. declared that they were subject to, statutes (which are) NOT GOOD, (want of culture, in which there is) not a way, Ps. cvii. 40; אור אור אור אסד giving light, Amos v. 20; לא־שֶׁם לוֹ (there is) NOT a name to him, i.e. he is nameless; איש אילא (who is) NOT an eminent man, באראל (who is) NOT a mean man, Is. xxxi. 8; אַמַרְהִי לְלֹא־עָמִי I will say to (her who is) NOT my people, Hos. ii. 25; הַשְּׁמֵחִים לְלֹא דָבָר those who rejoice in (that which is) NOT a matter, i. e. in a non-reality, Amos vi. 13, &c. In many cases, too, may be construed as a noun put in the definite state of construction with the following word, intimating the want, defect, or the like, of the thing mentioned.

5. In the particle אֵין this is still more apparent; for it takes the vowels necessary for the state of construction (Art. 143. 6.): e. g. אֵין מוֹשִׁיע want, lack, of a saviour, Deut. xxii. 27; לְחַבָּה אֵין יְלָדִים to Hannah (was) A want

tion him as standing." By this he means to shew why the accusative case is used in such places. Mr. de Sacy gives a different account of this con-

struction, see vol. ii. Art. 630; where he considers رِأْكِبًا, as intended to point

out a sort of logical objective case to the verb 5. For my own part, I would prefer considering this termination as the fragment of some word formerly used as a postposition, and therefore, as equivalent to the preposition

<sup>,</sup> see note, p. 291, and to be translated thus: Zaid came to me (IN the situation of) a person riding.

<sup>\*</sup> אָם establish, &c. Hence בוֹף; and Art. 73. אָם establishing, right, just,

<sup>†</sup> See Eichhorn's edition of Simonis sub voce. On the etymology of these particles, see Art. 171.

6. When any of these particles follow the word to be qualified, they will be absolute: e. g. לְּבִיעְהָה הֻיִיהֶם לֹא for now ye have become (of) Nothing, Job vi. 21; מֵים אֵין מִים מִים אַין מִים מִים אַין מִים מִים אַין מִים מַּבּוּא יִישׁ provision, Being, i. e. there is provision, Jud. xix. 19.

7. Similar to לא and אין is the construction and force of אָלָּהְיּלּ \* terminating, expiring; hence, defect, non-existence, &c.: e. g. אָלָהִים אַלְהִים NOT a God, or, no God, Isa. xlv. 14; אַנְי וְאָבְּסִי עוֹר I am, and excepting me,

still (is none), Zeph. ii. 15.

<sup>\*</sup> See also Art. 171. 2. 3.

<sup>+</sup> ליב returning, &c.; עוֹד and Art. 87. ו. עוֹד.

עוֹרֶנוּ עֹמֵר \* Abraham, HIS CONTINUING a stander, i. e. he still stood, Gen. xviii. 22; הַבְּהוֹ עִשֶּׁה וּ פּבּוֹרוּ בּבּוֹן אַנְּהוּ שִּׁה וּ פּבּוֹרוּ בּבּוֹר אַנְיִּה וּ פּבּוֹר וּ פּבּוֹר וּ פּבּוֹר וּ פּבּוֹר וּ וּ בּבּוֹר וּ וּ דּבּיוֹן בְּבְּוֹר וְ בּבּוֹר וּ וּ דּבּיוֹן בִּבְּוֹר וְ בִּבְּרוֹן בְּבְּרוֹן בּבּוֹר וּ וּ בּבּרוֹן בּבּרוֹך בּבּרוֹן בּבּרוֹן בּבּרוֹן בּבּרוֹן בּבּרוֹך בּבּרוֹן בּבּרוֹן בּבּרוֹך בּבּרוֹן בּבּרוֹך בּבּרוּ בּיוֹים בּבּרוֹך בּיוֹים בּיּים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּייִים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיוֹים בּיים בּייים בּיים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּייים

10. So with other negative particles, לא פּתָם שׁלַרְוּתֶם אֹתִי הֵבָּה שׁ you sent me not hither, but God, Gen. xlv. 8, i. e. it is not you, but rather God, &c. See Exod. xvi. 8, 1 Sam. viii. 7, Jer. vii. 22. 23, Hos. i. 9, vi. 6, Ps. li. 18, Eccl. iv. 9, and Matt. xxiii. 23, Luke x. 20, xiv. 12. 14, 1 Pet. iii. 6. See Storr, p. 251, &c.

Examples of בְּלִי יָבִתְּ, &c.: עַר־בְּלִי יָבִתְּ until the decay of the moon, i. e. as long as it shall endure, Ps. lxxii. 7; until the defect of the heavens, i. e. as long as they shall last, Job xiv. 12; מָבְלִי הָשִׁאִיר־לוֹ כֹּל

<sup>\*</sup> In the case of the *Epenthetic* > being added to this particle, as well as to some others, the relation of a subsequent member of a sentence seems to be occasionally pointed out as in Art. 235. 3. with some emphasis. Examine the instances of this and \$250, &c. in Noldius.

from the not leaving to him every thing, i. e. any thing, Deut. xxviii. 55; לְבְלְתִּי שׁוֹב for the lack of returning, i. e. the not returning, Ezek. xiii. 22; לְבְלְתִּי יְכֹלֶת from the want of power, Num. xiv. 16; לְבְלְתִי תְּחֲשָׁאוֹ for cessation of your sinning, i. e. that you should not sin, Exod. xx. 20; בְּלִי אֵין־קְבְרִים is it from the want of graves? Exod. xiv. 11; בְּעֵרֶם־לֹא יָבֵוֹא in its not yet coming, Zeph, ii. 2.

and in the Arabic always, as, علي المسجد i. e. The upper (part) of the mosque, for over the mosque. See Art. 171. 3. &c.

12. Examples of mediate construction, i. e. when one or more other particles intervene: לְמֵעוֹ לְמוֹנ לֵב ... בְּתַהְיּרֵ לְּמֵעוֹ לְמוֹנ לֵב ... for the purpose of melting (the) heart ... have I appointed the chastisement of the sword, Ezek. xxi. 20; אַרְיהֶם he came up to them, i. e. even to them, 2 Kings ix. 20; לְבֵּד מְשֵׁךְ for each, excluding infants, i. e. with respect to the men, excluding the children, or, beside the children, Exod. xii. 37; אָרוֹנְהַר from without (with respect) to the wall, Jer. xxi. 4.

13. The intervening particles most in use are, 5 and 5, which seem to be added for the purpose of marking the word to which the preceding one has some relation, and also to point out the nature of that relation, e. g. מְחַת לְרֹאִשׁי beneath (with reference) דס my head, i. e. under my head, Cant. ii. 6; סעיר outwards (with reference) To the city, 2 Chron. xxxiii. 15; אֶל־מָבֵּית לַפְּרֹכֶּת to (that which is) FROM within (with respect) To the veil, Lev. xvi. 15; אַרַח הַיִּים the way of life, or, reli- לְמַשְּׁלָה לְמַשְׁבִּיל לְמַצֵּן כוֹּר מִשְׁאוֹל מְשָׁה gious instruction (is) FOR the elevating OF the understanding (person,) FOR the purpose of receding from the grave beneath, Prov. xv. 24; thou hast refrained (with reference) דס thou hast refrained bringing down (degrading) ON ACCOUNT OF our sins, Ezra ix. 13.

Of this kind are the combinations עד למאר 2 Chron. xvi. 14;

עד למעלה Ib. xvii. 12; עד לאין מרפא Ib. xxxvi. 16, &c.

So, אַשֶּׁר בַּשָּׁמֵיִם מִמַעל וַאֲשֶׁר בָּאָרֶץ מִתְּחַת וַאֲשֶׁר בַּמַיִם : לאָרֶץ which (is) in the heavens from above, and which (is) in the earth from beneath, and which (is) in the waters from beneath (with respect) to the earth, Exod. xx. 4.

The phrases here used, from above and from beneath, must necessarily be taken relatively: in the first instance above, with respect to the earth; in the second, by beneath, with respect to the heavens, and in the third, beneath, or low, with reference to the earth, which is expressed by 5: i. e. Thou shalt make no image of the heavenly bodies which are above, nor of the creatures &c. which are on the earth beneath; nor of those which are in the waters that are still lower than the earth: not, which are "in the waters under the earth," &c. Hence will be seen the great necessity there is of observing, to what words these particles have an immediate reference; and hence, that we should not take them absolutely, as we often do in our own and other languages.

14. When the preposition אַבּין between, is used for the purpose of opposing one noun to another but is not repeated, it has this peculiarity, that it requires the insertion of before the latter: cause and cause, Deut. xvii. 8. If however this particle is repeated, its influence is immediate: e. g. בין האור ובין החשר

BETWEEN the light, and BETWEEN the darkness, Gen. i. 4.

fixed in the other.

- 15. From what has been said on the primitive and derived significations of words (Art. 144.), it will be easy to conceive how cases may occur, in which it will be exceedingly difficult to ascertain the precise force of these particles; and consequently, the relation between words which they are intended to point out and define. Generally, however, either the primitive, or one or other of the derived, senses of the particle, considered in conjunction with the context, will afford us sufficient light. But here Noldius should be consulted.

For similar expressions in the Greek Testament, see Rom. i. 17, vi. 19, 2 Cor. iii. 18, Phil. ii. 27, &c.

17. Of the particles which signify motion towards, †

that the particle prefixed in the one case, must be equivalent to the T- post-

<sup>\*</sup> So in the Arabic من ناحية الي ناحية from part to part, i. e. proceeding on. Tale of Sindbad, Ed. Langlés, p. 1., &c. So also χάριν ἀντὶ χάριτος, grace for grace, i. e. an increase or excess of grace, far surpassing that of the Law of Moses, John i. 16.

<sup>†</sup> In this respect the postposition דָר, אָל, אָל, אָל, and to have the same, or very nearly the same, force. From the construction and sense in which this particle is found, there is good reason for

or rest in, a place, the following are examples. The first ה— is, more properly a postposition like the Latin versus: e. g. קרָם סְרֹמָה מִלְּמָנִים מְרֹמָה and two of the angels came to Sodom, Gen. xix. 1; עַר אַשֶּׁר־אָבֹא אֶלִּר מְשִׁרְה עוֹר אַשְּׁיִרְה עוֹר עוֹר אַשְּׁיִרְה until I come in unto my Lord towards Seir, Gen. xxxiii. 14.

See Gen. x. 19, xii. 5, xlvi. 1. 4, Deut. ii. 13, 1 Kings xviii. 45, xix. 15, Jer. xxix. 15, Jon. i. 3. In the following passages is also prefixed, Ps. ix. 18, 1 Chron. xxvi. 17. See Art. 180. 15. 16.

18. In the following אֶל seems to have the same force with ב: .... בְּאַרָרוּ אֹתִי אֶל־יַעְכִּוּי קִבְרוּ אֹתִי אֶל־יִּעְבִּרִי .... בַּבְּאַרָרוּ אַתִּי אֶל־יִּעְבִּרוּ אַתִּי אֶל־יִּעְבִּרוּ אַתִּי אֶל־יִּעְבִּרוּ אַתִּי אַל־יִּאַבְרְרוּ אַתִּי אַל־יִּבְּיִּעְרָה .... בַּבְּאַעָרְה &c. as for me, (I am) to be gathered to my people; bury me:—to my fathers,—to the cave ... In the cave, &c., Gen. xlix. 29, 30.

But here the particle אָלְּיִּרְ refers in every case to the verb אָבָּאֵבָּרְ

The last two words here (אָל־הַשְּׁלֵה) must, I think, be referred to the preceding אור ; and, if so, the construction will be regular and the signification of the particle אור ביי כייייי constant. Constructions of this sort are frequent, and are looked upon as elegant in the Arabic.

Of this character are the following passages, which, in any other point of view, are very obscure, Hos. x. 4; לְבָלוּת שִּלְּיִלְ שִּׁנְיִי שִּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שִּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שִּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁעִּי שְּׁנִי שְּׁעִּי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁעִּי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִּי שְּׁנִּי שְּׁעִּי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁעִּי שְּׁנִּי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁעִּי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי שְּׁנִי ש

even as I have seen thee in the sanctuary, (being now) in a land of drought and neakness (and) nithout nater, Ps. lxviii. 19; עָלִיתְ שָׁבִי לָתְּחָהְ מַהְּנוֹת בָּאָדָם וְאַךּ כּוֹרְרִים לִשְׁכֹּן יְהּ לַמְּרִוֹם שָׁבִי לָתְחָהְ מַהְנוֹת בָּאָדָם וְאַךּ כּוֹרְרִים לְשׁכֹּן יְהּ thou, O Lord God, hast ascended up on high (there) to dwell; thou hast taken captivity captive (i. e. hast overcome the great enemy and subduer of man); thou hast received gifts for man (i. e. mankind), nay even (for) the rebellious ones.

Instances of this sort are numerous in the Psalms, book of Job, and in the Prophets, in all of which we should be careful to bring those parts together, the constructions of which are homogeneous. When this is done we shall find, that the significations and constructions of the particles are neither so numerous nor so various as commentators have made them.

#### LECTURE XIX.

ON THE NATURE AND USE OF THE CONJUNCTIONS.

242. Words standing in the situation of conjunctions in the Hebrew, are subject to the laws of apposition and definite construction, just as other words are. In many instances, indeed, they are the very words which, at other times, are used as pronouns, adverbs, or prepositions; the situation alone in which they are found, giving them the character of conjunctions. A few such as 1, 22, &c.\* are used only as conjunctions.

<sup>\*</sup> The first of these seems to be derived from \(\frac{1}{2}\) a hook, connector, &c. and consequently, to signify, in addition, besides, and, &c. The second is probably

from the word primitiplying, becoming abundant, or the like, and equivalent to our moreover, much more, &c. See Storr, p. 337.

Examples in which the Relative Pronoun אָשָׁבְּ is used as a Conjunction.\*

ניִרְא שָׁאוֹל אֲשֶׁר־הוּא מַשְּׂבִּיל AND Saul saw That (Quod) he (was) intelligent, 1 Sam. xviii. 15; אֲשֶׁר עָשָׁר (because) That David did what (was) right, &c., i. e. eo quod fecit, &c., 1 Kings xv. 5; וְיָשֹׁב מִילִּילְּהָר מַלֹּר הַאָּרֶץ בְּשֶׁרְיִה and the dust returns to the earth like what it was, Eccl. xii. 7.

2. Of adverbs, prepositions, &c. simple or compound: בּלְתִּי אָם־לָכָּד unless truly he have taken, Amos iii. 4; עַקב בִּי בְּזִרְנִי אָם־לֶבְּנ בּי בְּזִרְנִי אָם־לֶבְּנ בּי בְּזִרְנִי אָם־לֶבְנ בּי בְּזִרְנִי אַכְּר בִּי בְּזִרְנִי אַכֶּר בְּיִרְנִי אָטֶר שָׁמַע הַ בּי בְּזִרְנִי A consequence of that which (ejus quod) Abraham hath heard my voice, Gen. xxvi. 5.

<sup>\*</sup> But in many cases אַנְיָאַ stands in the place of a subordinate nominative absolute. See Art. 216. 13.

אסד departed. Here אָרָבְי is evidently in the definite state of construction with אַרְבָּי, to which אַרְבָּי is added as a verb; and, as it is contrary to the genius of every Oriental language to negative the noun, the force of this negative combination must eventually influence the verb thus, "a stroke without having passed away," i. e. continual. So we say in English, in-finite, never-ending, and the like, when we wish to express the indefinite continuity of any thing. In like manner: Deut. viii. 20, אַרְבִּילִילְּילִי אַרְבָּיִ אַרְבָּיִ אָרָבְּיִ אַרְבִּי אָרָבְּיִ אָרָבְיִי אָרָבְּיִ אָרָבְיִי אָרְבִּיִי אָרְבִּיִי אָרְבִּיִי אָרְבִּיְיִי אָרְבִּיִי אָרְבִּיִי אָרְבִּיִי אָרְבִּיִי אָרְבִּיִי אָרְבִּיִי אָרְבִּיי אָרְבִיי אָרְבִּיי אָרְבִּיי אָרְבִּיי אָרְבִיי אָרָבְיִי אָרְבִּיי אָרְבְּיִי אָרְבִּיי אָרְבִּיי אָרְבִיי אָרָבְיי אָרְבִּיי אָרְבִּיי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרָבְיי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרָבְיי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרָבְיי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִי אָרְבִּי אָרְבְיי אָרְבְּי אָרְבִּי אָרְבְּי אָרְבְּי אָרְבְּי אָרְבְּי אָרְבְיי אָרְבְי אָרְבְיי בְּי בְּיי אָרְבְיי אָבְיי בְּיי אָרְבְיי אָרְבְיי בְּיי אָרְבְיי אָרְבְיי אָרְבְיי אָרְבְיי אָבְיי אָרְבְיי בְיי אָרְבְיי אָרְבְיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיִי בְּיי ב

4. It is not meant to be affirmed, however, that this sort of construction is universally adhered to. The truth is, it is very much left to the writer either to express himself thus, or to employ a greater number of words; and what is most remarkable, we sometimes find both methods adopted in the same context: e.g. אַ פּרִי בְּיִלְּהִי בְּיִלְּהְי בְּיִלְּהְי בְּיִלְּהְי בְּיִלְּהְ בְּיִלְּהְי בְּיִלְּהְ בְּיִלְּהְ בְּיִבְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְי בְּיבְּי בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְי בְּיבְּי בְּיִבְי בְּיבְּי בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְי בְיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְּי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְיבְיי בְיבְיבְי בְּיבְי בְיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְיבְיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְיבְי בְּיבְיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְי בְּיבְיי בְיבְיבְי בְיבְיבְי בְּיבְיבְי בְּיבְיבְיבְיי בְיבְיי בְיבְיבְיבְיבְיי בְּיבְיבְיי בְּבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיי בְּבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְּבְיבְיבְיבְיבְּיבְיבְיבְיבְּבְיבְיבְּבְּבְיבְיבְיבְּבְיבְיבְּבְיבְיבְּבְיבְּבְיבְיבְּבְיבְיבְּבְיבְּבְיבְּבְיבְּבְיבְיבְּבְּבְיבְיבְּבְּבְיבְּבְב

6. There is perhaps no real ellipsis in any one of the passages in which this particle is found. This, however, will depend very much on the signification which we attach to it, and on the nature of the construction in which it is found; both of which we shall now endeavour to explain.

7. If we derive this particle from IDN firm, steady, permanent, and hence, sure, surely, true, trust-worthy, faithful, and the like, and suppose it to be of the primitive form IDN (see Art. 151.), which will make the elision of the 7 necessary (Art. 76.), then we shall have DN meaning, surely, truly, certainly, &c. which is perhaps its real force in every instance.

8. We have seen (Art. 237, note), that in hypothetical sentences, enunciations are generally made as of facts, not as of opinions. If then we propose the certainty of one fact, with some strong asseveration in one member of a sentence, and compare another with it as equally certain of occurrence in another, we shall do nothing more than what is always done in oaths in the Hebrew, when this word is employed in one of the members. In some cases these constructions will imply a negation, and hence this particle has been often so interpreted.\* There is a passage, viz. Ruth iii. 12, which seems

<sup>\*</sup> It is very nearly allied in signification and usage to the Arabic of or of, which will occasionally involve a negation, and always an asseveration: but more nearly in form to of, which is thought to be derived from a word, signifying root, origin, &c. In like manner of surely in or by the truth, is used in the Arabic in swearing. See Gol. Lex. sub. voce. According

to confirm the etymology just given: וְעַהָּה כִּי אָמָנָם כִּי אִם נַאֵּל and now that (it is) true: for TRULY, SURELY, I am a Goel, or near kinsman. This word has been rejected by the Masorets in this passage, but without any good reason for doing so. In this place, and perhaps in most others, the words and Dank may be substituted the one for the other, and the sense will remain perfectly the same: e. g. Job xix. 5, וְאַהְ-אָמֶנֶם שָׁנִיתִי אָהִי הָּלִין מְשׁוּנָתִי and even (let it be) TRUE, SURE, (that) I have erred, with myself lodges my error. So Is. iv. 4, 5, הְּוֹרָא יְהֹנָה ... &c. ... אָב רְתַץ אֲדֹנְי TRULY the Lord shall wash ... then shall Jehovah certainly create, &c. And in Job xix. 5, 6, we have both these particles occurring together, either for the purpose of strengthening the asseveration, or for qualifying both the prodosis and apodosis found in the context : e. g. אָם־אָמֶנֶם עָלַי הַגְּדִּילוּ וְתוֹכִיחוּ עָלַי הֶרְפָּתִי : דְּעוּ־ אפו בי־אַלוֹהַ עוּתְנִי &c. surely, ye TRULY, or constantly, magnify yourselves, or speak great things against me, and contend against me (to) my reproach; (so) know ye now, that God hath bent me (down), &c.

9. The following example seems to involve a negation: פּבְּרוֹא אַרִיכָּב (as) the life of Pharaoh (exists), (so) trilly shall ye go forth hence, but especially, trilly, really, upon the coming in of your brother, Gen. xlii. 15. That is, as certainly as the one thing exists, the other being made to appear equally so, no less certain shall your liberation be: but not before this condition is complied with. On this principle, I think, every instance in which this particle occurs can be solved. That it is redundant, or that it has been omitted by the

to Mr. de Sacy, this particle is negative when the verb preceding it implies negation. Gram. Arab., vol. ii., Art. 667. In the examples following, however, in which he has treated it as a pleonasm, he has entirely mistaken its force, especially as he himself has cited the Arabians in Art. 671, giving it the

or corroboration. In every instance given by him, certainly, surely, most certainly, or the like, will supply the sense of the passage. See Art. 651—2. 668: i. e. putting the case either positively, or negatively, some consequence will, or will not, certainly come to pass; which is a kind of swearing. But more on this subject in a tract which I intend shortly to publish.

ellipsis, as affirmed by Noldius, p. 69, &c. I deny: because every passage adduced by him can be accounted for, without having recourse to those suppositions.

10. From what has been said on the use and signification of some of these words, it will be easy to conceive how they may be used, either in their simple or compound state, for the purpose of connecting together such parts of a discourse, as the speaker or writer may wish should be considered in connection with one another: e. g. נָאָת הַשָּׁמֵיִם וָאָת הַאָּבֶץ he created the heavens AND the earth, Gen. i. 1. So in the next verse: והָאָרֵץ הָיִתָה תהוּ נְבהוּ AND (as to) the earth, it was emptiness AND a vacuity, &c. in which these particles are said to be Copulative; in others they are said to be Disjunctive (better, Distinctive), Conditional, Causal, or Conclusive, according to the signification of the passage in which they are found. The following are a few examples of each case.

## Examples of Copulative Conjunctions.

11. טוֹב אֲשֶׁר־יָבֶּה לֶאֱכוֹל וְלִשְׁתּוֹת *it is a good*, тнат one becomingly eat and drink, &c. Eccl. v. 17; והוא אַדְמוֹנְי עַם־יַפָּה עִינַיִם and he was ruddy with (being) beautiful of eyes, 1 Sam. xvi. 12; וַיִּתְנֵהוּ לְדָוָד ומדיו ועד חרבו &c. AND he gave it to David, EVEN his garments and even to his sword, &c. Ib. xviii. 4.

See also Gen. vii. 23. In many cases there is an apparent excess in the use of the copulative conjunctions, which has been termed by the Grammarians πολυσύνθετον, see Gen. xxv. 34, xliii. 8, Jos. vii. 11, 2 Kings ii. 14, Ps. cvii. 37, &c. and in the New Testament, John x. 27, 28, 1 Cor. xiii. 1, 2, 3, &c. perhaps to excite attention only. See Art. 222. 7.

12. In other instances they are omitted, for the purpose of exhibiting the order of events, &c. the more closely or rapidly in succession, as in the Latin, "Veni, vidi, vici," &c. See

Exod. xv. 9, Judg. v. 27, 1 Sam. xv. 6, &c. This has been termed ἀσύνθετον.

By the former of these distribution is sometimes intended; as, יוֹרָכֶּב וְסוּס both Aiya and Ana, Gen. xxxvi. 24; פֿוֹרָכֶב וְסוּס both chariot and horse, Ps. lxxvi. 7, &c. See Art. 216. 17, 18.

This particle, however, is often construed as conjunctive, adversative, or, conditional. See Noldius sub voce, and Glass. Phil. Sacr., p. 524, &c. where similar usages are collected from the New Testament. It is also occasionally omitted by the ellipsis. See 1 Sam. xx. 12, 2 Kings ix. 32, Is. xvii. 6, Ib. xxxviii. 14, Jer. xi. 19, &c. See Noldius, p. 4.

# Examples of Conditional, Causal, and Conclusive, Conjunctions.

14. הַלְּכְּהִי בּיִּהְיִם .... הַאַּךְּ הְּלְכְּהִי should there be fifty righteous .... wilt thou also destroy, &c.? Gen. xviii. 24; אול יוֹדְלְּכְהִי וְדְלְּכְהִי וִדְלְכְהִי וִדְלְכְהִי וִדְלְכְהִי וִדְלְכְהִי surely go, Jud. iv. S. But here the cases are put as positive, Art. 242. 8. Under this head may also be arranged the particles באַ and אוֹדְלֹא of asseveration and swearing, &c. See No. 5, &c. above.

15. The Causals are for the most part, עַל־כֵּן, כִּי אָם, כִּי אָם, עַל־כֵּן, עַלְב אָשֶׁר, לְמַעַן אֲשֶׁר, לְמַעַן אָשֶׁר, לְמַעַן אָשֶׁר, לְמַעַן אָשֶׁר, לְמַעַן אָשֶׁר, לְמַעַן אָשֶׁר, יַעַן אַשֶּׁר, יַעַן בִּי יִעַן אֲשֶׁר, יַעַן בִּי יִעַן אֲשֶׁר, יַעַן בִּי יִעַן אֲשֶׁר, for, whereupon, therefore, because, under or upon (the consideration that), &c.; בִּי לֹא אָבוֹא אַבוֹא אַבוֹא עַל־כֵּן אוֹחִיל ; &c. that I may not come, &c., 1 Sam. xxix. 8; עַל־כֵּן אוֹחִיל ;

<sup>\*</sup> Noldius derives this particle from الله velle, i. e. (الله Art. 74. الله Art. 87. 1. أله ) just as the Latin vel from velle. So in Persian we have خُولُسُتُ used in the same signification, from خُولُسُتُنَ to desire, wish, &c.

therefore I hope, Lam. iii. 21; לְמַעוֹ שְׁמֶדּ because of thy name, 1 Kings viii. 41, &c.

It would be endless to give examples of every case; we must therefore refer the Reader to Noldius and Glassius as before.

16. Of the *Conclusive* conjunctions it will be unnecessary to say any thing. Those which are used as *Causals* in one place, may be employed as *Conclusive* in another; the position and context being the only means by which the peculiar character and bearing of these words can be ascertained.

## Of the Interjections.

<sup>\*</sup> Imperat. a surrexit, Schræd. R. 106. So in Golius, in the third conj. but in the first, which I would rather take, "Intendit, proposuit sibi . . . custodivit, servavit, &c." The meaning will then be, look! observe! preserve! Hence the phrase will be will be good preserve thee! Ih.

ATTEND (as) one prospering my journey, Gen. xxiv. 42; ABOMINABLE! FORBID IT! thou shalt not die, 1 Sam. xx. 2; הַלִילָה לִי מִיהוָה ABOMINABLE to me! from (or, as forbidden by) Jehovah, Ib. xxvi. 11.

See also 1 Kings xxi. 3, 2 Sam. xxiii. 17, 1 Chron. xi. 19. Glassius, Schreederus, &c. however, supply amputabitur, by the ellipsis in this place; see p. 550. Phil. Sacr. and Schreed.

Gram., Rule 106. Synt.

2. There are a few others, viz. NIN or TIN, the same perhaps with κ); and \* attend, ίλεως, or the like, i woe, alas; כָּלָה felix! O happy! or the like. Examples: ! O Jehovah אַנָּא יָהוָה הוֹשִׁיעָה נָא אָנָא יִהוָה הַצְּלִיחָה נָא save Now; O Jehovah! give Now prosperity, Ps. cxviii. 25 (see Art. 234.); אָנָה יְהוָה זְכָר־נָא O Jehovah! remember now, 2 Kings xx. 3; אַרָּה יָרָר יָרָרְנָר attend, my lord, we truly came down, &c., Gen. xliii. 20; אי-לָך אָרֶץ שֶׁפַּוְבַּדְ נָעַר woe to thee, O land, whose king is a boy, Eccl. x. 16; וֹשׁועַתָּה לֹנְפִשִּׁי אֵין יִשׁועַתָּה לֹנִפְשִׁי אַין many say of my soul, there is no salvation for him in God. PRAISE! Ps. iii. 3; אל־יַהוֹה אַקרא ויענני to Jehovah do I cry, and he answers me from his holy hill. PRAISE! Ib. v. 5; על עמד ברבתד שָּבְּה upon thy people (is) thy blessing. PRAISE!! Ib. v. 9.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Vox dolentis et supplicantis," says Noldius: which he derives from בָּנָה as יִ is from הַנְה " notans consolationem, recreationem," as איי with the Syrians, and الله "recreet et consoletur te Deus," among the Arabs. Concord. part. p. 175.

<sup>+</sup> Those who wish to see the various opinions entertained on the origin and meaning of this word may consult Noldius, Concord. Part. Annotationes et Vindiciæ, num. 1877. For my own part, I believe it to be descended from the root

he blessed, &c., and used not unlike the word amen, or the doxology among ourselves.

If the Psalms were originally sung or chanted in the Temple by two

#### LECTURE XX.

ON THE COMPOSITION OF SENTENCES AS POINTED OUT BY THE INFLUENCE OF THE ACCENTS.

244. After what has been said on the composition of incomplex and simple propositions (Art. 212.), in addition to what has been stated and exemplified on the concordance and government of words, it cannot be necessary to exemplify our rules by adducing and accounting for complex and compound propositions, as they occur in this language. We shall, therefore, now shew in what way this has been done by the authors of the accents.

## On the Distinctive Powers of the Tonic Accents.

245. It has already been remarked (Artt. 58. 59.), that these accents have been supposed to have the property of dividing sentences into their several members, just as our comma, semicolon, colon, and period, do. Nothing can be more likely, than that the Masorets, or, whoever else they were who affixed the vowel points to the Hebrew text, would apply some system to it, whereby the mutual dependence of its several parts upon one another would also be pointed out. It has been shewn, that these accents (Artt. 60. 64.) also serve to mark the accented syllable in any word, as also that which sustains a secondary kind of accent.

2. But as they differ considerably from one another in shape and name, they may also have been intended to point out the grammatical relations of words, or of sentences, to one another. The Jews have attached certain musical notes to each of these marks, which may be seen in the Bibliotheca Rabbinica of Bar-

uted sighab.

company sight.

3.

relations

words: 40 a.

ide atentinus

parties in a sort of dialogue, in which the one responded to the other, and both joined occasionally in a kind of chorus, as has been well supposed and maintained by Lowth and others, no word could, perhaps, be more suitable than this for such occasional chorus: and hence perhaps the Διαψαλμα of the Seventy, and the ξάρτις &c. for ever, of the Chaldee. See Nold. num. 1877., as above.

tollocci (vol. iv. p. 427, &c.); or in the second volume of the Hebrew Grammar by Guarin (page 329, &c.):\* but this is not to be wondered at. There has always existed a predilection for a sort of chanting in divine worship.—The Mahomedans chant their Koran, and we ourselves still continue to chant several parts of our ritual in the cathedral and collegiate churches.

3. It is not our intention to detain the Learner long on this subject: we shall merely lay down a few of the general principles which regulate the accents, with a few examples by way of illustration, referring to other Writers for further particulars.

4. In considering the nature and application of the accents, we have nothing to do with the grammatical or purely formal government of words (Art. 215. 5.). The logical import of passages is all we can now be concerned with; and this may be considered in two points of view. The first is, that which respects the construction of phrases, (as of nouns in immediate or mediate apposition or construction, or of verbs with their apparent nominatives, or complementary words: in all which the connection is considered and represented, as being the closest possible.) The second is, the combination of such phrases, or sentences, in the construction of periods; and in this the connection will be considered and represented, as more or less close or dependent, according to the intention of the Writer.

5. For the first of these cases, accents have been adopted, which / ליל האים been termed Servants or Ministers, and which are rarely found in any other situation: for the second, two systems of accen-2? ליל tuation are found to prevail in the Hebrew Bible: one peculiar to the Books which are generally termed Prosaïc; the other to those which are said to be Poetical. The Poetical Books are, Job אַלִּילֵים, and the Psalms אַלִּילִים; termed by the Rabbins אַלִּילִים, which is a technical word, formed out of the initials of the names above mentioned. All the rest of the Books are said to be Prosaïc.

6. We shall first lay down a few rules for the prosaic, and after- a same wards, for the poetical, books.

7. The following table gives the relative powers, and the order

<sup>\*</sup> Where we also have them in score, so that we can convert any part of the Hebrew Bible into a Quartett whenever we please!

of consecution, of the several accents: it has been taken from a Hebrew Grammar of considerable merit, which appeared for the third time at Vienna in 1810, by one Jehuda Leb Ben Zeb. This is adapted to the prosace Books of the Bible only, and is sufficiently correct and extensive for our present purpose. Should the Student wish to pursue this subject to a greater length, he may consult the elaborate work of Ouseel, the Biblia Accentuata of Daschelius,\* or the "Doctrina Accentuationis Hebrææ" by Daniel Weimar, † which last is certainly the best work I have seen on the subject; I have, therefore, generally followed it.—See the Table of Accents (Art. 58. &c.)

246. A Table pointing out the Rank, Order, and Powers, of the different Accents.

		A	3	Column A	on 3 Classes	•
		DISTINCTIVE.	CONJUNCTIVE.		Disjunctives.	Baderes 4.
irh	Immutel	4.279	A . 330.	Small. &	Greater. 6	Greatest. c
~	evil a period	Jo level	frecested it as	lig takes	Kali : 11	(370) 770
J.	Emperors	מרוק:	מרכא נו ל	מפקוא ז	זקף קטונט.4.	זקף קטן.ל.ג
	fort otals	אענעם ז	מונח	id.	id.	id.
i.		Seg Atom	Mumae (L	Zeska.	לביעי של	. / sian non
a. #		عدار عداد جما الم	מונח "י	זרקא.	וביעי יי	זקף קמון אין
	Kings	المرود المهدد	14. id.	8. Kuwin	id.	id.
	evin	מפהא	מרכא 13	תבנר פ	id.	id.
		רביעי ל	מונח 14	10 1.5.8	12 m in	4.11.6 つ.5.1
	- 1 m	זרקא מלינון	מונח אין	10 5.1.8	til falig	. 5.7.8
a t	Dukes	s hacktaring	ाउ प्रकृतिक ।उ प्रकृतिक	id.	id.	id.
	25 01105	תבור	ול אינואיני	id.	id.	id.
-		10 1.5.8	קדמא פי	tel. bet 8%	פֿזר ייי די	id.
	Counts	ין לוויגע	מונח יויי	מונָח "י	פֿזר "	· 5.7.8
		הלישא ג מ	id.	id.	id.	id.

<sup>\*</sup> Lipsiæ, 1729.

<sup>†</sup> Lipsiæ, 1709.

16

Defen

higher

ge. le

Defe

2. The reader will find considerable difference between this table, and that given by Ouseel\* in his elaborate work on the Hebrew accents. Ouseel's table is much larger, and contains several instances of the power and consecution of the accents not to be found here. Shalshéleth, אול הואל האלים, for example, with Pěsík, is placed among the subdistinctives, which does not occur at all in our table. Yerách also is among the conjunctives, of which we have not a vestige here. Zāképh gādól, אול בדיר בייר אוויר אוויר

3. I have not translated the terms employed by the Hebrew Grammarian, but have used those which appeared to me the most

suitable.

J. 1h

Tel her

4. It will be perceived, that many of the words are abbreviated:

as \$\frac{8}{2}\$, for \$\frac{8}{2}\$, which is the same with \$Géresh\$ (Art. 59.), the mark for which is placed above the \$\frac{8}{2}\$. In every other case, the form of the accent meant is placed over the initial letter of its name: as, \$\frac{2}{2}\$ Telisha \$Gedóla\$, \$\frac{2}{2}\$ Telisha \$Ketanna\$, and so of \$2.50\$ others. In one instance we have \$\frac{2}{2}\$ \$\frac

5. It will be unnecessary to explain the different names given to the several classes of accents in the tables, as Emperors, Kings, &c.; every one will see, that a greater or less dependence, observed in the relation of phrases or sentences one to another, is intended to be pointed out by these titles.

6. It should also be observed, that, in the above table, the accents greatest in rank, or, in other words, which point out those phrases, &c. which have the least dependence upon others, are placed uppermost: and, that those which accompany the closing word of any sentence, or member of a sentence, are placed at the left side. Silluk pide, for example, ranks highest, and is placed in the left-hand column. Its office, therefore, is to close a period.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Tabula accentuationis," prefixed to his "Introductio in accentuationem Hebræorum prosaïcam. Lugd. Batav. 1715.

illack

orles

- 7. In the next place, as these leading accents are situated at the close of a sentence, or of a member of a sentence, and are placed in the left-hand column of the table, we must look towards the right hand for those which should either immediately, or more remotely, precede them. In this point of view, therefore, Mercá מרכא, will be found to attend on, or to precede, Silluk, as its servant.
- 8. The second accent (proceeding downwards) in the left-hand column, is Athnákh Tans. This accent, therefore, is the next inferior to Silluk; and is usually found to close a larger member of a sentence. To the right of Athnákh we find Mūnákh מונה, in the capacity of a minister or servant. Athnákh, therefore, is to be considered, as usually accompanied by Mūnákh.
- 9. In the same manner, proceeding downwards, and again to the right, we shall find the several accents with their attendants, which are supposed to mark the members of a sentence, each having a less dependence on one another than the preceding.
- 10. We have now explained the use of the two first columns containing the distinctive, and their several accompanying, accents. Let us now proceed to the other three, containing the small, greater, and greatest, distinctive accents.
- some 11. If we can suppose a period to consist of several sentences, or members of sentences, we can also suppose that each of these b. fant will have a greater or less dependence on one another, with respect to signification. The Author, from whom our table is taken, is of opinion (and with him all other writers on this subject agree), that phrases, considerably removed from the end of a verse or period, have less dependence on those which immediately follow them, than others have on those nearer its conclusion. Hence he has supposed, that the first of these, considered in the capacity of distinctive, will have a greater dependence on those which immediately follow them, than others will, which are farther removed from the end of the period, &c. Hence, these have been termed small, the next greater, and the next or last, the greatest, distinctives. Now, most of these, considered as distinctives, will also be found in the left-hand column, their servants or attendants will be always found there also, in the next, or right-hand column, as already explained.
  - 12. Hence it should seem, that, for the most part, every second accent, counting from the end of a period or sentence, and pro-

381

ceeding backwards towards its beginning, will be a distinctive one: and that, immediately to its right, will be found its attendant or servant. And this is found to be the case. In the above table, therefore, we shall have the order of the accents for a very great variety of cases. That they are not all found here, must be certain, from the consideration, that there are several accents in use not to be found in this table at all. But, as our limits will not allow us to enter fully into this subject, we have deemed our table sufficient for the present. Let us now proceed to our rules and illustrations.

247.2Words in apposition or construction, either immediate or mediate, will be connected by a conjunctive accent; as, אַרָּהָשׁׁ the Lord God, Gen. iii. 1; אַרָּהָשׁׁ Abraham's servant, Gen. xxiv. 33; אַרָּהָשׁׁ one of a thousand, Job ix. 3. So בּיִבְּהַ נְּבֶּרְ אַבֶּרְהָּ the God of him who is near, &c. Jer. xxiii. 23; אֵלְהֵוֹי מִיבְּרָ בִּבְּרָהְ servant and maiden, Gen. xxxii. 6; אַרְהַיּ בְּּבֶּרְ הַּיִּבְּרִ הְּבָּרָהְ וֹיִ מִּבְּרָהְ מִּבְּרָהְ מִּבְּרָהְ מִּבְּרָהְ מִּבְּרָהְ מִּבְּרָהְ מִּבְּרָהְ מִּבְּרָהְ מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרְהְ מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרְהְ מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרְּהְ מִבְּרְּהְ מִבְּרְיִי מִבְּרָהְ מִבְּרְהְ מִבְּרָּהְ מִבְּרְּתְּיִי מִבְּרְיִי מִבְּרְיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִּי מִבְּי מִבְּיִי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מְבְּי מְבְּי מִבְּי מְבְּי מְבְּי מְבְּי מְבְּי מְבְּי מְבְּי מְבְּי מְבְיּי בְּיבְיי בְּבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיּי מְבְּי בְּיּי מְבְּי מִבְּי מְבְּי מְבְּי מְבְיּי בְּיי בְּיּבְיי בְּיּי מְבְּי מְבְּי מְבְּיְים מְבְּיי מְבְּיי מְבְיי מְבְיּי מְבְּיי מְבְּיּי מְבְיּים מְבְּיים מְבְּיים מְבְּיים מ

2. The same is the case when the construction is distinctive (Art. 225.): as, בַּרֵבֶּיב clean (of) hands, Ps. xxiv. 4; בַרֵבֶּיב pure (of) heart, Ib.•

3. The same holds good when one of the words so connected occupies the place of an adverb, or specificative (p. 288. note): בְּאַרָּ אַרְיִּבְּי sudden fear, Prov. iii. 24; בְּאַר the grave beneath, Ib. xv. 24.

4. The numerals are similarly connected with the thing numbered, as are also particles with the words with which they are to be construed. To which also may be added verbs, when repeated 4. 1. for the purpose of giving greater emphasis, or when succeeding one 2 another in order to vary the sense; or, as found with, (or without, the connecting particles.

5. The verb is generally connected with its apparent nominative (Art. 216.), by means of a conjunctive accent; as, בַּרָא אֵלְהָיִים (Art. 216.), by means of a conjunctive accent; as, בַּרָא אֵלֹהִים (Art. 216.), and Abram said, Ib. xv. 2.

<sup>\*</sup> Makkaph is to be considered as a conjunctive accent here and elsewhere. The distinctive accent here is a compound (see p. 26.), not found in our table.

etal.

ef. lice.

Desc.

2 me terry

ny ho of

wary h.

using like

wish n

31. 4.

- ליים (Art. 228, &c.), or its objective case, is frequently connected with it in the same way; as, שֹׁלְּ מִלְּרָם they made war, Gen. xiv. 2.
- תלנו א Port. 7. Also when a particle intervenes: as, אול אול אול יום walking with them, Gen. xviii. 16. There are many exceptions, however, to this rule.
  - 8. When more than two words, immediately following each other, stand in the same apparent relation to one another, those which are more immediately connected together in signification will have a conjunctive accent, the others disjunctive ones, according to the relation in which they are found with the former; as, אַרָּבְּלְּבֶּלְ רְּבְּעִילֵּן gold and silver, and (also) brass, Exod. xxv. 3. So, a breast-plate, an ephod, and (also) a robe, Ib. xxviii. 4.
  - 9. This holds good in members of sentences, verbs, &c. having such relations to each other; as, איינים בּיוֹינים בּיוֹינים בּיוֹינים בּיוֹינִם בְּיִוֹינִם בְּיִּינִם בְּיִּיִם בְּיִּיִּם בְּיִּבְיִבְּיִם וְאָרִיכִּים בְּיִוֹיִם בְּיִוֹיִם בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּים וְנִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹי בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיִים בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיים בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹי בְּיוֹים בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוּים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיים בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיים בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיִים בְּיוֹים בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים
- 9. ני ביים 10. Also, when they are not all of the same person; as, אַרַבְּרָה וְיִשְׁכְּעׁרּ Let me speak, and attest, and they shall hear, Jer. vi. 10.

11. Numerals are similarly connected; as, שֶׁבַע וְעֶשִׂרֶים וּמָאתֻיִּם seven and twenty, and two hundred. See Gen. v. xi. &c.

- - 13. The same will hold good in any number of words, subject to a similar regimen; as, אַבְּכֶּקף וּבְיָּדְיִלְ וּבְבַּרְיָּלֶ וּבְשִּׁרְ וּבְבַרְיָּלֶ וּבְשִּׂלְכִיתוּ asimilar regimen; as, אַבְּרָיָלָ וּבְשִּׂלְכִיתוּ וּבְבַרְיָּלֶ וּבְשִּׂלְכִיתוּ וּבְבַרְיָּלֶ וּבְשִּׁלְכִיתוּ silver and with gold, and with brass and with iron, and with garments, Josh. xxii. 8.
  - 14. When several nouns succeed one another, each in construction with the following one, the leading words in the sentence will sometimes have disjunctive accents; the former being always greater than the next in succession: or, they will be divided into pairs, according to the pleasure of the Writer, or, as the sense of

ashm

the passage shall require; שְׁמְבֶר מִשְׁמֶרֶת בִּקְּוֹבְי the superintendence of the keepers of the charge of the sanctuary, Num. iii. 32; בְּלָב יִּבְּרָהְם יִצְּהָר וְעְעָלְב the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, Exod. iii. 16.

15. Nouns, or phrases, to be construed as nominatives absolute, will be distinguished from the following context by a disjunctive accent:\* as, אַרָה הֹהוֹ בְּיִרְ הַּלְּיִץ הַוֹּחְלֵּרְץ מוֹ and (as to) the earth, it was vacuity and emptiness, Gen. i. 2; בְּיִרְ אֵלְהִים מְרָהֶבֶּת עַלֹּ-בְּיִ הַבְּיִם הַלִּים מְרָהָבְּת עַלֹּ-בְּיִ הַבְּיִם מְלַהְיִם מְרָהָבְּת עַלֹּ-בְּיִ הַבְּיִם מְלַבְּיִ הַּמְים מוֹ (as to) the Spirit of God (it was) brooding upon the face of the vaters, Ib.; בְּיִהְבֹּ בְּבִיְהָבֹ בְּבִי אָדָם and (as to) the likeness of their faces (it was as) the faces of men, Ezek. i. 10.

16. And, in the same manner, all nouns or phrases added for the purpose of qualifying, defining, or otherwise limiting others, but not so closely connected as to form phrases, &c. with them, will be marked by one or other of the disjunctive accents; as, אַרָּרָים מִבְּרִים מִבְּרִים מִבְּרִים מִבְּרִים מִבְּרִים בִּבְּרִים בִּבְּרִים בִּבְּרִים בַּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בַּבְּרִים בַּבְּרִים בַּבְּרִים בַּבְּרִים בַּבְרִים בַּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בַּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְיבִּים בּבְּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבְּרִים בּבּבְּרִים בּבְּבְּים בּבְּבִּים בּבּבְּים בּבּבְּרִים בּבּבְּים בּבּבְּים בּבְּבְּבְּים בּבְּבְּים בּבְּבְּים בּבְּבִּים בּבְּבְּים בּבְּים בּבְבִּים בּבּבְיבִּים בּבּבּים בּבּים בּבּבּים בּבּבּים בּבּבְּים בּבּבְּיבִים בּבְּבְבִּים בּבּבּים בּבּבְּים בּבּבּים בּבּים בּבּבּים בּבּבּבּים בּבּבּיבּים בּבּבּים בּבּבּים בּבּבּים בּבּבּבּים בּבּבּבּים בּבּבּים בּבּבּים בּבּבּי

<sup>\*</sup> Hence Jarchi's comment on Ezek. i. 11, which has so frequently been cited and misunderstood, is only intended to shew that משל איל with Zakeph gadol is to be separated from the word following, thus; וְבְנְבֵּיהֶם וְבַנְבִּיהֶם and (as to) their faces, even their wings were spread out above (them). His words are: ומכסות בפניהם ומכסות. They were separated upwards from their faces, and covering them.

dist aut

and of se.

, T complete

him, spake unto him, saying, Thus shalt thou speak unto this people (who have spoken unto thee, saying, Thy father made our yoke heavy, but make thou it lighter unto us), thus shalt thou say unto them, My little finger shall be thicker than my father's loins, 1 Kings xii. 10. Again: מַּנֶּה (כְּכֵלּתוֹ לְדַבֵּר אָתוֹ בָּחַר סִינִי) שָׁנֵי לְחְוֹת בַּלּתוֹ לִיבֵּר אָתוֹ and he gave unto Moses (when he had made an end of communing with him upon mount Sinai), the two tables of testimony, Exod. xxxi. 18.

with two. Roph. 248. When a verse consists of more propositions than one, or of several members of a sentence, the greater distinctive accent will generally be found placed at the end of that proposition, or member, which is most complete in its signification; or, if the context is sententious, at that part which marks the parallelism of the whole period. Example: יְפֶּרֶה מְשָּׁרְשִׁיוֹ וִפֶּרֶה חָשֶׁר מָשָׁרָ וְיִשֶּׁי וְנָצֶר מִשְּׁרָשִׁיוֹ and there shall come forth a rod out of the stem of Jesse: and a branch out of his roots shall bear fruit, Is. xi. 1.

> 2. Here, if we take the liberty to separate the context, accord-2. ing to the importance of the accents, we may write it out thus:

> > ונצר משטרשוו ונצא חמר מגוע ישי

3. The following example from Gen. i. 2, contains several propositions.

וַהָּצָּרִץ הָוֹיָה הֹאֹיָ וְבֹּהוּ יִבְּיִה יִי יִי יִּי יִּיִי וחשה על־פָּגֵי תִתְּוֹם וְרִוּחַ אֱלֹהָים מְרַחֲפֶת על-פני חמים:

And (as to) the earth (it was) emptiness and a void: And darkness (was) upon the face of the great deep: And (as to) the Spirit of God, (it was) brooding Upon the face of the waters.

The terms וְהַאָּרֶץ and וְרוּחַ אֱלֹהִים, I take to be nominatives absolute, and therefore, as properly divided by distinctive accents from the context following (Art. 247. 15.). It should also be observed, we have three distinct propositions in this verse: the first ending with אָלבוֹדְל, having the distinctive accent Reviah; the second with Din, having Athnákh; and the third ending with Sillúk and (:) Soph Pāsúk. Here also, as before, the accents distinctly mark the parallelism of the different members of the verse.

4. In the following passage we have four distinct propositions, Isa. i. 2.

שׁמְעַרּ שָׁמַׁיִם וְהַאֲזִינִי אֶּבֶץ בִּי יְחֹוָח דָּבֵּר בָּנִים גַּדַּלְתִּי וְרוֹמַׁמְתִּי וְחָם בָּשִׁערּ בֵּי :

Hear ye heavens, and give ear, O earth,
For Jehovah, he hath spoken,
(As to) children, I have brought up, and exalted (them);
But they,—they have rebelled against me.

5. Here the termination of every member marks the close of a distinct proposition, each of which is distinguished by a larger accent, which also serves to point out the parallelism, as before. So in the following: Isa. xlix. 4.

לַבָּנָר אָפַּרְהִּי לְרֵיה יָבַּעְהִי לְתָּחוּ וְחֶבֶּל פֹּחֵי כִּלֵּיְתִי

But I—I had said, I have laboured in vain:
For emptiness and vanity, I have consumed my strength.

# On the Accentuation of the Metrical Books กวัส, i. e. Job, Proverbs, and the Psalms.

- 249. The accentuation which we now propose to consider commences at the third verse of the third chapter of the book of Job, and continues to the fifth of the forty-second, whence the prosaic accentuation is continued to the end. The whole book of Psalms, with that of Proverbs, is also subject to this metrical accentuation: the remaining books of the Bible are not.
- 2. The system of the accents, generally, is the same both in the prosaic and metrical books: that is, one set of accents will serve as disjunctives, the other as conjunctives in both, either dividing or connecting the several parts of the context, according to the interpretation of the authors of these marks. The only difference observable in its application is, that the powers peculiar to some of the accents differ, in some respects, from those just mentioned, as does also their order of consecution.

- 3. The distinctive accents, according to this system, are, Sillúk, Mercá with Mahpák, Athnákh, Rěvíăh with Géresh, Rěvíăh,  $\sim$  Zarká, Tiphkhá anterior, Pāzér, Shalshéleth with Pěsík, Kadmá with Pěsík, and Mahpák with Pěsík.
- 4. The conjunctive or servile accents are the following: ¬ Mercá, ¬ Mercá with Zarká, ¬ Mahpák, ¬ Mahpák with Zarká, ¬ Mūnákh, ¬ Mūnákh superior, ¬ Tiphkhá, not anterior, and ¬ Yérakh.
- 5. The distinctives are here given according to their order of precedence: the conjunctives are considered as having no such order, as before. The distinctives on which these usually attend, may be found in the tables of Ouseel and others.
- 6. It will be seen from the forms and titles above given, that several of these accents are mere combinations of two of those already given (See Art. 59.).
- 7. The accents accompanying Soph-pāsúk and Pěsík are always found on the tone-syllable.
- 8. Of  $Merc\acute{a}$  with  $Mahp\acute{a}k \stackrel{\checkmark}{=}$ .  $Merc\acute{a}$  is always under the tone-syllable;  $Mahp\acute{a}k$  is placed on the preceding syllable, if there be any; if not, it remains on the same syllable. If, however, the preceding word be connected by  $Makk\acute{a}ph$ , or end in a furtive Pathakh,  $Mahp\acute{a}k$  may then be on its last syllable.
- 9. The same holds good with respect to  $\stackrel{\sim}{,}$  Mercá with Zarká, and  $\stackrel{\sim}{,}$  Mahpák with Zarká.
- 10. In the occurrence of "Rěvíāh with Géresh, Rěvíāh is always on the tone-syllable, and Géresh carried as nearly to the beginning of the word as possible. But when no other syllable remains, they are placed together, as שׁבוֹל his name, and אַבֿרֹל flee ye.
- 250. It will easily be conceived, that if the disjunctives here, as before, have been invested with various powers for the purpose of dividing and subdividing any given part of the Biblical context into its several members, and thereby to facilitate the discovery of its meaning, the order of consecution of these disjunctives may be exceedingly various, just as the different modes of construction would require. With the view of familiarizing the Student with the different powers of these accents, therefore, tables have been formed, first giving the order of consecution found among these disjunctives; and, lastly, of these with their several attendants.

When speaking of the prose accents, we gave a table, rather with the view of complying with custom than for any thing else. At present we shall give a few examples only, and leave it to the industry of the Student to construct such tables for himself, should he think it necessary: believing it to be quite sufficient to make him acquainted with the principles, which it is trusted the following examples, in addition to what has been said, will be sufficient to do.

2. The following is an abstract of the analysis given by Ouseel of the first verse of the first Psalm.\*

אַשְׁרֵי הָאִּישׁ אֲשֶׁר ו לָא הָלַהְּ בְּעֲצֵת רְשָּׁעִים וּבְרֵּרֶהְ הַשָּאִים לְא עַמֶּד וּבִמשָׁב לֵצִּים לָא יָשִׁב :

3. This is to be divided, in the first place, into the two larger divisions indicated by the greater distinctive accents, which are — Sillúk, and — Mercá with Mahpák (Art. 249. 3.). We shall have, therefore, for the first great division:

דּשְׁבִים רְשְּׁבִים הַאִּישׁ אַשֶּרוּ לְא הְלַהְּ בַּעֲצֵה רְשְּׁצִים The blessings of the man, who hath not walked in the counsel of the wicked.

- 4. In the next place, the division to be taken, according to the importance of the accents, will be, first, שֵׁלֵילֵי דְּבָּאׁ the blessings of the man. Or, considering these two words as constituting a nominative absolute, (as to) the blessings of the man, which is universally accompanied by a larger distinctive accent (Art. 247. 15.). In the next place, the two words, viz. שֵׁלֵילִי דְּלָּאִילִי אָלִילִּי, will, on account of their close connection, be coupled by a conjunctive accent; and here we have ¬ Mūnákh for that purpose (Art. 247.).
- 5. The next portion we must take, will be 127 87 175 who hath not walked. Here we have first 175 with Mahpák and Pěsík, which is the least disjunctive in our table. And, according to our consecution in prose (Art. 247. 12.), when the two last of the consecutive words are more immediately connected with one another, than either is with the preceding, the former will have a smaller disjunctive accent.
- 6. In the next place, To with must be construed together (Art. 247. 4.), they are, therefore, connected by a conjunctive accent.

<sup>\*</sup> Accentuatio Metrica, c. xvii. § 18-19, &c.

- 7. The next two words are, בְּעַבֵּית רְשִׁעִים in the counsel of the micked, which, from their logical character, must be construed together. They are therefore connected by the conjunctive accent Yérakh. This concludes the first division or parallel of our sentence.
  - 8. Let us now proceed to the second.
- : בְּנֶים לָאׁ יָשֶׁב וֹבְים לָאׁ עָמֶד וּבְּמֹשֶׁב לֵּצִּים מו and in the way of sinners hath not stood; and in the habitation of scorners hath not resided.

- 11. The next phrase, אַלְאָלָ is connected in the same way, and for the same reason.
- 12. Our next subdivision will be רְבְמשֶׁב בְּצִּים. Here we have Reviah with Géresh for a distinctive, and Mercá for its preceding conjunctive, accent.
- 13. In the last place, : [ will be connected by the conjunctive accent Mūnākh; and Sillúk with Soph-pasúk will close the period.
- 14. We do not think it necessary to pursue this subject farther: enough has been said to point out the general doctrine respecting the accents, and this is all we proposed to do. With regard to the formulæ usually given, shewing the consecution of the accents, it may be remarked, that generally speaking they are sufficient to point out the way in which this subject is taught; but, when we come to particulars, are very inadequate. Even in the few examples here given, our own tables fail: and the truth seems to be, that, as the forms of composition may be exceedingly numerous, so may those of the consecution of the accents.









BINDING SECT. JAN TO 1901



# PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKET

#### UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARY

LaHeb. Lee, Samuel

Gr. A grammar of the Hebrew

L481g language

